

Supplementary Tables. Survey responses from participants regarding Aquarium and the OLA-Simple kit.

Participants were asked to fill out a short survey immediately following the procedure, which included evaluating four statements on a 5-point Likert scale (strongly agree to disagree) (Supplementary **Table 1**) and three open-ended qualitative questions (Supplementary **Table 2**). For ordinal categorical values, modes are displayed for each statement. For open-ended questions, we present a summary of the responses describing the different points raised by all the participants.

Table 1. Responses to statements

Questionnaire statement	Mode
Specimen preparation: I was able to perform these steps in less time than my usual DNA extraction	4
PCR and Ligation*: Using dried reagent is easier than setting up a traditional PCR reaction	4
Detection: I understood the meaning of the bands in the strip	5
Kit instructions: Instructions were easy to follow	5

* Participants 11 and 12 answered NA to the PCR question, likely due to not having performed PCR prior to this training

Rating scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree.

Table 2. Summary of responses to open-ended questions

<p>What did you like best about using this kit?</p>	<p>Which instruction(s) were not easy to follow? Please explain why.</p>	<p>What advice do you have for us to make kit and instructions easier to use?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The instructions were easy to follow • The protocol was easy to understand. • The examples in Aquarium were well labeled and made it easy to know where to add each reagent or sample • The kit is user-friendly and straightforward • The kit is easy to use compared to the plate-based OLA method • There was only one PCR step instead of the usual two for nested PCR • Detection was simplified by Aquarium • Results are generated fast and are also portable • It is easy to read the bands and interpret the results: it clearly shows the control, the wild-type and the mutant • A single sample can be easily processed without the need for batching 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The instructions and procedure were clear and easy to follow. • The instructions were Ok when following a step, but it was difficult to memorize the steps without a written protocol to follow • Some instructions did not include the specific vial or reagent in the heading, but is was in the pictorial • Sample preparation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the multiple tubes and SOP instructions were not alphabetically arranged - includes many tubes, color coding would help to identify which to use first and avoid mix-ups - too many steps make it confusing - the process is long with many reagents and waiting time • During the ligation/detection step had challenges remembering to add reagent to the next strip • At times the tablet was not working, and we did not have a backup plan such as a written protocol with instructions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enlarge the font under every heading. • Include the reagent vial ID on the headings for better clarity • The tube labels should match the SOP instruction labels. • Harmonize the sequence of adding reagents and closing of tubes to reduce multitasking and possible confusion. • Create system for how to keep track of addition of reagents to tubes • State what to expect at the end of each step, e.g. maybe a clean supernatant /deposit so one can confidently move to the next step • Look for alternatives to replace the current sample preparation method • Make the incubation time shorter • Improve turn-around time for clients. • Have print outs of the protocols as a back-up plan. • Make the kit commercially available with all the steps for laboratory technologists to follow.