

1 **Supporting Information for Peng et al., “Practical Indicators for Risk of Airborne**
2 **Transmission in Shared Indoor Environments and their Application to COVID-19**
3 **Outbreaks”**

4

5 **S1. Deviation of quanta concentration from steady state due to finite event duration**

6 In case of short events where quanta concentration does not reach steady state, a correction
7 factor, r_{ss} , can be introduced to account for the deviation of average quanta concentration (c_{avg})
8 from that at steady state (c):

9
$$r_{ss} = c_{avg} / c \tag{S1}$$

10 Under the assumption of no infectious quanta in the air at the beginning of the event, c_{avg} can be
11 easily obtained from the integration of equation (1). Details of the derivation can be found
12 elsewhere.^{1,2} For a period [0, D],

13
$$c_{avg} = E_p f_e / (V \lambda) \times (1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda D}) / (\lambda D)) \tag{S2}$$

14 Inserting equations (2) and (S2) into equations (S1) yields:

15
$$r_{ss} = 1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda D}) / (\lambda D) \tag{S3}$$

16 The value of r_{ss} as a function of λD is shown in Figure S3. r_{ss} approaches to $\lambda D/2$ when λD is
17 very small and to 1 when λD is very large, and reaches 0.6 at $\lambda D \sim 2$.

18

19 **S2. Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation for the fitting of attack rates vs. H_r**

20 We follow the standard procedure of Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation³ for the fitting of
21 attack rates vs. H_r . We assume log-normal distributions for the variables constraining H_r (r_E , r_B ,
22 D , V , and λ ; f_e and f_i are excluded as little to no mask wearing was reported for the COVID-19
23 outbreaks analyzed in this study) to ensure positive values of their samples. r_E , r_B , D , V , and λ
24 are assigned uncertainty factors of 2.5, 1.3, 1.1, 1.3, and 1.4 respectively (approximately
25 corresponding to relative uncertainties of 150%, 30%, 10%, 30%, and 40%). The last three
26 uncertainties are typical values for outbreak case studies. The uncertainty factor of 1.3 for r_B

27 mainly reflects the possible error arising from the discretization of physical intensity levels in the
28 ⁴ dataset. We assume an uncertainty factor of 2.5 for r_E because Buonanno et al.⁵ estimated the
29 uncertainty of $E_{p0} \times r_E$ for COVID-19 to be an order of magnitude and we think that r_E , a relative
30 factor that depends largely on type of activity but not on that of disease, contributes only a
31 minority of this uncertainty. Since attack rate (AR) is bounded between 0 and 100%, it does not
32 follow a log-normal distribution. We use a similar transformation as in Gans et al.⁶, i.e., $AR / (1 -$
33 $AR)$, to expand the domain of the samples from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, +\infty)$. The intermediate samples then
34 can be depicted with a log-normal distribution. We assign an uncertainty factor of 1.1 to the
35 intermediate samples. The generated samples are then reversely transformed into the AR
36 samples. When AR is small, the assigned uncertainty factor of 1.1 approximately corresponds to
37 a relative uncertainty of 10% for AR; while when AR is close to 1, this uncertainty factor reflects
38 an approximate relative uncertainty of 10% for non-attack rate, i.e., $(1 - AR)$. 10000 random
39 samples of r_E , r_B , D , V , λ , and AR are generated for each of the COVID-19 case studies in Table
40 1. A fitting can be done for one sample of r_E , r_B , D , V , λ , and AR of all those case studies,
41 yielding a sample of the fitted parameter, E_{p0} . This fitting is repeated for all 10000 samples of
42 the input parameters, giving 10000 samples of E_{p0} , apparently log-normally distributed, with 5th
43 and 95th percentiles being 8.7 and 45.4 quanta h^{-1} , respectively.

44

45

46 References

- 47 (1) Miller, S. L.; Nazaroff, W. W.; Jimenez, J. L.; Boerstra, A.; Buonanno, G.; Dancer, S. J.;
48 Kurnitski, J.; Marr, L. C.; Morawska, L.; Noakes, C. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by
49 Inhalation of Respiratory Aerosol in the Skagit Valley Chorale Superspreading Event.
50 *Indoor Air* **2021**, *31* (2), 314–323.
- 51 (2) Peng, Z.; Jimenez, J. L. Exhaled CO₂ as a COVID-19 Infection Risk Proxy for Different
52 Indoor Environments and Activities. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* **2021**.
53 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00183>.
- 54 (3) Bipm; Iec; Ifcc; Ilac; Iso; Iupac; Iupapoi. *JCGM 101: 2008 Evaluation of Measurement*
55 *Data — Supplement 1 to the “ Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement ” —*
56 *Propagation of Distributions Using a Monte Carlo Method*; 2008.

- 57 (4) EPA. Chapter 6—Inhalation Rates. In *Exposure Factors Handbook*, U.S. Environmental
58 Protection Agency, 2011.
- 59 (5) Buonanno, G.; Stabile, L.; Morawska, L. Estimation of Airborne Viral Emission: Quanta
60 Emission Rate of SARS-CoV-2 for Infection Risk Assessment. *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *141*
61 (April), 105794.
- 62 (6) Gans, B.; Peng, Z.; Carrasco, N.; Gauyacq, D.; Lebonnois, S.; Pernot, P. Impact of a New
63 Wavelength-Dependent Representation of Methane Photolysis Branching Ratios on the
64 Modeling of Titan’s Atmospheric Photochemistry. *Icarus* **2013**, *223* (1), 330–343.
- 65 (7) Buonanno, G.; Morawska, L.; Stabile, L. Quantitative Assessment of the Risk of Airborne
66 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Infection: Prospective and Retrospective Applications.
67 *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *145*, 106112.
- 68 (8) Klink, K. Trends in Mean Monthly Maximum and Minimum Surface Wind Speeds in the
69 Coterminous United States, 1961 to 1990. *Clim. Res.* **1999**, *13*, 193–205.
- 70 (9) Liu, S.; Li, R.; Wild, R. J.; Warneke, C.; de Gouw, J. A.; Brown, S. S.; Miller, S. L.; Luongo,
71 J. C.; Jimenez, J. L.; Ziemann, P. J. Contribution of Human-Related Sources to Indoor
72 Volatile Organic Compounds in a University Classroom. *Indoor Air* **2016**, *26* (6), 925–938.
- 73 (10) ASHRAE. *Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-*
74 *2019*; ANSI/ASHRAE, 2019.
- 75 (11) Davies, A.; Thompson, K.-A.; Giri, K.; Kafatos, G.; Walker, J.; Bennett, A. Testing the
76 Efficacy of Homemade Masks: Would They Protect in an Influenza Pandemic? *Disaster*
77 *Med. Public Health Prep.* **2013**, *7* (4), 413–418.
- 78 (12) Li, Y.; Qian, H.; Hang, J.; Chen, X.; Cheng, P.; Ling, H.; Wang, S.; Liang, P.; Li, J.; Xiao,
79 S.; Wei, J.; Liu, L.; Cowling, B. J.; Kang, M. Probable Airborne Transmission of SARS-CoV-
80 2 in a Poorly Ventilated Restaurant. *Build. Environ.* **2021**, *196*, 107788.
- 81 (13) Jimenez, J. L.; Peng, Z. COVID-19 Aerosol Transmission Estimator
82 <https://tinyurl.com/covid-estimator> (accessed Mar 26, 2021).

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Physical meaning</i>	<i>Unit (dimension -less if no unit indicated)</i>
B	Volumetric breathing rate of a susceptible person	m ³ h ⁻¹
B ₀	Volumetric breathing rate of a resting susceptible person	m ³ h ⁻¹
c	Virus concentration	quanta m ⁻³
c _{avg}	Average virus concentration in the air over the duration of the event	quanta m ⁻³
D	Duration of the event	h
E _p	SARS-CoV-2 exhalation rate by an infector	quanta h ⁻¹
E _{p0}	SARS-CoV-2 exhalation rate by an infector resting and only orally breathing	quanta h ⁻¹
f _e	Exhalation penetration efficiency for face covering	
f _i	Inhalation penetration efficiency for face covering	
H	Infection risk parameter, as defined in equation (11)	persons h ² m ⁻³
H'	Infection risk parameter without activity taken into account, as defined in equation (14)	persons h ² m ⁻³

H_r	Relative infection risk parameter, as defined in equation (15)	$h^2 m^{-3}$
η_i	Probability of an occupant being an infector	
λ	First-order overall rate constant of the virus infectivity loss	h^{-1}
λ_0	Ventilation rate	h^{-1}
λ_{cle}	Virus removal rate by cleaning devices	h^{-1}
λ_{dec}	Virus infectivity decay rate	h^{-1}
λ_{dep}	Deposition rate of airborne virus-containing particles onto surfaces	h^{-1}
L	Ventilation rate per susceptible person	liter s^{-1} person $^{-1}$
N	Number of occupants	
N_i	Number of infectors	
N_{sus}	Number of susceptible persons	
N_{si}	Number of secondary infections	
n	Amount of the virus infectious doses inhaled by a susceptible person in a given indoor environment	quanta
P	Probability of infection of a susceptible person conditional on the presence of an infector	
P_a	Absolute probability of infection of a susceptible person	

r_{ss}	Ratio of the average virus concentration to that at steady state	
r_B	Relative breathing rate enhancement factor (vs. B_0) for an activity	
r_E	Relative virus exhalation rate enhancement factor (vs. E_{p0}) for an activity	
V	Indoor environment volume	m^3

86

87 *Table S1: mathematical symbols used in this study*

88

89

90 (a)

Activity		Relative quanta emission rate factor
Physical intensity	Vocalization	
Resting	Oral breathing	1
	Speaking	4.7
	Loudly speaking	30.3
Standing	Oral breathing	1.2
	Speaking	5.7
	Loudly speaking	32.6
Light exercise	Oral breathing	2.8
	Speaking	13.2
	Loudly speaking	85
Moderate exercise	Oral breathing	4.3
	Speaking	20.4
	Loudly speaking	132
Heavy exercise	Oral breathing	6.8
	Speaking	31.6
	Loudly speaking	204

91

92 (b)

Age group (year)	Activity level				
	Sleep or nap	Sedentary /passive	Light intensity	Moderate intensity	High intensity
<1	0.63	0.64	1.6	2.9	5.4
1 - <2	0.94	1.0	2.5	4.4	7.9
2 - <3	0.96	1.0	2.5	4.4	8.1
3 - <6	0.90	0.94	2.3	4.4	7.7
6 - <11	0.94	1.0	2.3	4.6	8.7
11 - <16	1.0	1.1	2.7	5.2	10
16 - <21	1.0	1.1	2.5	5.4	10
21 - <31	0.90	0.88	2.5	5.4	10
31 - <41	1.0	0.89	2.5	5.6	10
41 - <51	1.0	1.0	2.7	5.8	11
51 - <61	1.1	1.0	2.7	6.0	11
61 - <71	1.1	1.0	2.5	5.4	9.8
71 - <81	1.1	1.0	2.5	5.2	9.8

≥81	1.1	1.0	2.5	5.2	10
Average	1.0	1.0	2.4	5.0	9

93

94

95 *Table S2: relative factors of (a) quanta emission and (b) volumetric breathing rates for different*

96 *activities according to refs ^{5,7} and ref ⁴, respectively. The values of relative quanta emission rate*

97 *factor for moderate exercise in (a) are interpolated as in ref ².*

Relative quanta emission factor		
Silent	1	
Speaking	5	
Shouting, singing	30	
Heavy exercise	7	
Relative breathing rate factor		
Silent	1	
Speaking	1	
Shouting, singing	1	
Heavy exercise	10	
Low occupancy	10	persons
High occupancy	100	persons
Ventilation rate		
Outdoor and well ventilated	500	ACH
Indoor and well ventilated	10	ACH
Poorly ventilated	1	ACH
Face coverings		
Exhalation filtration efficiency	50%	
Inhalation filtration efficiency	30%	
Contact time		
Short	1	h
Long	10	h
Effective volume		
Indoor	300	m ³
Outdoor	300	m ³

98

99 *Footnote: A rough estimate can be obtained as follows. To be comparable with the indoor volume, the outdoor*

100 *volume is assumed to be the same as the indoor one (10 m x 10 m x 3 m box). The outdoor ventilation rate*

101 *corresponds to the ventilation by wind passing through a horizontal dimension of the outdoor box (10 m) at 5 km h⁻¹*

102 *(~1.4 m s⁻¹, toward the low end of the monthly mean wind speed in US cities).⁸ The outdoor box dimensions and wind*

103 speed are input parameters for the table in the same format in the COVID-19 Aerosol Transmission Estimator (Figure
104 S2) for its users to more easily estimate equivalent outdoor ventilation.

105 *Table S3: values of the parameters used for computation of Table 2 in the main paper.*

106

Indoor environment type	r_E	r_B	$f_e x f_i$	D (h)	N_{sus}	V (m ³)	$\lambda_0 + \lambda_{cle}$ (h ⁻¹)	r_{ss}	H (persons h ² m ⁻³)	H_r (h ² m ⁻³)	Predicted number of secondary cases
ASHRAE standard cases											
Prison dayroom	2.8	2.4	1	8	300	5.0E+03	0.76	0.84	3.6E+00	1.2E-02	1.8E+01
	2.8	2.4	0.35	4	150	5.0E+03	3.8	0.93	7.0E-02	4.7E-04	3.8E-01
Middle school classroom	1	1.1 ^a	1	5	20	1.7E+02	2.8	0.93	2.1E-01	1.1E-02	1.1E+00
	1	1.1 ^a	0.35	2.5	10	1.7E+02	5.8	0.93	9.0E-03	9.0E-04	4.8E-02
Concert hall/theater	85	2.4	1	2	300	1.3E+04	0.49	0.36	7.0E+00	2.3E-02	3.5E+01
	85	2.4	0.35	1	150	1.3E+04	3.5	0.72	1.7E-01	1.1E-03	9.2E-01
Restaurant	4.7	1	1	1	50	2.1E+02	4.3	0.77	2.0E-01	3.9E-03	1.0E+00
	2.9 ^b	1	1 ^c	1 ^d	25	2.1E+02	7.3	0.86	4.0E-02	1.6E-03	2.1E-01
Hotel lobbies/prefunction	2.8	2.4	1	8	50	1.0E+03	0.86	0.86	2.7E+00	5.3E-02	1.2E+01
	2.8	2.4	0.35	4	25	1.0E+03	3.9	0.94	5.7E-02	2.3E-03	3.0E-01
Airport terminal /railway station	2.8	2.4	1	1	1000	1.0E+04	1.5	0.48	2.2E-01	2.2E-04	1.2E+00
	2.8	2.4	0.35	1 ^d	500	1.0E+04	4.5	0.78	2.0E-02	4.1E-05	1.1E-01
Hospital general	50 ^e	1	0.35 ^f	8	20	3.0E+02	1.6	0.92	5.3E+00	2.6E-01	1.5E+01

examination room	50 ^e	1	0.01 ^g	4	10	3.0E+02	9.0 ^h	0.97	7.2E-03	7.2E-04	3.9E-02
Library	1	1	1	2	100	3.0E+03	1.0	0.57	3.7E-02	3.7E-04	2.0E-01
	1	1	0.35	2 ^d	50	3.0E+03	4.0	0.88	2.5E-03	5.1E-05	1.4E-02
Museum/gallery	1.2	1.5	1	2	200	5.0E+03	0.66	0.44	9.7E-02	4.9E-04	5.2E-01
	1.2	1.5	0.35	2 ^d	100	5.0E+03	3.7	0.86	6.0E-03	6.0E-05	3.2E-02
Place of religious worship	30	1	1	2	100	8.3E+02	1.2	0.62	3.8E+00	3.8E-02	1.8E+01
	4.7 ⁱ	1	0.35	1	50	8.3E+02	4.2	0.76	1.8E-02	3.6E-04	9.6E-02
Mall common area	2.8	2.4	1	2	500	7.5E+03	1.1	0.59	4.9E-01	9.7E-04	2.6E+00
	2.8	2.4	0.35	1	250	7.5E+03	4.1	0.76	1.5E-02	5.8E-05	7.8E-02
Supermarket	2.8	2.4	1	8	100	7.5E+03	0.36	0.67	1.3E+00	1.3E-02	6.9E+00
	2.8	2.4	0.35	4	50	7.5E+03	3.4	0.93	1.7E-02	3.5E-04	9.2E-02
Gym, sports arena (play area)	6.8	9	1	1	100	1.4E+04	0.58	0.24	1.8E-01	1.8E-03	9.5E-01
	6.8	9	0.35	0.5	50	1.4E+04	3.6	0.53	5.6E-03	1.1E-04	3.0E-02
Other cases											
Physical education class	6.8	9	1	1	30	1.3E+03	1.9	0.56	4.1E-01	1.4E-02	2.1E+00
	6.8	9	0.35	0.5	15	1.4E+04 ^j	4.9	0.63	1.4E-03	9.6E-05	7.7E-03
Subway car ^k	1	1	1	0.33	30	1.5E+02	5.7	0.55	6.5E-03	2.2E-04	3.5E-02

	1	1	0.35	0.33 ^d	30 ^l	1.5E+02	9.3 ^m	0.69	1.7E-03	5.8E-05	9.3E-03
Large family dinner	4.7	1	1	2	12	3.0E+02	0.5	0.37	2.8E-01	2.3E-02	1.4E+00
	2.9 ^b	1	0.35	2 ^d	6	3.0E+02	3.5	0.86	9.9E-03	1.7E-03	5.3E-02
Shared office	4.7	1	1	8	2	3.4E+01	2	0.94	1.0E+00	5.2E-01	1.9E+00
	1.7 ⁿ	1	0.35	4	1	3.4E+01	5	0.95	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	6.9E-02
Large university classroom ^o	30	1	1	1	150	7.0E+02	2	0.57	1.8E+00	1.2E-02	9.5E+00
	4.7 ⁱ	1	0.35	1 ^c	60 ^p	7.0E+02	11 ^q	0.91	1.2E-02	1.9E-04	6.2E-02
University laboratory	2.8	2.4	1	8	10	2.3E+02	6	0.98	3.9E-01	3.9E-02	1.9E+00
	2.8	2.4	0.35	4	3 ^o	2.3E+02	9	0.97	1.3E-02	4.5E-03	7.1E-02
Outbreaks											
Guangzhou restaurant	9.3 ^r	1	1	1.2	20	9.7E+01	0.67	0.31	1.1E+00	5.4E-02	5.0E+00
	4.7 ^s	1	1 ^c	0.6	10	9.7E+01	3.67	0.60	4.7E-02	4.7E-03	2.5E-01
Skagit Choir	85	2.5 ^t	1	2.5	60	8.1E+02	0.7	0.53	3.0E+01	5.0E-01	5.6E+01
	85	2.5 ^t	0.35	1.3	30	8.1E+02	3.7	0.79	7.3E-01	2.4E-02	3.7E+00

108 Footnotes: ^a for sedentary teenagers; ^b half resting - oral breathing + half resting - speaking; ^c no face covering; ^d no
109 duration reduction; ^e for a coughing infector (see Footnote e of Table 1 for detail of the estimation); ^f use of surgical
110 masks for the pre-pandemic setting; ^g N95 respirators and fit tests required (resulting in f_e and f_i of 0.1) before allowed
111 indoors; ^h ventilation rate increased to 6 h^{-1} ; ⁱ reduction of vocalization level from loudly speaking to speaking (with the
112 aid of, for example, microphone); ^j use of a much larger room if the event has to be indoors; ^k real-world case; ^{2l} no
113 occupancy reduction; ^m $\lambda_{cle} = 3.6 \text{ h}^{-1}$; ⁿ 4/5 resting - oral breathing + 1/5 resting - speaking; ^o real-world case; ^{9p}
114 occupancy reduction larger than 50%; ^q ventilation rate increased to the maximum and no additional virus removal

115 applied; ^r talking during half of the time and half normal / half loud talking assumed; ^s resting - speaking; ^t light
116 intensity for 61-<71 years.


117

118 *Table S4: parameters for pre-pandemic use of various indoor spaces, and for possible lower-*
119 *risk scenarios while COVID-19 is active (rows in gray). The predicted number of secondary*
120 *cases is estimated based on the fitted trend in Figure 1b. The ventilation rates (λ_0) of the*
121 *ASHRAE standard cases correspond to the minimum requirement recommended in ref ¹⁰. The*
122 *other cases are based on real-world indoor spaces or reasonable estimation. r_E and r_B are*
123 *estimated mostly based on the typical values for all-age-group averages in Table S2. No*
124 *additional virus-removal devices or no face covering are used in the pre-pandemic cases.*
125 *Common measures for the lower-risk scenarios in this table are half occupancy, half duration,*
126 *surgical mask wearing ($f_e \times f_i = 0.35$),^{2,11} and use of additional virus-removing devices (e.g.*
127 *HEPA filter) with $\lambda_{cle} = 3 \text{ h}^{-1}$. Two literature outbreaks in Table 1, i.e. the Guangzhou restaurant¹²*
128 *and Skagit Choir¹ cases, are also shown for comparison. See footnotes for the exceptions to*
129 *these descriptions.*

130

131 **Figures**

132



133

134 *Figure S1: Attack rates of the COVID-19 outbreaks shown in Table 1 predicted according to the*
135 *fitted trend line in Figure 2b vs. actual attack rates of those outbreaks. The correlation*
136 *coefficient between the two types of attack rates and the 1:1 line are also shown.*


137

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1								
2	Estimation of COVID-19 aerosol transmission: master spreadsheet, adapt this one to your case - Default values are for Skagit Choir outbreak							
3								
4	This is a general spreadsheet applicable to any situation, under the assumptions of this model - See notes specific to this case (if applicable) at the very bottom							
5	Important inputs as highlighted in orange - change these for your situation							
6	Other, more specialized inputs are highlighted in yellow - change only for more advanced applications							
7	Calculations are not highlighted - don't change these unless you are sure you know what you are doing							
8	Results are in blue -- these are the numbers of interest for most people							
9								
10	Environmental Parameters							
11								
12		Value			Value in other units		Source / Comments	
13	Length of room	30 ft			9.2 m		Can enter as ft or as m (once entered as m, changing in ft does not work)	
14	Width of room	60 ft	=		18.3 m		Can enter as ft or as m (once entered as m, changing in ft does not work)	
15		1800 sq ft			167 m ²		Can overwrite the m ² one. If you want to enter sq ft, enter "=B15*0.305^2" in the m ² cell, where B15 is the cell w/ sq ft	
16	Height	16 ft	=		4.8 m		Can enter as ft or as m (once entered as m, changing in ft does not work)	
17	Volume				810 m ³		Volume, calculated. (Can also enter directly, then changing dimensions does not work)	
18								
19	Pressure	0.95 atm					Used only for CO ₂ calculation	
20	Temperature	20 C					Use web converter if needed for F -> C. Used for CO ₂ calculation, eventually for survival rate of virus	
21	Relative Humidity	50 %					Not yet used, but may eventually be used for survival rate of virus	
22	Background CO ₂ Outdoors	415 ppm					See readme	
23								
24	Duration of event	150 min			2.5 h		Value for your situation of interest	
25								
26	Number of repetitions of event	1 times					For e.g. multiple class meetings, multiple commutes in public transportation etc.	
27								
28	Ventilation w/ outside air	0.7 h ⁻¹					Value in h ⁻¹ : Readme . Same as "air changes per hour". Value in L/s/per to compare to guidelines (e.g. ASHRAE 62.1)	
29	Decay rate of the virus	0.62 h ⁻¹					See Readme , can estimate for a given T, RH, UV from DHS estimator	
30	Deposition to surfaces	0.3 h ⁻¹					Buonanno et al. (2020), Miller et al. (2020). Could vary 0.24-1.5 h ⁻¹ , depending on particle size range	
31	Additional control measures	0 h ⁻¹					E.g. filtering of recirc. air, HEPA air cleaner, UV disinfection, etc. See FAQs, Readme for calc for portable HEPA filter	
32	Total first order loss rate	1.62 h ⁻¹					Sum of all the first-order rates	
33								
34	Ventilation rate per person	2.6 L/s/person					This is the value of ventilation that really matters for disease transmission. Includes additional control measures	

138

139 *Figure S2: screenshot of the COVID-19 Aerosol Transmission Estimator.¹³ The top of the sheet*

140 *simulating the Skagit Valley choir outbreak is shown.*



141

142 *Figure S3: Ratio of the quanta concentration averaged over a period $[0, D]$ to that at steady*
143 *state (r_{ss}) as a function of the product of total first-order quanta loss rate constant (λ) and the*
144 *event duration (D).*

145