1	The risk of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in low prevalence settings following the
2	removal of travel restrictions
3	
4	Supplementary Information
5	
6	AUTHORS
7	R. Sachak-Patwa ¹ , H.M. Byrne ¹ , L. Dyson ^{2,3} , R.N. Thompson ^{2,3,*}
8	*Correspondence to: robin.n.thompson@warwick.ac.uk
9	
10	AFFILIATIONS
11	¹ Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
12	² Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
13	³ Zeeman Institute for Systems Biology and Infectious Disease Epidemiology Research
14	University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
15	
16	Outbreak risk metrics
17	
18	Here, we provide additional details about the metrics used in the main text for assessing
19	the risk of an outbreak resulting from the introduction of an infectious host into the
20	population.
21	
22	Instantaneous outbreak risk (IOR)
23	

- The IOR represents the risk that an imported case initiates an outbreak as opposed to the virus simply fading out, under the assumption that pathogen transmissibility is fixed at its value when the imported case enters the population. If the introduction occurs at time t, when the infection rate is $\beta(1-\Lambda(t))$ and the time-varying reproduction number is $R_V(t) = \frac{\beta(1-\Lambda(t))}{\mu}$, then the outbreak probability can be derived by first denoting by $q_{I(t)}$
- the probability that an outbreak does not occur starting from I(t) infected individuals.
- 30 Conditioning on whether the first event is an infection or a removal event gives

32
$$q_{I(t)} = \frac{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t))}{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t)) + \mu} q_{I(t)+1} + \frac{\mu}{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t)) + \mu} q_{I(t)-1}.$$

33

In particular, starting from a single introduction at time t,

35

$$q_1 = \frac{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t))}{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t)) + \mu} q_2 + \frac{\mu}{\beta(1 - \Lambda(t)) + \mu} q_0.$$

37

- 38 Since infections occur according to a branching process, $q_2 = q_1^2$. Furthermore, an
- outbreak will not occur if there are no infected individuals (i.e. $q_0 = 1$), so that

40

41
$$\beta (1 - \Lambda(t))q_1^2 - (\beta (1 - \Lambda(t)) + \mu)q_1 + \mu = 0.$$

Solving this quadratic equation, and taking the minimal non-negative solution (as

dictated by Markov chain theory when calculating the probability that a branching

45 process fades out [1]) leads to the IOR,

46

44

47
$$IOR = 1 - q_1 = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } R_V(t) \le 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{R_V(t)} \text{ for } R_V(t) > 1. \end{cases}$$

48

49

50 Case outbreak risk (COR)

51

53

54

55

56

57

52 Unlike the IOR, the COR accounts for changes in $\beta(1 - \Lambda(t))$ that occur after the virus is

introduced into the host population when assessing the outbreak risk. Similarly to above,

the probability that an outbreak does not occur starting from I infected individuals in the

population at time t is denoted by $q_I(t)$. Then, starting from a single introduction into the

population at time t and conditioning on the possible events events in the next Δt days

(where Δt represents a short time period, so that at most a single event can occur in the

58 interval $[t, t + \Delta t)$ gives

59

$$60 \qquad q_1(t) = \beta \big(1 - \Lambda(t)\big) \Delta t \; q_2(t + \Delta t) + \mu \Delta t \; q_0(t + \Delta t) + \big(1 - \beta \big(1 - \Lambda(t)\big) \Delta t - \mu \Delta t\big) q_1(t + \Delta t).$$

61

Since infections occur according to a branching process, $q_2(t + \Delta t) = q_1(t + \Delta t)^2$.

63 Furthermore, an outbreak will not occur if there are no infected individuals (i.e.

64
$$q_0(t + \Delta t) = 1$$
), so that

66
$$q_1(t) = \beta (1 - \Lambda(t)) \Delta t \ q_1(t + \Delta t)^2 + \mu \Delta t + (1 - \beta (1 - \Lambda(t)) \Delta t - \mu \Delta t) q_1(t + \Delta t).$$

Rearranging this expression, and taking the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, gives equation (3) in the main text,

71
$$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} = \beta (1 - \Lambda(t))q(t)(1 - q(t)) + \mu(q(t) - 1), \quad (S1)$$

in which the variable $q_1(t)$ has been replaced by q(t) for notational convenience. This equation can be solved numerically, after which the COR at time t (i.e. the probability that an outbreak occurs) is given by 1 - q(t).

Solving equation (S1) numerically requires the value of q(t) to be known at a single timepoint. In scenarios in which the time-varying reproduction number is above one when the vaccine programme is complete, we solve equation (S1) backwards in time starting from the final condition $q(t^*) = \frac{1}{R_V(t^*)}$, where t^* is the time at which the vaccination programme ends. The rationale for this choice is that the COR matches the IOR in scenarios in which virus transmissibility does not change in future (e.g. the end of the vaccination programme). In scenarios in which $R_V(t)$ is instead below one when the vaccination programme is completed, we set q(0) so that 1 - q(0) matches the Numerical Outbreak Risk (NOR) at time t = 0 (see below), and then solve equation (S1) forwards in time starting from t = 0.

88

- 90 The SOR is calculated by simulating the stochastic branching process model 10,000
- 91 times starting from a single infected individual introduced into the system at time t. The
- 92 SOR is then given by the proportion of simulations in which the number of individuals
- 93 infected simultaneously reaches the threshold M = 100 as opposed to fading out (i.e.
- 94 the number of infected hosts reaches 100 before hitting zero).

95

101

102

- To simulate the branching process model, we follow the following steps:
- 97 1. Set the initial time t, and set I(t) = 1.
- 98 2. Calculate the time of the next event, $t + \tau$, using the expression

99
$$\int_{t}^{t+\tau} (\beta(1-\Lambda(s)) + \mu)I(s)ds = -\ln(r_1),$$

- where r_1 is a random number sampled from a uniform distribution on (0,1).
 - 3. Determine whether the next event is an infection event or a removal event. To do this, sample a second random number (r_2) from a uniform distribution on (0,1). If

$$r_2 < \frac{\beta (1 - \Lambda(t + \tau))}{\beta (1 - \Lambda(t + \tau)) + \mu'}$$

then the next event is an infection event; set $I(t + \tau) = I(t) + 1$. If instead the inequality above is not satisfied, then the next event is a removal event; set $I(t + \tau) = I(t) - 1.$

4. Repeat steps 2-3 while the outbreak is still ongoing and the threshold value of M = 100 has not been hit (i.e. I(t) > 0 and I(t) < M).

109

107

Numerical outbreak risk (NOR)

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

110

The NOR is analogous to the SOR, but with the advantage that it can be calculated without performing model simulations. To calculate the NOR, we define the vector $p(t) = (p_0(t), \dots, p_{M-1}(t), p_M(t))^\mathsf{T}$, where, for $i \leq M-1$, $p_i(t)$ represents the probability that I(t) = i and that the number infected has not reached threshold M by time t. The variable $p_M(t)$ is defined as the probability that I(t) has reached threshold M by time t. As with the SOR, we again use a value of M=100 in our analyses. Then, the Kolmogorov forward equations [2] are $\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = Q(t)p(t)$, where

119

119
$$Q(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -(\beta(1-\Lambda(t))+\mu) & 2\mu & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(1-\Lambda(t)) & -(2\beta(1-\Lambda(t))+2\mu) & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\beta(1-\Lambda(t)) & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & (M-1)\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & -((M-1)\beta(1-\Lambda(t))+(M-1)\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & (M-1)\beta(1-\Lambda(t)) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

In this matrix, the state I(t) = M is an absorbing state (analogous to stopping the simulations as soon as I(t) reaches the threshold M when calculating the SOR). The probability that that are at least M infected individuals at some stage following the introduction of a single infected host into the population at time t is then given by $NOR(t) = \lim_{s \to \infty} (p_M(s))$, where $p(t) = (0,1,0...,0,0)^T$. The NOR is therefore calculated by solving the Kolmogorov forward equations numerically until the system converges to an equilibrium state.




Figure S1. The effect of the assumed vaccine uptake on the outbreak risk. (a) Isle of Man. Analogous panel to Fig 3b in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.8$. (b) Analogous panel to Fig 3b in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.9$. (b) Analogous panel to Fig 3b in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.95$. (d) Israel. Analogous panel to Fig 3d in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.8$. (e) Analogous panel to Fig 3d in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.9$. (e) Analogous panel to Fig 3d in the main text, but with vaccine uptake $\nu=0.9$. Ticks on the x-axes refer to the starts of the months labelled.




Figure S2. The effect of immunity due to prior infections on the outbreak risk. (a) Isle of Man. Analogous panel to Fig 3b in the main text, but with R_0 reduced by 1.35% (an approximation of the proportion of the population who had been infected before 1st May 2021, based on 1,154 confirmed cases out of a population of N = 85,400). (b) Israel. Analogous panel to Fig 3d in the main text, but with R_0 reduced by 9.55% (an approximation of proportion of the population who had been infected before 1st May 2021, based on 838,000 confirmed cases out of a population of N = 8,772,800). Ticks on the x-axes refer to the starts of the months labelled.

References

- 1. Norris JR. Markov Chains. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
- Keeling MJ, Ross J V. On methods for studying stochastic disease dynamics. J R
 Soc Inferface. 2008;5: 171–181.