TITLE: Dysphagic disorder in a cohort of COVID-19 patients: evaluation and evolution

AUTHORS: Andrea Glotta¹, Anna Galli², Maira Biggiogero PhD³, Giovanni Bona MD³, Andrea Saporito

PD⁴, Romano Mauri MD¹, Samuele Ceruti MD¹

1. Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Critical Care Department, Via Moncucco, 10 - 6900, Lugano,

Switzerland

2. Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Physiotherapy Service, Via Moncucco, 10 - 6900, Lugano, Switzerland

3. Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Clinical Research Unit, Via Moncucco, 10 - 6900, Lugano,

Switzerland

4. Bellinzona Regional Hospital, Service of Anesthesia, Bellinzona Switzerland; Faculty of Biomedical

Sciences, University of Lugano (USI), Lugano, Switzerland; Via Ospedale 12 – 6500 Bellinzona,

Switzerland

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Corresponding author: Samuele Ceruti, MD - Department of Critical Care - Clinica Luganese Moncucco,

Via Moncucco, 10 - 6900, Lugano, Switzerland

e-mail: samuele.ceruti@moncucco.ch

Conflicts of interest: The Authors declare no conflict of interest linked to the submitted work

Funding No funding has been required for this project

ORCID Ceruti: 0000-0003-4146-3434

Key words for indexing Dysphagia, Deglutition Screening, Mechanical Ventilation, Intensive Care Units,

Tracheostomy

ABSTRACT

Background: COVID-19 is a multisystem disease complicated by respiratory failure requiring sustanined

mechanical ventilation (MV). Prolongued oro-tracheal intubation is associated to an increased risk of

dysphagia and bronchial aspiration. Purpose of this study was to investigate swallowing disorders in

critically ill COVID-19 patients.

Methods This was a retrospective study analysing a consecutive cohort of COVID-19 patients admitted to

the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of our Hospital. Data concerning dysphagia were collected according to the

Gugging Swallowing Screen (GUSS) and related to demographic characteristics, clinical data, ICU Length-

Of-Stay (LOS) and MV parameters.

Results From March 2 to April 30 2020, 31 consecutive critically ill COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU

were evaluated by speech and language therapists (SLT). Twenty-five of them were on MV (61% through

endotracheal tube and 19% through tracheostomy); median MV lenght was 11 days. Seventeen (54.8%)

patients presented dysphagia; a correlation was found between first GUSS severity stratification and MV

days (p < 0.001), ICU LOS (p < 0.001), age (p = 0.03) and tracheostomy (p = 0.042). No other correlations

were found. At 16 days, 90% of patients had fully recovered; a significant improvement was registered

especially during the first week (p < 0.001).

Conclusion Compared to non-COVID-19 patiens, a higher rate of dysphagia was reported in COVID-19

patients, with a more rapid and complete recovery. A systematic early SLT evaluation of COVID-19 patients

on MV may thus be useful to prevent dysphagia-related complications.

Keywords: Dysphagia, Deglutition Screening, Mechanical Ventilation, Intensive Care Units, Tracheostomy

2

INTRODUCTION

CoronaVirus Disease-19 (COVID-19) is a viral pneumonia caused by the novel Severe Acute Respiratory

Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Since December 2019 the disease spread quickly around the

world, currently affecting more than 115'000'000 patients worldwide 1. Invasive mechanical ventilation

(MV) is a support therapy often required by critically ill COVID-19 patients affected by acute lung injury ^{2,3}.

Since this complication is usually associated with prolonged MV, in some case mandating tracheostomy is

required 4.

A known complication of prolonged MV and tracheostomy is pharyngeal muscles dysfuntion, associated

with dysphagia ⁵ and swallowing disorders ⁶. Rather than a disease, dysphagia is a symptom, which is often

associated to several complications 7 that can potentially lead to a significant increase of both intensive care

unit (ICU) length-of-stay LOS and in overall in-hospital mortality 8. Among these, aspiration pneumonia

incidence has been reported to be 11 times greater in dysphagic compared to non-dysphagic ICU patients 9.

Clinical studies investigating the association between the duration of MV and dysphagia reports conflicting

results 5,10-13. Laryea et al 13, Ajeman et al 10 and Brodsky et al 6,11,14 found an association between dysphagia

and prolonged orotracheal intubation, while two systematic reviews by Skoretz et al 5,12 concluded that

further studies are needed in order to confirm a definitive correlation. Only one review summarizes the

available information on possible mechanisms of postintubation dysphagia in COVID-19 patients, while

very few data concerning the relationship between dysphagia and prolonged orotracheal intubation have

been reported in these patients ¹⁵.

Aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of dysphagia in critically ill COVID-19 patients,

3

describing the features of the swallowing disorders detected and the associated risk factors.

METHODS

The Canton Ticino was one of the Swiss Regions worstly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic ¹⁶; more than

1613 patients were admitted at our Hospital for this disease and more than 100 patients were admitted to the

ICU. We conducted a retrospective observational study on a consecutive cohort of patients affected by

COVID-19 requiring MV, admitted to our ICU since March 2nd to April 30th 2020. After local Ethical

Committee approval (Comitato Etico Cantonale, ref. n. CE_TI_3692), written informed consents from each

patient have been obtained before data collection. All patients were systematically evaluated by the speech

and language therapists (SLT) team. Patients who died before the first evaluation and patients not on MV

were excluded from the analysis. All collected data were reported on an electronic database.

SLT evaluation

Dysphagia assessment evaluation

In order to minimize the risk of contagion, SLT evaluations consisted of a clinical evaluation only. Among

different options availale to assess and quantify dysphagia, like the Toronto bedside swallowing screen test

¹⁷, the Massey bedside swallowing screen ¹⁸, the Daniel's test, the GUSS-ICU ¹⁹, the Disphagia Outcome and

Severity Scale 20 and the Penetration-Aspiration Scale 21, the Gugging Swallowing Screen (GUSS) 22 was

chosen. This tool resulted to be more precise compared to the other ones, as it provides a dysphagia severity

score that takes into account food texture, correlating it with the severity of the dysfuntion.

Evaluation test and timing

SLT evaluation was consisted in a preliminary assessment followed by a direct swallowing test ²². GUSS

scoring system implies 4 categories of severity, well describing the functional degree of dysphagia: severe

dysphagia (0-9 points), moderate dysphagia (10-14 points), mild dysphagia (15-19 points) and absence of

dysphagia (20 points). Starting from the first evaluation at day 0, GUSS was performed daily; after discharge

from the ICU, patients were daily re-evaluated according to GUSS scale until hospital discharge or complete

4

recovery.

SLT treatment

The evaluation was completed following the Logemann protocol procedures 23. Based on the global

evaluation (GUSS and Logemann protocol procedure), a rehabilitation programme was structured. Its aims

were to reduce the oral and pharyngeal sensitivity deficit, the delay of swallowing reflex start ^{21,24–26}, and the

glottic closure deficit ²⁷ as well as to improve laryngeal elevation motor skills ^{28,29}.

Data recording

For each patient, SLT assessments were performed at day 0, day 7, day 14, day 21 and day 28, both in ICU

and after transfer to the Internal Medicine ward. Additional demographic factors like age, body-mass index

(BMI) and comorbidities like chronic-obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), obstructive sleep apnea

syndrome, diabetes, hypertension and ischemic heart disease (IHD) were registered and reported. Evaluation

of ICU severity scores NEMS (nine equivalents of nursing manpower use score), SAPS (simplified acute

physiology score) and SOFA (sequential organ failure assessment) at ICU admission were calculated for

every patient included.MV parameters, days of MV, ICU LOS, number of pronation manouvers performed

and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) values were also recorded for all cases. Finally, complications

like ventilation-associated pneumonia (VAP), the need of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) and

venous-thromboembolism (VTE) were also registered and analyzed.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics of frequency was performed. Data were reported as number (percentage). Data

distribution was reported as mean (SD) if normally distributed, otherwise as median (IQR). Data distribution

was verified by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The relationship between GUSS values and continuous variables

was analized by linear regression. Differences between continuous variables were studied by t-test;

categorical data differences were carried out by Chi-square analysis. All statistical tests were two-tailed;

significance level was established to be < 0.05. Statistical data analysis was performed using the SPSS 26.0

5

package (SPSS Inc, USA).

RESULTS

Demographics

During the study period, 42 consecutive critically ill COVID-19 patients were admitted to our ICU; 11 of

them died and were consequently excluded from the study. Thirty-one patients were systematically evaluated

by the SLT team. Mean age was 61 years (SD 12 yrs) and 25 patients (80.6%) were male, with a mean BMI

of 29 Kg/m² (SD 4.8). At admission, median NEMS score was 34 (18 – 39), mean SAPS score was 43 (SD

18) and mean SOFA score 6 (SD 2.8) (Table 1).

Of the 31 evaluated patients, 25 (80.64%) were on invasive MV; 19 (76%) were ventilated via an

endotracheal tube and 6 (24%) underwent tracheostomy at some point, due to a prolonged MV. Median ICU

LOS was 13 days (9-11) and median MV days were 11 (7.5-16). Patients ventilated via an endotracheal tube

presented a mean MV days of 11.5 (SD 7.7) while patients undergoing tracheostomy presented a mean MV

days of 20.5 (SD 11.6). In order to verify if tracheostomy has been determined by the previous MV days, a

two-tailed T-test has been performed. No significant difference was found between MV days in patients

ventilated via an endotracheal tube and MV days in patients ventilated via tracheostomy (t-test 1.780, p =

0.122).

Ten (32.25%) patients presented complications, such as VAP (4–12.9%), acute kidney injury requiring

CRRT (4–12.9 %) and VTE (4–12.9%); 2 patients showed more than one of these complications. At the first

SLT evaluation, median GUSS was 19 (12-20), with a mean of 15. Fourteen (45.2%) patients presented no

dysphagia (GUSS equal to 20), 5 (16.1%) had the criteria for mild dysphagia (GUSS 15-19), 6 (19.4%) for

moderate dysphagia (GUSS 10-14) and 6 (19.4%) for severe dysphagia (GUSS less than 9).

GUSS scores correlations

On day 0, GUSS values showed an inverse correlation with MV duration ($r^2 = 0.616$, p < 0.001), ICU LOS

 $(r^2 = 0.558, p < 0.001)$, age $(r^2 = 0.392, p = 0.03)$ and tracheostomy (p = 0.04) (Figure 1, Table 2). No

correlation was found between GUSS values and other variables, such as sex (p = 0.407), BMI (p = 0.67),

NEMS at admission (p = 0.77), SAPS at admission (p = 0.52), SOFA at admission (p = 0.45), number of

pronation maneuvers performed (p = 0.98) and initial PEEP (p = 0.35). Similarly, no correlation was found

between GUSS values registred at day 0 and comorbidities (COPD p = 0.06, OSA p = 0.6, diabetes p = 0.20,

6

arterial hypertension p = 0.48, ischemic heart disease p = 0.72) or incidence of clinical complications (VAP p

= 0.14, CRRT p = 0.72, VTE p = 0.59) (Table 2).

GUSS scores evolution

At day 7 since first SLT assessment, all patients' GUSS score improved; GUSS score stratification identified

2 (6.5%) patients with severe dysphagia, 2 (6.5%) with moderate dysphagia, 4 (12.9%) with mild dysphagia

and 23 (74.2%) without dysphagia. This score evolution resulted statistically different (p < 0.001) from the

baseline at day 0. Severe, moderate, mild and no dysphagia evolution showed a prevalence of 0%, 3.2%,

9.7%, 87.1% respectively at day 14 (p = 0.01), a prevalence of 0%, 3.2%, 6.5%, 90.3% respectively at day

21 and of 0%, 3.2%, 0, 96.8% respectively at day 28 (Figure 2). According to GUSS evaluation, all patients

showed a progressive improvement throughout the days; at day 12 severe forms of dysphagia were no longer

registered; moreover, at day 16 90% of patients fully recovered from swallowing disorder, with a GUSS of

20 (Figure 3, e-Figure 2).

At the end of the study, 16 (52%) patients were discharged at home, 12 (38%) were transferred in post-acute

rehabilitation institutions, 1 (3.3%) was transferred to other hospitals, 1 (3.3%) died and 1 (3.3%) was still

hospitalized. At hospital discharge 7 (22.5%) patients still presented a mild degree of dysphagia (GUSS

between 15 and 19); all of these patients were transferred to rehabilitation facilities.

DISCUSSION

Critically ill COVID-19 patients usually require a prolonged MV and a long ICU stay, conditions associated

to a high mortality rate 30,31. Moreover, even in case of survival, important morbidities like dysphagia can

occur, with a possible severe impact on quality of life ^{32,33}. The aim of the study was the assessment of both

prevalence and degree of dysphagia in critically ill COVID-19 patients, with the intention to give a valuable

7

insight into this condition, which may affect the clinical outcome of these patients.

Following a specific 'care map' procedure, patients included in this study presented a shorter MV and lower

PEEP requirements, compared to other groups reported in literature ^{34,35}. Despite this, our cohort showed a

relevant prevalence of swallowing disorder, with more than half of patients (55%) with a certain degree of

dysphagia at the first evaluation. In patients ventilated for more than 10 days, prevalence of dysphagia was

even higher, up to 95.5%. According to literature, in non-COVID-19 patients ^{7,36,37}, severe dysphagia seems

to be strictly correlated with MV length and ICU LOS. In our study, we reported for the first time data on

dysphagia in COVID-19 patients, who seem to be burdened by a higher incidence of dysphagia already at a

very early stage, when compared to other patients, as seen in the studies of Kim et al ⁷, Oliveira et al ³⁶ and

Yang et al. 37. This may be explained by the strong inflammatory response induced by SARS-CoV-2 and by

its consequent systemic effects, potentially triggering a severe generalized neuromuscular impairment also

involving pharyngeal muscles.

This hypothesis is further supported by the absence of a correlation between the ICU admission severity

scores (SAPS, SOFA, NEMS) and the dysphagic disorders degree registered at the first SLT evaluation. This

data would underscore the importance of all MV-related features as predictives for dysphagia incidence ^{38,39};

at the same time, MV features could represent the severity of COVID-19 disease. Patients' age was the only

element identifiable at ICU admission that acted as predictive factor for a stronger and faster loss of muscle

activities.

Even if the prevalence of dysphagia was high, the functional recovery appeared to be relatively fast, with a

complete regresion of all severe cases of dysphagia after 12 days since the beginning of SLT assessment.

The recovery time reported in non-COVID-19 patients is longer ^{14,40}. These data, if confirmed by further

appropriately designed studies, may suggest that SLT plays a role in COVID-19 patients global

management; this is further suggested by data showing a possible shortening of the recovery time and an

increase in the probability of swallowing disorders regression when SLT is implemented ^{41,42}.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, it is a single center observational study; further studies will be

necessary to confirm these preliminary data. Secondly, due to the COVID-19 emergency situation, it was not

8

possible to determine a control group; we decided therefore to compare our data with the available literature.

Thirdly, it was not possible to compare GUSS scale between different SLT teams, potentially leading to the

presence of bias due to a single specialist evaluation. Finally, it was not possible to quantify the SLT's work

and its impact in patients' dysphagia management.

CONCLUSION

Critically ill COVID-19 patients presented a higher incidence of swallowing disorder than reported in non-

COVID-19 patients; severe inflammatory dysregulation could explain the increased rate of pharyngeal

neuromuscular impairment. Despite high dysphagia prevalence and severity, a short recovery period was

reported. Finally, SLT could play a relevant role in critically ill COVID-19 patients' multidisciplinary

management.

Authors' contributions: AG, AG, GT and CM contributed to study design and data collection. AG, AG,

MB, GT, CM, SC contributed to data analysis and interpretation. AG, AG, MB, AS, RM, XC, SC contibuted

to write the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: The Authors declare no conflict of interest linked to the submitted work

9

Funding: No funding has been required for this project

REFERENCES

- John Hopkins University and Medicine. COVID-19 Map Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. John Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (2020, accessed 14 May 2020).
- 2. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: The Berlin definition. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc* 2012; 307: 2526–2533.
- Alhazzani W, M
 øller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Intensive Care Med* 2020; 2019: 854–887.
- 4. McGrath BA, Brenner MJ, Warrillow SJ, et al. Tracheostomy in the COVID-19 era: global and multidisciplinary guidance. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 2600: 1–8.
- 5. Skoretz SA, Anger N, Wellman L, et al. A Systematic Review of Tracheostomy Modifications and Swallowing in Adults. *Dysphagia*. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s00455-020-10115-0.
- 6. Brodsky MB, González-Fernández M, Mendez-Tellez PA, et al. Factors associated with swallowing assessment after oral endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation for acute lung injury. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014; 11: 1545–1552.
- 7. Kim MJ, Park YH, Park YS, et al. Associations between prolonged intubation and developing post-extubation dysphagia and aspiration pneumonia in non-neurologic critically ill patients. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2015; 39: 763–771.
- 8. Singh S, Hamdy S. Dysphagia in stroke patients. *Postgrad Med J* 2006; 82: 383–391.
- 9. Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, et al. Dysphagia after stroke: Incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. *Stroke* 2005; 36: 2756–2763.
- Ajemian MS, Nirmul GB, Anderson MT, et al. Routine fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing following prolonged intubation: Implications for management. *Arch Surg*. Epub ahead of print 2001. DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.136.4.434.
- 11. Brodsky MB, Gellar JE, Dinglas VD, et al. Duration of oral endotracheal intubation is associated with dysphagia symptoms in acute lung injury patients. *J Crit Care* 2014; 29: 574–579.
- 12. Skoretz SA, Flowers HL, Martino R. The incidence of dysphagia following endotracheal intubation a

- systematic review. Chest 2010; 137: 665-673.
- Laryea JA, Ajemian MS. Risk factors for prolonged swallowing dysfunction following prolonged endotracheal intubation. *Chest* 2006; 130: 207S.
- Brodsky MB, Huang M, Shanholtz C, et al. Recovery from dysphagia symptoms after oral endotracheal intubation in acute respiratory distress syndrome survivors: A 5-year longitudinal study.
 Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017; 14: 376–383.
- 15. Frajkova Z, Tedla M, Tedlova E, et al. Postintubation Dysphagia During COVID-19 Outbreak-Contemporary Review. *Dysphagia* 2020; 35: 549–557.
- Covid-19 Schweiz | Coronavirus | Dashboard,
 https://www.covid19.admin.ch/de/overview?ovTime=total (accessed 4 March 2021).
- 17. Martino R, Silver F, Teasell R, et al. The toronto bedside swallowing screening test (TOR-BSST) development and validation of a dysphagia screening tool for patients with stroke. *Stroke*. Epub ahead of print 2009. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.510370.
- Massey R, Jedlicka D. The Massey Bedside Swallowing Screen. J Neurosci Nurs. Epub ahead of print 2002. DOI: 10.1097/01376517-200210000-00005.
- 19. Christensen M, Trapl M. Development of a modified swallowing screening tool to manage post-extubation dysphagia. *Nurs Crit Care*. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI: 10.1111/nicc.12333.
- 20. O'Neil KH, Purdy M, Falk J, et al. The dysphagia outcome and severity scale. *Dysphagia* 1999; 14: 139–145.
- 21. Rosenbek JC, Robbins JA, Roecker EB, et al. A penetration-aspiration scale. *Dysphagia* 1996; 11: 93–98.
- 22. Trapl M, Enderle P, Nowotny M, et al. Dysphagia bedside screening for acute-stroke patients: The gugging swallowing screen. *Stroke*. Epub ahead of print 2007. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.483933.
- 23. Logemann JA, Veis S, Colangelo L. A screening procedure for oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Dysphagia* 1999; 14: 44–51.
- 24. Kaatzke-McDonald MN, Post E, Davis PJ. The effects of cold, touch, and chemical stimulation of the anterior faucial pillar on human swallowing. *Dysphagia* 1996; 11: 198–206.

- 25. Hamdy S, Jilani S, Price V, et al. Modulation of human swallowing behaviour by thermal and chemical stimulation in health and after brain injury. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2003; 15: 69–77.
- 26. Regan J, Walshe M, Tobin WO. Immediate effects of thermal-tactile stimulation on timing of swallow in idiopathic parkinson's disease. *Dysphagia* 2010; 25: 207–215.
- Angadi V, Dressler E, Stemple J. A Multidimensional Study of Vocal Function Following Radiation
 Therapy for Laryngeal Cancers. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2017; 126: 483–492.
- 28. Logemann JA. Manual for the videofluorographic study of swallowing. 2° Edition,

 https://books.google.ch/books/about/Manual_for_the_Videofluorographic_Study.html?id=N_MLAQ

 AAMAAJ&redir_esc=y (1993, accessed 30 December 2020).
- Logemann JA. Evidence-based practice. 1993. Epub ahead of print 1993. DOI: 10.1080/14417040410001708585.
- 30. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8: 475–481.
- 31. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu YYHY, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382: 1708–1720.
- 32. Vesey S. Dysphagia and quality of life. *Br J Community Nurs*; 18. Epub ahead of print 16 May 2013. DOI: 10.12968/bjcn.2013.18.sup5.s14.
- 33. Jones E, Speyer R, Kertscher B, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life and Oropharyngeal Dysphagia: A Systematic Review. *Dysphagia* 2018; 33: 141–172.
- 34. Ceruti S, Roncador M, Giè O, et al. Reduced mortality and shortened ICU stay in SARS-COV-2 patients □: a low PEEP strategy. *medRxiv* 2020; 1–20.
- 35. Dawson C, Capewell R, Ellis S, et al. Dysphagia presentation and management following coronavirus disease 2019: An acute care tertiary centre experience. *J Laryngol Otol* 2020; 134: 981–986.
- 36. Oliveira ACM de, Friche AA de L, Salomão MS, et al. Predictive factors for oropharyngeal dysphagia after prolonged orotracheal intubation. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol* 2018; 84: 722–728.
- 37. Yang WJ, Park E, Min YS, et al. Association between clinical risk factors and severity of dysphagia after extubation based on a videofluoroscopic swallowing study. *Korean J Intern Med* 2020; 35: 79–

87.

- 38. Bonanno PC. Swallowing dysfunction after tracheostomy. Ann Surg 1971; 174: 29–33.
- 39. Davis LA, Thompson Stanton S. Characteristics of Dysphagia in Elderly Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation. *Dysphagia* 2004; 19: 7–14.
- 40. DeVita MA, Spierer-Rundback L. Swallowing disorders in patients with prolonged orotracheal intubation or tracheostomy tubes. *Crit Care Med* 1990; 18: 1328–1330.
- 41. Moraes DP, Sassi FC, Mangilli LD, et al. Clinical prognostic indicators of dysphagia following prolonged orotracheal intubation in ICU patients. *Crit Care*; 17. Epub ahead of print 2013. DOI: 10.1186/cc13069.
- 42. Brodsky MB, Gilbert RJ. The Long-Term Effects of COVID-19 on Dysphagia Evaluation and Treatment. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2020; 101: 1662–1664.

TABLES

TABLE 1: Demographics

	Characteristics	Enrolled $(n = 31)$	
Demographics	Age	61 (29 – 76, 12)	
	Male	25 (80.6%)	
	BMI	29 (20 – 41, 4.8)	
Comorbidities	COPD	1 (3.2%)	
	OSA	4 (12.9%)	
	Diabetes	10 (32.3%)	
	Hypertension	13 (41.9%)	
	IHD	4 (12.9%)	
Severity score at ICU admission	NEMS	34 (18 – 39)	
	SAPS	43 (13 – 94, 18)	
	SOFA	6(0-11,2.8)	
Complication	VAP	4 (12.9%)	
	CRRT	4 (12.9%)	
	VTE	4 (12.9%)	
MV parameters	Patients on MV	25 (80.64%)	
	 Endotracheal tube 	19 (76%)	
	 Tracheostomy 	6 (24%)	
	Pronation maneuvers	3 (0 – 8, 2.6)	
	PEEP	10 (19 – 15)	
Outcomes	ICU LOS	13 (11 – 19)	
	MV Days	11 (7.5 – 16)	

Demographic characteristics at ICU admission. Data are presented as means (min-max, SD) or medians (IQR) for non-normally distributed variables.

TABLE 2: SLT correlations at day 0

	Variable	Correlation	Chi-square	P value
Correlation between GUSS at day 0 and	Age	0.39	-	0.03*
	Sex	-	8.27	0.41
	BMI	0.08	-	0.67
	COPD	-	14.98	0.06
	OSA	-	6.42	0.60
	Diabetes	-	10.95	0.20
	Hypertension	-	7.53	0.48
	IHD	-	5.36	0.72
	NEMS score	0.05	-	0.77
	SAPS Score	0.12	-	0.52
	SOFA Score	0.14	-	0.45
	MV days	0.78	-	< 0.001*
	ICU LOS	0.78	-	< 0.001*
	VAP	-	12.35	0.14
	CRRT	-	5.36	0.72
	VTE	-	13.99	0.59
	Pronation	0.004	-	0.98
	PEEP	0.17	-	0.35
	Tracheostomy	-	16.05	0.04*

Correlation between GUSS evaluation at day 0 and demographics characteristics. Significant correlation with p-value < 0.05

FIGURES LEGEND

Fig. 1 Linear regression between first SLT evaluation (day 0) and of MV days ($r^2 = 0.616$).

Fig. 2 Temporal evolution of dysphagia according to GUSS value, stratified into four semi-quantitative groups at different evaluation timepoints. GUSS evolution between day 0 and 7 and between day 7 and 14 were statistically significant (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.01 respectively).

Fig. 3 Improvement in patients swallowing disorder (GUSS = 20, no dysphagia) from first SLT evaluation at day 0 up to day 31 of follow up.





