

 $S1.\ PRISMA$ diagram: Flow chart of study section process.

	Face	mas	sk	No fa	ce ma	sk		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Fikenzer 2020	11.5	2.2	12	12.8	2.8	12	31.1%	-0.50 [-1.31, 0.32]	
Lassing 2020	9.6	1.4	13	11.1	1.8	13	31.2%	-0.90 [-1.71, -0.09]	
Shaw 2020	-57	12	14	-58	14	14	37.6%	0.07 [-0.67, 0.82]	_
Total (95% CI)			39			39	100.0%	-0.41 [-0.86, 0.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = Test for overall effect:	3.09, df: Z = 1.76	= 2 (F (P =	P = 0.21 0.08)	l); I² = 35	%				-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S2. Pooled analysis on the impact of masks on tissue oxygenation index

	Face	e mask		No fa	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total	Mean (mmHg)	SD [mmHg]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Fikenzer 2020	34	6	12	34	4	12	18.1%	0.00 [-4.08, 4.08]	
Shein 2021	39.6	4.8	50	40.1	5	50	81.9%	-0.50 [-2.42, 1.42]	
Fotal (95% CI)			62			62	100.0%	-0.41 [-2.15, 1.33]	
Heterogeneity: Chi≩a	= 0.05_df = 1 (P = 1	0.83) [,] I ^z = 0%							
notorogeneity. Off. •	- 0.00, ar - 1 (r - 1	0.00/, 1 = 0.0							
Test for overall effect	t: $Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6)$	4)							-4 -2 Ó 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6	4)							-4 -2 Ó Ż 4 Favours no mask Favours mask
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6	4) • mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference
) Study or Subgroup	t: Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6 Face Mean [mmHg]	4) e mask SD [mmHg]	Total	No fao Mean [mmHg]	ce mask SD [mmHg]	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (mmHg)
Test for overall effect	E 2 = 0.46 (P = 0.6 Face Mean [mmHg] 35	4) e mask <u>SD [mmHg]</u> 5	Total	No fac Mean (mmHg) 34	ce mask SD [mmHg] 4	Total 12	Weight 13.1%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg] 1.00 [-2.62, 4.62]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (mmHg)
Test for overall effect	E. Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6 Face <u>Mean [mmHg]</u> 35 42.7	e mask <u>SD [mmHg]</u> 5 4	Total 12 20	No fac <u>Mean (mmHg)</u> 34 39.7	ce mask <u>SD [mmHg]</u> 4 3.8	Total 12 20	Weight 13.1% 29.4%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg] 1.00 [-2.62, 4.62] 3.00 [0.58, 5.42]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Study or Subgroup Fikenzer 2020 Kim 2013 Kim 2016	E. Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6 Mean [mmHg] 35 42.7 41.3	e mask SD [mmHg] 5 4 2.4	Total 12 20 12	No fao Mean [mmHg] 34 39.7 40.9	ce mask <u>SD [mmHg]</u> 4 3.8 2.4	Total 12 20 12	Weight 13.1% 29.4% 46.7%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg] 1.00 [-2.62, 4.62] 3.00 [0.58, 5.42] 0.40 [-1.52, 2.32]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Test for overall effect Study or Subgroup Fikenzer 2020 Kim 2013 Kim 2016 Roberge 2010	E. Z = 0.46 (P = 0.6 Mean [mmHg] 35 42.7 41.3 42	e mask <u>SD [mmHg]</u> 5 4 2.4 5.6	Total 12 20 12 10	No fao Mean [mmHg] 34 39.7 40.9 40.8	ce mask SD [mmHg] 4 3.8 2.4 3.2	Total 12 20 12 10	Weight 13.1% 29.4% 46.7% 10.8%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg] 1.00 [-2.62, 4.62] 3.00 [0.58, 5.42] 0.40 [-1.52, 2.32] 1.20 [-2.80, 5.20]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no mask Favours mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]

Supplementary Figure S3. Pooled analysis on the impact of surgical (A) and N95 (B) masks on arterial CO₂

	Face	e mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [ml]	SD [ml]	Total	Mean [ml]	SD [ml]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [ml]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [ml]
Fikenzer 2020	165	35	12	151	26	12	44.3%	14.00 [-10.67, 38.67]	
Lassing 2020	179	26	13	168	31	13	55.7%	11.00 [-10.99, 32.99]	
Total (95% CI)			25			25	100.0%	12.33 [-4.09, 28.75]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = Test for overall effect:	0.03, df = 1 (Z = 1.47 (P =	(P = 0.86); = 0.14)	; ² = 09	6					-20 -10 0 10 20 Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S4. Pooled analysis on the impact of masks on stroke volume

	Face	e mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [L/min]	SD [L/min]	Total	Mean [L/min]	SD [L/min]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
Fikenzer 2020	27.3	5.6	12	25.8	4.2	12	37.0%	1.50 [-2.46, 5.46]	
Lassing 2020	28.6	3.9	13	25.9	4	13	63.0%	2.70 [-0.34, 5.74]	
Total (95% CI)			25			25	100.0%	2.26 [-0.15, 4.67]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = Test for overall effect:	0.22, df = 1 (P = Z = 1.83 (P = 0.	= 0.64); I ² = 0 ⁴ 07)	%						-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S5. Pooled analysis on the impact of masks on cardiac output

A)							
-	Face mask		No face mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mmHg] SD [mn	hHg] Total I	Mean [mmHg] SD [mmH	g] Tota	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Barbeito-Caamaño 20	121 181	29 398	187	27 458	65.3%	-6.00 [-9.78, -2.22]	-8-
Carrizal 2020	182	24 64	174	26 116	6 16.4%	8.00 [0.45, 15.55]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Epstein 2020	143	16 16	143	14 16	6 8.6%	0.00 [-10.42, 10.42]	
Fikenzer 2020	212	29 12	214	18 12	2.5%	-2.00 [-21.31, 17.31]	
Lassing 2020	177	18 13	172	16 13	5.4%	5.00 [-8.09, 18.09]	
Mapelli 2021	173	30 12	164	27 12	2 1.8%	9.00 [-13.84, 31.84]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total (95% CI)		515		625	100.0%	-2.23 [-5.28, 0.82]	
Heterogeneity: Uni* = 1	13.16, 0T = 5 (P = 0.02); P = 62% 7 = 1.42 (P = 0.15)	6					-20 -10 0 10 20
restion overall ellect.	2 = 1.43 (F = 0.13)						Favours no face mask Favours face mask
B)							
5)	Eaco maek		No faco maek			Mean Difference	Moan Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean (mmHa) SD (mmHa)	Total Mea	an [mmHa] SD [mmHa]	Total V	Neight N	V Fixed 95% CI [mmHa]	W Fixed 95% CI [mmHa]
Enctoin 2020	147 46	16	142 14	16	16.6%	4 00 L8 42 14 421	
Epstein 2020	147 16	10	143 14	10	10.0%	4.00 [-0.42, 14.42]	
Fikerizer 2020	210 18	12	214 18	12	7.0%	-4.00[-18.81, 10.81]	
Kyung 2020 Menalli 2024	134 17	90	134 10	90	12.1%	0.00[-4.82, 4.82]	
Mapelli 2021	100 18	12	104 27	12	4.8%	-9.00 [-27.08, 9.08]	
Total (95% CI)		130		130	100.0%	-0.12 [-4.22, 3.97]	+
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.74, df = 3 (P = 0.63); l ² = 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.06 (P = 0.95)						-20 -10 0 10 20 Favours no face mask Favours face mask
C)							
,	Face mask		No face mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mmHg] SD [mmHg]	Total Mea	an [mmHg] SD [mmHg]	Total N	Neight N	V, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Fikenzer 2020	96 37	12	89 10	12	4.0%	7 00 (-14 69 28 69)	
Lassing 2020	72 9	13	75 6	13	55.0%	-3.00 [-8.88, 2.88]	_
Mapelli 2021	89 8	12	88 9	12	41.0%	1.00 [-5.81, 7.81]	
hispoin 2021							
Total (95% CI)		37		37 '	100.0%	-0.96 [-5.32, 3.40]	←
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.30, df = 2 (P = 0.52); l ² = 0%						-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67)						Favours no face mask Favours face mask
נט (
~	Face mask		No face mask	.		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mmHg] SD [mmHg]	iotal Mea	an [mmHg] SD [mmHg]	Total \	Neight N	V, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmHg]
Fikenzer 2020	90 9	12	89 10	9	11.7%	1.00 [-7.28, 9.28]	•
Kyung 2020	79 12	90	79 11	90	71.0%	0.00 [-3.36, 3.36]	
Mapelli 2021	86 8	12	88 9	12	17.3%	-2.00 [-8.81, 4.81]	
Total (95% CI)		114		111	100.0%	-0.23 [-3.06, 2.60]	
Heterogeneity: Chiž –	0.36 df = 2 (P = 0.83); P = 0.94						
Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.16 (P = 0.87)						-10 -5 0 5 10
Souther evenant ellect.	2 - 5.15 (1 - 6.67)						Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S6. Pooled analysis on the impact of masks on blood pressure. A= surgical masks on systolic blood pressure; B= N95 masks on diastolic blood pressure; C= surgical masks on systolic blood pressure; D= N95 masks on diastolic blood pressure

	Face	e mas	k	No fa	ce ma	sk		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Boldrini 2020	5.7	2	25	4.6	2.3	25	31.3%	0.50 [-0.06, 1.07]	
Kim 2016	2.2	0.6	12	1.7	0.8	12	14.5%	0.68 [-0.14, 1.51]	
Person 2018	5.6	1.8	44	4.6	1.3	44	54.2%	0.63 [0.20, 1.06]	
Total (95% CI)			81			81	100.0%	0.60 [0.28, 0.91]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.17, df:	= 2 (F	? = 0.92	2); I ^z = 09	6			ł	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.72	(P =	0.0002)					Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S7. Pooled analysis on the impact of masks on dyspnea

	Face	mask		No fac	e mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [breath/min]	SD [breath/min]	Total	Mean [breath/min]	SD [breath/min]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Epstein 2020	36.5	4	16	36.5	4	16	25.8%	0.00 [-2.77, 2.77]	
Fikenzer 2020	39	6	12	41	5	12	10.2%	-2.00 [-6.42, 2.42]	
Lassing 2020	32.1	5.4	13	34	7.3	13	8.1%	-1.90 [-6.84, 3.04]	
Mapelli 2021	37.7	5.5	12	41.5	8	12	6.6%	-3.80 [-9.29, 1.69]	
Roberge 2012b	24.7	3.7	20	23.7	2.7	20	49.3%	1.00 [-1.01, 3.01]	-+
Total (95% CI)			73			73	100.0%	-0.12 [-1.52, 1.29]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4	4.12, df = 4 (P = 0.39);	I ² = 3%							
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 0.16 (P = 0.87)								-10 -5 U 5 Favours no face mask Favours face mask
- 1									
3)									
3)	Face	mask		No fac	e mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
3) Study or Subgroup	Face Mean (breath/min)	mask SD [breath/min]	Total	No fac Mean [breath/min]	e mask SD [breath/min]	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)
3) Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020	Face Mean (breath/min) 37	mask SD [breath/min] 4	Total 16	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5	e mask SD [breath/min]4	Total 16	Weight 6.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6	Total 16 12	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5	Total 16 12	Weight 6.0% 2.4%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Goh 2019	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37 23.2	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7	Total 16 12 106	No fao Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 23.5	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5 3.5	Total 16 12 106	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37 23.2 30	mask SD [breath/min] 6 3.7 2	Total 16 12 106 10	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 23.5 23.5	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5 3.5 2	Total 16 12 106 10	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breatti/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67] 10.00 [8.25, 11.75]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Gob 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37 23.2 30 23.6	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7 2 5.8	Total 16 12 106 10 20	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 23.5 20 21.7	e mask <u>SD [breath/min]</u> 4 3.5 2 3.4	Total 16 12 106 10 20	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67] 10.00 [8.25, 11.75] 1.90 [-1.05, 4.85]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)
B) Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013 Kim 2016	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37 23.2 30 23.6 28.4	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7 2 5.8 3.2	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 23.5 20 21.7 28.1	e mask SD [breath/min] 5 3.5 2 3.4 7.1	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3% 2.4%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/imin) 0.50 (-2.27, 3.27) -4.00 (-8.42, 0.42) -0.30 (-1.27, 0.67) 10.00 (8.25, 11.75) 1.90 (-1.05, 4.85) 0.30 (-4.11, 4.71)	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)
B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013 Kim 2016 Kyung 2020	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 23.2 30 23.6 28.4 28.4 25.7	mask SD [breath/min] 6 3.7 2 5.8 3.2 7.5	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 23.5 20 21.7 28.1 23.3	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5 3.5 2 3.4 7.1 2.69	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3% 2.4% 17.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breatti/min] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67] 10.00 [8.25, 11.75] 1.90 [-1.05, 4.85] 0.30 [-4.11, 4.71] 2.40 [0.75, 4.05]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Fikenzer 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013 Kim 2016 Kyung 2020 Mapelli 2021	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 37 23.2 30 23.6 28.4 28.4 25.7 37.1	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7 2 5.8 3.2 7.5 7.5 4.5	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12	No fac Mean [breath/min] 36.5 41 20 20 21.7 28.1 23.3 41.5	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5 3.6 2 3.4 7.1 2.69 8	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3% 2.4% 17.0% 1.7%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min] 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27) -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67] 1.000 [8.25, 11.75] 1.90 [-1.05, 4.85] 0.30 [-4.11, 4.71] 2.40 [-9.59, 0.79] -4.40 [-9.59, 0.79]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [breath/min]
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013 Kim 2016 Kyung 2020 Mapelli 2021 Roberge 2010	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 23.2 30 23.6 28.4 25.7 37.1 26.6	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7 2 5.8 3.2 7.5 8 3.2 7.5 6.8	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12 10	No fac Mean [breatthmin] 36.5 41 23.5 20 21.7 28.1 23.3 41.5 27.7	e mask SD [breath/min] 4 5 3.65 2 3.4 7.1 2.69 8 8 8.6	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12 10	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3% 2.4% 17.0% 1.7% 1.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min) 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27) -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] 0.30 [-1.27, 0.87] 10.00 [8.25, 11.75] 1.90 [-1.05, 4.85] 0.30 [-4.11, 4.71] 2.40 [0.75, 4.05] -4.40 [-9.59, 0.79] -1.10 [-7.90, 5.70]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)
Study or Subgroup Epstein 2020 Goh 2019 Jones 1991 Kim 2013 Kim 2016 Kyung 2020 Mapelli 2021 Roberge 2010 Total (95% CI)	Face Mean [breath/min] 37 23.2 30 23.6 28.4 25.7 37.1 26.6	mask SD [breath/min] 4 6 3.7 2 5.8 3.2 7.5 4.5 6.8	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12 10 288	No fac Mean [breatthmin] 36.5 41 23.5 200 21.7 28.1 23.3 41.5 27.7	e mask <u>SD [breath/min]</u> 4 5 3.5 2 3.4 7.1 2.69 8 8.6	Total 16 12 106 10 20 12 90 12 10 288	Weight 6.0% 2.4% 49.1% 15.0% 5.3% 2.4% 17.0% 1.7% 1.0% 100.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/imin) 0.50 [-2.27, 3.27] -4.00 [-8.42, 0.42] -0.30 [-1.27, 0.67] 10.00 [8.25, 11.75] 1.90 [-1.05, 4.85] 0.30 [-4.11, 4.71] 2.40 [0.75, 4.05] -4.40 [-9.59, 0.79] -1.10 [-7.90, 5.70] 1.72 [1.04, 2.40]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI (breath/min)

Supplementary Figure S8. Pooled analysis on the impact of surgical (A) and N95 (B) masks on respiratory rate.

	Face	mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [L/min]	SD [L/min]	Total	Mean [L/min]	SD [L/min]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
Fikenzer 2020	114	23	12	131	28	12	8.2%	-17.00 [-37.50, 3.50]	
assing 2020	77	9	13	82	11	13	57.6%	-5.00 [-12.73, 2.73]	
i 2021 (females)	43.2	11.7	5	51.8	13.4	5	14.1%	-8.60 [-24.19, 6.99]	
.i 2021 (males)	62.6	15.4	5	74	13.4	5	10.7%	-11.40 [-29.29, 6.49]	
lapelli 2021	76.2	21.6	12	92.3	26	12	9.4%	-16.10 [-35.22, 3.02]	
-4-1/05% CD							100.00		•
lotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = : Z = 2.75 (P = 0.1	0.71); i² = 04 006)	47 %			47	100.0%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36]	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask
otal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = : Z = 2.75 (P = 0.1	0.71); I² = 04 006)	*			47	100.0%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36]	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask Favours face mask
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Fest for overall effect	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = : Z = 2.75 (P = 0.1 Face	0.71); I² = 04 006) • mask	%	No fac	ce mask	47	100.0%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask Favours face mask
oran (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Fest for overall effect) Study or Subgroup	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = : Z = 2.75 (P = 0.) Face Mean [L/min]	0.71); I ² = 0 ⁴ 006) • mask SD [L/min]	47 % Total	No fac Mean (L/min)	ce mask SD [L/min]	47 Total	100.0% Weight	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask Favours face mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
iorai (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi [#] = Fest for overall effect) Study or Subgroup Fikenzer 2020	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = : Z = 2.75 (P = 0.1 Face <u>Mean [L/min]</u> 99	0.71); I ² = 0 006) • mask <u>SD [L/min]</u> 19	47 % <u>Total</u> 12	No fac Mean (L/min) 131	ce mask SD [L/min] 28	47 Total 12	100.0% Weight 7.0%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min] -32.00 [-51.15, -12.85]	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask Favours face mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
ioran (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi [#] = Fest for overall effect) Study or Subgroup Tikenzer 2020 Mapelli 2021	2.15, df = 4 (P = Z = 2.75 (P = 0. Face <u>Mean [L/min]</u> 99 71.6	0.71); I ² = 0 ⁴ 006) • mask <u>SD [L/min] 19 21.2</u>	47 % <u>Total</u> 12 12	No fac <u>Mean [L/min]</u> 131 92.3	ce mask SD [L/min] 28 26	47 Total 12 12	Weight 7.0% 7.2%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min] -32.00 [-51.15, -12.85] -20.70 [-33.68, -1.72]	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no face mask Favours face mask Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
etar (95% CI) leterogeneity: Chi ² = est for overall effect) tudy or Subgroup ikenzer 2020 (appelli 2021 Roberge 2010	2.15, df = 4 (P = Z = 2.75 (P = 0. Face <u>Mean [L/min]</u> 99 71.6 24.4	0.71); ² = 0 006) e mask <u>SD [L/min]</u> 19 21.2 6	47 % Total 12 12 10	No fao Mean [L/min] 131 92.3 23	ce mask SD [L/min] 28 26 6.5	47 <u>Total</u> 12 12 10	Weight 7.0% 7.2% 85.8%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min] -32.00 [-51.15, -12.85] -20.70 [-39.68, -1.72] 1.40 [-4.08, 6.88]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]
loran (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect tudy or Subgroup Tikenzer 2020 Mapelli 2021 Roberge 2010 Total (95% CI)	: 2.15, df = 4 (P = Z = 2.75 (P = 0.) Face Mean [L/min] 99 71.6 24.4	0.71); ² = 0 006) • mask <u>SD [L/min]</u> 19 21.2 6	47 % Total 12 12 10 34	No fao Mean [Limin] 131 92.3 23	ce mask SD [L/min] 28 26 6.5	47 <u>Total</u> 12 12 10 34	Weight 7.0% 7.2% 85.8% 100.0%	-8.22 [-14.08, -2.36] Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min] -32.00 [-51.15, -12.85] -20.70 [-38.68, -1.72] 1.40 [-4.08, 6.88] -2.53 [-7.61, 2.55]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L/min]

Supplementary Figure S9. Pooled analysis on the impact of surgical (A) and N95 (B) masks on ventilation.

A)									
	Face	mask		No fac	e mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [L]	SD [L]	Total	Mean [L]	SD [L]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L]
Fikenzer 2020	2.9	0.5	12	3.2	0.7	12	13.3%	-0.30 [-0.79, 0.19]	
Lassing 2020	2.5	0.4	13	2.5	0.4	13	33.3%	0.00 [-0.31, 0.31]	_
Li 2021 (females)	1.21	0.28	5	1.33	0.28	5	26.2%	-0.12 [-0.47, 0.23]	
Li 2021 (males)	1.9	0.4	5	2.23	0.31	5	16.0%	-0.33 [-0.77, 0.11]	
Mapelli 2021	2.05	0.6	12	2.28	0.72	12	11.2%	-0.23 [-0.76, 0.30]	
Total (95% CI)			47			47	100.0%	-0.15 [-0.33, 0.03]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.03, df = 4	(P = 0.7)	3); I ^z =	0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.66 (P	= 0.10)							Favours no face mask Favours face mask
B)									
,	Face	mask		No fac	e mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [L]	SD [L]	Total	Mean [L]	SD [L]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [L]
Fikenzer 2020	2.7	0.4	12	3.2	0.7	12	14.3%	-0.50 [-0.96, -0.04]	
Mapelli 2021	1.96	0.65	12	2.28	0.72	12	9.8%	-0.32 [-0.87, 0.23]	
Roberge 2010	0.95	0.24	10	0.86	0.21	10	75.9%	0.09 [-0.11, 0.29]	
Total (95% CI)			34			34	100.0%	-0.03 [-0.21, 0.14]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	6.56, df = 2	(P = 0.0	4); I ^z =	70%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.39 (P	= 0.70)							Favours no face mask Favours face mask

Supplementary Figure S10. Pooled analysis on the impact of surgical (A) and N95 (B) masks on tidal volume

A)									
	Face	mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mmol/l]	SD [mmol/l]	Total	Mean [mmol/l]	SD [mmol/l]	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/I]	IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/I]
Boldrini 2020	3.3	2.05	25	3.46	2.29	25	33.0%	-0.16 [-1.36, 1.04]	
Fikenzer 2020	11	3.9	12	12.8	3.1	12	6.0%	-1.80 [-4.62, 1.02]	
Lassing 2020	4.71	1.42	13	4.27	1.46	13	39.1%	0.44 [-0.67, 1.55]	
Ramos-Campo 2021	5.3	2.1	14	4.2	1.9	14	21.8%	1.10 [-0.38, 2.58]	+
Total (95% CI)			64			64	100.0%	0.25 [-0.44, 0.94]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3 Test for overall effect: Z	.85, df = 3 (P = 0.2 := 0.71 (P = 0.48)	:8); I² = 22%							-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours no face mask Favours face mask
В)									
В)	Face	mask		No fac	ce mask			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
B) Study or Subgroup	Face Mean [mmol/]	e mask SD [mmol/l]	Total	No fac Mean [mmol/l]	ce mask SD [mmol/l]	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/1]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/l]
B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Fikenzer 2020	Face Mean [mmol/l] 10.8	e mask <u>SD [mmol/l]</u> 3.1	Total	No fac <u>Mean [mmol/]</u> 12.8	ce mask SD [mmol/l] 3.1	Total 12	Weight 30.5%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/] -2.00 [-4.48, 0.48]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/]
B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Fikenzer 2020 Ramos-Campo 2021	Face <u>Mean [mmol/l]</u> 10.8 4.5	e mask <u>SD [mmol/l]</u> 3.1 2.5	Total 12 14	No fao <u>Mean [mmol/l]</u> 12.8 4.2	ce mask <u>SD [mmol/I]</u> 3.1 1.9	Total 12 14	Weight 30.5% 69.5%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/l] -2.00 [-4.48, 0.48] 0.30 [-1.34, 1.94]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/]
B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Fikenzer 2020 Ramos-Campo 2021 Total (95% CI)	Face <u>Mean [mmol/l]</u> 10.8 4.5	e mask SD [mmol/I] 3.1 2.5	Total 12 14 26	No fac Mean [mmol/l] 12.8 4.2	ce mask <u>SD [mmol/l]</u> 3.1 1.9	<u>Total</u> 12 14 26	Weight 30.5% 69.5% 100.0%	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/i] -2.00 [-4.48, 0.48] 0.30 [-1.34, 1.94] -0.40 [-1.77, 0.97]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI [mmol/]

Supplementary Figure S11. Pooled analysis of the effects of surgical (A) and N95 (B) masks on lactate.