

Performance evaluation of virus concentration methods for implementing SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-based epidemiology, emphasizing quick data turnaround.

Md Ariful Islam Juel^{1,4}, Nicholas Stark², Bridgette Nicolosi², Jordan Lontai², Kevin Lambirth², Jessica Schlueter^{2,3}, Cynthia Gibas^{2,3}, Mariya Munir^{1,3}

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering¹, Department of Bioinformatics and Genomics², Bioinformatics Research Center³, 9201 University City Blvd, Charlotte, NC, 28223.

Department of Leather Engineering⁴, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Khulna, 9203.

Supplementary Table S1: Comparison between two concentration method. In this analysis, 40 mL wastewater was filtered using EMF and 125 to 150 mL processed with the Cp Select Concentrator. The AVL lysis buffer was not added to the concentrated sample from the Cp Select protocol.

Sample name	Sampling date	EMF			Cp Select		
		Cq	Mean Cq	Copies/L	Cq	Mean Cq	Copies/L
Sample A1	10.16.20	ND			ND		
		35.74	35.82	1.46E+04	35.25	34.87	2.31E+03
		35.9			34.48		
Sample B1	10.16.20	35.65			ND		
		35.79	35.80	1.48E+04	36.23		
		35.95			ND		
Sample A2	10.14.20	ND			35.15		
		34.89	35.16	2.35E+04	34.81	34.85	2.31E+03
		35.42			34.59		
Sample B2	10.14.20	Negative			Negative		
Sample A3	10.12.20	34.42			38.40		
		36.77	35.9	3.31E+04	ND	38.44*	1.14E+03
		36.51			38.48		
Sample B3	10.12.20	Negative			Negative		
Sample C	10.16.20	Negative			Negative		
Sample D	10.16.20	Negative			Negative		
Sample E	10.16.20	ND			Negative		
		ND					
		36.22					
Sample F	10.16.20	Negative			Negative		

* 50 ml wastewater was concentrated to 250 uL in the concentrator. Red Color indicates out of the LoD

Supplementary Table S2: The effect of addition of lysis buffer to the concentrated eluted sample from the Cp Select.

Sample ID	With of AVL Lysis buffer				Without AVL lysis buffer			
	Rep.#1	Rep.#2	Rep.#3	Mean Cq	Rep.#1	Rep.#2	Rep.#3	Mean Cq
Sample a	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	40.24	36.65	38.45
Sample b	35.47	37.39	36.31	36.39	ND	ND	36.36	-
Sample c	32.87	32.58	33.39	32.95	ND	39.19	ND	-

Red Color indicates out of LoD.

Supplementary Table S3: Effect of sample volume size on the performance of EMF and Cp Select concentrator in terms of SARS-CoV-2 quantification.

I.D.	EMF filtration (40 mL)					Cp Select (40 mL)				
	Cq Value					Cq Value				
	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD
S1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2	-	-	-	-	-	36.14	-	-	-	-
S3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S4	31.13	31.16	31.12	31.14	0.02	31.67	32.41	32.78	32.28	0.56
S5	-	-	-	-	-	39.10	37.04	-	38.07	1.45
S6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S7	34.60	-	35.40	35	0.57	32.90	33.15	33.54	33.2	0.32
S8	-	-	-	-	-	37.09	-	-	-	-
S9	36.62	-	36.02	36.32	0.42	33.22	33.14	34.05	33.47	0.50
S10	37	-	-	-	-	37.46	-	-	-	-


I.D.	EMF filtration (60 mL)					Cp Select (40 mL)				
	Cq Value					Cq Value				
	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD
S1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2	-	-	-	-	-	37.12	-	-	-	-
S3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S4	-	-	-	-	-	32.42	32.61	32.63	32.55	0.11
S5	36.31	41.24	41.24	39.60	2.85	34.70	35.02	35.54	35.08	0.42
S6	-	-	-	-	-	37.23	-	-	-	-
S7	34.60	ND	35.40	35	0.57	31.75	32.35	31.90	32.00	0.31
S8	-	-	-	-	-	37.33	-	37.16	37.24	0.12
S9	31.75	31.17	31.66	31.53	0.31	32.97	32.80	33.60	33.12	0.42
S10	-	-	-	-	-	37.04	-	-	-	-

I.D.	EMF filtration (60 mL)					Cp Select (40 mL)				
	Cq Value					Cq Value				
	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD	Rep. #1	Rep. #2	Repl. #3	Mean Cq	SD


S1						-	-	-	-	-
S2						-	-	-	-	-
S3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S4					3.17	32.53	33.06	32.71	32.77	0.27
S5	38.48	34.00	ND	36.24	41.79	35.41	-	38.60	4.51	
S6	38.89	42.44	ND	40.66	2.51	-	-	-	-	
S7						37.26	37.87	36.40	37.18	0.73
S8						-	-	-	-	
S9						37.23	37.17	35.66	36.69	0.89
S10						-	-	36.83	-	

Grey color column indicates those samples did not pass through the EMF filter during processing.

(a)



(b)



Supplementary Figure S1: Standard curve used in this study: (a) SARS-CoV-2 (N1) (b) BCoV

Supplementary Table S4: Primers and probe sequences used in this study.

Assay	Primer/Probe	Sequences	References
CDC N1	Forward	GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT	Lu et al., 2020
	Reverse	TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG	
	Probe	FAM-ACCCCGCAT/ZEN/TACGTTGGTGGACC-3IABkFQ	
BCoV	Forward	CTGGAAGTTGGTGGAGTT	Decaro et al., 2008
	Reverse	ATTATCGGCCTAACATACATC	
	Probe	FAM-CCTTCATATCTATACACATCAAGTTGTT-BHQ1	