

ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY FILE

Perceptions towards face mask use in school children during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: the
Ciao Corona Study

Priska Ammann MSc, Agne Ulyte MD PhD, Sarah R Haile PhD, Milo A Puhan MD PhD, Susi
Kriemler MD, Thomas Radtke PhD

Supplemental Table S1 Questions related to mask wearing at Q1 (follow-up questionnaire) and Q2 (follow-up questionnaire and baseline questionnaire for new participants)

Mask-related questions	Response categories
How useful do you think it is to wear masks in public spaces (outside of school)?	1 – not at all useful, 2 – somewhat useful 3 – neither useful nor useless, 4 – quite useful, 5 – very useful
How useful do you think it is to wear masks at school?	1 – not at all useful, 2 – somewhat useful, 3 – neither useful nor useless, 4 – quite useful, 5 – very useful
How many hours a day do you wear a mask?	_____ hours per day.
How often do you change the mask?	1 – after each wearing (>1 hour), 2 – after four hours of wearing, 3 – 1-2x daily, 4 – daily, 5 – every few days to weekly, 6 – never/only when I lost it
How do you store your mask?	1 – loosely in the pant pocket/handbag/tied around the arm, 2 – in a plastic bag, 3 – in a paper bag, 4 – in a cloth bag
What type of mask are you wearing?	1 – disposable mask (purchased), 2 – cloth mask (bought), 3 – cloth mask (homemade)
Anything else you want to tell us about masks?	

Note: questions and response categories were translated from German.

Supplemental Table S2 Perceived mask usefulness at Q1 and Q2 ratings

	Q1	Q2		
	ML (N = 595)	Total (N = 1118)*	ML (N = 596)	UL (N = 522)**
Mask usefulness at school				
1 – not useful at all	91 (15%)	157 (14%)	78 (13%)	79 (15%)
2 – a little useful	107 (18%)	217 (19%)	108 (18%)	109 (21%)
3 – neither useful nor useless	40 (7%)	105 (9%)	56 (9%)	49 (10%)
4 – rather useful	216 (36%)	365 (33%)	218 (37%)	147 (28%)
5 – very useful	141 (24%)	273 (25%)	136 (23%)	137 (26%)
Mask usefulness in public				
1 – not useful at all	49 (8%)	106 (9%)	54 (9%)	52 (10%)
2 – a little useful	91 (15%)	228 (20%)	112 (19%)	116 (22%)
3 – neither useful nor useless	47 (8%)	108 (10%)	64 (11%)	44 (8%)
4 – rather useful	243 (41%)	379 (34%)	215 (36%)	164 (32%)
5 – very useful	165 (28%)	296 (27%)	151 (25%)	145 (28%)

ML: middle school level (grades 5–6) in primary school, UL: upper school level (grades 8–9) in secondary school.

* From them, 1117 children answered the question on mask usefulness at school, and 1117 on mask usefulness in public.

** From them, 521 children answered the question on mask usefulness at school, and 521 on mask usefulness in public.

Supplemental Table S3 Comparison of perceived usefulness of mask wearing at school and in public between boys and girls, and according to parents' educational attainment at Q1


	Gender		<i>p</i> -value*	Educational attainment of parents		<i>p</i> -value*
	Male <i>N</i> = 272	Female <i>N</i> = 320		Lower <i>N</i> = 230	Higher <i>N</i> = 349	
Usefulness at school						
useful	152 (56%)	203 (63%)		131 (57%)	220 (63%)	
neutral	19 (7%)	21 (7%)	0.2	15 (7%)	24 (7%)	0.3
Somewhat or not useful	101 (37%)	96 (30%)		84 (37%)	105 (30%)	
Usefulness in public						
useful	180 (66%)	227 (71%)		146 (63%)	257 (74%)	
neutral	21 (8%)	26 (8%)	0.3	23 (10%)	21 (6%)	0.026
Somewhat or not useful	71 (26%)	67 (21%)		61 (27%)	71 (20%)	

Supplemental Table S4 Mask type, storage and wear time

	Q1		Q2	
	UL (N = 595)	ML (N = 596)	UL (N = 522)	
Type of mask				
Disposable mask	411	421	429	
Fabric mask – purchased	54	62	36	
Fabric mask – self-made	3	1	2	
Combination of several mask types	127	112	55	
Storage of mask				
Pocket/around the arm	348	317	346	
Plastic bag	108	150	84	
Paper bag	41	36	21	
Fabric bag	30	25	25	
Combination of several storages	68	68	46	
Daily wear time (hours)	7.4 ± 1.4	6.3±1.9	7.4±1.9	
		ML and UL: 6.8±1.9		

ML: middle school level (grade 4-6), UL: upper school level (grade 7-9). Data are numbers (%).

Supplemental Figure S1 Comparison of perceived usefulness of mask wearing in public between boys and girls (A) and according to parents' educational attainment (B) at Q2.



The distribution of responses was statistically significantly different between boys and girls ($p = .026$, Figure S1 A), and children with parents with higher and lower educational attainment ($p = .019$, Figure S1 B).