

Visible and real dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine in the spring of 2021

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Zheliabova 8/4, UA-03680
Kyiv, Ukraine

National Technical University of Ukraine “Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute”,
Prosp. Peremohy 37, UA-03056, Kyiv, Ukraine.
inesteruk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The visible and real sizes the last COVID-19 epidemic wave in Ukraine, estimated in March 2021 with the use of generalized SIR-model, are compared with number of cases registered in the spring of 2021. We have used the optimal value of the visibility coefficient in order to estimate the real numbers of accumulated cases, real daily numbers of new cases and real number of infectious persons. The results show that the latest prediction for Ukraine is in rather good agreement with observations, but the daily number of new cases decreases more slowly than theoretical predictions. The large real number of infectious people threatens the emergence of new strains of coronavirus and the beginning of new epidemic waves.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, epidemic dynamics in Ukraine, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

Introduction

The studies of the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics are complicated by a very large percentage of asymptomatic patients who are not registered by medical statistics. For Ukraine, different simulation and comparison methods were based on official accumulated number of laboratory-confirmed cases [1, 2] (these figures coincided with the official WHO data sets [3], but WHO stopped to provide the daily information in August 2020). In particular, the classical SIR model [4-6] was used in [7, 8]. The weakening of quarantine restrictions, changes in the social behavior and the coronavirus mutations caused changes in the epidemic dynamics and corresponding parameters of models. To detect and simulate these new epidemic waves, a simple method of numerical differentiations of the smoothed number of cases and generalized SIR-model were proposed and used in [8-10]. In particular, nine epidemic waves in Ukraine were calculated [8-10]. Since the number of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases is much higher than the real one [11-16], the identification algorithm for SIR-parameters [17] was modified in [18, 19] in order to assess the extent of data incompleteness and determine the true sizes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Ukraine and in Qatar [20]. In this paper we will compare the

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

predictions proposed in March 2021 in preprint [18] with the official pandemic dynamics in Ukraine in

March-June 2021. The visible and real dimensions of the pandemic in Ukraine will be estimated and discussed.

Data

We will use the data set regarding the accumulated numbers of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases in Ukraine from national sources [1, 2]. The corresponding numbers V_j and moments of time t_j (measured in days) are shown in Table 1. The values V_j for the period March 11-24, 2021 have been used in [18,19] for SIR simulations of the tenth epidemic wave in Ukraine. Other values will be used to control the accuracy of predictions and pandemic dynamics.

Day in March 2021	Number of cases, V_j	Day in April 2021	Number of cases, V_j	Day in May 2021	Number of cases, V_j	Day in June 2021	Number of cases, V_j
1	1357470	1	1711630	1	2083180	1	2206836
2	1364705	2	1731971	2	2085938	2	2209417
3	1374762	3	1745709	3	2088410	3	2211683
4	1384917	4	1755888	4	2090986	4	2213580
5	1394061	5	1769164	5	2097024	5	2214517
6	1401228	6	1784579	6	2105428	6	2215052
7	1406800	7	1803998	7	2114138	7	2216654
8	1410061	8	1823674	8	2119510	8	2218039
9	1416438	9	1841137	9	2122327	9	2219824
10	1425522	10	1853249	10	2124535	-	-
11	1438468	11	1861105	11	2129073		
12	1451744	12	1872785	12	2135886		
13	1460756	13	1887338	13	2143448		
14	1467548	14	1903765	14	2150244		
15	1477190	15	1921244	15	2153864		
16	1489023	16	1936228	16	2156000		
17	1504076	17	1946510	17	2160095		
18	1519926	18	1953016	18	2165233		
19	1535218	19	1961956	19	2170398		
20	1546363	20	1974118	20	2175382		
21	1554256	21	1990353	21	2179988		
22	1565732	22	2004630	22	2182521		
23	1579906	23	2017341	23	2183855		
24	1596575	24	2025271	24	2186463		
25	1614707	25	2030333	25	2189858		
26	1632131	26	2038248	26	2193367		
27	1644063	27	2047838	27	2196673		
28	1652409	28	2059465	28	2199769		
29	1662942	29	2069537	29	2201472		
30	1674168	30	2078086	30	2202494		
31	1691737	-	-	31	2204631		

Table 1. Cumulative numbers of laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 cases in Ukraine V_j according to the national statistics, [1, 2].

Generalized SIR model and parameter identification procedure

In [18, 19] the generalized SIR-model and the exact solution of the set of non-linear differential equations relating the number of susceptible S , infectious I and removed persons R was used (see, e.g., [21]). This solution uses the function

$$V(t) = I(t) + R(t), \quad (1)$$

corresponding to the number of victims or the cumulative confirmed number of cases. Its derivative:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI \quad (2)$$

yields the estimation of the average daily number of new cases. When the registered number of victims V_j is a random realization of its theoretical dependence (1), the exact solution presented in [21] depends on five parameters (α_i is one of them). The details of the optimization procedure for their identification can be found in [17].

If we assume, that data set V_j is incomplete and there is a constant coefficient $\beta_i \geq 1$, relating the registered and real number of cases during the i -th epidemic wave:

$$V(t_j) \cong \beta_i V_j, \quad (3)$$

the number of unknown parameters increases by one. The values V_j , corresponding to the moments of time t_j from the period March 11-24, 2021 have been used in [18,19] to find the optimal values of these parameters corresponding to the tenth epidemic wave in Ukraine. In particular, the optimal value of the visibility coefficient $\beta_{10}=3.7$ was calculated.

Monitoring changes in epidemic parameters and selection of epidemic waves

Changes in the epidemic conditions (in particular, the peculiarities of quarantine and its violation, situations with testing and isolation of patients, emergence of new strains of the pathogen) cause the changes in its dynamics, i.e. so known epidemic waves. To control these changes we can use daily or weekly numbers of new cases and their derivatives (see, e.g., [8, 10, 22]). Since these values are random, we need some smoothing (especially for daily amounts, which are also characterized by some weekly periodicity). For example, we can use the smoothed daily number of accumulated cases:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j, \quad (4)$$

The first and second derivatives can be estimated with the use of following formulas:

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{V}}{dt} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}) \quad (5)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^2\bar{V}}{dt^2} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \bar{V}_{i+1} - 2\bar{V}_i + \bar{V}_{i-1} \quad (6)$$

Fig. 1 illustrates the application of formulae (4)-(6) for the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine in the spring and the summer of 2020, [10].




Fig. 1. Epidemic dynamics in Ukraine in the spring and the summer of 2020, [10].

Accumulated number of cases (blue markers and line, eq. (4)). Red markers represent first derivative (eq. (5)) multiplied by 100, black one show the second derivative (eq. (6)) multiplied by 1000.

Results and Discussion

The results of SIR simulation performed in [18, 19] are shown in Fig. 2. Blue lines represent the estimations of the real sizes of the tenth COVID-19 in Ukraine for the optimal value $\beta_{10}=3.7$ in relationship (3): $V(t)=I(t)+R(t)$ – solid; dashed one represents the number of infectious persons

multiplied by 5, i.e. $I(t) \times 5$; dotted line shows the derivative $(dV/dt) \times 100$ calculated with the use of formula (2). Black solid line shows the “visible” dependence $V(t) = I(t) + R(t)$ calculated in [18,19] with the use of assumption that $\beta_{10} = 1$. Red “circles” and “stars” correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases registered during the period of time taken for SIR simulations (March 11-24, 2021) and beyond this time period, respectively.




Fig. 2. Real and visible COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine in the spring of 2021.

The results of SIR simulations of the tenth wave at the optimal value $\beta_{10} = 3.7$ are shown by blue lines, [18, 19]. Numbers of victims $V(t) = I(t) + R(t)$ – solid lines; numbers of infected and spreading $I(t)$ multiplied by 5 – dashed; derivatives dV/dt (eq. (2)) multiplied by 100 – dotted. The black solid line shows the “visible” dependence $V(t) = I(t) + R(t)$ calculated with the use of assumption that $\beta_{10} = 1$, [18, 19]. The red solid line shows smoothed accumulated number of laboratory-confirmed cases (eq. (4)) multiplied by the optimal value $\beta_{10} = 3.7$. Red “circles” and “stars” correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases registered during the period of time taken for SIR simulations (March 11-24, 2021) and beyond this time period, respectively. The red “crosses” and “dots” show the first derivative (5) multiplied by $100\beta_{10}$ and the second derivative (6) multiplied by 1000, respectively.

To illustrate the changes in the epidemic dynamics, the derivatives (5) and (6) were calculated with the use of V_j values presented in Table 1. The red “crosses” and “dots” show the first derivative (5) multiplied by $100\beta_{10}$ and the second derivative (6) multiplied by 1000, respectively. Fig. 2 shows minima of the smoothed daily number of new cases (eq. (5)) in March and May 2021 (see red “crosses”) and corresponding jumps of second derivative (6) (see red “dots”). These facts illustrate the changes in the dynamics which have to be simulated by segmentation of new epidemic waves and corresponding new SIR simulations for each one. The derivative (2) cannot have any minimum for a

fixed epidemic wave. There is only one maximum, corresponding to zero point of d^2V/dt^2 (see [21] and the blue dotted line in Fig. 2).

The reason for changes in the typical epidemic dynamics could be changes in social behavior (especially in the first half of May, during the celebration of Orthodox Easter and May holidays) and with the emergence of new strains of coronavirus. Therefore, it is difficult to expect very good accuracy from SIR simulations based on the data from the period March 11-24, 2021. During April and May 2021, the optimal values of the model parameters (including the value of the visibility coefficient β) could change. However, the difference between the theoretical curve $V(t)=I(t)+R(t)$ (see the blue solid line in Fig. 2) and the accumulated laboratory-confirmed number of cases (smoothed according to formula (4) and multiplied by the value $\beta_{10}=3.7$ according to formula (3), see the red solid line in Fig. 2) does not exceed 20%. Accuracy can be increased by selecting individual epidemic waves and calculating new optimal values of parameters (including values β_{11}, β_{12} , etc.).

Unfortunately, mathematical modeling can hardly answer the question of whether we will have new waves of the epidemic after June 2021. Because even strict quarantine measures does not prevent the emergence of new strains of coronavirus both domestically and imported from abroad. The number of infectious persons in Ukraine is still very high. According to the optimistic estimation (shown by the blue dashed line in Fig. 2), the number of infectious person will be around 40,000 in mid-June 2021. This fact and the delays in vaccination make the probability of the emergence of new domestic strains of coronavirus very high. In addition, the unfavorable course of the pandemic in India [23, 24] and other countries increases the likelihood of importing new strains to Ukraine.

Compliance with social distance and other quarantine measures remains very important. Mass violations of simple rules last summer led to new waves of the epidemic (see Fig. 1). Since the real stabilization of the epidemic dynamics occurred later than in the theoretical prediction (compare red and blue solid lines in Fig. 2), the epidemic duration may increase. Even in the case of an optimistic scenario (without the emergence of new waves), the end of the epidemic in Ukraine should not be expected earlier than August 2022 (see [18, 19]). The government should closely monitor the average daily number of cases (which can be calculated by formulas (4) and (5)) and immediately intensify quarantine measures in case of its increase. As of June 10, 2021, there is no cause for concern (red crosses in Fig. 2 show a downward trend in the derivative (5)).

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Oleksii Rodionov for his help in collecting and processing data.

References

1. <https://index.minfin.com.ua/ua/reference/coronavirus/ukraine/>
2. <https://www.kmu.gov.ua/>
3. World Health Organization. “Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports”. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>. Retrieved Oct. 3, 2020.
4. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *J Royal Stat Soc Ser A*. 1927;115:700-21.
5. Murray JD. *Mathematical Biology I/II*. New York: Springer; 2002.
6. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. *Mathematics in Computers and Simulation*. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003
7. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, 110–121, doi: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274. <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274>
8. Nesteruk I. *COVID-19 pandemic dynamics*. Springer Nature, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5>
9. Nesteruk I., Benlagha N. PREDICTIONS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC DYNAMICS IN UKRAINE AND QATAR BASED ON GENERALIZED SIR MODEL. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2021, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 37–46. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487 <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/230487>
10. Nesteruk I. Detections and SIR simulations of the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine. *Comput. Math. Biophys.* 2021;9:46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cmb-2020-0117>
11. <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/3076334/coronavirus-strange-pneumonia-seen-lombardy-november-leading>
12. Frankfurter Allgemeine. <https://m.faz.net/aktuell/sport/mehr-sport/militaerweltspiele-2019-in-wuhan-damals-schon-corona-faelle-16758894.html>
13. Weinberger DM et al. Estimating the early death toll of COVID-19 in the United States. [Preprint.] *MEDRXIV*, 2020 Apr. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.2006643>
14. <https://edition.cnn.com/2020/11/02/europe/slovakia-mass-coronavirus-test-intl/index.html>
15. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/slovakias-second-round-coronavirus-tests-draws-large-crowds>
16. <https://podillyanews.com/2020/12/17/u-shkolah-hmelnytskogo-provely-eksperyment-z-testuvannyam-na-covid-19/>
17. Nesteruk I. Procedures of Parameter Identification for the Waves of Epidemics. In book: *COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics*, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_10. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_10

18. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine.[Preprint] Research Gate, March 2021. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.12124.72322](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12124.72322)
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350157247_Visible_and_real_sizes_of_the_COVID-19_pandemic_in_Ukraine
19. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2021, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 85–96. DOI: [10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487](https://doi.org/10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487)
<http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/230487>
20. Nesteruk I. Impact of vaccination and undetected cases on the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Qatar in 2021. [Preprint] MedRxiv, June 2021. DOI: [10.1101/2021.05.27.21257929](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.27.21257929)
<https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2021.05.27.21257929v1>
21. Nesteruk I. General SIR Model and Its Exact Solution. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: [10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_9).
https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_9.pdf
22. Nesteruk I. Identification of the New Waves of the COVID-19 Pandemic. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: [10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8).
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8
23. Nesteruk I. The COVID-19 pandemic storm in India. [Preprint] medRxiv Posted May 08, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523>
24. Nesteruk I. The COVID-19 pandemic storm in India subsides, but the calm is still far away. [Preprint] medRxiv June, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.01.21258143>