

Exploring the extracellular transcriptome in seminal plasma for non-invasive prostate cancer diagnosis

Eva Hulstaert^{1,2,3}, Annelien Morlion^{1,2}, Justine Nuytens^{1,2}, Giovanni Ponti⁴, Monia Maccaferri⁴, Susan Lau⁵, Eleftherios Diamandis^{5,6}, Jarvi Keith^{5,6}, Ioannis Prassas^{5,6}, Nicolaas Lumen⁷, Pieter Mestdagh^{1,2,#}, Jo Vandesompele^{1,2,#}

¹Center for Medical Genetics, Department of Biomolecular Medicine, OncoRNALab, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

²Cancer Research Institute Ghent (CRIG), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

³Department of Dermatology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

⁴Division of Clinical Pathology, Department of Surgical, Medical, Dental and Morphological Sciences with Interest in Transplant, Oncological and Regenerative Medicine, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

⁵Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, M5T 3L9 Canada

⁶Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, Mount Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5T 3L9 Canada

⁷Department of Urology, Ghent University Hospital, C. Heymanslaan 10, 9000, Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author: Jo.Vandesompele@ugent.be

#Equally contributing authors

Abstract

A diagnostic non-invasive biomarker test for prostate cancer at an early stage, with high sensitivity and specificity, would improve diagnostic decision making. Extracellular RNAs present in seminal plasma might contain biomarker potential for the accurate detection of clinically significant prostate cancer. So far, the extracellular messenger RNA (mRNA) profile of seminal plasma has not been interrogated for its biomarker potential in the context of prostate cancer. Here, we investigate the mRNA transcriptome in seminal plasma samples obtained from prostate cancer patients (n=25), patients with benign prostate hyperplasia (n=26) and individuals without prostatic disease (n=6). Seminal plasma harbors a complex mRNA repertoire that reflects prostate as its tissue of origin. The endogenous RNA content is higher in the prostate cancer samples compared to the control samples. Prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3), a long non-coding RNA with prostate cancer-specific overexpression, and ATP-binding cassette transporter 1 (ABCA1), known to be involved in the prostate cancer pathogenesis, were more abundant in the prostate cancer group. In addition, twelve high confidence fusion transcripts could be detected in prostate cancer samples, including the bona-fide prostate cancer fusion transcript TMPRSS2-ERG. Our findings provide proof-of-principle that the extracellular transcriptome of seminal plasma can reveal information of an underlying prostate cancer.

Keywords

RNA-sequencing, prostate cancer, seminal plasma, messenger RNA, biomarker, cell-free RNA, extracellular RNA

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.11.21256306>; this version posted May 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common internal cancer in men and an important cause of cancer death in developed countries¹. Prostate cancer is mostly diagnosed at a localized stage through a combination of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA), digital rectal examination, magnetic resonance imaging and prostate biopsy².

The introduction of serum PSA testing has revolutionized the prostate cancer diagnostics field. However, this biomarker has a number of limitations. Although PSA is specific for prostatic tissue, this marker has low prostate cancer specificity, as PSA is elevated in other nonmalignant pathologies of the prostate, including benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and prostatitis. More than half of the patients presenting with an elevated PSA level have a negative prostate biopsy result³. In addition, PSA is not able to differentiate between clinically significant (and thus potentially lethal) and insignificant prostate cancer. PSA screening thus leads to many unnecessary biopsies of benign disease and diagnosis of clinically non-significant and non-evolving cases of prostate cancer.

There is a clinical need for robust, non-invasive biomarkers that accurately detect prostate cancer and differentiate indolent from life-threatening disease. The ideal test would be minimally invasive, have few to no side effects, identify a high proportion of men who would benefit from treatment, and minimize the identification of men with clinically insignificant cancer in order to prevent overtreatment.

In an attempt to identify biomarkers, genomic, transcriptomic, epigenetic and proteomic methods are applied to profile prostate cancer tissues and biofluids obtained from prostate cancer patients. RNA sequencing allows the profiling of the extracellular transcriptome in human biofluids, including seminal plasma. We recently demonstrated that the mRNA concentration in seminal plasma is about 1000-fold higher than the RNA concentration in platelet-free plasma or urine, the most studied biofluids in the context of prostate cancer screening⁴. Seminal plasma also contains a richer mRNA content of about 11 000 unique mRNAs that can be detected in 200 μ L seminal plasma, while only 2000 unique mRNAs are detected in 200 μ L urine or platelet-free plasma, suggesting that seminal plasma has a high biomarker potential. Prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3), a long non-coding RNA with prostate cancer-specific overexpression, is the most widely studied RNA biomarker in this field. The Progenesa PCA3 urine test measures the concentration of PCA3 and PSA RNA and calculates the ratio of PCA3 RNA to PSA RNA in post-digital rectal exam first-catch urine specimens. The test was approved by the American Food and Drug administration (FDA) for helping clinicians whether or not to recommend a repeat biopsy after a negative biopsy result⁵. However, the use of PCA3 testing is not recommended in clinical setting due to the limited evidence that it supports improved clinical outcome⁶. From a biological point of view, seminal plasma holds great potential for biomarker discovery as it shows proximity to the prostate tumor and it can be collected in a noninvasive way⁷⁻⁹. Approximately 40% of semen is derived from prostatic tissue¹⁰ and prostate specific mRNA signatures are enriched in RNA sequencing data of seminal plasma compared to other biofluids⁴. Of note, PSA was originally discovered in

seminal plasma, where its concentration is about 5-6 times higher than in serum¹¹. Small RNA sequencing has been performed on the non-sperm cellular fraction of seminal fluid (including prostatic epithelial, urothelial and inflammatory cells) on two pools representing 6 men with prostate cancer and 6 men without cancer. A higher ratio of transfer RNA (tRNA) to microRNA (miRNA) was observed in the cancer pool compared to the control pool.¹² The biomarker potential of specific miRNAs in cells obtained from semen samples and in extracellular vesicles isolated from semen has also been assessed using reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)^{13, 10}. The full mRNA content of seminal plasma obtained from prostate cancer patients has not been studied yet.

The main goal of this study is to investigate the biomarker potential of extracellular RNA in seminal plasma as a non-invasive approach for prostate cancer diagnosis. Here, we present mRNA capture sequencing data of 57 seminal plasma samples from 25 prostate cancer patients, 26 BPH patients and 6 healthy controls.

Material and methods

Donor material, collection and seminal plasma preparation procedure

Seminal plasma was collected in prostate cancer patients, in patients with benign prostate hyperplasia and in healthy donors. The diagnosis of prostate cancer was histologically proven on prostate biopsy and/or on radical prostatectomy specimen. For all patients with benign prostate hyperplasia there was no evidence of prostate cancer on prostate biopsy. All samples were collected prior to prostate biopsy or prostatectomy. A prostate biopsy was not performed in the healthy donors. The study samples were collected at three urologic centers. Sample collection was approved by the ethics committee of Ghent University Hospital, Belgium (no. B670201734450), the ethics committee of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy, and the Murray Koffler Urologic Wellness Centre at Mount Sinai Hospital, Canada (no. 08-0117-E). Written informed consent was obtained from all donors according to the Helsinki declaration.

Semen samples were produced by masturbation and collected into a sterile container. Samples collected at Ghent University Hospital were allowed to liquefy for 30 min at 37 °C. Samples were centrifuged to remove cells (2000 g, 10 min) and stored at -80 °C within 2 hours after collection. Samples collected at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia were allowed to liquefy for a maximum of 2 h at room temperature. Samples were prepared with a 2-spin protocol at room temperature: the samples were first centrifuged at low speed (400 g, 10 min), the supernatant was then removed from the cell pellet. Next, the samples were centrifuged at high speed (16,000 g, 5 min) and the supernatant was stored at -80 °C. Samples collected at Mount Sinai Hospital were allowed to liquefy for 1 h at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged at high speed (13,000 g, 15 min) and the supernatant was stored at -80 °C.

RNA isolation and gDNA removal

Total RNA was purified with the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, #217184), starting from an input volume of 200 μ L, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Per 200 μ L seminal plasma input volume, 2 μ L Sequin spike-in controls (1/1300 of the stock solution, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, mix A) were added prior to the RNA purification. After the RNA purification, 2 μ L External RNA Control Consortium (ERCC) spike-in controls (1/1000 of the stock solution, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, #4456740, mix 1), 1 μ L HL-dsDNase and 1.6 μ L reaction buffer were added to 12 μ L RNA eluate, and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C, followed by 5 min at 55 °C to remove contaminating genomic DNA. An overview of the Sequin and ERCC spike-in controls with their stock concentration is provided in supplemental table 1.

TruSeq RNA Exome library prep sequencing

Messenger RNA capture based libraries were prepared starting from 8.5 μ L DNase treated RNA eluate using the TruSeq RNA Exome Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA, #20020189, #20020490, #20020183), as previously described¹⁴. This library preparation protocol enriches for exonic RNA sequences. Each sample underwent individual enrichment according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quality and yield of the prepared libraries were assessed using a high sensitivity Small DNA Fragment Analysis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA, #DNF-477-0500) according to manufacturer's instructions. Only samples with a fragment analyzer profile of a good quality cDNA library (i.e. a band around 260 bp before capture) were included for further analysis. The libraries were quantified using qPCR with the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Diegem, Belgium, #KK4854) according to manufacturer's instructions. Based on the qPCR results, equimolar library pools were prepared.

Paired-end sequencing was performed on a NextSeq 500 instrument using a high output v2 kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with a read length of 75 nucleotides. All samples were sequenced twice with a loading concentration of 2 pM (2% PhiX). The FASTQ files of both runs were combined, resulting in an average sequencing depth of 9 million read pairs per sample.

Data analysis

Processing TruSeq RNA Exome sequencing data

Read quality was assessed by running FastQC (v0.11.5) on the FASTQ files and reads shorter than 35 nucleotides and with a quality (phred) score < 30 were removed. The reads were mapped with STAR (v2.6.0). Clumpify (v38.26) was used for duplicate read removal. HTSeq (v0.9.1) was used for quantification of deduplicated reads. Mapped reads were annotated by matching genomic coordinates of each read with genomic locations of mRNAs (obtained from UCSC GRCh38/hg38 and Ensembl, v91). Reads were subsampled after duplicate removal to 500,000 reads per sample. Only samples with a total Sequin spike coverage of at least 100 reads were retained. A cut-off of 2 counts per gene was applied to remove low abundant

genes. The mass of endogenous mRNA present in 1 mL seminal plasma was estimated based on the read count for ERCC-00130 spike-in RNA. To each RNA eluate sample, 6E-17 mol of ERCC-00130 was added (molecular weight of 340415.55 g/mol). Based on the read count for the endogenous mRNA, the corresponding mass of endogenous mRNA in the eluate was calculated and subsequently corrected for input volume.

Assessment of tissue and cell contribution to the extracellular transcriptome of seminal plasma

Using total RNA-sequencing data from 27 normal human tissue types and 5 immune cell types from peripheral blood from the RNA Atlas¹⁵, we created gene sets containing marker genes for each individual entity as described⁴. We removed redundant tissues and cell types from the original RNA Atlas (e.g. granulocytes and monocytes were present twice; brain was kept and specific brain sub-regions such as cerebellum, frontal cortex, occipital cortex and parietal cortex were removed) and we used genes where at least one tissue or cell type had expression values greater or equal to 1 TPM normalized counts. A gene was considered to be a marker if its abundance was at least 5 times higher in the most abundant sample compared to the others. For the final analysis, only tissues and cell types with at least 3 markers were included, resulting in 26 tissues and 5 immune cell types. Gene abundance read counts from the biofluids in the discovery cohort of Hulstaert et al. and the gene abundance read counts of the seminal plasma cohort from this study, were normalized using Sequin spikes as size factors in DESeq2 (v1.22.2)⁴. For all marker genes within each gene set, we computed the log₂ fold changes between the median read count of the seminal plasma samples versus the median read count of all other biofluids.

Differential expression analysis with DESeq2

Further processing of the count tables was done with R (v3.5.1) making use of tidyverse (v1.2.1). Gene abundance expression read counts from the seminal plasma samples were normalized using the sum of all reads mapping to Sequin spikes as size factors in DESeq2 (v1.20.0)¹⁶. The Sequin normalized count table is provided in supplemental table 3. To assess the biological signal in seminal plasma, we performed differential expression analysis between the patients and control groups using DESeq2 (v1.20.0). Genes were considered differentially expressed when the absolute log₂ fold change > 1 and $q < 0.05$.

Differential exon usage with DEXSeq

To perform differential exon usage analysis the mapped sequencing data was preprocessed according to the two preparation Python scripts provided in the DEXSeq package (version 1.36.0, ¹⁷). In the first script, a GTF file with gene models was transformed into a GFF file listing counting bins. In the second script such a GFF file and an alignment file in the BAM format were used to produce a list of exon counts. Next, the count tables consisting of exon counts were further processed with R (v3.5.1) making use of tidyverse (v1.2.1). Exon expression read counts were normalized using the sum of all reads mapping to Sequin spikes as size factors in

DESeq2 (v1.20.0)¹⁶. Differential expression analysis between the patients and control groups was performed using DESeq2 (v1.20.0). Exons were considered differentially expressed when the absolute log₂ fold change > 1 and $q < 0.05$. In order to identify genuine differentially abundant exons, only exons that were not part of differentially abundant genes were considered.

Gene set enrichment analysis

A preranked gene set enrichment analysis was performed using the hallmark gene sets (v7.2) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) canonical pathways of the curated gene sets (v7.2) available on the Molecular Signatures Database, applying 1000 permutations and a classic enrichment statistic¹⁸. All mRNA lists were ordered based on the log-transformed fold change obtained after differential expression analysis with DESeq2. Gene sets with a false discovery rate (FDR) up to 0.25 were selected for exploratory discovery of candidate hypotheses.

Detection of fusion transcripts

Fusion transcript identification was performed using FusionCatcher (v1.30) with default parameter settings¹⁹. Stringent filtering was applied to exclude potential false positive fusion transcripts, as previously described²⁰. First, transcripts with a fusion description label indicative for a false positive result (i.e. the red annotations in supplemental table 5) were excluded. Second, transcripts with reads mapping on both fusion partners were excluded. Third, transcripts with fusion partners less than 100 kbp apart were also excluded. Only exon-exon fusions were included.

Results

Patient population

Only 57 of the 104 seminal plasma samples that were collected for this study met the inclusion criteria described in the materials and methods section and were included for further analysis in this study (Figure 1). In 17 samples, no eluate was obtained at the end of the RNA purification protocol, probably due to the high viscosity of the samples leading to a clogged RNA purification column. In 6 samples, no cDNA library was present on fragment analyzer during the mRNA capture library preparation protocol, indicating that the RNA was too degraded or too low concentrated in these samples. In the remaining samples, the sequencing depth varied from 7 million to 32 million reads with a median of 15 million reads per sample. The percentage of PCR duplicates ranged from 51% to 99% with a median of 90%. High PCR duplicate levels are expected in samples with low RNA concentration. After the removal of reads with low quality, after adapter trimming and after the removal of PCR duplicates, the remaining reads per sample ranged from 122,650 to 8 million with a median of 1 million reads per sample. A minimum threshold of 500,000 reads per sample was applied, resulting in the exclusion of another 25 samples. One sample was excluded because of a low

coverage of Sequin spikes (fewer than 100 reads), not allowing a robust normalization using Sequin spikes. An overview of the reads obtained per sample and per step of the pre-processing workflow are provided in supplemental table 2.

The statistical analyses were thus performed on 57 seminal plasma samples obtained from 25 prostate cancer patients with a mean age of 65 years old, 26 patients with benign prostate hyperplasia with a mean age of 60 years old and 6 healthy individuals with a mean age of 60 years old. Most prostate cancer patients (18/25) showed well to moderately differentiated disease (Gleason score 6 or 7). The average PSA level in the prostate cancer group and in the BPH group was 9.08 ng/mL and 4.90 ng/mL, respectively. Demographics and clinical details for the included samples are shown in Table 1. Details for all samples with the reason of exclusion for further analysis is provided in supplemental table 2. Principal component analysis of all detected genes did not reveal clustering based on the collection site, indicating that the seminal plasma preparation protocol that slightly differs between the three collection sites is not a dominant driver of mRNA abundance variance in our cohort. In addition, this analysis did not reveal clustering of samples according to the clinical diagnosis of the donor (supplemental figure 2).

	cancer (n=25)	BPH (n=26)	healthy individual (n=6)
age in years, mean (min-max)	65 (46-78)	60 (45-69)	60 (56-66)
pre-operative PSA in ng/mL, mean (min-max)	9.08 (3.00-31.00)	4.90 (0.70-10.00)	-
Gleason score			
6	9	-	-
7 (3+4)	6	-	-
7 (4+3)	3	-	-
8	6	-	-
9	1	-	-
collection center			
Belgium	6	0	0
Canada	14	14	0
Italy	5	12	6

Table 1. Clinical details of patient samples included for further analysis

Seminal plasma harbors a complex mRNA repertoire containing prostate specific mRNAs

The mapping rate varied from 67% to 99% with a median mapping rate of 98% (supplemental figure 3A). The total number of unique mRNAs detected with at least 2 counts per sample ranged from 7050 to 12,464 with a mean of 10,089 mRNAs per sample (supplemental figure 3A). In total, 2418 genes were detected in all samples. Prostate specific mRNAs are most abundant in seminal plasma compared to other tissue specific signatures, supporting the exploration of the biomarker potential for prostate cancer detection in this fluid (figure 1). The latter finding is reflected at individual mRNA level. Prostate specific mRNAs such as KLK3 (kallikrein related peptidase 3 or prostate specific antigen, PSA) and TGM4 (transglutaminase 4) are highly abundant in all samples, while liver specific mRNAs such as FMO3 (flavin

containing monooxygenase 3) and CYP2C8 (cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily C member 8) are detected in none of the samples (supplemental table 3).

Based on the coverage of artificial spike-in controls, the endogenous RNA mass per sample was calculated. The mean endogenous RNA mass detected per 1 mL fluid was 86.13 ng in the prostate cancer group (minimum 0.64 ng, maximum 307.75 ng) and 51.20 ng in the control group (minimum 0.33 ng, maximum 686.52 ng). The endogenous RNA mass was higher in the prostate cancer group compared to the control group (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, two-sided, $p = 0.0429$, supplemental figure 3B).




Figure 1. (A) Assessment of normal human tissues and cell types contributing to seminal plasma extracellular RNA. Boxplots showing the log₂ fold change for a gene set with markers specific for a certain tissue or cell type. The log₂ fold change is calculated between the median read count of all seminal plasma samples and the median read count of all other biofluids. The tissues or cell types for which markers were selected based on the RNA Atlas Project are shown on the x-axis.

PCA3 and ABCA1 are higher in cancer samples

Differential expression analysis revealed 2 mRNAs that are more abundant in seminal plasma from prostate cancer patients compared to controls, consisting of patients with BPH and

healthy individuals (Figure 4A). A list with the results of the differential expression analysis can be found in supplemental table 3. The normalized counts of PCA3 and ABCA1 in both groups are shown in figure 4B. Gene set enrichment analysis for the contrast comparing prostate cancer versus controls, consisting of patients with BPH and healthy controls, demonstrated an enrichment of genes that are involved in spermatogenesis, coagulation, inflammatory responses and KRAS signaling, when looking into the hallmark gene sets (figure 4C). Investigation of the KEGG canonical pathways of the curated gene sets points towards an enrichment of genes involved in lysosomal activity (figure 4C). Off note, lysosomal enzymes have been hypothesized to be involved in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer^{21,22}. In all three contrasts (prostate cancer versus all controls; prostate cancer versus patients with BPH; prostate cancer versus healthy individuals), a consistent lower abundance of genes involved in the androgen response and the oxidative phosphorylation and MYC target genes was observed.

When applying the differential expression analysis on the prostate cancer and BPH samples only, no differentially expressed genes remained after multiple testing correction (data not shown). The differential expression analysis was also performed on exon level instead of gene level; also here no differential exons were identified in this dataset.




Figure 4. (A) Volcano plot of differentially abundant mRNAs in prostate cancer versus controls (consisting of benign prostate hyperplasia samples and samples obtained in healthy individuals). Up- and downregulated genes with a p-value of less than 0.05 are shown in blue. Upregulated genes with an adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 are shown in pink. (B) Boxplots comparing the Sequin spike normalized read counts per group. The normalized read count per sample is shown as a dot. Samples obtained from prostate cancer patients are pink, samples obtained from patients with benign prostate hyperplasia or from healthy volunteers are blue. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis results for the hallmark gene sets and KEGG canonical pathways of the curated gene sets. Normalized enrichment score (NES) and false discovery

rate (FDR) are depicted on the enrichment plot. All gene sets with a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.25 are shown.

BPH, benign prostate hyperplasia; NES, normalized enrichment score; PCA, prostate cancer; pval, p-value; padj, adjusted p-value.

Bona-fide prostate cancer fusion transcripts are detected in cancer samples

In total 923 fusion transcripts were detected in 20 prostate cancer samples and 26 control samples. After stringent filtering, 12 high confidence fusion transcripts remained in 11 prostate cancer samples and 2 high confidence fusion transcripts remained in 4 control samples. An overview of the high confidence fusion transcripts is provided in table 2. The detailed output of FusionCatcher is provided in supplemental table 5. Amongst the detected fusion transcripts in prostate cancer samples was TMPRSS2-ERG (transmembrane protease, serine 2 - v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog), a fusion gene that is reported to be present in approximately 50% of prostate cancer lesions^{23,24}. Another detected fusion transcript in one prostate cancer sample was TMPRSS2-BRAF (transmembrane protease, serine 2 - v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1). While this transcript is not frequently reported in prostate cancer, it was observed in one prostate cancer tissue sample when consulting the TumorFusions data portal. This portal contains an overview of all fusion transcripts detected in 9966 cancer samples and 648 normal specimens from The Cancer Genome Atlas²⁵. The remaining 10 fusion transcripts have not been reported yet.

gene fusion	fusion point of the 5' end fusion partner	fusion point of the 3' end fusion partner	seminal plasma sample	group
TMPRSS2-ERG	21:41498119:-	21:38445621:-	RNA014221	cancer
TMPRSS2-BRAF	21:41488394:-	7:140781693:-	RNA009851	cancer
SPIN1-ST13	9:88416766:+	22:40848369:-	RNA014204	cancer
DIO2-CEP128	14:80387678:-	14:80530886:-	RNA014452	cancer
PARG-BMS1	10:49885203:-	10:42791627:+	RNA014452	cancer
BMS1-PTPN20	10:42787247:+	10:46984230:+	RNA014452, RNA014447	cancer
	10:42793991:+	10:46984230:+	RNA009820	healthy individual
C9ORF85-C19ORF47	9:71947112:+	19:40332643:-	RNA014192	cancer
GRIPAP1-CBFA2T2	X:49000566:-	20:33606956:+	RNA014192	cancer
CACNB2-ABCC4	10:18522238:+	13:95083290:-	RNA014201	cancer
RPS24-LIAS	10:78040696:+	4:39468874:+	RNA014204	cancer
ACO22400.4-PARG	10:73713204:-	10:49869555:-	RNA009848, RNA014449	cancer
FCN1-PTMS	9:134905890:-	12:6770157:+	RNA009835, RNA009845, RNA009855	BPH

Table 2. High confidence fusion transcripts detected in seminal plasma

Discussion and future perspectives

Analysis of seminal plasma is particularly interesting to prostate diseases, such as prostate cancer, because of its proximity to the prostate and its noninvasive collection method. Only a few studies have looked into the content of seminal plasma. Previous studies have focused on cell-free DNA⁸ and the proteome^{7,26,27} for biomarker discovery. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the full repertoire of mRNAs has been successfully studied in seminal plasma samples from prostate cancer patients.

A major limitation of our study is the substantial proportion (45%, 47 out of 104) of collected samples that did not meet the minimum quality criteria for mRNA capture sequencing. Potential explanations for the high number of excluded samples can be differences in pre-analytical variables. Liquefaction time and centrifugation speed to prepare seminal plasma was different in the three participating centers. To overcome the differences in pre-analytical variables, a dedicated prospective sample collection with a standardized protocol is important. In 17/104 samples no RNA eluate was obtained in the last step of the RNA purification, suggesting that the RNA purification column got clogged, probably due to the viscosity of the seminal plasma. This also indicates that the RNA isolation method for this specific biofluid needs further optimization. A bead-based RNA purification method may be better suited for this biofluid compared to a column-based isolation protocol. The inclusion rate of 55% (57/104) in our study, is in line with the reported inclusion rate of 43% (66/152)¹³. In the latter study, total RNA was isolated from the epithelial cell layer of semen samples and specific microRNAs were investigated using RT-qPCR. The RNA diversity (reported as the unique number of detected mRNAs per sample) observed in our study is in line with the previously reported number of 11,868 unique mRNAs in 200 μ L seminal plasma of healthy donors⁴. Note that no read downsampling was applied in the Human Biofluid Atlas, resulting in a slightly higher RNA diversity.

Although the RNA diversity, and thus the theoretical chance to identify new biomarkers, in seminal plasma is higher than in urine or blood-derived plasma, we could only detect two statistically significant, differentially abundant mRNAs in this study. PCA3 and ABCA1 are significantly more abundant in seminal plasma from prostate cancer samples compared to controls (consisting of benign prostate hyperplasia samples and samples from healthy volunteers). PCA3 has been extensively studied as biomarker for prostate cancer in urine collected after prostatic massage or digital rectal examination. ABCA1 has previously been linked to the pathogenesis of prostate cancer²⁸⁻³¹. ABCA1 promotor hypermethylation and subsequent transcriptional silencing has been described as a mechanism used by prostate cancer cells to maintain elevated intracellular cholesterol levels. Intracellular cholesterol has two proposed roles in the development of advanced prostate cancer: serving as a substrate in de novo androgen synthesis in castration-resistant prostate cancer and enhancing AKT signaling by stabilizing lipid raft structure²⁹.

Bulk RNA sequencing allows inspection of the entire spectrum of RNA in a biofluid, including tumor-derived signals as well as signals from the tumor-microenvironment. The majority of the RNA signals obtained in this study are most likely derived from non-malignant (prostate and testicular) cells. The fraction of RNA derived from prostatic cancer cells in our cohort containing mainly Gleason 6 and 7 tumors, may be too low to obtain a clear tumor-derived signal. Most of the cancer samples included in this cohort are indeed obtained in patients with well- to moderately differentiated disease. This might also explain the relatively low number of differentially abundant mRNAs detected in this study. The isolation of prostate

cancer cells from seminal plasma and the isolation of cellular RNA might be an alternative, though labor-intensive, approach. Two findings in our study bolster confidence that we do profile RNA originating from the patient's cancer cells. First, PCA3, a marker with known prostate cancer specificity, is differentially abundant in this cohort. Second, the TMPRSS2:ERG fusion transcript, known for its prostate cancer specificity, was detected in one of the samples obtained from a prostate cancer patient. As the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion transcript has only been detected in prostate cancer cells and it is not transcribed in normal cells, the detection of TMPRSS2-ERG supports the presence of tumor-derived mRNA in seminal plasma.

Twelve high-confidence fusion transcripts were identified in our cohort. Only 2/12 transcripts were previously detected in prostate cancer tissue. Even though stringent filtering steps were applied to avoid false positive fusion transcripts, further validation of the presence of the fusion transcripts in seminal plasma using RT-qPCR is necessary. Fusion transcript BMS1-PTPN20 has been identified in two cancer samples and one healthy individual, suggesting that its contribution to the pathogenesis of prostate cancer might be limited. The TMPRSS2:ERG fusion transcript results in an increased expression of the ERG oncogene and has been identified in half of the prostate cancer tissues as driver of the disease²⁴. The TMPRSS2-ERG fusion transcript has also been investigated in urine as potential liquid biopsy component for the detection of prostate cancer. Tomlins et al. reported the development of the Mi-Prostate Score, an algorithm consisting of the serum PSA level combined with urine TMPRSS2:ERG fusion transcript abundance and urine PCA3 mRNA abundance to predict the risk of detecting prostate cancer on a tissue biopsy³². McKiernan et al. developed a urine-based gene expression assay that discriminates high-grade from low-grade prostate cancer and benign disease in order to reduce the number of unnecessary prostate tissue biopsies³³. While both assays seem promising, their clinical utility remains to be determined.

Two important limitations of seminal plasma as a source of biomarkers are the potential difficulty in obtaining semen from elderly men with erectile dysfunction and the potential personal objections to donate this fluid owing to ethical or religious considerations^{34,35}.

Biomarkers that can accurately detect prostate cancer at an early stage and identify aggressive disease subtypes are needed to improve patient management. In this study we provide proof-of-principle that extracellular RNAs in seminal plasma can be profiled and contain -amongst others- mRNAs that are derived from tumor cells. Further investigation in a larger, prospectively collected cohort using standardized collection procedures and optimized RNA purification is warranted. These future cohorts should also contain a higher proportion of samples obtained from patients with aggressive disease (Gleason > 8), as this group is underrepresented in our study.

Data availability

The raw RNA-sequencing data will be deposited at the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA).

Code availability

The Python and R scripts to reproduce the analyses and plots reported in this paper are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Contributions

J.V. and P.M. conceived and supervised the project; E.H. and J.N. designed and performed the experiments; E.H., A.M. and L.H. analyzed the data; E.H., G.P., M.M., S.L., E.D., J.K., I.P., and N.L. collected samples; E.H. drafted the paper; All authors contributed to manuscript editing and approved the final draft.

Declaration of interests


The authors declare no competing interests.

References


1. Torre, L. A., Siegel, R. L., Ward, E. M. & Jemal, A. Global Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates and Trends-- An Update. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. Publ. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. Cosponsored Am. Soc. Prev. Oncol.* **25**, 16–27 (2016).
2. Kasivisvanathan, V. *et al.* MRI-Targeted or Standard Biopsy for Prostate-Cancer Diagnosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **378**, 1767–1777 (2018).
3. Schröder, F. H. *et al.* Screening and Prostate-Cancer Mortality in a Randomized European Study. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **360**, 1320–1328 (2009).
4. Hulstaert, E. *et al.* Charting Extracellular Transcriptomes in The Human Biofluid RNA Atlas. *Cell Rep.* **33**, 108552 (2020).
5. Helsmoortel, H., Everaert, C., Lumen, N., Ost, P. & Vandesompele, J. Detecting long non-coding RNA biomarkers in prostate cancer liquid biopsies: Hype or hope? *Non-Coding RNA Res.* **3**, 64–74 (2018).
6. Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Working Group*. Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: does PCA3 testing for the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer improve patient health outcomes? *Genet. Med.* **16**, 338–346 (2014).
7. Drabovich, A. P. *et al.* Multi-omics Biomarker Pipeline Reveals Elevated Levels of Protein-glutamine Gamma-glutamyltransferase 4 in Seminal Plasma of Prostate Cancer Patients. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **18**, 1807–1823 (2019).
8. Ponti, G. *et al.* Seminal Cell-Free DNA Assessment as a Novel Prostate Cancer Biomarker. *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* **24**, 941–945 (2018).
9. Roberts, M. J., Richards, R. S., Gardiner, R. A. & Selth, L. A. Seminal fluid: a useful source of prostate cancer biomarkers? *Biomark. Med.* **9**, 77–80 (2015).
10. Barceló, M., Castells, M., Bassas, L., Vigués, F. & Larriba, S. Semen miRNAs Contained in Exosomes as Non-Invasive Biomarkers for Prostate Cancer Diagnosis. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, (2019).
11. Lilja, H. A kallikrein-like serine protease in prostatic fluid cleaves the predominant seminal vesicle protein. *J. Clin. Invest.* **76**, 1899–1903 (1985).
12. Selth, L. A. *et al.* Human seminal fluid as a source of prostate cancer-specific microRNA biomarkers. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **21**, L17–L21 (2014).
13. Roberts, M. J. *et al.* Diagnostic performance of expression of PCA3, Hepsin and miR biomarkers in ejaculate in combination with serum PSA for the detection of prostate cancer: Prostate Cancer Diagnosis Using Ejaculate. *The Prostate* **75**, 539–549 (2015).
14. Hulstaert, E. *et al.* Messenger RNA capture sequencing of extracellular RNA from biofluids using a comprehensive set of spike-in controls. *Submitt. STAR Protoc.* (2021).

15. Lorenzi, L. *et al.* The RNA Atlas, a single nucleotide resolution map of the human transcriptome. *bioRxiv* (2019) doi:10.1101/807529.
16. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).
17. Anders, S., Reyes, A. & Huber, W. Detecting differential usage of exons from RNA-seq data. *Genome Res.* **22**, 2008–2017 (2012).
18. Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 15545–15550 (2005).
19. Nicorici, D. *et al.* FusionCatcher - a tool for finding somatic fusion genes in paired-end RNA-sequencing data. <http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/011650> (2014) doi:10.1101/011650.
20. Decock, A. *et al.* mRNA capture sequencing and RT-qPCR for the detection of pathognomonic, novel and secondary fusion transcripts in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue: a sarcoma showcase.
21. Fennelly, C. & Amaravadi, R. K. Lysosomal Biology in Cancer. in *Lysosomes* (eds. Öllinger, K. & Appelqvist, H.) vol. 1594 293–308 (Springer New York, 2017).
22. Tappel, A. Lysosomal and prostasomal hydrolytic enzymes and redox processes and initiation of prostate cancer. *Med. Hypotheses* **64**, 1170–1172 (2005).
23. Kumar-Sinha, C., Tomlins, S. A. & Chinnaiyan, A. M. Recurrent gene fusions in prostate cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **8**, 497–511 (2008).
24. Tomlins, S. A. *et al.* Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science* **310**, 644–648 (2005).
25. Hu, X. *et al.* TumorFusions: an integrative resource for cancer-associated transcript fusions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D1144–D1149 (2018).
26. Karakosta, T. D., Soosaipillai, A., Diamandis, E. P., Batruch, I. & Drabovich, A. P. Quantification of Human Kallikrein-Related Peptidases in Biological Fluids by Multiplatform Targeted Mass Spectrometry Assays. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics MCP* **15**, 2863–2876 (2016).
27. Neuhaus, J. *et al.* Seminal Plasma as a Source of Prostate Cancer Peptide Biomarker Candidates for Detection of Indolent and Advanced Disease. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e67514 (2013).
28. Fukuchi, J. *et al.* Androgenic Suppression of ATP-binding Cassette Transporter A1 Expression in LNCaP Human Prostate Cancer Cells. *Cancer Res.* **64**, 7682–7685 (2004).
29. Lee, B. H. *et al.* Dysregulation of Cholesterol Homeostasis in Human Prostate Cancer through Loss of ABCA1. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 1211–1218 (2013).
30. Sekine, Y. *et al.* High-Density Lipoprotein Induces Proliferation and Migration of Human Prostate Androgen-Independent Cancer Cells by an ABCA1-Dependent Mechanism. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **8**, 1284–1294 (2010).
31. Xiong, T. *et al.* ATP-binding cassette transporter A1: A promising therapy target for prostate cancer (Review). *Mol. Clin. Oncol.* (2017) doi:10.3892/mco.2017.1506.
32. Tomlins, S. A. *et al.* Urine TMPRSS2:ERG Plus PCA3 for Individualized Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment. *Eur. Urol.* **70**, 45–53 (2016).
33. McKiernan, J. *et al.* A Novel Urine Exosome Gene Expression Assay to Predict High-grade Prostate Cancer at Initial Biopsy. *JAMA Oncol.* **2**, 882–889 (2016).
34. Drabovich, A. P., Saraon, P., Jarvi, K. & Diamandis, E. P. Seminal plasma as a diagnostic fluid for male reproductive system disorders. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* **11**, 278–288 (2014).
35. Hirsh, A. Post-coital sperm retrieval could lead to the wider approval of assisted conception by some religions. *Hum. Reprod.* **11**, 245–247 (1996).

Supplemental figures



Supplemental figure 1. Flowchart justifying the exclusion of seminal plasma samples from the final data-analysis



Supplemental figure 2. Principal component analysis performed on the normalized sequencing data of all genes across all 57 samples. PCA, prostate cancer; BPH, benign prostate hyperplasia





Figure 3. (A) Barplots showing the percentage of the total read count mapping to the human transcriptome per sample (left) and the total number of unique mRNAs that are detected per sample (right). (B) The endogenous RNA mass in ng detected per 1 mL fluid compared between the control samples ($n=32$; consisting of 26 samples from patients with benign prostate hyperplasia and 6 samples from healthy individuals) and the prostate cancer samples ($n=25$;

Wilcoxon-signed rank test, two-sided, $p=0.0429$). PCA, prostate cancer; BPH, benign prostate hyperplasia

Supplemental tables

Supplemental table 1. An overview of the Sequin and ERCC spike-in controls with their stock concentration.

*Supplemental table 2. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients. Per sample the number of total reads sequenced (*totalreads_fastqfile*), the total reads after quality control and after adapter trimming (*totalreads_afterQC_afteradaptertrimming*) and the total reads after PCR duplicate removal (*totalreads_afterdeduplication*) is provided.*

Supplemental table 3. Sequin normalized count table.

Supplemental table 4. Output of the differential expression analysis using DESeq2, comparing prostate cancer samples versus samples of patients with benign prostate hyperplasia and samples of healthy individuals.

Supplemental table 5. Fusion description labels indicated in red have a high probability of being false positive and are filtered out for further analysis. High confidence fusion transcripts are defined as fusion transcripts with only orange or green fusion description labels. High confidence fusion transcripts detected in seminal plasma samples are listed. Per fusion transcript the fusion partners with their genomic location, the fusion description label and the predicted effect of the gene fusion are provided.