

**Decoupling sleep and brain size in childhood:
An investigation of genetic covariation in the ABCD study**

Leanna M. Hernandez, Ph.D.¹
Minsoo Kim, B.S.^{1,2,9}
Cristian Hernandez, B.A.¹
Wesley Thompson, Ph.D.³
Chun Chieh Fan M.D., Ph.D.⁴
Adriana Galván, Ph.D.⁵
Mirella Dapretto, Ph.D.^{1,6}
Susan Y. Bookheimer, Ph.D.^{1,7}
Andrew Fuligni, Ph.D.^{1,5}
Michael Gandal, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2,8,9}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, Semel Institute, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, 695 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

²Program in Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

³Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA 92093, USA.

⁴Department of Cognitive Science, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

⁵Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

⁶Ahmanson-Lovelace Brain Mapping Center, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

⁷Staglin IMHRO Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA.

⁸Department of Neurology, Center for Autism Research and Treatment, Semel Institute, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, 695 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

⁹Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

Author Disclosures: All authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interests.

Corresponding author: Michael Gandal, MD, Ph,D, Assistant Professor, Neuroscience Research Building, 635 Charles E Young Dr S, Los Angeles, CA 90095, University of California, Los Angeles, MGandal@mednet.ucla.edu; Leanna Hernandez, PhD, Postdoctoral Fellow, Neuroscience Research Building, 635 Charles E Young Dr S, Los Angeles, CA 90095, University of California, Los Angeles, leannahernandez@ucla.edu

Methods

Subject characteristics

Demographic data obtained from the NDA included age (months), sex (male/female), parental education, family income, family ID/relationship status (i.e., an indication of subjects who are siblings), self-reported race/ethnicity, and MRI scanner serial number (Supplementary Table 1).

Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children

An insomnia score was computed for each subject by adding scores across 1) a single question that asked “How long after going to bed does your child usually fall asleep?,” for which parents could choose one of five choices ranging from “less than 15 minutes” to “more than 60 minutes”, and 2) three questions for which parents made Likert-style choices ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always/daily): “The child has difficulty getting to sleep at night”; “The child wakes up more than twice per night”; “After waking up at night, the child has difficulty to fall asleep again.” Insomnia scores thus ranged from 4-20 and did not include total sleep duration.

Structural magnetic resonance imaging

3D T1-weighted images had the following scan parameters: 3D T1-weighted images had the following scan parameters: Siemens - matrix size 256x256, 176 slices, FOV 256x256, resolution 1mmx1mmx1mm, TR 2500ms, TE 2.88ms, flip angle 8°, total scan time 7:12; Prisma - matrix size 256x256, 225 slices, FOV 256x240, resolution 1mmx1mmx1mm, TR 6.31ms, TE 2.9ms, flip angle 8°, total scan time 5:38; GE - matrix size 256x256, 208 slices, FOV 256x256, resolution 1mmx1mmx1mm, TR 2500ms, TE 2ms, flip angle 8°, total scan time 6:09.

All structural neuroimaging data were processed by the ABCD Data Analysis and Informatics Core (DAIC) and extensive documentation of image acquisition¹ and processing² methods is provided elsewhere. Briefly, quality control of sMRI images included a manual review of images for artifacts including wrap-around, missing brain due to improper slice prescription, signal drop out due to magnetic susceptibility artifacts, and motion. Tabulated ABCD sMRI data included a binary QC code for each participant (0=reject, 1=accept), which was used to remove subjects with poor quality sMRI data. Reconstruction of the cortical surface and brain segmentation was performed in FreeSurfer v5.3 (<http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>). Morphometric measurements of cortical thickness (CT), surface area (SA), and subcortical volume (VOL) were calculated in FreeSurfer using the Desikan parcellation atlas.³ Quality control of FreeSurfer data was performed

by trained reviewers based on the presence of motion, intensity inhomogeneity, white matter underestimation, pila overestimation, and the presence of magnetic susceptibility artifacts. Tabulated sMRI data included a binary FreeSurfer QC score for each participant (0=reject, 1=accept), which was used to remove subjects with poor quality data.

References

1. Casey, B. J. *et al.* The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* **32**, 43–54 (2018).
2. Hagler, D. J. *et al.* Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Neuroimage* **202**, (2019).
3. Desikan, R. S. *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* **31**, 968–980 (2006).