





Transmission characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern

Rapid Scoping Review

Date of Literature Search: 2/21/2021 (databases); 3/1/2021 (grey literature)

Date of Submission: 3/12/2021; 3/17/2021 (edited)

Prepared By:

Curran, J; Dol, J; Boulos, L; Somerville, M; McCulloch, H

Contact:

Dr. Janet A Curran

Email: jacurran@dal.ca

Funding Acknowledgement(s)

The SPOR Evidence Alliance (<u>SPOR EA</u>) is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (<u>CIHR</u>) under the Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research (<u>SPOR</u>) initiative.

COVID-19 Evidence Network to support Decision-making (<u>COVID-END</u>) is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (<u>CIHR</u>) through the Canadian 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Rapid Research Funding opportunity.

Project Contributors

Janet Curran, Dalhousie University, Co-Investigator
Justine Dol, Dalhousie University, Research Coordinator
Leah Boulos, MSSU, Evidence Synthesis Coordinator
Mari Somerville, Dalhousie University, Postdoctoral fellow
Holly McCulloch, IWK Health Centre, Project Administrator
Marilyn MacDonald, JBI Centre of Excellence, School of Nursing, Dalhousie University
Jason LeBlanc PhD FCCM, D(ABMM), Director of Virology, Immunology, Molecular Microbiology,
Content Expert

Lisa Barrett MD PhD FRCPC, Clinician Scientist, Infectious Diseases, NSHA, Content Expert Todd Hatchette MD FRCPC, Chief, Division of Microbiology, NSHA, Content Expert Jeannette Comeau MD MSc FRCPC FAAP, Pediatric Infectious Diseases Consultant, Content Expert Bearach Reynolds Mb BCh BAO BA MRCPI, ESI Fellow Danielle Shin, Dalhousie University, Research Assistant Allyson Gallant, Dalhousie University, Research Assistant Helen Wong, Dalhousie University, Research Assistant Daniel Crowther, Dalhousie University, Research Assistant Ziwa Yu, Dalhousie University, Research Assistant

Copyright Claims/General Disclaimer

This report was prepared by Nova Scotia COVID-END Evidence Synthesis Group on behalf of the SPOR Evidence Alliance and COVID-END. It was developed through the analysis, interpretation and synthesis of scientific research and/or health technology assessments published in peer-reviewed journals, institutional websites and other distribution channels. It also incorporates selected information provided by experts on the subject matter. This document may not fully reflect all the scientific evidence available at the time this report was prepared. Other relevant scientific findings may have been reported since completion of this synthesis report.

SPOR Evidence Alliance, COVID-END and the project team make no warranty, express or implied, nor assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, data, product, or process disclosed in this report. Conclusions drawn from, or actions undertaken on the basis of, information included in this report are the sole responsibility of the user.

For questions about this report, please contact:

Dr. Janet Curran, Email: jacurran@dal.ca

Contents

Questions 2 Results......4 Limitations4 Question 1: How much more transmissible are the VOCs?......11 Question 2: Why are they more transmissible?.....15 References 20 Appendix A: Literature Search Strategy24

Abstract

Background: As of March 2021, three SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOC) have been identified (B.1.1.7, B.1.351 and P.1) and been detected in over 111 countries. Despite their widespread circulation, little is known about their transmission characteristics. There is a need to understand current evidence on VOCs before practice and policy decisions can be made. This study aimed to map the evidence related to the transmission characteristics of three VOCs.

Methods: A rapid scoping review approach was used. Seven databases were searched on February 21, 2021 for terms related to VOCs, transmission, public health and health systems. A grey literature search was conducted on February 26, 2021. Title/abstracts were screened independently by one reviewer, while full texts were screened in duplicate. Data were extracted using a standardized form which was co-developed with infectious disease experts. A second data extractor verified the results. Studies were included if they reported on at least one of the VOCs and transmissibility. Animal studies and modeling studies were excluded. The final report was reviewed by content experts.

Results: Of the 1796 articles and 67 grey literature sources retrieved, 16 papers and 7 grey sources were included. Included studies used a wide range of designs and methods. The majority (n=20) reported on B.1.1.7. Risk of transmission, reported in 15 studies, was 45-71% higher for B.1.1.7 compared to non-VOCs, while R₀ was 75-78% higher and the reported R_t ranged from 1.1-2.8. There was insufficient evidence on the transmission risk of B.1.35.1 and P.1. Twelve studies discussed the mechanism of transmission of VOCs. Evidence suggests an increase in viral load among VOCs based on cycle threshold values, and possible immune evasion due to increased ACE2 binding capacity of VOCs. However, findings should be interpreted with caution due to the variability in study designs and methods.

Conclusion: VOCs appear to be more transmissible than non-VOCs, however the mechanism of transmission is unclear. With majority of studies focusing on the B.1.1.7 VOC, more research is needed to build upon these preliminary findings. It is recommended that decision-makers continue to monitor VOCs and emerging evidence on this topic to inform public health policy.

Definitions

20A.EU1: also known as B.1.177, first identified in Spain, contains a mutation called A222V on the viral spike protein

ACE2: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2, receptor site on cells including where SARS-CoV-2 binds

B.1.1.7: variant of concern originating in the United Kingdom, also known as VUI 202012/01 and VOC 202012/01

B.1.351: variant of concern originating in South Africa

P.1: variant of concern originating in Brazil, also known as B.1.28.1

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CI: confidence interval

COG-UK: COVID-19 Genomics UK

CrI: credible interval

GISAID: Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data

Ct: cycle threshold, provides a relative measure of viral quantity

PCR: polymerase chain reaction, method for DNA replication and genome sequencing

NERVTAG: New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group

RBD: receptor binding domain, fragment of a virus which binds to a specific receptor to gain entry to a cell

R_t: effective reproduction number, number of new cases generated in a population at a certain time period while factoring in immunity

R₀: basic reproduction number, expected number of cases generated by one case in a population when everyone is susceptible to infection

SA: South Africa

SGTF: spike OR S gene target failure, correlates with the increase of confirmed, sequenced variants

UK: United Kingdom
US: United States
VOC: variant of concern

VOI: variant of interest

VUI: variant under investigation **WHO**: World Health Organization

Introduction

COVID-19, caused by the virus SARS-CoV-2, was declared a worldwide pandemic on March 11th, 2020 by the World Health Organization (WHO).¹ Since then, it has claimed over 2.45 million lives and 110 million cases have been identified as of February 19th, 2021.² COVID-19 has had a significant impact worldwide, shutting down schools and workplaces, disrupting travel and trade, causing significant mortality and morbidity, particularly among vulnerable populations, and negatively impacting people's mental health.¹,³

A growing area of interest are Variants of Concern (VOCs). SARS-CoV-2 VOCs result in changes in transmissibility, clinical presentation and severity, or they may have an impact on countermeasures, including diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccines.³ As of February 20th, 2021, three VOCs have been identified from 3 different genetic lineages: B.1.1.7 (201/501.1.V1 or 202012/01) in the UK,⁴ B.1.351 (20H/501Y.V2) in South Africa,⁵ and P.1 (previously known as B.1.1.28.1) in Brazil.⁶ The first VOC identified was the B.1.1.7 on December 14th, 2020, which contained 23 nucleotide substitutions (including eight spike mutations among 14 non-synonymous mutations)⁷ and was not phylogenetically related to the SARS-CoV-2 virus.³ As of March 9, 2021, this variant was found in 111 countries/ territories/ areas. Similarly, the VOCs found in South Africa and Brazil have been detected in 58 and 32 countries, respectively.⁸

What remains unknown is the precise degree of impact these variants have on COVID-19 transmission, and the reason for the increased transmissibility, as well as the clinical impact of these strains on severe disease, hospitalization, and death. Previous studies have suggested that VOCs are more transmissible than the original strain, but there is limited evidence related to exactly how much more transmissible they are, due to the heterogeneity in study design and modeling approaches.³ There is a need to understand more about VOCs as changes to public health recommendations may be required to minimize the further spread of COVID-19.

In order to inform evidence-based recommendations that can reduce VOC-associated risk, health systems need to understand the existing literature and potential impacts of VOCs. Due to the emerging nature of this topic, no current or underway systematic reviews or scoping reviews on the topic were identified. Therefore, the aim of this rapid scoping review is to map the literature related to the transmission characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 VOCs.

Questions

This research brief presents evidence related to the following questions about transmission of the three major SARS-CoV-2 VOC as known in March 2021 (B.1.1.7 or UK variant; B.1.351 or South Africa variant; P.1 or Brazil variant):

- 1. How much more transmissible are they? (both in greater susceptibility to infection given exposure and in greater infectiousness once infected)?
- 2. Why are they more transmissible? (e.g., does the mechanism of transmission vary, can transmission happen with less exposure, and does the timing of peak viral load vary?)
- 3. What criteria can be used to define new variants of concern?

Design

Rapid scoping review, following standardized rapid and scoping review guidelines.9-11

Methods

An information specialist designed a broad, comprehensive search to retrieve all literature related to the VOCs. The electronic database search was executed on February 21st, 2021 in MEDLINE, Embase, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) and Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Epistemonikos' L·OVE on COVID-19, and medRxiv and bioRxiv concurrently. The electronic database search was followed up by a grey literature search, executed February 26th to March 1st, 2021, in a list of specific websites in addition to broader searches of Google and Twitter. Only English-language searches were conducted, but non-English results were considered for inclusion. Full search details are shared in Appendix A.

Evidence specific to VOC transmission was identified and tagged during the screening process. For the purpose of this review, measures of VOC transmission included any of the following: decreases in PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values (as a proxy for viral load), changes in reproduction number, growth rate increases in specific lineages based on genomic data, markers for pathogenesis changes (i.e. *In vitro* changes in the binding affinity of spike protein receptor binding domain to the ACE2 receptor), changes in neutralization activity of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, and gene detection drop-outs like S-gene target failure (SGTF) in diagnostic assays.

Animal studies were excluded. Studies that only reported predictive modelling data were excluded. Reviews, overviews, and news articles that presented no original data were excluded, but checked for references to primary studies, which were screened for inclusion. Title/abstract screening was completed by a single reviewer in Covidence; full text screening was completed by one reviewer and verified by a second. The data extraction form was designed in consultation with infectious disease specialists and microbiologists; data were extracted by one reviewer and verified by a second. Critical appraisal was not conducted, but will be a feature of future reports. The final report was reviewed by infectious disease experts and microbiologists engaged as content experts on our team.

Results

The search retrieved 1796 electronic database records and 67 grey literature records, of which 16 and 7 were included, respectively (see Appendix B: PRISMA Flow Diagram). Of the 23 sources identified, 13 were pre-prints, three were published in peer reviewed journals, and seven were grey literatures sources. Three sources report on P.1^{6,12,13}, three sources report on B.1.351^{13–15} and 20 sources report on B.1.1.7^{4,13,15–32} (Table 1). One source reported on both the SA VOC and UK VOC¹⁵ and one reported on all three.¹³ Most studies (n=13) report on data from the UK, three studies reported on data from the United States^{20,23,25}, two from Brazil,^{6,12} and one each from Israel,¹⁷ Wales,³² and Zambia.¹⁴ Two studies reported on data from multiple countries.^{13,15}

Limitations

As this was a rapid scoping review, **formal critical appraisal of included studies was omitted**. The next update of this report will include critical appraisal of this and other literature identified in the updated search. Of note, most included sources have not been peer-reviewed. Considering this and the fact that this is an emerging field of research, findings should be interpreted with caution until more evidence becomes available. We also identified significant heterogeneity between studies in terms of analysis and reporting, testing approaches for the VOCs, and sample size. A separate search for VOC definitions was not executed due to time constraints. Data informing question 3 was extracted from studies included for question 1 and 2.

Author	nary of Sour Date	Source	Objective	Sampling & Outcome of interest	Variant	Country	Main gipding relevant to transantssion
WALKER ET AL ¹⁶	Jan 15, 2021	medRxiv	To investigate whether B.1.1.7 variant is associate d with higher infection rates, overall or particularly in children.	RT-PCR nasal and throat swabs from Sept 28, 2020-January 2, 2021 from 372,626 participants across the UK. RT-PCR tested for N protein, S protein, and ORF1ab. Positives for B.1.1.7 must have included N protein and ORF1ab (and a negative 2-gene for SGTF). Cycle threshold (Ct) values considered a proxy for viral load.	B.1.1.7	UK	Identified an increase in SGTF positivity (B.1.1.7) while non-SGTF growth was stable or decreasing, with righer infection rates in adults and titleren. Found no evidence that Ct values were intrinsically substantially lower in SGTF-positives.
MUNITZ ET AL ¹⁷	Feb 19, 2021	medRxiv	To explore the transmission dynamics of B.1.1.7 variant and to analyze the effect of three noteworthy programs namely, mass PCR testing, focused protection of the elderly and an unparalleled prioritized vaccination program.	Analyzed >300,000 RT-PCR general community and nursing home sample between December 6, 2020 to February 10, 2021. Reported on the effective reproduction number (Rt), defined as the expected number of new infections at time t caused by an infectious individual.	B.1.1.7	Israel	With six six-week period B.1.1.7 became the dominant strain compared to wildtype SARS-CoV-2 (more than 90% of positive test). B.1.48 was also 45% more transplesible than the wildtype stain in the rise was seen more prominently in population aged 0-59. This wilding attributed to the vaccine program targeting 60+
DAVIES ET AL ⁴	Feb 7, 2021	medRxiv	To determine the relative transmissibility of B.1.1.7	Over 150,000 SARS-CoV-2 samples from across the UK, as part of the COG-UK dataset as of January 2021. Growth rate of B.1.1.7 was performed using multinomial and logistical regression.	B.1.1.7	UK	B.1 3 3 3 43-82% (CI 38-106%) high san preexisting variants of SARS CoV-2 in England.
KIDD ET AL ¹⁸	Feb 13, 2021	Journal of Infectious Diseases	To find evidence of B.1.1.7 variant in routine testing results in UK	RT-qPCR cycle threshold (Ct) values from 641 SARS-CoV-2 positive results between Oct 25-Nov 25, 2020. Raw Ct values were analyzed for the	B.1.1.7	UK	Identia disconsistion of SGTF positive samples compared with other gene targets ORF of SGTF vs 2%;

							medRxiv prep (which was
				presence of ORF1lab, N, or S-gene target. No signal detected were defined as SGTF. Viral loads were based on lab performance for the Qnostics EQA panel.			p<.0ঞ্জুলু01). Significantly more SGTF had higher inferred viral loads between 1 X 10 ⁷ and 1 X 10 ⁸
LEUNG ET AL ¹⁹	Jan 7, 2021	Euro Surveillanc e	To compare the transmissibility of B.1.1.7 to the 501Y Variant 1	Comparative transmissibility based on 7,003 genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 from the GISAID database on December 19, 2020.	B.1.1.7	UK	Findings indicate that B.1.1.7 is estimated to present an R_0 1.75 times higher than 501N, meaning it is 75% more transmissible compared with 6501 N strain.
LIU ET AL ²⁰	Feb 5, 2021	bioRxiv	To test whether B.1.1.7, 20l/501Y.V1 is more transmissible due to increased bonding affinity.	Binding affinity of VOC RBD for ACE2, using a sample of 293F cells.	B.1.1.7	US	B.1 A Nariant determined to be muther fore contagious than the original version (N501-RBD). This muther version of RBD was found to be human ACE2 ~10 times more in the native version (N50 EBBD).
GRAHAM ET AL ²¹	Jan 29, 2021	bioRxiv	To examine the association between the regional proportion of B.1.1.7 and reported symptoms, disease course, rates of reinfection and transmissibility	Self-reported results of 36,920 COVID Symptom Study app participants, between 28 September and 27 December 2020. Association between regional proportion of B.1.1.7 and participant symptoms, demographics, disease course, rates of reinfection and transmissibility.	B.1.1.7	UK	An increase reproduction number R(t) (95% CI 1.06-1.71) associated with B.1.1.7 variant was found between the proportion of B.1.1.7 in circulation and disease severity, hospitalis with long symptom duration. No evidence of increased rates and infection with B.1.1.7.
HUNTER ET AL ²²	Jan 4, 2021	medRxiv	To determine what value the national lockdown had on controlling the epidemic in England and particularly to investigate the impact of	Daily case data from 315 local authorities, available through the English Department of Health and Social Care daily COVID dashboard. Incidence and R-value per jurisdiction.	B.1.1.7	UK	Following the lockdown there was a mean seduction in R value of 0.7 across all locals. The new variant was estimated to add .4 or greater to the Randlue across all locals.
							r this preprint perpetuity.

			this pre-lockdown surge in infections.				medRxiv preprint doi:
KISSLER ET AL ²³	Feb 19, 2021	medRxiv	To test whether acute infection of B.1.1.7 is associated with higher concentration/longer duration of viral concentration.	Assessed longitudinal PCR tests performed in a cohort of 65 individuals affiliated with a professional sport league infected with SARS-CoV-2 undergoing daily surveillance testing, including seven infected with B.1.1.7, as confirmed by whole genome sequencing.	B.1.1.7	US	SARS CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 may cause longer infections (13.3 days vs 8.2 days) with similar peak viral (Ct 19.0 \$20.2) concentration compared to non-B.1.1.7 SARS-CoV-3, and this extended duration may contribute to B.1.1.7 SARS-CoV-3 increased transmissibility.
GOLUBCHIK ET AL ²⁴	Jan 15, 2021	medRxiv	To determine if new variant results in higher viral loads.	All available SARS-CoV-2 sequence data and associated metadata from across the UK, as part of the COG-UK dataset as of January 2021. Sequenced RT-QPCR SARS-CoV-2 positive samples. Used log ₁₀ (mapped reads) as a proxy for viral load. Also reported Ct values.	B.1.1.7	UK	Acres all data there was a 3-fold higher sequence derived) viral load in the solly variant compared with 501Nd ariant (p=0.014). Differences in viral toad were identified between geographic locations. Samples from Green London had significantly lower all loads than other locations (p=0.016) and the association between Y501 and higher viral loads was significant in this region (p=0.012)
WASHINGTO N ET AL ²⁵	Feb 7, 2021	medRxiv	To investigate the prevalence and growth dynamics of the variant in the United States.	Sequenced 212 B.1.1.7 SARS-CoV-2 genomes collected from testing facilities in the U.S. from December 2020 to January 2021. Used RT-qPCR testing anomaly of SGTF as proxy for variant detection. Proportion of variant in daily positive cases	B.1.1.7	US	As of the date of publication the B.1 growth rate has increased by 35-45 across the country, doubling in relative frequency about every week and a half.
ZHAO ET AL ²⁶	Jan 28, 2021	Journal of Travel	To develop a framework to infer increased	SARS-CoV-2 sequencing data and COVID- 19 surveillance data was collected from 1	B.1.1.7	UK	501Y है बारां is estimated at 52% (95% है पेंट्रिंट 46-58) more transmissible

		Medicine	transmission with new	August to 31 December 2020, via the public			medRxiv preprie 501N variant, which
			variant (later identified as B.1.1.7)	databases released by GISAID and WHO. Number of cases, reproduction number, proportion of cases with 501Y variant.			indicates a transmission advantage of N5 at y substitution.
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGLAND ²⁷	Dec 15, 2020	Unknown	To determine prevalence and growth rates of B.1.1.7 variant	All genome sequence data available from the Milton Keynes Lighthouse Laboratory as of December 2020 were assessed and prevalence of variant per positive cases in geographic region reported.	B.1.1.7	UK	Indication that B.1.1.7 grows 71% \$\frac{1}{25}\%\$ CI: 67%-75\%) faster per generation (6.5 days), yet consistent frequency does not indicate a constant selective advagagage of B.1.1.7
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGLAND ²⁸	Dec 21, 2020	Unknown	To provide an update on the SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern 202012/01	962 genome sequences from Kent, UK, available through the COG-UK database. Prevalence and transmission rate.	B.1.1.7	UK	The CC (B.1.1.7) has grown rapidly in the Suk and has been assessed as having substantially increased transprises bility.
COLLIER ET AL ²⁹	2020	medRxiv	To assess immune response following vaccination with mRNA-based vaccine BNT162b2	Neutralizing antibody responses of 23 adults who had received the BioNTech vaccine using pseudo viruses expressing the wild-type Spike protein or the 8 mutations found in the B.1.1.7 Spike protein	B.1.1.7	UK	Enhanced binding of the B.1.4 A seceptor binding domain to human ACE2 might be associated with going transmission and possibly reduced opportunity for antigody binding.
NERVTAG ³⁰	Dec 18, 2020	Unknown	To review the risk of transmission of VUI-202012/01	Transmissibility based on four analytic approaches: growth rate, R-value correlation, PCR ct values, and viral load from unique genome reads from multiple databases across the UK.	B.1.1.7	UK	Mode confidence that B.1.1.7 demode strates a substantial increase in transmissibility compared to other variages
NERVTAG ³¹	Jan 21, 2021	Unknown	To report updated and additional analyses which strengthen the previous finding of increased disease severity in people infected with VOC B.1.1.7	Risk of hospitalization and death based on assessments from multiple databases across the UK.	B.1.1.7	UK	It is likely that infection with VOC B.1.1 gs associated with an increased risk of hospitalization and deather managed to infection with non-VOC viruses
							for this preprint tin perpetuity.

							medRxiv prei
WELSH GOVERNME NT ³²	Jan 7, 2021	Unknown	To provide an update on the VOC 202012/01 for Wales	All available S-gene data for Wales as of 2nd January 2021. Risk of transmission based on R-value and Rt	B.1.1.7	Wales	The new VOC 202012/01 is more transmissible than the current 'wild type' ARS CoV-2, and is likely to become the dominant variant in Wales across the whole population in a new ter of weeks
CDC ¹⁴	Feb 26, 2021	Unknown	To provide an update on the detection of B.1.351 VOC in Zambia	Analysis of 23 SARS-CoV-2 specimens collected between 16th to 23rd December from the University of Zambia and PATH Prevalence and viral load	B.1.351	Zambia	Spread of the B.1.351 variant is of public health concern because of the potential for increased transpositions, hospitalizations and deal of the potential special concerns the potential co
NAVECA ET AL ⁶	2021	Research Square	To determine the genetic epidemiology study of emergence of P.1 variant of concern	Generated 250 SARS-CoV-2 high-quality whole-genome sequences from individuals living in 25 municipalities of the Amazonas state between 16th March 2020 and 13th January 2021	P.1	Brazil	P.1 Selections.
FARIA ET AL ¹²	Jan 12, 2021	medRxiv	To report on the evolution and emergence of the P.1 variant of concern	Analysis of 436 SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR positive results from a testing laboratory in Manaus from 1 November 2020 to 9 January 2021. Molecular clock analysis, transmissibility and immune evasion	P.1	Brazil	It cannot yet be determined if P.1 infection is associated with increased viral look or a longer duration of infection.
							t holder for this preprint preprint in perpetuity.

							medRxiv prep (which was
GRABOWSKI ET AL ¹⁵	Feb 21, 2021	medRxiv	To determine the growth ratio of VOC between Oct 19-Dec 20 and the estimated replicative advantage of B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 compared to 20A.EU1 strain.	SGTF as proxy for prevalence of the VOC genome. Aggregated weekly data from England and South Africa submitted to GISAID and samples collected in Wales, Scotland, Denmark and US. Relative replication estimate, assuming the same average serial interval of 6.73 days	B.1.1.7, B.1.351	UK, Wal es, Denmar k, Scotlan d, US	The replicable advantage of the B.1.1 sover the A20.EU1 strain is in the range of 1.83-2.18 in the UK and 1.69-276 in Denmark, Scotland, Wales and the US. The replicable advantage of the B.1.351 was estimated at 1.55.
WHO ¹³	Feb 23, 2021	Unknown	To provide an update on ongoing studies and the geographical distribution of select VOCs as reported by countries as of 23 February 2021.	Analysis of official laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths as reported to WHO by countries, territories and regions. Global prevalence and emerging information on key VOCs	B.1.1.7, B.1.351 , P.1	Internati onal	Increased transmissibility has been reported for some VOCs resulting in increased incidence in settings experiencing community transfillsion.
							21255515; this version posted April 25, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint r/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Question 1: How much more transmissible are the VOCs?

To address question 1, we searched for data related to risk of transmission, changes in transmission, and reproduction number. We describe the findings by each VOC. When interpreting these findings, it is important to consider that transmission can be reflected in a number of ways, including reproduction number, VOC growth rate, and gene detection drop-outs like SGTF. Each measure used has limitations and potential biases depending on how it was used, and the population assessed.

Table 2. Summary of Transmissibility

VOC	Author, Year	R value (R₁ and R₀)*	Region
B.1.1.7	Munitz, 2021	R _t : 1.71 (95% CI: 1.59-1.85)	Israel
	Public Health England, 2020	R _t : 1.47 (95% CI: 1.34-1.59)	Kent, UK
	Graham, 2021	R _t : 1.35 (95% CI: 1.02-1.69)	Scotland, Wales and 7 NHS regions in England
	Zhao, 2021	R _t : 52% (95% CI: 46, 58)	GISAID database (International)
	Davies, 2021	R _o : 78% (95% CI: 69-87%)	UK
	Leung, 2021	R _o : 75% (95% CI: 70-80%)	UK (Fall 2020)
	Hunter, 2021	A) R _t : 1.3 (95% CI: NR) B) R _t : 1.3 (95% CI: NR)	A) East of England B) London
		C) R _t : 1.1 (95% CI: NR)	C) South East England
	Grabowski, 2021	R _t :1.83-2.18 (95% CI: 1.71-2.40)	GISAID database (international)
B.1.351	Grabowski, 2021	R _t : 1.55 (95% CI: 1.43-1.69)	GISAID database (international)
P.1	NR	NR	NR

^{*}values presented as reported by study authors

B.1.1.7

According to the WHO as of March 9th, 2021, B.1.1.7 has been reported in 111 countries across all six WHO regions.⁸

In terms of population transmission risk, Munitz et al. reported that prior to December 22nd, 2020 in Israel, B.1.1.7 was undetectable within a pool of positive cases, but within 4 weeks, the B.1.1.7 became the dominant strain (by January 21st), reaching to 92% following 2 more weeks.¹⁷ They also reported that B.1.1.7 was 45% more transmissible than the wild type in Israel based on an analysis of over 300,000 RT-PCR tests from the general community and a nursing home sample between December 6th, 2020 and February 10th, 2021. There was no evidence of variation in risk of transmission based on age, with the exception of a population of individuals >60 years, approximately 2 weeks post-first

vaccination, which showed a decline. Munitz et al. found that prior to the impact of the national lockdown in Israel, the R_t of B.1.1.7 was as high as 1.71 (95% CI:1.59-185) compared to 1.12 (95% CI: 1.10-1.15) for the wild type.¹⁷

In the UK, Zhao et al. estimated that B.1.1.7 is 52% (95% CI: 46, 58) more transmissible than the wild type based on 94,934 SARS-CoV-2 sequencing data and COVID-19 surveillance data from August 1st to December 31st, 2020 as available in the public databases released by GISAID and World Health Organization.^{26,28}

In a December 18th, 2020 NERVTAG report, they found that the B.1.1.7 VOC growth rate was 71% (95% CI: 65%-75%) higher than other variants.³⁰ Similarly, the Public Health England December 21st, 2020 report found the growth factors as 1.47 (95% CI: 1.34-1.59) between October 25th and December 5th, 2020 using SGTF among PCR positives as a proxy for B.1.1.7.²⁸

According to Public Health England, B.1.1.7 VOC was found to be significantly associated with higher reproduction number. Rt increased by 0.57 (95% CI: 0.25-1.25) in fixed model, while a random effect model gave an additive effect of 0.74 (95%CI: 0.44-1.29) based on 1419 VOC genomes and 33,792 non-VOC genomes. Adjusting rates of SGTF for variable specificity over time and between local authorities, the same models estimated an increase of Rt of 0.52 (95%CI: 0.39-0.70) in a fixed effect model while a random effect model gave an estimated additive effect of 0.60 (95%CI: 0.48 - 0.73). Similar estimates of 0.56 (95%CrI: 0.37-0.75) were obtained using a Bayesian regression model accounting for errors in VOC frequencies and Rt estimates.

In the United States, Washington et al. assessed SGTF as a proxy to B.1.1.7 from December 2020 to January 2021. They calculated that B.1.1.7 had an increased transmissibility of 35-46% across the US, with rates of 29-37% in California and 38-49% in Florida.²⁵

Walker et al. reported that the growth rate in SGTF positives (proxy for B.1.1.7) generally exceeded the decline in non-SGTF SARS-CoV-2 positive cases in the UK, by an average of 6%, suggesting an overall increase in rate of VOC infections, not just replacement.¹⁶ There was no evidence of variation based on age.

Grabowski et al. reported that B.1.1.7 started expanding in the UK during week 43 (<5 cases were reported per week) and by week 51, reached 57% of all sequenced genomes based on GISAID data collected between week 43 and week 51 of 2020 (October 19th to December 20th, 2020).¹⁵ This was compared to the proportion of 20A.EU1 strain, characterized by A222V substitution in spike protein which constituted more than 65% of genomes sequenced in England in November 2020, which dropped from 86% to 35% and other genomes dropped from 31% to 8%.¹⁵ Grabowski et al. found the R_t for the B.1.1.7 over 20E.EU1 was between 1.83-2.18 (95% CI: 1.71-2.40) between weeks 43 to 51 (8 weeks) in the UK.¹⁵ The R_t for B.1.1.7 to other strains was calculated to be between 2.03-2.47 (95% CI:

1.89-2.77), where the lower bound is the estimate between weeks 44-51 and the higher bounds for weeks 43-47 in the UK.

Graham et al. calculated the relative advantage of B.1.1.7 to the 20A.EU1 strain, between weeks 43-51 in the UK, which was calculated as 1.96-fold per week.²¹ For the relative advantage of the B.1.1.7 VOC to 20A.EU1 strain, it was calculated as 1.65 for Wales (95%CI: 1.56-1.83), 1.73 for Denmark (95%CI: 1.64-181), 1.71 for Scotland (95%CI: 1.52-1.93), and 1.76 for the US (95%CI: 1.48-2.09). In all four countries calculated, the VOC strain was less prevalent than it was in England. Graham et al. also found that the mean of the additive increase in R for B.1.1.7 was 0.34 (95% CI: 0.02-0.66), and the multiplicative increase was 1.35 (95% CI 1.02-1.69).²¹ Using the SGTF data, with analysis limited to the period after 1 December when at least 95% of all SGTF cases were B.1.1.7, the R_t of B.1.1.7 has an additive increase of 0.26 (0.15-0.37) and a multiplicative increase of 1.25 (1.17-1.34).

Davies et al. found that the B.1.1.7 was calculated to increase the basic reproduction number R_0 by 78% (95% CI: 69-87%) in England.⁴ Leung et al. found that the R_0 of B.1.1.7 was 75% (95% CI: 70–80%) higher than that of the wildtype strain (501N) in a UK sample.¹⁹

Hunter et al. found that from mid-lockdown in November to the first week in December, most variants declined but the new variant increased quite dramatically during that same time. 22 The estimated R_t value for the East of England, London and South East regions for the novel variant was 1.3, 1.3 and 1.1 respectively indicating growth in the epidemic of new variant cases. The equivalent R_t values for all other strains combined were 0.92, 0.91 and 0.97.

No data was found on greater susceptibility to infection given exposure or in greater infectiousness once infected for the B.1.1.7.

SUMMARY

The majority of information available on transmission related to VOCs is on the B.1.1.7 variant. Based on limited research, B.1.1.7 VOC transmission risk ranges from 45-71% higher. The R₀ of B.1.1.7 compared to non-VOC ranges from 75-78% higher, while the reported R_t values range from 1.1-2.8. Additionally, it appears there is an additive transmission effect, where it is not only replacing previous infections, but also associated with an increase in the number of infections. However, further work is needed to clarify and expand on this, recognizing the possibilities of inherent biases in study design or data captured.

B.1.351

According to the WHO as of March 9^{th} , 2021, B.1.351 has been reported in 58 countries across all six WHO regions.⁸

In terms of transmission risk, Grabowski et al. reported that the R_t for B.1.351 was 1.55 (95%CI: 1.43-1.69), meaning that it is 55% more transmissible than the wild type strain.¹⁵ They also reported that the relative advantage ratio of B.1.351 to other strains was at a weekly rate of 1.58 (95%CI: 1.45-

1.72). The CDC reported that the detection of the B.1.351 variant coincided with a rapid rise in confirmed cases in Zambia, establishing an epidemiologic linkage between COVID-19 outbreaks in Zambia and South Africa.¹⁴ However, they did not report more specifics on the rate of transmission.¹³ While the WHO report stated that the B.1.351 variant was more transmissible, this was not supported by their references as Davies et al.⁴ reports on B.1.1.7 and Wibmer et al.³³ does not seem to report on transmissibility.

While there have been no reported findings related to greater susceptibility to infection given exposure to B.1.351 nor in greater infectiousness once infected, the WHO report cited a case study that found a person was re-infected with the B.1.351 strain after recovery from an earlier COVID-19 infection and the clinical presentation was more severe.³⁴ As this was a letter to the editor case study that did not report on transmission beyond a single case, it did not meet our eligibility criteria to include as an original source.

SUMMARY

While B.1.351 might be more transmissible based on findings from one study in the UK, limited recommendations or conclusions can be drawn at this time.

P.1

According to the WHO as of March 9th, 2021, P.1 has been reported in 32 countries across all six WHO regions.⁸

Faria et al. used dynamic modelling that integrates genomic and mortality data, to estimate that P.1 may be 1.4 to 2.2 times more transmissible and 25-62% more likely to evade protective immunity of previous infections on non-VOCs.

Naveca et al. reported P.1 was first detected on December 4th, 2020 in Manaus, Brazil and displayed an extremely rapid increase in prevalence up to January 2021.⁶ In particular, through sequencing all SARS-CoV-2 positive samples between November 1st, 2020 and January 31st, 2021, they found that none of the samples genotyped by real-time PCR before December 16th had the NSP6 deletion, indicating a very low prevalence of P.1 before mid-December 2020 in Amazonas. Using both genomic sequencing and real-time PCR testing, P.1 prevalence in the Amazonas state increased from 0% in November 2020 (n = 0/88), to 4% in December 1st to 16th, 2020 (n = 2/54), 45% in December 17th to 31st, 2020 (n = 104/232), and 73% in January 1st to 15th, 2021 (n = 119/162).

No data was found on greater susceptibility to infection given exposure or on greater infectiousness once infected for the P.1 VOC.

SUMMARY

While P.1 might be more transmissible based on findings from two studies in Brazil, limited recommendations or conclusions can be drawn at this time due to a paucity of data.

Question 2: Why are they more transmissible?

To address question 2, we searched for data related to the mechanism of transmission (e.g., peak viral load, transmission in relation to exposure). It is worth noting that many studies assessed viral load through analysis of RT-qPCR Ct values from laboratory testing of respiratory samples. Ct values are only a proxy measure of peak viral load. Ct measure can vary based on a number of factors including instrument, type of specimen (e.g upper or lower respiratory tract), timing of sample related to onset of symptoms or exposure, and storage or transport of specimen. 30,35–37

B.1.1.7

Several studies have focused on measuring viral load as an indicator of increased transmissibility of B.1.1.7. In general, we identified variation across studies in terms of methods and outcomes. A large surveillance study conducted in the UK between September 28th, 2020 and January 2nd, 2021, with monthly PCR tests of nose and throat swabs on children 2-15 years old (n=372,626) identified an increase in SGTF, including during periods of lockdown when non-SGTF strains were stable or decreasing.¹⁶ However, there was no evidence that viral loads were lower in those who were SGTF positive and there was no difference in growth between children and adults counter to other studies which suggest B.1.1.7 is particularly adapted to transmit more in children. Further, there was no evidence to suggest that increased infection rates were mediated by higher viral loads (lower Ct). 16 To assess the surveillance testing program in nursing homes in Israel, Munitz et al. analyzed primary data from RT-PCR samples collected between December 6th, 2020 and February 10th, 2021 to compare Ct threshold values of 60+ age groups in the general community and nursing homes. Findings revealed significantly lower Ct values in the community in comparison to nursing homes residents with matching age groups (p,0.001). These results were deemed to support the success of the surveillance program as viral load was understood to drive transmission. Kidd et al. analyzed a dataset of 641 SARS-CoV-2 positive results of respiratory samples from October 25th to November 25th, 2020 and identified a significantly higher number of SGTF samples were associated with a higher viral load, although similar viral loads were seen in non-SGTF samples. 18

Golubchik et al. sequenced RT-QPCR CoV-2 positive samples from four UK Lighthouse Laboratories and identified 88 SARS-CoV-2 positive samples with the spike protein N501Y amino acid substitution (proxy of any of the 3 circulating VOCs) taken between October 31st, 2020 and November 13th, 2020. They identified approximately 3-fold higher median viral load in the 501Y containing samples compared with wildtype N501 samples. Results remained significant when controlled for batch but not Lighthouse laboratory.²⁴

The December 18th report by NERVTAG concluded that there were insufficient data to draw any conclusions on underlying mechanisms of increased transmissibility, including increased viral load.³⁰

As the number of B.1.1.7 infections have grown rapidly, studies have also examined if the location of the 501Y mutation within the spike protein receptor binding domain (RBD) and increased affinity for the

ACE2 receptor might provide a biological hypothesis for greater infectivity. Liu et al. tested the affinity of B.1.1.7.201/501Y.V1 for ACE2 receptor and found that the mutated spike protein RBD with a N501Y mutation had a ~10 times greater affinity for ACE2 than the wild-type N501-RBD *in vitro*. This provides a possible hypothesis for potentially explaining the increased rate of infections in the United Kingdom.²⁰

Studies have also examined how changes in social contact patterns correlate with changes in transmission of B.1.1.7. Davies et al. used Google mobility and social contact survey data from September 2020 to December 2020 to examine the influence of social contact patterns on transmission. A national lockdown was imposed between November 5th, 2020 and December 2nd, 2020, however, no differences in social interactions were identified between regions of high and low B.1.1.7 prevalence. The authors suggest the lack of correlation between social contact patterns and transmission may be related to evolution of the virus.⁴

The length of infection has also been examined as a means for understanding transmissibility of B.1.1.7 When compared with non-VOC, B.1.1.7 is reported to have a longer period of detectability by RT-PCR (13.3 days [Crl: 10.1, 16.5] compared with 8.2 days [Crl: 6.5,9.7]) with similar peak viral concentration (19 Ct [15.8,22] versus 20.2 Ct [19.1,21.4]) suggesting greater chance of transmission with B.1.1.7 over a long period of time.²³

B.1.351

WHO reports there is growing evidence that mutations present in B.1.351 may help the virus evade immune system responses triggered by previous infections of SARS-CoV-2.¹³

The CDC reports that B.1.351 might be associated with higher viral loads and contain a spike protein that might hinder antibody binding which could lessen naturally developed immunity, but this was not substantiated by primary data included in this review.¹⁴

P.1

Faria et al. noted that similar to other VOCs, P.1 is associated with increased binding to the human ACE2 receptor, but they were unable to determine if P.1 infection was associated with increased viral loads or longer infection.¹²

Naveca et al. used real-time RT-PCR Ct values as a proxy of viral load and compared samples of P.1 positive and P.1 negative collected at the similar time from onset of symptoms. Analysis revealed a significantly (p<0.0001) lower median score in P.1 positive (Ct=19.8) compared with P.1 negative (Ct=23.8) indicating approximately 10-fold higher viral load in P.1 infections, suggesting that P.1 infected adult individuals are more infectious than those with non-P.1 viruses. These findings hold true when comparing Ct values for adult (18-59 years) men (P=0.005), adult (18-59 years) women (p<0.0001) and older (>59 years) women (p=0.0149) but not in older (>59 years) men (p=0.4624).

Summary

While it is difficult to draw conclusions about the underlying mechanisms of VOC transmissibility from the existing data, there is some evidence to suggest there may be an increase in viral load using RT-PCR Ct values as a proxy measure. A few studies have also proposed that VOCs might evade immune system response through increased ACE2 binding. We identified variation in methods, outcome measures and reporting across included studies and limited evidence related to the underlying mechanisms of transmissibility, particularly on P.1 and B.1.351.v

Question 3: What criteria are used to define new variants of concern?

A report from Public Health England first identified an emerging SARS-CoV-2 variant as *Variant Under Investigation (VUI 202012/01)* on December 8th, 2020. The nomenclature of this variant was later changed to *Variant of Concern* on December 18th, 2020, after an expert review and risk assessment.²⁸

The following components have been used to describe a VOC in the 23 included sources:

- 1. Phylogenetically distinct from other SARS-CoV-2 variants 12,28
- 2. Mutations of biological significance^{4,12}
- 3. Rapid spread, dominance and/or selective advantage over other variants^{4,12,28,30}

On February 25th, 2021, the WHO released an updated working definition for SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Interest (VOI) and Variants of Concern (VOC).¹³ A VOI is named as such if:

"It is phenotypically changed compared to a reference isolate or has a genome with mutations that lead to amino acid changes associated with established or suspected phenotypic implications" AND "has been identified to cause community transmission/multiple COVID-19 cases/clusters or has been detected in multiple countries" OR "is otherwise assessed to be a VOI by WHO in consultation with the WHO SARS-CoV-2 Virus Evolution working group." 13

A VOC is defined by WHO if it meets the criteria for a VOI as stated above and is associated with:

"Increase in transmissibility or detrimental change in COVID-19 epidemiology; increase in virulence or change in clinical disease presentation; decrease in effectiveness of public health and social measures or available diagnostics, vaccines, therapeutics" OR "assessed to be a VOC by WHO in consultation with the WHO SARS-CoV-2 Virus Evolution working group." 13

Discussion

In this rapid scoping review, we found 23 sources that provide insight into the transmission risk of the three main SARS-CoV-2 VOCs as of March 2021 (B.1.1.7 or UK variant; B.1.351 or SA variant; P.1 or Brazil variant). The majority of information available on transmission is on the B.1.1.7 variant. Based on limited research, B.1.1.7 VOC transmission risk ranges from 45-71% higher and the R_t of B.1.1.7

compared to non-VOC ranges from 75-78% higher. Additionally, it appears there is an additive transmission effect, where it is not only replacing previous infections, but also associated with an increase in the number of infections. While there is heterogeneity in the data, the evidence points towards an increase in transmissibility in VOCs. Further work is needed to clarify and expand on this. While B.1.351 and P.1 might be more transmissible based on findings from one study each, limited recommendations or conclusions can be drawn at this time due to a paucity of data. Additionally, currently no data is available on greater susceptibility to infection given exposure or in greater infectiousness once infected for all the VOC, with one case study noting a possibility of re-infection for the B.1.351 VOC.

With respect to understanding why VOCs are more transmissible, it is difficult to draw conclusions from existing data on the underlying mechanisms of increased transmission due to heterogeneity of included studies and an overall dearth of evidence, particularly on P.1 and B.1.351. There appears to be a lack of consistent findings related to whether viral loads (approximated by Ct values) are higher in VOC-infected individuals, and whether the period of RT-PCR positivity may be extended, with variation depending on the population sampled, specimen type, testing method and timing. Further it is unclear if the VOC spike protein interferes with the immune response through increased ACE2 binding. *In vitro* and animal data have been excluded from this review and may contribute to findings in future reports.

While several studies and governing bodies have reported key characteristics of VOCs, there is variation among the terminology. The WHO released a working definition for both VOIs and VOCs in February 2021,¹³ but this may continue to evolve as more evidence on circulating variants emerges.

Potential Implications

- Continued monitoring of circulating and emerging VOCs at a regional, national and international level is important. It is also important that this information is shared with national and international stakeholders and health organizations in a timely manner.
- Continued routine systematic sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 and VOCs with a focus on using a standardized testing approach to facilitate data comparison across multiple locations.
- As VOCs appear to be as transmissible if not more than the non-VOC strains, existing public health guideline remain important. Any lifting public health measures, including re-opening or "returning to normal", should be done cautiously.
- If synergized and shared, information about public health precautions and restrictions can be
 included as a dimension in associative or epidemiological studies, which will be important to
 interpret VOC data in the local context.
- While current evidence is mixed, this may be related to differences in measurement approaches, including Ct and R as surrogate measures of viral load and transmission, respectively, across studies. Additionally, Ct measure can vary based on a number of factors including instrument, type of specimen (e.g. upper or lower respiratory tract), timing of sample

- related to onset of symptoms or exposure, and storage or transport of specimen. Future surveillance studies should report more details on characteristics of testing, if available.
- Further research is needed on the biological relevance of mutations observed in VOCs, as well
 as the pathophysiology of why transmission is higher, as the mechanism is still not well
 understood.

References

- 1. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. Acta Bio-Medica Atenei Parm. 2020 Mar 19;91(1):157–60.
- 2. Roser M, Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Hasell J. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Our World Data [Internet]. 2020 Mar 5 [cited 2021 Mar 9]; Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus
- 3. WHO. WHO | SARS-CoV-2 Variants [Internet]. WHO. World Health Organization; 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/31-december-2020-sars-cov2-variants/en/
- 4. Davies NG, Abbott S, Barnard RC, Jarvis CI, Kucharski AJ, Munday JD, et al. Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. medRxiv. 2021 Mar 5;2020.12.24.20248822.
- 5. Tegally H, Wilkinson E, Giovanetti M, Iranzadeh A, Fonseca V, Giandhari J, et al. Emergence and rapid spread of a new severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) lineage with multiple spike mutations in South Africa. medRxiv. 2020 Dec 22;2020.12.21.20248640.
- 6. Naveca F, Nascimento V, Souza V, Corado A, Nascimento F, Silva G, et al. COVID-19 epidemic in the Brazilian state of Amazonas was driven by long-term persistence of endemic SARS-CoV-2 lineages and the recent emergence of the new Variant of Concern P.1 [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-275494/v1
- 7. Rambaut A, Loman N, Pybus O, Barclay W, Barrett J. Preliminary genomic characterisation of an emergent SARS-CoV-2 lineage in the UK defined by a novel set of spike mutations SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus / nCoV-2019 Genomic Epidemiology [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2021 Mar 10]. Available from: https://virological.org/t/preliminary-genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-the-uk-defined-by-a-novel-set-of-spike-mutations/563
- 8. WHO. COVID Weekly epidemiological update March 9, 2021 [Internet]. 2021 Mar. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---10-march-2021
- 9. Tricco AC, Langlois EV, Straus SE, World Health Organization, Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research. Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/258698/1/9789241512763-eng.pdf
- 10. Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, King V, Hamel C, Kamel C, et al. Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. J Clin Epidemiol. 2020 Oct 14;Epub ahead of print:S0895-4356(20)31146-X.
- 11. Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evid Synth. 2020 Oct;18(10):2119–26.

- 12. Faria NR, Mellan TA, Whittaker C, Claro IM, Candido D da S, Mishra S, et al. Genomics and epidemiology of a novel SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. medRxiv. 2021 Mar 3;2021.02.26.21252554.
- 13. WHO. COVID Weekly epidemiological update [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---23-february-2021
- 14. CDC. Detection of B.1.351 SARS-CoV-2 Variant Strain Zambia, December 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 9];70. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7008e2.htm
- 15. Grabowski F, Preibisch G, Giziński S, Kochańczyk M, Lipniacki T. SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern 202012/01 has about twofold replicative advantage and acquires concerning mutations. medRxiv. 2021 Feb 21;2020.12.28.20248906.
- 16. Walker AS, Vihta K-D, Gethings O, Pritchard E, Jones J, House T, et al. Increased infections, but not viral burden, with a new SARS-CoV-2 variant. medRxiv. 2021 Jan 15;2021.01.13.21249721.
- 17. Munitz A, Yechezkel M, Dickstein Y, Yamin D, Gerlic M. The rise of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 in Israel intensifies the role of surveillance and vaccination in elderly. medRxiv. 2021 Feb 19:2021.02.16.21251819.
- 18. Kidd M, Richter A, Best A, Cumley N, Mirza J, Percival B, et al. S-variant SARS-CoV-2 lineage B1.1.7 is associated with significantly higher viral loads in samples tested by ThermoFisher TaqPath RT-qPCR. J Infect Dis. 2021 Feb 13;
- 19. Leung K, Shum MH, Leung GM, Lam TT, Wu JT. Early transmissibility assessment of the N501Y mutant strains of SARS-CoV-2 in the United Kingdom, October to November 2020. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2021 Jan;26(1).
- 20. Liu H, Zhang Q, Wei P, Chen Z, Aviszus K, Yang J, et al. The basis of a more contagious 501Y.V1 variant of SARS-COV-2. bioRxiv. 2021 Feb 2;2021.02.02.428884.
- 21. Graham MS, Sudre CH, May A, Antonelli M, Murray B, Varsavsky T, et al. The effect of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 on symptomatology, re-infection and transmissibility. medRxiv. 2021 Jan 29;2021.01.28.21250680.
- 22. Hunter PR, Brainard J, Grant A. The Impact of the November 2020 English National Lockdown on COVID-19 case counts. medRxiv. 2021 Jan 4;2021.01.03.21249169.
- 23. Kissler SM, Fauver JR, Mack C, Tai CG, Breban MI, Watkins AE, et al. Densely sampled viral trajectories suggest longer duration of acute infection with B.1.1.7 variant relative to non-B.1.1.7 SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv. 2021 Feb 19;2021.02.16.21251535.

- 24. Golubchik T, Lythgoe KA, Hall M, Ferretti L, Fryer HR, MacIntyre-Cockett G, et al. Early analysis of a potential link between viral load and the N501Y mutation in the SARS-COV-2 spike protein. medRxiv. 2021 Jan 15;2021.01.12.20249080.
- 25. Washington NL, Gangavarapu K, Zeller M, Bolze A, Cirulli ET, Barrett KMS, et al. Genomic epidemiology identifies emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States. medRxiv. 2021 Feb 7;2021.02.06.21251159.
- 26. Zhao S, Lou J, Cao L, Zheng H, Chong MKC, Chen Z, et al. Quantifying the transmission advantage associated with N501Y substitution of SARS-CoV-2 in the UK: an early data-driven analysis. J Travel Med. 2021 Feb 23;28(2).
- 27. Public Health England. Analysis of transmissibility based on genomics [Internet]. 2020 Dec p. 22. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/9 54930/s0996-analysis-of-transmissibility-genomics.pdf
- 28. Public Health England. Investigation of novel SARS-COV-2 variant: Variant of Concern 202012/01 [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/9 59438/Technical_Briefing_VOC_SH_NJL2_SH2.pdf
- 29. Collier DA, Marco AD, Ferreira IATM, Meng B, Datir R, Walls AC, et al. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 sensitivity to mRNA vaccine-elicited, convalescent and monoclonal antibodies. medRxiv. 2021 Feb 15;2021.01.19.21249840.
- 30. NERVTAG. NERVTAG meeting on SARS-CoV-2 variant under investigation VUI-202012/01 [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://khub.net/documents/135939561/338928724/SARS-CoV-2+variant+under+investigation%2C+meeting+minutes.pdf/962e866b-161f-2fd5-1030-32b6ab467896?t=1608470511452
- 31. NERVTAG. Update note on B.1.1.7 severity [Internet]. 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/9 61042/S1095 NERVTAG update note on B.1.1.7 severity 20210211.pdf
- 32. Welsh Government. Technical Advisory Group Variant of Concern (VOC 202012/01) and Education in Wales [Internet]. 2021 Jan [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2021-01/technical-advisory-group-variant-of-concern-voc-20201201-and-education-in-wales.pdf
- 33. Wibmer CK, Ayres F, Hermanus T, Madzivhandila M, Kgagudi P, Oosthuysen B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1 [cited 2021 Mar 9]; Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7836116/

- 34. Zucman N, Uhel F, Descamps D, Roux D, Ricard J-D. Severe reinfection with South African SARS-CoV-2 variant 501Y.V2: A case report. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2021 Feb 10;
- 35. APHL. Ct Values: What They Are and How They Can be Used [Internet]. 2020 Nov. Available from: https://www.nj.gov/health/cd/documents/topics/NCOV/APHL-COVID19-Ct-Values.pdf
- 36. Callahan C, Lee R, Lee G, Zulauf KE, Kirby JE, Arnaout R. Nasal-Swab Testing Misses Patients with Low SARS-CoV-2 Viral Loads. MedRxiv Prepr Serv Health Sci. 2020 Jun 14;
- 37. Public Health Ontario. An Overview of Cycle Threshold Values and their Role in SARS-CoV-2 Real-Time PCR Test Interpretation [Internet]. p. 14. Available from: https://www.publichealthontario.ca//media/documents/ncov/main/2020/09/cycle-threshold-values-sars-cov2-pcr.pdf?la=en

Appendix A: Literature Search Strategy

MEDLINE

*The MEDLINE search strategy was peer reviewed by another information specialist using the PRESS checklist.

COVID-19 search filter: CADTH https://covid.cadth.ca/literature-searching-tools/cadth-covid-19-search-strings/

 (coronavirus/ or betacoronavirus/ or coronavirus infections/) and (disease outbreaks/ or epidemics/ or pandemics/) (ncov* or 2019ncov or 19ncov or covid19* or covid or sars-cov-2 or sarscov-2 or sarscov2 or severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 or severe acute respiratory, syndrome coronavirus 2 or severe acute respiratory. (((uk or united kingdom or england or hubei or china or chinese) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "bala or hubei or china or spike*) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui) or "bala or britain or british or kent)		
sarscov2 or severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 or severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2).ti,ab,kf,nm,ot,ox,rx,px. ((new or novel or "19" or "2019" or wuhan or hubei or china or chinese) adj3 (coronavirus* or corona virus* or betacoronavirus* or CoV or HCoV)).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((coronavirus* or corona virus* or betacoronavirus*) adj3 (pandemic* or epidemic* or outbreak* or crisis)).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. (((uk or united kingdom or england or english or britain or british or kent) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((south africa* or sa) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b1351" or "501v2" or "501yv2" or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. (((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf.	1	
(coronavirus* or corona virus* or betacoronavirus* or CoV or HCoV)).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((coronavirus* or corona virus* or betacoronavirus*) adj3 (pandemic* or epidemic* or outbreak* or crisis)).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. (((uk or united kingdom or england or english or britain or british or kent) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((south africa* or sa) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b1351" or "501v2" or "501yv2" or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. (((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf.	2	sarscov2 or severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 or severe acute
outbreak* or crisis)).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot. ((uk or united kingdom or england or english or britain or british or kent) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((south africa* or sa) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b1351" or "501v2" or "501yv2" or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. ((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf.	3	
 or/1-5 [CADTH COVID-19 filter, no date limit] (((uk or united kingdom or england or english or britain or british or kent) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((south africa* or sa) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b1351" or "501v2" or "501yv2" or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. ((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf. or/7-10 6 and 11 	4	
 (((uk or united kingdom or england or english or britain or british or kent) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. (((south africa* or sa) adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "b1351" or "501v2" or "501yv2" or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. ((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf. or/7-10 6 and 11 	5	((wuhan or hubei) adj5 pneumonia).ti,ab,kf,ot.
or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under investigation 202012 01" or "vui 202012 01").ti,ab,kw,kf. 8	6	or/1-5 [CADTH COVID-19 filter, no date limit]
or "20h 501yv2" or "20c 501yv2").ti,ab,kw,kf. ((brazil* adj3 (variant* or voc or vui)) or "p1" or "b11281" or ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 (k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf. or/7-10 and 11	7	or voc or vui)) or "b117" or "20i 501yv1" or "variant of concern 202012 01" or "voc 202012 01" or "variant under investigation in december 2020" or "variant under
(k417t or e484k or n501y))).ti,ab,kw,kf. 10 ((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf. 11 or/7-10 12 6 and 11	8	
11 or/7-10 12 6 and 11	9	
12 6 and 11	10	((mutation* or spike*) adj3 d614g).ti,ab,kw,kf.
	11	or/7-10
249 results 2021-02-23	12	6 and 11
		249 results 2021-02-23

Embase

COVID-19 search filter: CADTH adapted to Embase.com format; line 1 exploded

1	'SARS-related coronavirus'/exp
2	('coronavirinae'/de OR 'betacoronavirus'/de OR 'coronavirus infection'/de) AND ('epidemic'/de OR 'pandemic'/de)

3	(ncov* OR 2019ncov OR 19ncov OR covid19* OR covid OR 'sars-cov-2' OR 'sarscov-2' OR 'sars-cov2' OR sarscov2 OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2' OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2'):ti,ab,kw,de,tt,oa,ok
4	((new OR novel OR '19' OR '2019' OR wuhan OR hubei OR china OR chinese) NEAR/3 (coronavirus* OR 'corona virus*' OR betacoronavirus* OR cov OR hcov)):ti,ab,kw,de,tt,oa,ok
5	((coronavirus* OR 'corona virus*' OR betacoronavirus*) NEAR/3 (pandemic* OR epidemic* OR outbreak* OR crisis)):ti,ab,kw,tt,oa,ok
6	((wuhan OR hubei) NEAR/5 pneumonia):ti,ab,kw,tt,oa,ok
7	#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6
8	(((uk OR 'united kingdom' OR england OR english OR britain OR british OR kent) NEAR/3 (variant* OR voc OR vui)) OR 'b.1.1.7' OR '20i 501y.v1' OR 'variant of concern 202012 01' OR 'voc 202012 01' OR 'variant under investigation in december 2020' OR 'variant under investigation 202012 01' OR 'vui 202012 01'):ti,ab,kw
9	((('south africa*' OR sa) NEAR/3 (variant* OR voc OR vui)) OR 'b.1.351' OR '501.v2' OR '501y.v2' OR '20c 501y.v2'):ti,ab,kw
10	((brazil* NEAR/3 (variant* OR voc OR vui)) OR 'p.1' OR 'b.1.1.28.1' OR ((mutation* OR spike*) NEAR/3 (k417t OR e484k OR n501y))):ti,ab,kw
11	((mutation* OR spike*) NEAR/3 d614g):ti,ab,kw
12	#8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11
13	#7 AND #12
	192 results 2021-02-23

Cochrane

1	MeSH descriptor: [Coronavirus] this term only
2	MeSH descriptor: [Betacoronavirus] this term only
3	MeSH descriptor: [Coronavirus Infections] this term only
4	{or #1-#3}
5	MeSH descriptor: [Disease Outbreaks] this term only
6	MeSH descriptor: [Epidemics] this term only
7	MeSH descriptor: [Pandemics] this term only
8	{or #5-#7}
9	#4 and #8
10	(ncov* or 2019ncov or 19ncov or covid19* or covid or "sars-cov-2" or "sarscov-2" or sarscov2 or "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" or "severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2"):ti,ab,kw
11	((new or novel or "19" or "2019" or wuhan or hubei or china or chinese) near/3 (coronavirus* or "corona virus*" or betacoronavirus* or cov or hcov)):ti,ab,kw

12	((coronavirus* or "corona virus*" or betacoronavirus*) near/3 (pandemic* or epidemic* or outbreak* or crisis)):ti,ab,kw
13	((wuhan or hubei) near/5 pneumonia):ti,ab,kw
14	{or #9-#13}
15	(variant* or voc or vui or mutation* or spike):ti,ab
16	#14 and #15
	82 results in CENTRAL 2021-02-23 1 result in CDSR 2021-02-23

Epistemonikos

Basic search of the following terms within the LOVE:

variant* OR voc OR vui OR "B.1.1.7" OR "20I/501Y.V1" OR "202012/01" OR "B.1.351" OR "501.V2" OR "501Y.V2" OR "20H/501Y.V2" OR "20C/501Y.V2" OR "P.1" OR "B.1.1.28.1" OR "K417T" OR "E484K" OR "N501Y" OR "D614G"

966 results 2021-02-23

medRxiv / bioRxiv

medRxiv and bioRxiv simultaneous search; Date limit: October 1 2020 – present; Title and Abstract search; All words (unless otherwise specified); 50 per page; Best Match

Searches:

uk variant united kingdom variant england variant english variant britain variant british variant kent variant south africa variant brazil variant variant of concern (phrase search) variants of concern (phrase search) B.1.1.7 20I/501Y.V1 202012/01 B.1.351 501.V2 501Y.V2 20H/501Y.V2

20C/501Y.V2

P.1

B.1.1.28.1

K417T

E484K

N501Y

D614G

304 results 2021-02-24

Google

uk variant | united kingdom variant | british variant | kent variant | uk voc | united kingdom voc | british voc | kent voc | B117 | B.1.1.7 | 20l/501Y.V1 | 202012/01 | 501Y.V1

south africa variant | south africa voc | B1351 | B.1.351 | 501.V2 | 501Y.V2 | 20H/501Y.V2 | 20C/501Y.V2

brazil variant | brazil voc | P1 variant | P1 voc | P.1 variant | P.1 voc | B11281 | B.1.1.28.1

K417T | E484K | N501Y | D614G

variants of concern | COVID-19 variants | SARS-CoV-2 variants

Twitter

Basic search; Top results

uk variant

uk voc

B.1.1.7

B117

south africa variant

south african variant

sa variant

south africa voc

south african voc

sa voc

B.1.351

B1351

brazil variant

brazilian variant

brazil voc

brazilian voc

P.1

variants of concern

covid-19 voc

covid19 voc

covid voc

sars-cov-2 voc

Other websites

Manual searches of the following websites:

WHO	https://www.who.int/
Canadian government websites (all) – includes Health	https://www.canada.ca/en.html
Canada and PHAC	
CDC	https://www.cdc.gov/
CADTH COVID-19 Evidence Portal	https://covid.cadth.ca/
Public Health England	https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati
	ons/public-health-england
South Africa Dept of Health	http://www.health.gov.za/
Brazil – Ministério da Saúde	https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br
NZ Ministry of Health	https://www.health.govt.nz/
Australian Dept of Health	https://www.health.gov.au/
Italy - Dipartimento della Protezione Civile	http://www.protezionecivile.gov.it/

Appendix B: PRISMA Diagram

