

Efficacy of Proxalutamide in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Parallel- Design Clinical Trial

Table of Contents:

Additional Clinical Trial Sites Details	2
Additional Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria Details	3
Additional Randomization Procedures	5
Table S1. Group Distribution per Site.....	6
Interim Analysis and Public Disclosure, March 10th 2021.....	7
Figure S1. Randomization/recruitment timeline	7
Dosage Administration and Compliance Procedures	8
Baseline COVID-19 8-point Ordinal Scale.....	8
Table S2. Coronavirus disease 2019 8-point ordinal scale scores distribution and outcomes by baseline scores.....	9
Table S3. Recovery (coronavirus disease 2019 8-point ordinal scale scores 1 or 2, alive hospital discharge) over 14- and 28-days post-randomization stratified by city.....	10
Table S4. All-cause mortality over 28 days post-randomization stratified by city ...	11
Figure S2. Kaplan–Meier estimates from randomization to Day 28.	12
Figure S3. Graphical assessment of proportional-hazards assumption (hazard ratio over 28 days post-randomization).	13
SARS-CoV-2 Lineage Determination	14
Table S5. Sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in 44 Randomly Selected Patients.....	15
Figure S4. Violin Plots for Age, for alive hospital discharge over the first 14 days post- randomization, per treatment group.	16
Figure S5. Violin Plots for Age, for all-cause mortality over the 28 days post- randomization, per treatment group.	16
Figure S6. Violin Plots for Age, for cities, per treatment group.	17

Supplementary Appendix

Additional Clinical Trial Sites Details

12 sites were approved to recruit patients. However, recruitment of patients was rapid and 4 sites were not able to participate before the trial had reached recruitment goals.

Sites that recruited patients during the trial and number of patients randomized (n):

1. Hospital Samel, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil (99)
2. Hospital Oscar Nicolau, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil (108)
3. Hospital Prontocord, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil (188)
4. Hospital Regional José Mendes, Itacoatiara, Amazonas, Brazil (112)
5. Hospital Raimunda Francisca Dinelli da Silva, Maues, Amazonas, Brazil (12)
6. Hospital Regional Dr. Hamilton Maia Cidae, Manicore, Amazonas, Brazil (5)
7. Hospital Regional Jofre Cohen, Parintins, Amazonas, Brazil (103)
8. Hospital de Campanha de Manacapuru, Manacapuru, Amazonas, Brazil (18)

Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil: 395 patients randomized

Other cities, Amazonas, Brazil: 250 patients randomized

Sites approved to participate in the study that did not recruit patients before trial closed enrollment (no patients randomized):

9. Hospital Regional de Coari Prof. Dr. Odair Carlos Geraldo, Coari, Amazonas, Brazil
10. Hospital de Campanha de Barcelos, Barcelos, Amazonas, Brazil
11. Hospital Regional de Labrea, Labrea, Amazonas, Brazil
12. Hospital Regional de Humaitá, Humaitá, Amazonas, Brazil

Supplementary Appendix

Additional Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria Details

Inclusion Criteria

Subjects enrolled in this study were required to meet the following key acceptance criteria:

- Admitted to the hospital with symptoms of COVID-19
- Male and females age ≥ 18 years old
- Laboratory confirmed positive SARS-CoV-2 rtPCR test within 7 days prior to randomization
- Clinical status on the COVID-19 Ordinal Scale of 3, 4, 5, or 6
- Coagulation: INR $\leq 1.5 \times \text{ULN}$, and APTT $\leq 1.5 \times \text{ULN}$
- Subject (or legally authorized representative) gives written informed consent prior to performing any study procedures
- Subject (or legally authorized representative) agree that subject will not participate in another COVID-19 trial while participating in this study

Exclusion Criteria

Subjects were not to be enrolled into the study if it was determined upon pre-study examination that they met the following key criteria:

- Subject enrolled in a study to investigate a treatment for COVID-19
- Requires mechanical ventilation
- Subject taking an anti-androgen of any type including: androgen deprivation therapy, 5-alpha reductase inhibitors, etc.
- Patients who are allergic to the investigational product or similar drugs (or any excipients);
- Subjects who have malignant tumors in the past 5 years, with the exception of completely resected basal cell and squamous cell skin cancer and completely resected carcinoma in situ of any type
- Subjects with known serious cardiovascular diseases, congenital long QT syndrome, torsade de pointes, myocardial infarction in the past 6 months, or arterial thrombosis, or unstable angina pectoris, or congestive heart failure which is classified as New York Heart Association (NYHA) class 3 or higher, or left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) $< 50\%$, QTcF > 450 ms
- Subjects with uncontrolled medical conditions that could compromise participation in the study (e.g., uncontrolled hypertension, hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus)
- Known diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C, active hepatitis B, treponema pallidum (testing is not mandatory)
- Alanine Transaminase (ALT) or Aspartate Transaminase (AST) > 5 times the upper limit of normal.
- Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 30 ml/min
- Severe kidney disease requiring dialysis
- Women of child-bearing potential, defined as all women physiologically capable of becoming pregnant, unless they are using highly effective contraception, as shown below, throughout the study and for 3 months after stopping GT0918 treatment. Highly effective contraception methods include:

Supplementary Appendix

1. Total Abstinence (when this is in line with the preferred and usual lifestyle of the patient. Periodic abstinence (e.g., calendar, ovulation, symptothermal, post-ovulation methods) and withdrawal are not acceptable methods of contraception, or
 2. Use of one of the following combinations (a+b or a+c or b+c):
 - a: Use of oral, injected or implanted hormonal methods of contraception or other forms of hormonal contraception that have comparable efficacy (failure rate < 1%), for example hormone vaginal ring or transdermal hormone contraception.
 - b: Placement of an intrauterine device (IUD) or intrauterine system (IUS) ;
 - c: Barrier methods of contraception: Condom or Occlusive cap (diaphragm or cervical/vault caps) with spermicidal foam/gel/film/cream/vaginal suppository;
 3. Female sterilization (have had prior surgical bilateral oophorectomy with or without hysterectomy) or tubal ligation at least six weeks before taking study treatment. In case of oophorectomy alone, only when the reproductive status of the woman has been confirmed by follow-up hormone level assessment;
 4. Male sterilization (at least 6 months prior to screening). For female patients on the study, the vasectomized male partner should be the sole partner for that patient;
 5. In case of use of oral contraception women should have been stable for a minimum of 3 months before taking study treatment. Women are considered post-menopausal and not of child bearing potential if they have had 12 months of natural (spontaneous) amenorrhea with an appropriate clinical profile (e.g., age appropriate, history of vasomotor symptoms) or have had surgical bilateral oophorectomy (with or without hysterectomy) or tubal ligation at least six weeks ago. In the case of oophorectomy alone, only when the reproductive status of the woman has been confirmed by follow up hormone level assessment, is she considered not of child bearing potential.
- Sexually active males must use a condom during intercourse while taking the drug and for 3 months after stopping treatment and should not father a child in this period. A condom is required to be used also by vasectomized men in order to prevent delivery of the drug via seminal fluid
 - Subject likely to transfer to another hospital within the next 28 days
 - Subject (or legally authorized representative) not willing or unable to provide informed consent

Supplementary Appendix

Additional Randomization Procedures

Before the onset of the trial, a randomization table was created using a web-based randomization software (sealedenvelope.com/simple-randomiser/v1/lists) using 4, 6 and 8 block sizes and a list length for 662 treatment packages of identical appearance of either active or placebo group. A pharmacist sealed and labeled each package with a 3-character random-generated code. The packages contained a total of 6 blister packs of 7 individually sealed tablets, which accounted for a total of 42 tablets per treatment. The package instructions stated: take 3 tablets by mouth once a day for 14 consecutive days. The study was double-blinded with the identification of the group assignment known by the study monitor and the pharmacist who labeled the packages, who did not participate in dispensing the packages. Patients who were discharged before treatment day 14 had the remaining tablets dispensed as to complete the full 14-day treatment course, and were actively evaluated for compliance daily until day 14. All centers followed the same protocol.

At the three hospital sites in Manaus, the treatments were dispensed by the hospital pharmacy at random. Due to logistic difficulties in smaller remote hospitals with limited resources, and the possibility of unmonitored sharing of identical blister packs between patients, a procedure was undertaken to ensure non-sharing within the same site: boxes containing 5-50 treatment packages of the same blinded randomized treatment group were sealed for delivery to remote sites. Each box was delivered by a blinded research assistant directly to the research team at each remote site according to the local demand and enrollment capabilities. A new randomized box was delivered to the remote sites only after dispensing the previous box. The remote sites were not informed that each box contained a single arm, and were instructed to follow the protocol and register in the case report forms the individual package 3-character code dispensed for each patient. This operation procedure introduced a bias in the distribution of drug and placebo between sites. **Table S1** details the drug and placebo distribution per site and the randomization scheme used. **Figure S1** shows the enrollment over the time of the study. We do not believe the drug and placebo distribution bias impacted the overall results of the study as more proxalutamide was dispensed to the remote hospitals with less resources.

Supplementary Appendix

Table S1. Group Distribution per Site

Site	Randomization	Active	Placebo	Total
1	Pharmacy	44	144	188
2	Pharmacy	28	80	108
3	Pharmacy	25	74	99
4	Remote/Bulk	104	8	112
5	Remote/Bulk	18	0	18
6	Remote/Bulk	6	6	12
7	Remote/Bulk	5	0	5
8	Remote/Bulk	87	16	103
Total		317	328	645

Supplementary Appendix

Interim Analysis and Public Disclosure, March 10th 2021

An interim analysis was planned per protocol at 50% recruitment of the target sample size. Owing to the surge in cases experienced during the trial, however, patient recruitment was rapid and exceeded 50% before the 28-day safety assessment. Additionally, when 50% recruitment goal was achieved (Feb 14) no endpoint data was available. Accordingly, the interim analysis was performed later, in March. The preliminary results were presented to the public on March 10, 2021, in accordance with Brazilian National Research Ethics Committee guidance (National Health Council Resolution Number 466, III.2.m). At the time of the interim analysis, the majority of patients, 99%, had been randomized; only 9 additional patients were randomized after the event. **Figure S1** details the number of patients randomized to study before and after March 10th. It is noteworthy, that only 55 of the 645 patients had yet to complete the 14-day course of study intervention at the time of the public disclosure. As such, we do not believe the disclosure impacted recruitment, data collection, or interpretation of results.




Figure S1. Randomization/recruitment timeline

Supplementary Appendix

Dosage Administration and Compliance Procedures

Proxalutamide 300 mg (3 x 100 mg tablets) or matching placebo was taken orally once daily with or without food, therapy was initiated soon after randomization. Treatment compliance was monitored and recorded while the patient was hospitalized. An accurate and current accounting of the dispensing of the study drug for each subject was maintained on an ongoing basis by the Investigator or delegated personnel. The number of study drug tablets dispensed to the subject was recorded on the Investigational Product Accountability Log. Patients who were discharged before treatment day 14 had the remaining tablets dispensed as to complete the full 14-day treatment course and were actively evaluated for compliance daily until day 14. All centers followed the same protocol.

Baseline COVID-19 8-point Ordinal Scale

The protocol was amended before initiation of the trial because of prevailing disease in the participating hospitals. In the original protocol, the inclusion was limited to COVID-19 8-point ordinal scale scores 3, 4, and 5, i.e., did not include patients requiring non-invasive ventilation or high flow oxygen devices.

Prior to the start of the trial, Brazil, and particularly the state of Amazonas, experienced a surge in COVID-19 resulting in an increase in severity of cases. The majority of hospitalized patients on the days preceding the trial were on high flow oxygen devices (COVID-19 8-point ordinal scale score 6). As such, the decision was made to include score 6 on the day of the initiation of recruitment. All patients, including COVID-19 8-point ordinal scale score 6, were randomized in the same 1:1 ratio as indicated in the **Protocol**.

We did not perform randomization stratified per site as a result of batch delivery of drugs or placebo (described above). This led to an unbalance between study arms within hospital sites. In three small hospital centers the randomization strategy had to be modified due to: 1) logistic difficulties in taking the drugs to these remote hospitals and 2) limited financial resources. This was done to avoid unblinding and unmonitored sharing of blister packs among hospitals and patients. We are aware that these changes in the randomization scheme increased the risk of bias in the randomization procedure. However, in the 3 sites located in the large urban city of Manaus with higher hospital certification standards, where randomization could be done as originally planned, a lower mortality rate in both arms was observed, as well as a lower mortality risk ratio (**Table S4**).

Supplementary Appendix

Table S2. Coronavirus disease 2019 8-point ordinal scale scores distribution and outcomes by baseline scores

Characteristic	BASELINE SCORES 3-5			BASELINE SCORE 6		
	Proxalutamide N=100	Placebo N=116	<i>Risk ratio (95% CI)</i>	Proxalutamide N=217	Placebo N=212	<i>Risk ratio (95% CI)</i>
Females, no.	52	46	-	81	100	-
Males, no.	48	70	-	136	112	-
Recovery over 14 days– n (%)	89 (89.0%)	62 (53.4%)	1.67 (1.39–2.00)	169 (77.9%)	55 (25.9%)	3.00 (2.37–3.80)
Females	45 (86.5%)	22 (47.8%)	1.81 (1.32–2.49)	64 (79.0%)	29 (29.0%)	2.72 (1.97–3.78)
Males	44 (91.7%)	40 (57.1%)	1.60 (1.29–2.00)	105 (77.2%)	26 (23.2%)	3.33 (2.35–4.71)
Mortality over 28 days– n (%)	5 (5.0%)	39 (33.6%)	0.15 (0.06–0.36)	30 (13.8%)	123 (58.0%)	0.24 (0.17–0.34)
Females	1 (1.9%)	16 (34.8%)	0.06 (0.01–0.40)	11 (13.6%)	55 (55.0%)	0.25 (0.14–0.44)
Males	4 (8.3%)	23 (32.9%)	0.25 (0.09–0.69)	19 (14.0%)	68 (60.7%)	0.23 (0.14–0.36)
Hazard ratio for death over 28 days (95% CI)	0.13 (0.05-0.33)			0.16 (0.11-0.25)		
Recovery over 28 days– n (%)	93 (93.0%)	77 (66.4%)	1.40 (1.22–1.61)	178 (82.0%)	78 (36.8%)	2.23 (1.85–2.68)
Females	50 (96.2%)	30 (65.2%)	1.47 (1.19–1.83)	67 (82.7%)	41 (41.0%)	2.02 (1.56–2.60)
Males	43 (89.6%)	47 (67.1%)	1.33 (1.10–1.61)	111 (81.6%)	37 (33.0%)	2.47 (1.88–3.25)
Median hospitalization days (IQR)	7 (5-10.2)	11 (8-16)	-	9 (6-13)	12 (8-19)	-
Post-randomization time to recovery, Median days (IQR)	4 (3-6)	9 (5-13)	-	5 (4-8)	12 (7-17)	-
Day 14 Scores – median (IQR)	1 (1-1)	2 (2-8)	-	1 (1-2)	7 (2-8)	-
1. Not hospitalized, no limitations on activities –no.(%)	78 (78.0%)	24 (20.7%)	-	136 (62.7%)	8 (3.8%)	-
2. Not hospitalized, limitation on activities – no. (%)	11 (11.0%)	38 (32.8%)	-	33 (15.2%)	47 (22.2%)	-
3. Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen - no longer requires ongoing medical care – no. (%)	1 (1.0%)	5 (4.3%)	-	2 (0.9%)	4 (1.9%)	-
4. Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen, requiring ongoing medical care – no. (%)	4 (4.0%)	5 (4.3%)	-	7 (3.2%)	11 (5.2%)	-
5. Hospitalized, requiring supplemental oxygen – no. (%)	2 (2.0%)	4 (3.5%)	-	9 (4.1%)	9 (4.2%)	-
6. Hospitalized, receiving non-invasive ventilation or high flow oxygen devices – no. (%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	-	3 (1.4%)	5 (2.4%)	-
7. Hospitalized, on invasive mechanical ventilation – no. (%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (6.0%)	-	4 (1.8%)	30 (14.2%)	-
8. Death – no. (%)	4 (4.0%)	32 (27.6%)	-	23 (10.6%)	98 (46.2%)	-

Supplementary Appendix

Table S3. Recovery (coronavirus disease 2019 8-point ordinal scale scores 1 or 2, alive hospital discharge) over 14- and 28-days post-randomization stratified by city

Characteristic	Proxalutamide	Placebo	Risk ratio (95% CI)
Recovery over 14 days– no. (%)	258 (81.4)	117 (35.7)	2.28 (1.95-2.66 [P<0.001])
City			
Manaus, Amazonas	85 (87.6)	107 (35.9)	2.44 (2.06–2.89)
Manacapuru, Amazonas	18 (100.0)	-	-
Manicore, Amazonas	5 (100.0)	-	-
Maues, Amazonas	4 (66.7)	1 (16.7)	4.00 (0.61–26.1)
Itacoatiara, Amazonas	77 (74.0)	4 (50.0)	1.48 (0.73–2.99)
Parintins. Amazonas	69 (79.3)	5 (31.3)	2.54 (1.22–5.29)
Recovery over 28 days– no. (%)	35 (11.0%)	162 (49.4%)	0.22 (0.16-0.31)
City			
Manaus, Amazonas	85 (87.6)	107 (35.9)	2.44 (2.06–2.89)
Manacapuru, Amazonas	18 (100.0)	-	-
Manicore, Amazonas	5 (100.0)	-	-
Maues, Amazonas	4 (66.7)	1 (16.7)	4.00 (0.61–26.1)
Itacoatiara, Amazonas	77 (74.0)	4 (50.0)	1.48 (0.73–2.99)
Parintins. Amazonas	69 (79.3)	5 (31.3)	2.54 (1.22–5.29)

Supplementary Appendix

Table S4. All-cause mortality over 28 days post-randomization stratified by city

Characteristic	Proxalutamide	Placebo	<i>Risk ratio (95% CI)</i>
28-day all-cause mortality– no. (%)	35 (11.0)	162 (49.4)	0.22 (0.16-0.31 [P<0.001])
City			
Manaus, Amazonas	6 (6.19)	145 (48.7)	0.13 (0.06–0.28)
Manacapuru, Amazonas	0 (0.0)	-	-
Manicore, Amazonas	0 (0.0)	-	-
Maues, Amazonas	2 (33.3)	5 (83.3)	0.40 (0.12–1.31)
Itacoatiara, Amazonas	14 (13.5)	4 (50.0)	0.27 (0.12–0.63)
Parintins, Amazonas	13 (14.9)	8 (50.0)	0.30 (0.15–0.60)

Supplementary Appendix




Figure S2. Kaplan–Meier estimates from randomization to Day 28.
 Alive Hospital Discharge and Proportion Surviving by sex and baseline ordinal scale.

Supplementary Appendix




Figure S3. Graphical assessment of proportional-hazards assumption (hazard ratio over 28 days post-randomization).

Top: figure displays lines that are parallel, implying that the proportional-hazards assumption for the therapy groups has not been violated.

Bottom: This is confirmed by Kaplan-Meier versus predicted survival, where the observed values and predicted values are close together for the therapy groups.

Proportional-hazards assumption on the basis of Schoenfeld residuals revealed a global test with P value = 0.7986, therefore, we can assume the proportional hazards.

Supplementary Appendix

SARS-CoV-2 Lineage Determination

For this post hoc analysis, clinical samples from patients admitted to one of the participating centers testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 in a first RT-qPCR had their samples submitted to a second RT-qPCR performed by BiomeHub (Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil), using charite-berlin protocol. Only samples with quantification cycle (Cq) below 30 for at least one primer were processed for SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing by the BiomeHub laboratory.

To perform the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing, total RNAs were prepared as in the reference protocol ([dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.befyjbpw](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.befyjbpw)) using SuperScript IV (Invitrogen) for cDNA synthesis and Platinum Taq High Fidelity (Invitrogen) for specific viral amplicons. The cDNA obtained were subsequently used for the library preparation with Nextera Flex (Illumina) and quantified with Picogreen and Colibri Library Quantification Kit (Invitrogen). The sequencing was performed on MiSeq 150x150 runs with 500xSARS-CoV-2 coverage (50-100 mil reads/per sample).

The SARS-CoV-2 genome assembly was generated by an in-house pipeline from BiomeHub (Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil). The remotion of adapters and read trimming in 150 nt were performed with fastqtools.py, followed by the reads mapping to the reference SARS-CoV-2 genome (GenBank accession number NC_045512.2) with Bowtie v2.4.2 (additional parameters: end-to-end and very-sensitive). The mapping coverage and sequencing depth were obtained with samtools v1.11 (minimum base quality per base (Q) ≥ 30). Consensus genome sequences were then generated with bcftools mpileup (Q ≥ 30 ; depth (d) $\leq 1,000$) combined with bcftools filter (DP>50) and bcftools consensus v1.11. Finally, the identification of the SARS-CoV-2 virus lineages was performed by the Pangolin v2.3.8 web server (<https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin>).

Supplementary Appendix

Table S5. Sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in 44 Randomly Selected Patients

Sampling Date	City Center	Lineage (PANGOLIN)
18-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
18-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
18-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
18-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	B.1.1.28
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
22-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
23-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
24-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
24-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
24-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
25-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
25-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
25-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
25-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
25-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
26-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
26-Feb-2021	Manaus	P.1
01-Mar-2021	Itacoatiara	P.1
01-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
01-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
01-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
02-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
02-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
03-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
05-Mar-2021	Parintins	P.1
05-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
08-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
08-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
10-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1
10-Mar-2021	Manaus	P.1

Supplementary Appendix




Figure S4. Violin Plots for Age, for alive hospital discharge over the first 14 days post-randomization, per treatment group.




Figure S5. Violin Plots for Age, for all-cause mortality over the 28 days post-randomization, per treatment group.

Supplementary Appendix




Figure S6. Violin Plots for Age, for cities, per treatment group.