

Supplementary Materials to “Understanding the pathways leading to socioeconomic inequalities in HIV testing uptake in 18 sub-Saharan African countries: a mediation analysis”

Pearl Anne Ante-Testard[§], Mohamed Hamidouche, Bénédicte Apouey, Rachel Baggaley, Joseph Larmarange, Tarik Benmarhnia, Laura Temime, Kévin Jean

[§]Corresponding author: pearl-anne.ante@lecnam.net

Table of Contents

Figure S1. Categorization of the mediators.	2
Table S1. Construction and coding of the mediators.	3
Text S1. Formulas based on Valeri and Vanderweele to estimate the Control Direct Effect (CDE), Natural Indirect Effect (NIE), Total Effect (TE) and Proportion mediated (PM).	4
Table S2. Survey and population characteristics, by country and gender.	5
Table S3. Path from exposure to mediator. Adjusted prevalence ratios of favorable levels of the mediator between the richest and poorest participants while accounting for confounders.	7
Figure S2. Path from mediator to outcome. Bivariate analysis of HIV testing uptake and mediators. Proportion of HIV testing uptake among the favorable and unfavorable levels of the mediator in 18 sub-Saharan African countries, stratified by gender.	9
Table S4. Path from mediator to outcome. Adjusted prevalence ratios of recent HIV testing between favorable and unfavorable levels of the mediators, while accounting for confounders.	10
Figure S3. Forest plot of the Total Effect and Controlled Direct Effect by mediator and gender.	12
Table S5. Proportion mediated by individual and joint mediators, stratified by gender, in 18 sub-Saharan African countries.	13




Figure S1. Categorization of the mediators. Principal Component Analysis of the six mediators among female participants in 18 sub-Saharan African countries.

Among women, the PCA show the *demand-related* mediators were highly correlated. We found the same result across the *supply-related* mediators. Hence, we categorized these mediators under these two groups.

Among men, *demand-related* mediators were also highly correlated (Pearson chi-square p-value: $< 2.2e-16$).

Table S1. Construction and coding of the mediators.

Variables	Description	Coding	DHS Questions
Mediators			
Demand-related mediators			
HIV-related knowledge	Based on a set of 7 questions related to HIV transmission and prevention defined as a binary variable reflecting comprehensive knowledge about HIV	1 = answering correctly to 7 questions 0 = answering at least one incorrectly	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can people reduce their chance of getting HIV by having just one uninfected sex partner who has no other sex partners? 2. Can people get HIV from mosquito bites? 3. Can people reduce their chance of getting HIV by using a condom every time they have sex? 4. Can people get HIV by sharing food with a person who has HIV? 5. Can HIV be transmitted from a mother to her baby: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. During delivery? b. During pregnancy? c. By breastfeeding?
Positive attitudes towards PLHIV	Based on a set of 2 questions about attitudes towards PLHIV defined as a binary variable showing positive attitudes towards PLHIV. The set of two questions differ by country depending on the availability of the variables.	1 = answering favorably to 2 questions 0 = answering at least one unfavorably	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would want to keep secret that a family member got infected with HIV 2. Willing to care for a family member with the AIDS virus 3. Female teacher who has the AIDS virus but is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching 4. Would buy vegetables from HIV-positive-vendor 5. Children with HIV+ should not be allowed to go to the same school
Supply-related mediators (among females only)			Many different factors can prevent women from getting medical advice or treatment for themselves. When you are sick and want to get medical advice or treatment, is each of the following a big problem or not a big problem:
Reporting no distance-related problem to seek care	Self-report of distance to facility as not a problem/ not a big problem.	1 = yes 0 = no	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The distance to the health facility?
Reporting no money-related problem to seek care	Self-report of getting money for medical advice or treatment as not a problem/ not a big problem.	1 = yes 0 = no	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Getting money needed for advice or treatment?
No permission needed from spouse/partner to seek a doctor	Self-report of getting permission to see a doctor as not a problem/ not a big problem.	1 = yes 0 = no	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Getting permission to go to the doctor?
No/ single difficulty in seeking care	Only one or no difficulty in access. Combined variable of the 3 proxy variables related to offer.	1 = yes 0 = no	

Text S1. Formulas based on Valeri and Vanderweele to estimate the Control Direct Effect (CDE), Natural Indirect Effect (NIE), Total Effect (TE) and Proportion mediated (PM).

$$E\{Y = 1|a, m, c\} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 a + \theta_2 m + \theta_3 a m + \theta' 4c \text{ (Outcome model)}$$

$$E\{M = 1|a, c\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 a + \beta' 2c \text{ (Mediator model)}$$

where, Y = outcome, a = exposure, m = mediator, c = confounder.

$$PR^{CDE} = \exp \{(\theta_1 + \theta_3 m)(a - a^*)\}, m=1$$

$$PR^{NIE} = \frac{\{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 a^* + \beta' 2c)\} \{1 + \exp(\theta_2 + \theta_3 a + \beta_0 + \beta_1 a + \beta' 2c)\}}{\{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 a + \beta' 2c)\} \{1 + \exp(\theta_2 + \theta_3 a + \beta_0 + \beta_1 a^* + \beta' 2c)\}}$$

where $a = 1, a^* = 0, m = 1$.

$$TE = NIE \times CDE \text{ (when Y is binary)}$$

$$PM = CDE \times (NIE - 1) / (CDE \times NIE - 1)$$

Valeri L, VanderWeele TJ. “Mediation analysis allowing for exposure–mediator interactions and causal interpretation: Theoretical assumptions and implementation with SAS and SPSS macros”: Correction to Valeri and VanderWeele (2013). *Psychol Methods*. 2013 Dec;18(4):474–474.

Table S2. Survey and population characteristics, by country and gender.

Western-Central Africa	BFA		CIV		CMR		COD		GNA		LIB		MLI		NIG		SEN		SLE	
	(Burkina Faso)		(Côte d'Ivoire)		(Cameroon)		(Congo DR)		(Guinea)		(Liberia)		(Mali)		(Niger)		(Senegal)		(Sierra Leone)	
	2010		2011-12		2018		2013-14		2018		2013		2018		2012		2017		2013	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
N	17,087	7,307	10,060	5,135	14,677	6,978	18,827	8,656	10,874	4,117	9,239	4,118	10,519	4,618	11,160	3,928	16,787	6,977	16,658	7,262
Response Rate (%) *	98	97	93	91	98	98	99	97	99	97	98	95	98	96	95	88	96	91	97	96
Wealth index (%) Poorest	17.50	17.30	17.60	19.10	16.60	15.40	18.60	16.90	18.90	17.30	17.10	18.20	17.50	18.60	18.10	14.80	16.50	16.70	18.50	18.50
Poorer	18.70	19.10	17.30	16.50	18.70	18.40	19.10	18.90	19.70	18.00	17.60	18.30	18.80	19.90	18.80	17.40	17.80	17.50	18.30	18.30
Middle	19.00	18.30	18.20	17.90	20.10	20.80	18.60	20.70	18.90	18.00	19.30	17.70	19.10	18.60	19.70	19.30	19.70	19.80	18.80	18.50
Richer	19.90	18.90	20.80	22.10	21.30	21.00	19.40	20.50	19.80	20.30	22.20	21.00	21.10	20.10	20.60	20.40	21.30	22.80	20.30	18.10
Richest	24.90	26.40	26.20	24.40	23.20	24.30	24.30	23.00	22.70	26.40	23.90	24.90	23.40	22.80	22.80	28.10	24.70	23.20	24.00	26.50
Age in years (%) 15-24	38.80	33.80	39.50	33.90	39.00	38.20	41.20	36.40	40.10	35.90	40.30	38.50	38.00	31.20	34.20	28.00	40.60	39.90	39.40	34.20
25-34	32.50	25.90	34.10	29.40	30.00	25.40	32.70	26.40	30.80	23.00	30.40	30.30	34.40	24.60	37.00	24.80	32.20	25.50	30.80	25.10
35 and above	28.80	40.30	26.40	36.80	31.00	36.50	26.10	37.20	29.10	41.10	29.30	31.20	27.60	44.20	28.80	47.20	27.30	34.60	29.80	40.80
Type of residence (%) Urban	27.10	28.90	51.40	50.30	54.60	55.20	38.40	37.00	37.60	41.90	61.00	58.60	26.30	25.60	18.80	24.60	49.70	53.10	35.60	37.20
Family situation (%) In union	79.40	63.70	62.70	52.70	56.60	47.10	64.20	58.20	71.10	55.10	58.30	53.90	81.40	66.10	88.50	69.80	64.90	43.10	65.50	57.10
Single	17.50	34.20	30.20	42.40	32.30	48.20	26.00	37.50	25.20	43.60	31.00	42.50	16.00	33.10	7.90	28.60	30.30	55.50	28.40	39.30
Widowed/ separated	3.10	2.20	7.10	4.90	11.10	4.80	9.70	4.20	3.70	1.30	10.70	3.70	2.60	0.80	3.60	1.50	4.80	1.40	6.20	3.50

† Based on each country's DHS Final Report

‡ Women: 31,079 (15-49 years of age: all version)
 Women: 14,741 (15-49 years of age: full version); 96%
 Women: 16,338 (15-49 years of age: short version); 97%

Table S2 (continued). Survey and population characteristics, by country and gender.

Eastern-Southern Africa	ETH		KEN		LES		MWI		RWA		TNZ		ZBW		ZMB	
	(Ethiopia)		(Kenya)		(Lesotho)		(Malawi)		(Rwanda)		(Tanzania)		(Zimbabwe)		(Zambia)	
	2016		2014		2014		2015-16		2014-15		2011-12		2015		2018	
	Female	Male	Female‡	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
N	15 683	12 688	31 079	12 819	6 621	2 931	24 562	7 478	13 497	6 217	10 967	8 352	9 955	8 396	13 683	12 132
Response Rate (%) †	95	86	97	90	97	94	98	95	99.5	99.5	96	89	96	92	96	92
Wealth index (%) Poorest	16.80	15.80	15.60	14.10	14.50	14.30	19.30	16.00	19.00	14.60	17.00	16.30	17.10	15.00	17.80	16.50
Poorer	17.90	18.30	17.60	17.70	15.60	18.20	19.10	18.40	19.50	17.80	18.00	18.30	17.00	18.00	17.40	17.90
Middle	19.00	19.30	19.40	19.80	18.80	20.40	18.90	19.80	19.20	20.20	18.00	19.00	17.60	19.30	18.10	20.00
Richer	19.80	21.50	21.10	24.60	24.20	22.50	19.10	20.70	19.50	22.70	20.60	20.90	23.20	22.90	22.00	22.40
Richest	26.50	25.10	26.40	23.90	26.90	24.60	23.70	25.20	22.80	24.70	26.40	25.40	25.10	24.70	24.60	23.30
Age in years (%) 15-24	39.20	35.10	37.20	36.40	41.80	42.70	42.40	43.10	38.70	36.60	39.20	42.30	39.10	41.20	41.90	39.70
25-34	33.80	28.50	34.10	30.30	31.00	25.40	31.00	26.00	33.00	30.20	31.00	26.10	32.90	27.00	30.00	25.60
35 and above	27.00	36.40	28.70	33.30	27.30	31.90	26.50	30.80	28.30	33.20	29.80	31.50	28.00	31.80	28.10	34.70
Type of residence (%) Urban	22.20	19.70	40.80	43.40	36.50	33.80	18.30	18.50	19.50	20.00	27.00	25.60	38.50	36.00	46.60	44.10
Family situation (%) In union	65.20	58.90	59.70	52.70	54.60	40.00	65.70	58.10	51.70	54.20	63.00	53.00	61.80	51.50	55.90	53.00
Single	25.70	38.60	28.90	41.80	33.10	51.50	21.00	38.30	37.80	43.50	25.50	42.30	25.20	43.20	31.20	42.60
Widowed/ separated	9.10	2.50	11.40	5.40	12.40	8.50	13.30	3.50	10.50	2.30	11.50	4.70	13.00	5.30	12.90	4.40

† Based on each country's DHS Final Report

‡ Women: 31,079 (15-49 years of age: all version)
 Women: 14,741 (15-49 years of age: full version); 96%
 Women: 16,338 (15-49 years of age: short version); 97%

Table S3. Path from exposure to mediator. Adjusted prevalence ratios of favorable levels of the mediator between the richest and poorest participants while accounting for confounders.

Country ‡	Adjusted PR (95% Confidence Intervals) † $P(\text{mediator}) = f(\text{wealth}, \text{confounders})$							
	Mediator							
	HIV-related knowledge		Positive attitudes toward PLHIV		No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	No/single difficulty in seeking care
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female
BFA	2.04 [1.68;2.46]	2.46 [1.87;3.24]	2.43 [2.05;2.89]	2.98 [2.40;3.71]	1.56 [1.38;1.77]	3.68 [2.95;4.58]	0.93 [0.85;1.01]	1.52 [1.35;1.72]
CIV	5.20 [3.75;7.21]	5.99 [3.92;9.16]	3.18 [2.68;3.76]	4.87 [4.05;5.85]	2.01 [1.72;2.34]	3.99 [3.20;4.98]	1.06 [0.95;1.19]	2.06 [1.75;2.42]
CMR	1.95 [1.66;2.30]	2.36 [1.81;3.09]	1.96 [1.76;2.19]	2.18 [1.85;2.57]	1.89 [1.69;2.11]	4.71 [3.91;5.68]	1.38 [1.24;1.55]	2.06 [1.80;2.37]
COD	2.05 [1.55;2.70]	2.29 [1.56;3.37]	1.93 [1.57;2.37]	1.73 [1.42;2.12]	1.46 [1.27;1.69]	3.20 [2.53;4.03]	1.35 [1.22;1.49]	1.82 [1.56;2.13]
ETH	6.33 [4.83;8.30]	3.36 [2.63;4.30]	4.00 [3.27;4.90]	2.99 [2.45;3.64]	2.46 [2.06;2.94]	3.00 [2.55;3.54]	1.32 [1.20;1.46]	2.07 [1.79;2.39]
GNA	1.82 [1.25;2.65]	1.75 [1.07;2.84]	2.69 [1.71;4.23]	1.49 [0.82;2.72]	2.16 [1.76;2.64]	3.14 [2.50;3.96]	1.25 [1.10;1.42]	2.10 [1.75;2.53]
KEN	2.69 [2.37;3.05]	1.61 [1.39;1.87]	2.04 [1.91;2.18]	1.77 [1.68;1.88]	1.73 [1.63;1.84]	2.33 [2.16;2.50]	1.13 [1.10;1.16]	1.68 [1.59;1.76]
LES	2.14[1.76;2.60]	2.12 [1.42;3.17]	1.34 [1.27;1.42]	1.96 [1.74;2.20]	1.75[1.58;1.93]	1.45 [1.34;1.56]	1.03 [1.01;1.05]	1.32 [1.25;1.40]
LIB	3.93[1.42;10.89]	2.58 [1.68;3.95]	2.76 [2.20;3.45]	2.69 [2.06;3.51]	2.94 [2.31;3.73]	1.39 [1.20;1.61]	1.02 [0.98;1.07]	1.61 [1.41;1.84]

Abbreviations: PR, prevalence ratio; P, probability.

† Legend: **Statistically significant**, Not statistically significant

‡ Refer to Table S2 for full country names

Table S3 (continued). Path from exposure to mediator. Adjusted prevalence ratios of favorable levels of the mediator between the richest and poorest participants while accounting for confounders.

Adjusted PR (95% Confidence Intervals) † <i>P (mediator) = f(wealth, confounders)</i>								
Country ‡	Mediator							
	HIV-related knowledge		Positive attitudes toward PLHIV		No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	No/single difficulty in seeking care
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female
MLI	5.06 [3.34;7.66]	3.67 [2.31;5.84]	10.15 [7.34;14.04]	8.63 [6.30;11.83]	2.16 [1.88;2.48]	2.68 [2.28;3.14]	1.45 [1.30;1.61]	2.17 [1.91;2.47]
MWI	1.56 [1.43;1.70]	1.60 [1.36;1.89]	1.31 [1.27;1.35]	1.21 [1.16;1.26]	1.92 [1.74;2.12]	2.37 [2.20;2.55]	1.15 [1.12;1.19]	1.87 [1.76;2.00]
NIG	6.42 [4.11;10.03]	3.69 [2.01;6.77]	5.50 [3.61;8.36]	6.49 [4.09;10.29]	1.45 [1.25;1.69]	2.16 [1.77;2.64]	0.92 [0.83;1.03]	1.35 [1.16;1.57]
RWA	1.08 [0.98;1.18]	1.10 [0.92;1.32]	1.23 [1.19;1.28]	1.19 [1.14;1.26]	1.26 [1.19;1.33]	4.70 [4.21;5.25]	1.03 [1.02;1.05]	1.38 [1.31;1.44]
SEN	3.58 [2.89;4.44]	3.88 [2.79;5.39]	6.90 [5.90;8.06]	6.42 [5.14;8.01]	2.01 [1.84;2.20]	3.25 [2.97;3.56]	1.11 [1.08;1.14]	1.88 [1.75;2.02]
SLE	2.11 [1.52;2.94]	1.72 [0.95;3.10]	1.48 [1.19;1.83]	1.76 [1.23;2.52]	1.89 [1.61;2.23]	2.74 [1.94;3.85]	1.16 [1.05;1.27]	1.94 [1.61;2.32]
TNZ	1.68 [1.45;1.94]	1.34 [1.13;1.60]	2.25 [2.05;2.47]	2.11 [1.93;2.31]	NA	NA	NA	NA
ZBW	1.61 [1.40;1.85]	1.70 [1.42;2.05]	1.32 [1.23;1.42]	1.34 [1.24;1.44]	1.99 [1.76;2.25]	3.02 [2.67;3.41]	1.09 [1.06;1.13]	1.79 [1.64;1.95]
ZMB	2.33 [2.01;2.69]	2.22 [1.86;2.66]	1.91 [1.78;2.06]	1.59 [1.49;1.70]	1.69 [1.51;1.90]	1.43 [1.33;1.53]	1.06 [1.03;1.08]	1.35 [1.26;1.43]

Abbreviations: PR, prevalence ratio; P, probability.

† Legend: **Statistically significant**, Not statistically significant

‡ Refer to Table S2 for full country names




Figure S2. Path from mediator to outcome. Bivariate analysis of HIV testing uptake and mediators. Proportion of HIV testing uptake among the favorable and unfavorable levels of the mediator in 18 sub-Saharan African countries, stratified by gender. Refer to Table S2 for full country names.

Table S4. Path from mediator to outcome. Adjusted prevalence ratios of recent HIV testing between favorable and unfavorable levels of the mediators, while accounting for confounders.

Adjusted PR (95% Confidence Intervals) †							
<i>P (recent HIV testing) = f(mediator, confounders)</i>							
Country ‡	Mediators	HIV-related knowledge	Positive attitudes toward PLHIV	No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	No/ single difficulty in seeking care
BFA	Female	1.42 [1.29;1.57]	2.09 [1.88;2.32]	1.10 [0.98;1.23]	1.36 [1.23;1.51]	1.07 [0.94;1.22]	1.20 [1.08;1.35]
	Male	1.56 [1.35;1.82]	2.90 [2.41;3.49]	NA	NA	NA	NA
CIV	Female	1.51 [1.35;1.69]	1.58 [1.40;1.77]	1.03 [0.91;1.16]	1.18 [1.06;1.32]	0.97 [0.84;1.11]	1.05 [0.94;1.18]
	Male	1.82 [1.50;2.21]	2.27 [1.86;2.76]	NA	NA	NA	NA
CMR	Female	1.29 [1.24;1.35]	1.33 [1.26;1.41]	1.20 [1.13;1.27]	1.19 [1.13;1.25]	1.18 [1.11;1.25]	1.17 [1.11;1.24]
	Male	1.46 [1.36;1.57]	1.55 [1.41;1.70]	NA	NA	NA	NA
COD	Female	1.38 [1.21;1.57]	1.79 [1.58;2.02]	1.17 [1.01;1.35]	1.54 [1.35;1.75]	1.34 [1.15;1.56]	1.32 [1.14;1.54]
	Male	1.52 [1.25;1.86]	1.67 [1.37;2.05]	NA	NA	NA	NA
ETH	Female	1.21 [1.13;1.29]	1.54 [1.42;1.65]	1.16 [1.07;1.26]	1.20 [1.12;1.28]	1.14 [1.05;1.23]	1.19 [1.10;1.28]
	Male	1.13 [1.05;1.22]	1.37 [1.25;1.50]	NA	NA	NA	NA
GNA	Female	1.56 [1.35;1.80]	2.07 [1.73;2.46]	1.25 [1.05;1.49]	1.76 [1.52;2.04]	1.35 [1.10;1.65]	1.41 [1.18;1.69]
	Male	1.36 [1.00;1.84]	2.33 [1.75;3.09]	NA	NA	NA	NA
KEN	Female	1.10 [1.08;1.13]	1.19 [1.15;1.22]	1.09 [1.06;1.13]	1.04 [1.02;1.07]	1.06 [1.01;1.12]	1.13 [1.09;1.17]
	Male	1.12 [1.08;1.16]	1.35 [1.29;1.42]	NA	NA	NA	NA
LES	Female	1.04 [1.00;1.09]	1.11 [1.05;1.18]	1.02 [0.98;1.07]	1.01 [0.97;1.06]	0.95 [0.86;1.05]	1.01 [0.96;1.06]
	Male	1.13 [1.02;1.26]	1.45 [1.29;1.62]	NA	NA	NA	NA
LIB	Female	1.18 [0.90;1.55]	1.29 [1.18;1.42]	1.12 [1.01;1.23]	1.10 [1.00;1.21]	1.27 [1.09;1.48]	1.14 [1.03;1.26]
	Male	1.51 [1.25;1.82]	1.61 [1.36;1.91]	NA	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviations: PR, prevalence ratio; P, probability.

† Legend: **Statistically significant**, Not statistically significant

‡ Refer to Table S2 for full country names


Table S4 (continued). Path from mediator to outcome. Adjusted prevalence ratios of recent HIV testing between favorable and unfavorable levels of the mediators, while accounting for confounders.

Adjusted PR (95% Confidence Intervals) † <i>P (recent HIV testing) = f(mediator, confounders)</i>							
Country ‡	Mediators	HIV-related knowledge	Positive attitudes toward PLHIV	No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	No/ single difficulty to in seeking care
MLI	Female	1.63 [1.34;1.98]	1.91 [1.60;2.28]	1.14 [0.93;1.41]	1.21 [1.01;1.45]	1.14 [0.89;1.47]	1.19 [0.97;1.46]
	Male	1.33 [1.01;1.75]	1.58 [1.12;2.22]	NA	NA	NA	NA
MWI	Female	1.05 [1.01;1.08]	1.05 [1.01;1.09]	1.02 [0.99;1.05]	1.04 [1.01;1.07]	1.04 [0.99;1.08]	1.02 [0.99;1.05]
	Male	1.04 [0.98;1.10]	1.17 [1.08;1.27]	NA	NA	NA	NA
NIG	Female	1.36 [1.17;1.58]	1.24 [1.10;1.40]	1.02 [0.89;1.17]	1.18 [1.04;1.33]	0.91 [0.80;1.05]	1.02 [0.89;1.15]
	Male	1.61 [1.10;2.37]	1.86 [1.29;2.68]	NA	NA	NA	NA
RWA	Female	1.06 [1.02;1.11]	1.22 [1.14;1.30]	0.99 [0.93;1.04]	1.13 [1.08;1.17]	1.15 [0.99;1.35]	1.04 [0.98;1.11]
	Male	1.10 [1.03;1.18]	1.28 [1.15;1.43]	NA	NA	NA	NA
SEN	Female	1.26 [1.14;1.40]	1.42 [1.30;1.55]	1.05 [0.94;1.17]	1.20 [1.10;1.31]	1.40 [1.16;1.69]	1.17 [1.04;1.31]
	Male	1.48 [1.15;1.89]	2.23 [1.81;2.75]	NA	NA	NA	NA
SLE	Female	1.30 [1.18;1.42]	1.38 [1.27;1.51]	1.12 [1.02;1.24]	1.20 [1.10;1.31]	1.09 [0.97;1.21]	1.12 [1.02;1.22]
	Male	1.39 [1.12;1.72]	1.67 [1.35;2.07]	NA	NA	NA	NA
TNZ	Female	1.34 [1.26;1.42]	1.31 [1.23;1.39]	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Male	1.14 [1.05;1.24]	1.28 [1.17;1.39]	NA	NA	NA	NA
ZBW	Female	1.09 [1.05;1.14]	1.10 [1.05;1.16]	1.04 [0.99;1.09]	1.07 [1.02;1.11]	1.43 [1.25;1.63]	1.09 [1.04;1.15]
	Male	1.15 [1.09;1.22]	1.18 [1.09;1.27]	NA	NA	NA	NA
ZMB	Female	1.04 [1.01;1.07]	1.06 [1.03;1.09]	1.07 [1.03;1.11]	1.02 [0.98;1.06]	1.00 [0.93;1.08]	1.05 [1.00;1.09]
	Male	1.03 [0.99;1.07]	1.15 [1.10;1.20]	NA	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviations: PR, prevalence ratio; P, probability.

† Legend: **Statistically significant**, Not statistically significant

‡ Refer to Table S2 for full country names



Adjusted PR of recent HIV testing in the previous 12 months

TE of wealth on recent HIV testing, and
CDE of wealth on recent HIV testing (while setting the mediators to favorable responses)

Figure S3. Forest plot of the Total Effect and Controlled Direct Effect by mediator and gender. Refer to Table S2 for full country names.

Table S5. Proportion mediated by individual and joint mediators, stratified by gender, in 18 sub-Saharan African countries.

Country †	Gender	HIV-related knowledge	Positive attitudes toward PLHIV	Sum	Mean	Joint demand-related mediator	No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	Sum	Mean	Joint supply-related mediator
BFA	Female	4.0%	22.0%	26.0%	13.0%	13.0%	1.0%	6.0%	0.0%	6.0%	2.0%	1.0%
	Male	5.0%	22.0%	27.0%	14.0%	12.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
CIV	Female	12.0%	14.0%	26.0%	13.0%	10.0%	-1.0%	3.0%	-0.0%	2.0%	1.0%	0.0%
	Male	12.0%	24.0%	36.0%	18.0%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
CMR	Female	5.0%	3.0%	7.0%	4.0%	3.0%	1.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Male	3.0%	1.0%	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
COD	Female	0.0%	8.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%	5.0%	2.0%	7.0%	2.0%	1.0%
	Male	3.0%	5.0%	8.0%	4.0%	5.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
ETH	Female	-5.0%	0.0%	-5.0%	-3.0%	-3.0%	-6.0%	-4.0%	-2.0%	-12.0%	-4.0%	-2.0%
	Male	-2.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
GNA	Female	2.0%	10.0%	12.0%	6.0%	6.0%	2.0%	4.0%	1.0%	8.0%	3.0%	2.0%
	Male	8.0%	6.0%	13.0%	7.0%	6.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
KEN	Female	6.0%	3.0%	9.0%	4.0%	4.0%	-8.0%	-6.0%	-1.0%	-16.0%	-5.0%	-1.0%
	Male	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	2.0%	1.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
LES	Female	-5.0%	-6.0%	-12.0%	-6.0	-5.0%	6.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	5.0%	2.0%	-1.0%
	Male	2.0%	16.0%	18.0%	9.0%	1.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIB	Female	2.0%	32.0%	34.0%	17.0%	32.0%	14.0%	4.0%	1.0%	19.0%	6.0%	5.0%
	Male	7.0%	7.0%	15.0%	7.0%	7.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Refer to Table S2 for full country names.

Table S5 (continued). Proportion mediated by individual and joint mediators, stratified by gender, in 18 sub-Saharan African countries.

Country †	Gender	HIV-related knowledge	Positive attitudes toward PLHIV	Sum	Mean	Joint demand-related mediator	No distance-related problem to seek care	No money-related problem to seek care	No permission needed to seek a doctor	Sum	Mean	Joint supply-related mediator
MLI	Female	9.0%	17.0%	26.0%	13.0%	14.0%	-3.0%	-1.0%	0.0%	-4.0%	-1.0%	-1.0%
	Male	4.0%	25.0%	29.0%	15.0%	17.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
MWI	Female	3.0%	2.0%	5.0%	2.0%	2.0%	1.0%	3.0%	1.0%	5.0%	2.0%	1.0%
	Male	3.0%	7.0%	10.0%	5.0%	4.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIG	Female	5.0%	4.0%	10.0%	5.0%	7.0%	0.0%	-2.0%	0.0%	-2.0%	-1.0%	0.0%
	Male	10.0%	15.0%	26.0%	13.0%	16.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
RWA	Female	1.0%	19.0%	20.0%	10.0%	6.0%	-1.0%	48.0%	2.0%	48.0%	16.0%	14.0%
	Male	-2.0%	-11.0%	-13.0	-7.0%	-5.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEN	Female	7.0%	31.0%	39.0%	19.0	26.0%	-1.0%	7.0%	2.0%	8.0	3.0%	3.0%
	Male	9.0%	40.0%	49.0%	25.0%	28.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
SLE	Female	13.0%	10.0%	23.0%	12.0%	13.0%	6.0%	14.0%	1.0%	21.0%	7.0%	4.0%
	Male	3.0%	9.0%	12.0%	6.0%	7.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
TNZ	Female	9.0%	17.0%	26.0%	13.0%	17.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Male	-1.0%	9.00%	8.00%	4.0%	1.0%	-	-	--	-	-	-
ZBW	Female	9.0%	6.0%	15.0%	7.0%	7.0%	5.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
	Male	6.0%	4.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
ZMB	Female	5.0%	-10.0%	-6.0%	-3.0%	-3.0%	-178.0%	1.0%	0.0%	-177.0%	-59.0%	-1.0%
	Male	0.0%	4.0%	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-

† Refer to Table S2 for full country names.