

Supplementary Information for

Age- and gender-dependent differences in attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination and underlying psychological processes

Toshiko Tanaka¹, Tsuyoshi Nihonsugi², Fumio Ohtake³ & Masahiko Haruno*^{1,4}

affiliations

1.Center for Information and Neural Networks (CiNet), National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT), Suita, Japan

2.Faculty of Economics, Osaka University of Economics, Osaka, Japan

3.Graduate School of Economics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Japan

4.Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, Suita, Japan

Correspondance should be addressed to Dr. Masahiko Haruno, Center for Information and Neural Networks, National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Suita,Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail: mharuno@nict.go.jp.

Abstract

The most promising way to prevent the explosive spread of COVID-19 infection is to achieve herd immunity through vaccination. It is therefore important to motivate those who are less willing to be vaccinated. To address this issue, we conducted an online survey of 6232 Japanese people to investigate age- and gender- dependent differences in attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination and the underlying psychological processes. We asked participants to read one of nine different messages about COVID-19 vaccination and rate their willingness to be vaccinated. We also collected their 17 social personality trait scores and demographic information. We found that males 10-20 years old showed the minimum willingness to be vaccinated. We also found that prosocial traits are the driving force for young people, but the motivation in older people also depends on risk aversion and self-interest. Furthermore, an analysis of 9 different messages demonstrated that for young people (particularly males), the message emphasizing the majority's intention to vaccinate and scientific evidence for the safety of the vaccination had the strongest positive effect on the willingness to be vaccinated, suggesting that the herding effect arising from the "majority + scientific evidence" message nudges young people to show their prosocial nature in action.

Figure S1. Subjective evaluation of messages.

The percentage of people who scored in each of the seven subjective ratings (responsibleness, empathy, peer pressure, repulsed, uncomfortable, stimulating, and memorable) by gender and age are shown. Feelings of responsibility were lower among men in their 10-20s in response to nudge 2 ($p = 4.9 \times 10^{-3}$). No significantly greater senses of repulsion or discomfort occurred in response to nudge 8. Significant differences compared with control (nudge 1) are indicated by the asterisks (*). The p-values of the black asterisks for feeling responsible are, from left (male) to right (female), 4.9×10^{-3} , 0.043, 7.6×10^{-3} , and 0.031, and those of the blue asterisks are 0.012, 0.024, 0.011, and 0.021; for empathy, they are 0.038, 0.035, 0.027, and 0.013 and 0.041 and 0.022, respectively; for peer pressure, they are 0.021 and 0.019 and 9.4×10^{-3} , 0.010, and 0.044, respectively; for repulsion, they are 0.031 and 0.036, respectively; for discomfort, they are 0.047 and 0.030, 0.049, and 0.028, respectively; for stimulating, they are 0.036, 0.033, and 7.1×10^{-3} and 7.4×10^{-3} , 0.011, 0.037, and 0.022, respectively; and for feeling memorable, they are 0.019, 0.045, and 0.032.




Table S1. Detailed list of the personality tests used in this study.

Abbreviation	subscore abbreviation (item number)	Questionnaire name	references
Big5		Big Five personality traits	1,2
Extraversion	Big5_E (12)		
Agreeableness	Big5_A (12)		
Conscientiousness	Big5_C (12)		
Neuroticism	Big5_N (12)		
Openness	Big5_O (12)		
IRI		Interpersonal Reactivity Index	3,4
Fantasy	IRI_F (7)		
Perspective taking	IRI_PT (7)		
Empathic Concern	IRI_EC (7)		
Personal Distress	IRI_PD (7)		
MVS	(20)	Machiavellianism Scale	5,6
PSS	(10)	Perceived Subjective Stress	7,8
RA	(10)	Risk Aversion	9,10
RSS	(10)	Rosenberg Self - Esteem scale	11,12
SES	(1)	Socio Economic Status	13,14
SVO		Social Value Orientation	15,16
Prosocial	SVO_P (8)		
individualist	SVO_I (8)		
Competitor	SVO_C (8)		
STAI		State - Trait Anxiety Inventory	17,18
State	STAI_S (20)		
trait	STAI_T (20)		
TIM	(15)	Time discounting	19,20
CCP	(1)	conditional cooperation	21
TRU			
trust	TRU_GSSWVS (1)	the World Values survey/the American General Social Survey	
fairness	TRU_WVS (1)	the World Values survey	
altruism	TRU_GSS (1)	the American General Social Survey	
LAR	loss aversion (6)	lottery choice task	22
Inequity Guilt aversion			27
guilt-aversion	guilt		
inequity-aversion	inequity		
ES		Empathizing–Systemizing theory	23
Systemizing	ES_S (20)		
Empathizing	ES_E (20)		
SHS	(4)	Tendency to give socially desirable answers	24,25
IQ	(9)	Raven Advanced Progressive Matrices test #11,24,28,36,43,48,49,53,55	26

Table S2. Subjective evaluation of the messages.

items	nudge	all										male										female									
		total		disagree (12)		neutral (3)		agree (45)		F/chi_sq	p_value	total		disagree (12)		neutral (3)		agree (45)		F/chi_sq	p_value	total		disagree (12)		neutral (3)		agree (45)		F/chi_sq	p_value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
		6232										3409										2823									
responsible	total	1285	20.6	2180	35.0	2627	42.2				806	23.6	1216	35.7	1387	40.7					619	21.9	964	34.1	1240	43.9					
	1	705	14.0	19.9	259	36.7	306	43.4	29.517	0.0207	382	8.0	20.9	149	39.0	153	40.1	30.59	0.0152	323	6.0	18.6	110	34.1	153	47.4	19.29	0.254			
	2	695	16.2	23.3	258	37.1	275	39.6			384	10.5	27.3	146	38.0	133	34.6			311	5.7	18.3	112	36.0	142	45.7					
	3	684	15.5	22.7	244	35.7	285	41.7			376	8.4	22.3	143	38.0	149	39.6			308	7.1	23.1	101	32.8	136	44.2					
	4	689	16.3	23.7	246	35.7	270	39.2			369	9.8	26.6	138	37.4	133	36.0			310	6.5	21.0	108	34.8	137	44.2					
	5	711	15.5	21.8	247	34.7	309	43.5			388	7.9	20.4	136	35.1	173	44.6			323	7.6	23.5	111	34.4	136	42.1					
	6	699	15.0	21.5	220	31.5	329	47.1			381	8.3	21.8	116	30.4	182	47.8			318	6.7	21.1	104	32.7	147	46.2					
	7	695	14.8	21.3	224	32.2	323	46.5			387	8.7	22.5	126	32.6	174	45.0			308	6.1	19.8	98	31.8	149	48.4					
	8	677	17.1	25.3	240	35.5	266	39.3			367	8.9	24.3	136	37.1	142	38.7			310	8.2	26.5	104	33.5	124	40.0					
	9	687	18.1	26.3	242	35.2	264	38.4			375	10.1	26.9	126	33.6	148	39.5			312	8.0	25.6	116	37.2	116	37.2					
total		918	14.7	2170	34.8	3144	50.4			511	15.0	1218	35.7	1680	49.3					407	14.4	952	33.7	1464	51.9						
empathy	1	705	9.2	13.0	260	36.9	353	50.1	10.85	0.82	382	4.7	12.3	158	41.4	177	46.3	24.39	0.0814	323	4.5	13.9	102	31.6	176	54.5	11.06	0.806			
	2	695	10.7	15.4	252	36.3	336	48.3			384	6.6	17.2	146	38.0	172	44.8			311	4.1	13.2	106	34.1	164	52.7					
	3	684	9.4	13.7	251	36.7	339	49.6			376	5.0	13.3	136	36.2	190	50.5			308	4.4	14.3	115	37.3	149	48.4					
	4	689	10.2	14.8	236	34.3	341	49.5			369	6.5	17.6	130	35.2	174	47.2			310	3.7	11.9	106	34.2	167	53.9					
	5	711	10.5	14.8	239	33.6	367	51.6			388	4.8	12.4	142	36.6	198	51.0			323	5.7	17.6	97	30.0	169	52.3					
	6	699	10.5	15.0	224	32.0	370	52.9			381	5.7	15.0	114	29.9	210	55.1			318	4.8	15.1	110	34.6	160	50.3					
	7	695	10.7	15.4	223	32.1	365	52.5			387	5.8	15.0	126	32.6	203	52.5			308	4.9	15.9	97	31.5	162	52.6					
	8	677	10.0	14.8	244	36.0	333	49.2			367	5.9	16.1	138	37.6	170	46.3			310	4.1	13.2	106	34.2	163	52.6					
	9	687	10.6	15.4	241	35.1	340	49.5			375	6.1	16.3	128	34.1	186	49.6			312	4.5	14.4	113	36.2	154	49.4					
	total		2088	33.5	2351	37.7	1793	28.8			1141	33.5	1324	38.8	944	27.7					947	33.5	1027	36.4	849	30.1					
peer pressure	1	705	23.5	33.3	280	39.7	190	27.0	45.00	1.39x10-4	382	13.2	34.6	161	42.1	89	23.3	30.68	0.015	323	10.3	31.9	119	36.8	101	31.3	30.88	0.014			
	2	695	24.3	35.0	281	40.4	171	24.6			384	13.7	35.7	157	40.9	90	23.4			311	10.6	34.1	124	39.9	81	26.0					
	3	684	24.1	35.2	254	37.1	189	27.6			376	13.2	35.1	148	39.4	96	25.5			308	10.9	35.4	106	34.4	93	30.2					
	4	689	24.4	35.4	251	36.4	184	26.7			369	13.8	37.4	128	34.7	103	27.9			310	10.6	34.2	123	39.7	81	26.1					
	5	711	24.4	34.3	264	37.1	203	28.6			388	13.2	34.0	154	39.7	102	26.3			323	11.2	34.7	110	34.1	101	31.3					
	6	699	20.1	28.8	261	37.3	237	33.9			381	11.0	28.9	141	37.0	130	34.1			318	9.1	28.6	120	37.7	107	33.6					
	7	695	20.0	28.8	248	35.7	247	35.5			387	11.4	29.5	146	37.7	127	32.8			308	8.6	27.9	102	33.1	120	39.0					
	8	677	23.8	35.2	270	39.9	169	25.0			367	11.5	31.3	157	42.8	95	25.9			310	12.3	39.7	113	36.5	74	23.9					
	9	687	24.2	35.2	242	35.2	203	29.5			375	13.1	34.9	132	35.2	112	29.9			312	11.1	35.6	110	35.3	91	29.2					
	total		3569	6.3864	2005	289.1	655	94.458			1889	55.4	1134	33.3	386	11.3					1683	59.6	871	30.9	269	9.5					
repulsed	1	705	3.98	56.5	248.0	35.2	59	8.4	32.52	8.55x10-3	382	2.09	54.7	137	35.9	36	9.4	17	0.386	323	1.89	58.5	111	34.4	23	7.1	31.25	0.0125			
	2	695	4.08	58.7	234.0	33.7	53	7.6			384	2.12	55.2	137	35.7	35	9.1			311	1.96	63.0	97	31.2	18	5.8					
	3	684	3.93	57.5	212.0	31.0	79	11.5			376	2.11	56.1	124	33.0	41	10.9			308	1.82	59.1	88	28.6	38	12.3					
	4	689	3.99	57.9	210.0	30.5	70	10.2			369	2.02	54.7	120	32.5	47	12.7			310	1.97	63.5	90	29.0	23	7.4					
	5	711	4.36	61.3	199.0	28.0	73	10.3			388	2.34	60.3	113	29.1	41	10.6			323	2.05	63.5	86	26.6	32	9.9					
	6	699	3.78	54.1	234.0	33.5	87	12.4			381	2.05	53.8	129	33.9	47	12.3			318	1.73	54.4	105	33.0	40	12.6					
	7	695	3.72	53.5	230.0	33.1	93	13.4			387	2.09	54.0	123	31.8	55	14.2			308	1.63	52.9	107	34.7	38	12.3					
	8	677	3.97	58.6	217.0	32.1	63	9.3			367	2.00	54.5	133	36.2	34	9.3			310	1.97	63.5	84	27.1	29	9.4					
	9	687	3.88	56.5	221.0	32.2	78	11.4			375	2.07	55.2	118	31.5	50	13.3			312	1.81	58.0	103	33.0	28	9.0					
	total		3761	60.3	1847	29.6	624	10.0			1934	56.7	1101	32.3	374	11.0					1827	64.7	746	26.4	250	8.9					
uncomfortable	1	705	4.34	61.6	208.0	29.5	63	8.9	35.21	3.7x10-3	382	2.17	56.8	124	32.5	41	10.7	17.9	0.33	323	2.17	67.2	84	26.0	22	6.8	30.26	0.0167			
	2	695	4.22	60.7	224.0	32.2	49	7.1			384	2.20	57.3	133	34.6	31	8.1			311	2.02	65.0	91	29.3	18	5.8					
	3	684	4.07	59.5	209.0	30.6	68	9.9			376	2.14	56.9	127	33.8	35	9.3			308	1.93	62.7	82	26.6	33	10.7					
	4	689	4.21	61.1	193.0	28.0	65	9.4			369	2.05	55.6	117	31.7	47	12.7			310	2.16	69.7	76	24.5	18	5.8					
	5	711	4.52	63.6	194.0	27.3	65	9.1			388	2.38	61.3	112	28.9	38	9.8			323	2.14	66.3	82	25.4	27	8.4					
	6	699	4.00	57.2	210.0	30.0	89	12.7			381	2.06	54.1	126	33.1	49	12.9			318	1.94	61.0	84	26.4	40	12.6					
	7	695	3.89	56.0	208.0	29.9	98	14.1			387	2.09	5																		

References

1. Goldberg, L. R. The structure of phenotypic personality traits. *Am. Psychol.* **48**, 26–34 (1993).
2. Murakami, Y. & Murakami, C. Scale construction of a ‘Big Five’ personality inventory. *Japanese J. Personal.* **6**, 29–39 (1997).
3. Davis, M. H. Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for a multidimensional approach. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **44**, 113–126 (1983).
4. Nomura, K., Akai, S. & Morikawa, K. Pilot Japanese Interpersonal Reactivity Index. *in Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Japanese Psychological Association* (2015).
5. Chrisite, R. & Geis, F. L. *Studies in Machiavellianism*. (Academic Press, 1970).
6. Toshitake, N. et al. Development and Validation of a Japanese Version of the Machiavellianism Scale. *Japanese J. Personal.* **20**, 233–235 (2012).
7. Cohen, S., Kamarck, T. & Mermelstein, R. A Global Measure of Perceived Stress. *J. Health Soc. Behav.* **24**, 385–396 (1983).
8. Katsunori, S. Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Perceived Stress Scale. *Japanese J. Heal. Psychol.* **19**, 44–53 (2006).
9. Charles, A. H. & Laury, S. K. Risk aversion and incentive effects. *Am. Econ. Rev.* **92**, 1644–1655 (2002).

10. Ikeda, S., Ohtake, F. & Yoshiro, T. Time Discount Rates: An Analysis Based on Economic Experiments and Questionnaire Surveys. *ISER Discuss. Pap.* **638**, (2005).
11. Rosenberg, M. *Society and the adolescent self-image*. (Princeton University Press, 1965).
12. Shigeo, S. Investigation of the Japanese version of Rosenberg's Self-esteem Scale. *Bull. Tsukuba Dev. Clin. Psychol.* **12**, 65–71 (2000).
13. Krieger, N., Williams, D. R. & Moss, N. E. Measuring Social Class in US Public Health Research: Concepts, Methodologies, and Guidelines. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **18**, 341–378 (1997).
14. Okada, N. et al. Brief rating scale of socioeconomic status for biological psychiatry research among Japanese people : A scaling based on an educational history. *Japanese J. Biol. Psychiatry.* **25**, 115–117 (2014).
15. Van Lange, P. A. M. & Visser, K. Locomotion in social dilemmas: How people adapt to cooperative, tit-for-tat, and noncooperative partners. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **77**, 762–773 (1999).
16. Mori, K. Current Issues in the Measurement of Social Value Orientation. *Kwansei Gakuin Sociol. Dep. Stud.* **120**, 33–51 (2015).
17. Spielberger, C. D. *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory: Bibliography*. (Consulting

Psychologists Press, 1989).

18. Shimizu, H. & Imae, K. Development of a Japanese version of the state-trait anxiety inventory. *Japanese J. Educ. Psychol.* **29**, 62–67 (1981).

19. Green, L., Fry, A. F. & Myerson, J. Discounting of delayed rewards: A Life-Span Comparison. *Psychol. Sci.* **5**, 33–36 (1994).

20. Hiruma, F. A research on background factors of time discount rate by questionnaire measure. *Waseda Commer. Sci.* **432**, 1–34 (2012).

21. Fischbacher, U., Gächter, S. & Fehr, E. Are people conditionally cooperative? Evidence from a public goods experiment. *Economics Letters*, **71**, 397-404 (2001).

22. Gächter, S., Johnson, E. J. & Herrmann, A, Individual-Level Loss Aversion in Riskless and Risky Choices. *IZA Discussion Paper*. No. 2961 (2007). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1010597>

23. Greenberg, D. M., Warrier, V., Allison, C. & Baron-Cohen, S. Testing the Empathizing–Systemizing theory of sex differences and the Extreme Male Brain theory of autism in half a million people *PNAS*. **115**, 12152-12157 (2018).

24. Lyubomirsky, S. & Lepper, H. S. A measure of subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and construct validation. *Social Indicators Research*. **46**, 137–155 (1999).

25. Shimai, S., Otake, K., Utsuki, N., Ikemi, A. & Lyubomirsky, S. Development of a Japanese version of the subjective happiness scale (SHS), and examination of its validity and reliability. *Japanese Journal of Public Health*. **10**, 845–853 (2004).

26. Jensen, A. R. *The g factor: The science of mental ability*. (Praeger, 1998).

27. Nihonsugi, T., Ihara, A. & Haruno, M. Selective increase of intention-based economic decisions by noninvasive brain stimulation to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 3412-3419 (2015).