

Supplementary File 3 Risk of Bias

Table 1. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Study ID	SELECTION				COMPARABILITY	OUTCOME		
	Representativeness of the Exposed Cohort	Selection of the Non-Exposed Cohort	Ascertainment of Exposure	Demonstration That Outcome of Interest Was Not Present at Start of Study	Comparability of Cohorts on the Basis of the Design or Analysis	Assessment of Outcome	Was Follow-Up Long Enough for Outcomes to Occur	Adequacy of Follow Up of Cohorts
Méndez-Echevarría et al. 2020	*	/	/	*	/	/	*	*
Munoz et al. 2021	*	/	*	*	*	/	*	*
Goldman et al. 2021	*	/	*	*	*	*	*	*
García-Salido 2020	*	*	*	*	/	*	*	*
Ouldali 2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Belhadjer 2020	*	*	*	*	/	*	/	*

* means one asterisk, which have been explained in the part of the “Risk of bias assessment”

Table 2. Institute of Health Economics Scale

Study ID	Domain 1	Domain 2			Domain 3			Domain 4		Domain 5				Domain 6	Domain 7					Domain 8	
	Item1	Item2	Item3	Item4	Item5	Item6	Item7	Item8	Item9	Item10	Item11	Item12	Item13	Item14	Item15	Item16	Item17	Item18	Item19	Item20	
Sun et al. 2020	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	Yes	Partial	Yes	Partial	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

Domain 1: Study objective, including: 1. Was the hypothesis/aim/objective of the study clearly stated?; Domain 2: Study design, including: 2. Was the study conducted prospectively?; 3. Were the cases collected in more than one centre?; 4. Were patients recruited consecutively?; Domain 3: Study population, including: 5. Were the characteristics of the patients included in the study described?; 6. Were the eligibility criteria (i.e. inclusion and exclusion criteria) for entry into the study clearly stated?; 7. Did patients enter the study at a similar point in the disease?; Domain 4: Intervention and co-intervention, including: 8. Was the intervention of interest clearly described?; 9. Were additional interventions (co-interventions) clearly described?; Domain 5: Outcome measure, including: 10. Were relevant outcome measures established a priori?; 11. Were outcome assessors blinded to the intervention that patients received?;

12. Were the relevant outcomes measured using appropriate objective/subjective methods?; 13. Were the relevant outcome measures made before and after the intervention?; Domain 6: Statistical analysis, including: 14. Were the statistical tests used to assess the relevant outcomes appropriate?; Domain 7: Results and conclusions, including: 15. Was follow-up long enough for important events and outcomes to occur?; 16. Were losses to follow-up reported?; 17. Did the study provide estimates of random variability in the data analysis of relevant outcomes?; 18. Were the adverse events reported?; 19. Were the conclusions of the study supported by results?; Domain 8: Competing interests and sources of support, including: Were both competing interests and sources of support for the study reported?