

1 **Supplementary Information for:**

2
3 **Adaptable Automated Interpretation of Rapid Diagnostic Tests Using Few-Shot Learning**

4
5 Siddarth Arumugam^{1†}; Jiawei Ma^{2†}; Uzay Macar²; Guangxing Han³; Kathrine McAulay⁴; Darrell
6 Ingram⁵; Alex Ying¹; David A. M. Colburn¹; Robert Stanciu¹; Thomas Grys⁴; Shih-Fu Chang^{2,3*};

7 Samuel K. Sia^{1*}

8
9 ¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

10 ² Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

11 ³ Department of Electrical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

12 ⁴ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ 85054, USA

13 ⁵ Safe Health Systems, Inc., Los Angeles, CA 90036, USA

14
15 † These authors contributed equally to this article.

16 * Co-corresponding authors.

17
18 **Key words:** Few-shot adaptation, rapid diagnostic tests, self-supervision, COVID-19, pandemic

25 **Supplementary Tables**

26

Kit name	Designation	No. of zones per kit	Kit aspect ratio	Membrane aspect ratio	Zone aspect ratio
EcoTest	Base	3	0.29	0.21	3.23
Flowflex	New	2	0.28	0.22	2.94
DeepBlue	New	2	0.28	0.19	2.17
Jinwofu	New	2	0.30	0.21	3.03
ACON IgG/IgM	New	3	0.28	0.21	2.86
EcoTest housing 2	New	3	0.53	0.21	3.13

27

28 **Supplementary Table 1. Assay kit parameters.** Summary of manually measured parameters

29 for each of the assay kits.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

	Flowflex		DeepBlue		Jinwofu		ACON IgG/IgM		EcoTest housing 2	
	Zone	Memb.	Zone	Memb.	Zone	Memb.	Zone	Memb.	Zone	Memb.
Positive class (train)	149	50	125	25	146	50	182	63	20	5
Negative class (train)	51	50	75	75	54	50	118	37	10	5
Positive class (evaluation)	305	83	244	60	164	60	386	126	66	21
Negative class (evaluation)	143	141	124	124	44	44	193	67	6	3

50

51 **Supplementary Table 2. Dataset split of training and evaluation sets for 5 new kits.** The

52 train and evaluation dataset sizes in terms of cropped zone images and membranes for the five

53 new assay kits.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

Kit name	IOU score
Flowflex	0.92 ± 0.009
DeepBlue	0.91 ± 0.012
Jinwofu	0.91 ± 0.079
ACON IgG/IgM	0.92 ± 0.012

66

67 **Supplementary Table 3. Mean IoU scores using bootstrapping.** The mean IOU scores for
68 each of the new kit images, except for the EcoTest housing 2, was obtained by carrying out
69 bootstrap sampling and obtaining three resamples. Each sample had ten randomly chosen images
70 from a labelled pool of 30 images for training and the performance was evaluated on a fixed
71 evaluation set of ten images. Due to a limitation on the available images only a single sampling
72 was used for the EcoTest housing 2. Data is represented as mean ± standard deviation.

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

Kit name	Zone accuracy (%)	Kit accuracy (%)
Flowflex	99.6 ± 0.2	99.3 ± 0.3
DeepBlue	99.3 ± 0.2	98.6 ± 0.4
Jinwofu	99.6 ± 0.4	99.2 ± 0.7
ACON IgG/IgM	98.4 ± 0.5	95.3 ± 1.3

84

85 **Supplementary Table 4. Mean classification accuracy scores using bootstrapping.** The mean
86 accuracy values were determined by carrying out 20 trials of bootstrap sampling with 10-shot
87 adaptation (20 zone images) on the new kit’s training dataset and evaluating the performance on
88 the evaluation dataset. Data is represented as mean ± standard deviation.

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110


111

112

113

114


115 **Supplementary Figures**
116



117
118 **Supplementary Figure 1. Potential role of AutoAdapt LFA within a broader digital**
119 **platform for supporting rapid testing in decentralized settings. (a)** Step-by-step instructions
120 to carry out the test. **(b)** App guided image capture. **(c)** Image preprocessing and deep-learning
121 based classification. **(d)** Results displayed on user's phone and link to resources (sharing,
122 saving, linkage-to-care). Automatically interpreted test results can also be used with telemedicine
123 consultation. **(e)** Test results with demographic data stored on cloud server for real-time
124 surveillance and modeling. (Created with BioRender.com)

125

126




127

128 **Supplementary Figure 2. Workflow for membrane extraction and rotation**

129 **correction.** The assay kit image is passed through the instance segmentation model Mask R-
 130 CNN. The kit and membrane segmentation masks are obtained and binarized. The kit or the
 131 membrane mask is used for quadrilateral approximation and rotation estimation in the image, and
 132 the membrane mask is used to extract the membrane from the input image. The estimated
 133 rotation value is used to correct the perspective of the extracted membrane. Largest rectangle
 134 estimation is carried out to remove the black pixels in the rotation-corrected membrane to retain
 135 only the relevant pixels

136

137



138

139 **Supplementary Figure 3. Illustration of different IoU scores for membrane segmentation.**

140 Images shown are of the EcoTest (base kit) with the membrane segmentation mask overlaid on

141 the zoomed-in image of the membrane. For each membrane image, segmentation masks

142 corresponding to different IoU scores are shown with the score listed below the image.

143