


Supplemental Figure 1 PCoA result. Color means high or low consumption of barley. The arrow means high barley group (n 94, aged 19-65 years, Japan, 2018).



Supplemental Figure 2 The result of network analysis. Line means correlation $|r| > 0.15$ (Kendall rank-sum tests). Colors mean community groups. Described names are those in which the difference between low barley and high barley is $P < 0.1$ (Mann-Whitney U -test) ($n = 94$, aged 19-65 years, Japan, 2018).

The bacteria described as characters are as follow; A: *Subdoligranulum*, B: *Eubacterium Hallii* group, C: *Prevotella2*, D: *Megaspheara*, E: *Roseburia*, F: *Veillonella*, G: *Lachnospiraceae* family uncultured, H: *Dorea*, I: *Ruminococcus1*, J: *Eubacterium coprostanoligenes* group.

Supplemental Table 1 Criterion of risk group of diabetes, hypertension, and dislipidemia.

Variable	<i>n</i> (Male)	Low barley (<i>n</i> 119)	High barley (<i>n</i> 117)	Criterion
Diabetes risk				
Risk 2	8 (8)	3	5	HbA1c \geq 6.5% or Fasting blood glucose \geq 126 mg/dL or Under medication
Risk 1	5 (5)	4	1	Who were not Risk 2, and Fasting blood glucose \geq 110 mg/dL
Risk 0	223 (161)	110	113	Who were neither Risk 1 nor Risk 2
Hypertension risk				
Risk 2	46 (43)	20	26	SBP \geq 140 mmHg or DBP \geq 90 mmHg or Under medication
Risk 1	20 (16)	11	9	Who were not Risk 2, and either SBP \geq 130 mgHg or DBP \geq 85 mgHg
Risk 0	170 (115)	86	84	Who were neither Risk 1 nor Risk 2
Dislipidemia risk				
Risk 2	97 (84)	46	51	TG \geq 150 mg/dL or HDL-cholesterol $<$ 40 mg/dL or LDL-cholesterol \geq 140 mg/dL or Under medication
Risk 1	31 (25)	17	14	Who were not Risk 2, and LDL-cholesterol \geq 120 mg/dL
Risk 0	108 (65)	54	54	Who were neither Risk 1 nor Risk 2

Risk 2 means disease, Risk 1 means border line, and Risk 0 means healthy participants.

Range of barley consumption; Low barley: 0-31.8 g/1000 kcal·day, High barley: 32.3-253 g/1000 kcal·day

Supplemental Table 2 Alpha-diversity, compared low barley with high barley group on cross-sectional study (aged 19-65 years in 2018, Japan).

Variable	Low barley (<i>n</i> 47)	High barley (<i>n</i> 47)	<i>P</i> value ¹⁾	<i>P</i> _{FDR} value ²⁾
	range: 0-31.8 g/1000 kcal·d Median [Interquartile range]	range: 32.3-253 g/1000 kcal·d Median [Interquartile range]		
Observed	561 [482, 649]	549 [500, 628]	0.86	0.87
Chao1	1146 [919, 1290]	1170 [971, 1419]	0.41	0.68
Shannon	3.77 [3.46, 3.95]	3.77 [3.57, 4.14]	0.38	0.68
Simpson	0.94 [0.90, 0.95]	0.94 [0.92, 0.96]	0.21	0.68
Fisher	128 [106, 156]	125 [111, 149]	0.87	0.87

¹⁾ Compared low and high barley groups using Mann–Whitney *U*-test (crude *P* value)

²⁾ Compared low and high barley groups using Mann–Whitney *U*-test adjusted with *FDR* method

Supplemental Table 3 Relative abundance(%) of the frequent 50 microbiomes (genus levels), compared low barley with high barley group on cross-sectional study (aged 19-65 years in 2018, Japan).

Genus	Low barley (<i>n</i> 47)		High barley (<i>n</i> 47)		<i>P</i> value ¹⁾	<i>P</i> _{FDR} value ²⁾
	range: 0-31.8 g/1000 kcal·d		range: 32.3-253 g/1000 kcal·d			
	Median [Interquartile range]		Median [Interquartile range]			
<i>Bacteroides</i>	31.27 [0.01, 28.98]		29.28 [1.71, 27.52]		0.50	0.79
<i>Blautia</i>	6.15 [0.78, 7.48]		5.81 [1.63, 6.67]		0.64	0.85
<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	2.73 [0.00, 5.10]		5.61 [0.00, 8.12]		0.01	0.37
<i>Faecalibacterium</i>	5.76 [0.00, 6.02]		6.08 [0.00, 6.34]		0.54	0.79
<i>Prevotella 9</i>	0.01 [0.00, 6.96]		0.00 [0.00, 3.90]		0.20	0.59
<i>Eubacterium rectale group</i>	1.10 [0.00, 2.28]		1.56 [0.00, 2.62]		0.73	0.87
<i>Parabacteroides</i>	2.28 [0.00, 2.62]		1.64 [0.00, 1.96]		0.18	0.59
<i>Subdoligranulum</i>	1.12 [0.00, 1.80]		1.83 [0.00, 2.32]		0.08	0.44
<i>Collinsella</i>	0.92 [0.00, 1.82]		1.95 [0.00, 2.20]		0.03	0.42
<i>Sutterella</i>	1.41 [0.00, 1.82]		1.43 [0.00, 2.02]		0.99	0.99
<i>Megamonas</i>	0.00 [0.00, 3.03]		0.00 [0.00, 0.76]		0.20	0.59
<i>Ruminococcus torques group</i>	1.27 [0.03, 1.67]		1.09 [0.01, 1.73]		0.36	0.72
<i>Anaerostipes</i>	0.89 [0.02, 1.28]		1.06 [0.07, 1.92]		0.0502	0.42
<i>Lachnoclostridium</i>	1.22 [0.19, 1.69]		1.23 [0.04, 1.48]		0.53	0.79
<i>Fusicatenibacter</i>	1.41 [0.00, 1.49]		1.00 [0.00, 1.40]		0.83	0.90
<i>Fusobacterium</i>	0.00 [0.00, 1.14]		0.00 [0.00, 1.65]		0.91	0.95
<i>Eubacterium hallii group</i>	0.97 [0.00, 1.28]		0.78 [0.00, 0.97]		0.60	0.83
<i>Alistipes</i>	0.21 [0.00, 0.82]		0.40 [0.00, 1.30]		0.34	0.72
<i>Lachnospira</i>	0.41 [0.00, 0.78]		0.62 [0.00, 1.10]		0.22	0.59

<i>Prevotella 2</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.34]	0.00 [0.00, 1.46]	0.99	0.99
<i>Megasphaera</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.65]	0.00 [0.00, 1.15]	0.28	0.66
<i>Roseburia</i>	0.66 [0.00, 1.04]	0.40 [0.00, 0.72]	0.18	0.59
<i>Veillonella</i>	0.03 [0.00, 1.06]	0.03 [0.00, 0.70]	0.47	0.79
<i>Phascolarctobacterium</i>	0.01 [0.00, 0.79]	0.00 [0.00, 0.70]	0.58	0.83
<i>Escherichia Shigella</i>	0.07 [0.00, 0.72]	0.05 [0.00, 0.75]	0.73	0.87
<i>Lachnospiraceae family uncultured</i>	0.49 [0.01, 0.72]	0.59 [0.00, 0.70]	0.91	0.95
<i>Alloprevotella</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.56]	0.00 [0.00, 0.74]	0.77	0.89
<i>Dorea</i>	0.40 [0.00, 0.81]	0.35 [0.00, 0.43]	0.32	0.72
<i>Ruminococcus 2</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.37]	0.01 [0.00, 0.86]	0.04	0.42
<i>Butyricicoccus</i>	0.43 [0.00, 0.46]	0.65 [0.12, 0.65]	0.00	0.09
<i>Lachnospiraceae UCG-008</i>	0.52 [0.00, 0.57]	0.32 [0.00, 0.48]	0.81	0.90
<i>Ruminococcus 1</i>	0.01 [0.00, 0.28]	0.01 [0.00, 0.69]	0.34	0.72
<i>Acidaminococcus</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.30]	0.03 [0.00, 0.66]	0.07	0.44
<i>Ruminococcaceae family uncultured</i>	0.23 [0.00, 0.42]	0.24 [0.00, 0.54]	0.64	0.85
<i>Parasutterella</i>	0.02 [0.00, 0.38]	0.02 [0.00, 0.57]	0.50	0.79
<i>Streptococcus</i>	0.12 [0.00, 0.52]	0.20 [0.00, 0.43]	0.50	0.79
<i>Mitsuokella</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.59]	0.00 [0.00, 0.35]	0.38	0.73
<i>Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.48]	0.00 [0.00, 0.28]	0.71	0.87
<i>Dialister</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.31]	0.07 [0.00, 0.43]	0.04	0.42
<i>Ruminiclostridium 5</i>	0.12 [0.00, 0.36]	0.15 [0.01, 0.32]	0.66	0.85
<i>Ruminococcaceae UCG-013</i>	0.09 [0.00, 0.27]	0.22 [0.00, 0.34]	0.06	0.44
<i>Barnesiella</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.23]	0.05 [0.00, 0.36]	0.22	0.59
<i>Ruminococcaceae UCG-002</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.17]	0.01 [0.00, 0.39]	0.19	0.59

<i>Rhodospirillaceae family uncultured</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.26]	0.00 [0.00, 0.27]	0.43	0.79
<i>Ruminococcus gauvreauii group</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.23]	0.00 [0.00, 0.25]	0.79	0.90
<i>Paraprevotella</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.31]	0.00 [0.00, 0.17]	0.20	0.59
<i>Odoribacter</i>	0.04 [0.00, 0.21]	0.10 [0.00, 0.24]	0.48	0.79
<i>Eubacterium coprostanoligenes group</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.14]	0.01 [0.00, 0.30]	0.21	0.59
<i>Bilophila</i>	0.10 [0.00, 0.18]	0.14 [0.00, 0.25]	0.24	0.60
<i>Tyzzereella 3</i>	0.00 [0.00, 0.10]	0.00 [0.00, 0.29]	0.22	0.59

¹⁾ Compared low and high barley groups using Mann–Whitney *U*-test (crude *P* value)

²⁾ Compared low and high barley groups using Mann–Whitney *U*-test adjusted with *FDR* method

Supplemental Table 4 Results of Multiple regression analysis (dichotomy and continuous) and Kendall rank correlation between barley consumption rate (g/1000 kcal·day) and intestinal microbiota on cross-sectional study (aged 19-65 years in 2018, Japan).

Genus	Multiple regression (Barley:dichotomy) ¹⁾			Multiple regression (Barley:continuous) ²⁾			Kendall rank correlation ³⁾	
	Estimate	SE	P value	Estimate	SE	P value	Estimate	P value
<i>Bacteroides</i>	-1.51	3.15	0.63	-0.06	0.04	0.57	-0.05	0.48
<i>Blautia</i>	-0.85	0.85	0.32	-0.02	0.01	0.50	-0.05	0.47
<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	2.78	1.63	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.17	0.01
<i>Faecalibacterium</i>	-0.21	1.09	0.85	-0.04	0.01	0.23	0.07	0.32
<i>Prevotella 9</i>	-2.60	2.91	0.38	-0.07	0.03	0.44	-0.09	0.27
<i>Eubacterium rectale group</i>	0.55	0.58	0.34	0.02	0.01	0.37	0.02	0.80
<i>Parabacteroides</i>	-0.65	0.39	0.10	-0.01	0.00	0.35	-0.11	0.12
<i>Subdoligranulum</i>	0.43	0.46	0.36	-0.01	0.01	0.57	0.06	0.38
<i>Collinsella</i>	0.50	0.52	0.34	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.12	0.10
<i>Sutterella</i>	0.24	0.44	0.59	-0.01	0.01	0.42	-0.03	0.67
<i>Megamonas</i>	-1.87	1.15	0.11	-0.06	0.01	0.13	-0.11	0.19
<i>Ruminococcus torques group</i>	0.16	0.41	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.94	-0.05	0.45
<i>Anaerostipes</i>	0.71	0.36	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.36	0.08	0.25
<i>Lachnoclostridium</i>	-0.17	0.27	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.96	-0.04	0.54
<i>Fusicatenibacter</i>	-0.06	0.27	0.82	-0.01	0.00	0.46	-0.02	0.80
<i>Fusobacterium</i>	0.74	0.85	0.39	0.01	0.01	0.61	-0.03	0.72
<i>Eubacterium hallii group</i>	-0.42	0.24	0.08	-0.01	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.98
<i>Alistipes</i>	0.29	0.37	0.44	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.05	0.44
<i>Lachnospira</i>	0.23	0.25	0.36	0.01	0.00	0.26	0.16	0.03
<i>Prevotella 2</i>	1.41	0.64	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.68	-0.01	0.90
<i>Megasphaera</i>	0.74	0.60	0.22	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.43
<i>Roseburia</i>	-0.40	0.22	0.08	-0.01	0.00	0.16	-0.07	0.32
<i>Veillonella</i>	-0.37	0.44	0.40	0.00	0.01	0.86	0.14	0.07

<i>Phascolarctobacterium</i>	-0.19	0.22	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.96
<i>Escherichia Shigella</i>	0.06	0.47	0.90	0.02	0.01	0.26	0.03	0.69
<i>Lachnospiraceae family uncultured</i>	0.02	0.14	0.90	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.31
<i>Alloprevotella</i>	0.18	0.56	0.75	-0.01	0.01	0.56	-0.06	0.49
<i>Dorea</i>	-0.32	0.19	0.10	-0.01	0.00	0.39	-0.06	0.36
<i>Ruminococcus 2</i>	0.45	0.23	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.15	0.05
<i>Butyricicoccus</i>	0.17	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.21	0.00
<i>Lachnospiraceae UCG-008</i>	-0.11	0.11	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.01	0.93
<i>Ruminococcus 1</i>	0.36	0.21	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.18	0.10	0.20
<i>Acidaminococcus</i>	0.37	0.20	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.82	0.08	0.29
<i>Ruminococcaceae family uncultured</i>	0.03	0.13	0.81	0.01	0.00	0.15	0.01	0.85
<i>Parasutterella</i>	0.18	0.20	0.38	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.27
<i>Streptococcus</i>	-0.11	0.17	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.07	0.32
<i>Mitsuokella</i>	-0.21	0.45	0.65	0.00	0.01	0.93	0.02	0.84
<i>Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group</i>	-0.34	0.41	0.41	-0.01	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.99
<i>Dialister</i>	0.17	0.13	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.08	0.27
<i>Ruminiclostridium 5</i>	-0.04	0.11	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.01	0.89
<i>Ruminococcaceae UCG-013</i>	0.06	0.08	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.17	0.02
<i>Barnesiella</i>	0.09	0.12	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.08	0.29
<i>Ruminococcaceae UCG-002</i>	0.14	0.13	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.07	0.38
<i>Rhodospirillaceae family uncultured</i>	0.02	0.21	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.64	-0.09	0.25
<i>Ruminococcus gausvreauii group</i>	0.01	0.11	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.38	-0.01	0.93
<i>Paraprevotella</i>	-0.15	0.13	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.86	-0.13	0.11
<i>Odoribacter</i>	0.01	0.08	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.02	0.76
<i>Eubacterium coprostanoligenes group</i>	0.13	0.10	0.21	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.38
<i>Bilophila</i>	0.05	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.06	0.40
<i>Tyzzereella 3</i>	0.19	0.13	0.16	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.04

- 1) Results of multiple regression analysis. Genus levels of microbiome relative abundance (%) were used as the dependent variable, barley consumption rate (0="Low barley group", 1="High barley group"), age (years), and sex (0="female", 1="male") were used as the independent variable. Estimate: Linear regression coefficient, SE: standard error.
- 2) Results of multiple regression analysis. Genus levels of microbiome relative abundance (%) were used as the dependent variable, barley consumption rate (g/1000 kcal·day), age (years), and sex (0="female", 1="male") were used as the independent variable. Estimate: Linear regression coefficient, SE: standard error.
- 3) Results of correlation test between barley consumption rate (g/1000 kcal·day) and genus levels of intestinal microbiota relative abundance (%) using Kendall method. Estimate: Kendall's correlation coefficient.

Supplemental Table 5 Cross-sectional relationship between barley intake group (Low = 0, High = 1) and microbiomes (*Butyricoccus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Ruminococcus 2*, and *Anaerostipes*) using multiple regression model (aged 19-65 years in 2018, Japan).

Independent variable (unit)	Dependent variable			
	<i>Butyricoccus</i>	<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	<i>Ruminococcus 2</i>	<i>Anaerostipes</i>
Model 1				
Barley group (Low = 0, High = 1)	0.165 (0.077) *	3.253 (1.727) †	0.527 (0.246) *	0.594 (0.377)
Sex (Female =0, Male = 1)	-0.061 (0.075)	-1.238 (1.691)	-0.23 (0.241)	0.328 (0.369)
Age (10 years)	-0.026 (0.034)	0.909 (0.768)	0.011 (0.109)	-0.153 (0.167)
Dietary fiber (g/1000 kcal)	0.008 (0.024)	-0.454 (0.546)	-0.073 (0.078)	0.110 (0.119)
Model 2				
Barley group (Low = 0, High = 1)	0.173 (0.075) *	2.321 (1.682)	0.601 (0.233) *	0.645 (0.369) †
Sex (Female =0, Male = 1)	-0.066 (0.074)	-1.200 (1.664)	-0.095 (0.231)	0.218 (0.365)
Age (10 years)	-0.025 (0.036)	1.174 (0.819)	-0.105 (0.113)	-0.094 (0.179)
Cereal (g/1000 kcal)	0.000 (0.001)	0.017 (0.015)	-0.005 (0.002) *	0.002 (0.003)
Model 3				
Barley group (Low = 0, High = 1)	0.165 (0.073) *	2.621 (1.663)	0.503 (0.236) *	0.675 (0.363) †
Sex (Female =0, Male = 1)	-0.063 (0.073)	-0.880 (1.657)	-0.198 (0.235)	0.265 (0.362)
Age (10 years)	-0.029 (0.035)	0.764 (0.781)	0.028 (0.111)	-0.155 (0.171)
Legumes (g/1000 kcal)	0.001 (0.002)	0.025 (0.046)	-0.008 (0.006)	0.005 (0.010)
Model 4				
Barley group (Low = 0, High = 1)	0.150 (0.077) †	2.259 (1.732)	0.487 (0.248) †	0.712 (0.380) †
Sex (Female =0, Male = 1)	-0.060 (0.073)	-0.809 (1.656)	-0.189 (0.237)	0.253 (0.363)
Age (10 years)	-0.030 (0.034)	0.723 (0.776)	0.009 (0.111)	-0.138 (0.170)
<i>Natto</i> (g/1000 kcal)	0.004 (0.004)	0.082 (0.091)	-0.006 (0.013)	-0.001 (0.020)

Following characters mean significant differences. †: $P < 0.1$, *: $P < 0.05$

Results of multiple regression analysis. Genus levels of microbiome relative abundance (%) were used as the dependent variable.

Barley consumption rate (0="Low barley group", 1="High barley group"), age (years), sex (0="female", 1="male"), and dietary factor (dietary fiber, cereal, legumes, *natto*, g/1000 kcal) were used as the independent variable.

Data are Linear regression coefficient and standard error.

Supplemental Table 6 Correlation test between barley intake and dietary custom on cross-sectional study (aged 19-65 years in 2018, Japan).

Variable	Estimate	<i>P</i> value
Healthy diet and nutrients		
Dietary fibre (g/1000 kcal·d)	0.31	<0.001
Legumes (g/1000 kcal·d)	0.15	0.03
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (g/1000 kcal·d)	0.14	0.051
Omega-3 fatty acids (g/1000 kcal·d)	0.10	0.15
Green vegetables (g/1000 kcal·d)	0.07	0.32
Calcium (mg/1000 kcal·d)	0.06	0.41
Fruits (g/1000 kcal·d)	-0.01	0.92
Milk (g/1000 kcal·d)	-0.06	0.40
Unhealthy diet and nutrients		
Red meat (g/1000 kcal·d)	-0.01	0.94
Sodium (mg/1000 kcal·d)	-0.07	0.35
Sugar-sweetened beverages (g/1000 kcal·d)	-0.10	0.14

Kendall rank correlation between barley intake (kcal/1000 kcal·d) and diet or nutrients (weight /1000 kcal·d)

Vegetables: green vegetables

Milk: include yoghurt