Antiretroviral therapy associated lipodystrophy; learning from

the past.

Senai Goitom Sereke^{1, 2}*, Semhar Eyob Berhe¹, Felix Bongomin³

¹Orotta school of Medicine and health sciences, Asmara, Eritrea

² Department of Radiology and Radiotherapy, School of Medicine, Makerere University

College of Health Sciences, Kampala, Uganda

³ Department of Medical Microbiology and immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu

University, Gulu, Uganda

*Corresponding author

Email Addresses:

Senai Goitom Sereke: nayhersen@gmail.com

Semhar Eyob Berhe: semhareyobmd@gmail.com

Felix Bongomin: drbongomin@gmail.com

Correspondence

Senai Goitom Sereke: nayhersen@gmail.com

Department of Radiology and radiotherapy, Makerere University college of Health

sciences

P.O.Box 7072, Kampala, Uganda, Mobile: +256-786-271-349

Abstract

Background: With the introduction of effective antiretroviral therapy (ART),

descriptions of body shape abnormalities, such as central fat accumulation and peripheral

fat loss emerged among persons living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). We

aimed to determine the prevalence of lipodystrophy and associated risk factors among

patients on ART at Orotta National Referral Hospital (ONRH), Asmara, Eritrea.

Methods: A single center, retrospective study was conducted at the ONRH, reviewing

records of HIV-infected patients commenced on ART between January 2007 and

December 2012.

Results: Records of 250 eligible patients were reviewed. Most were female (59.2%,

n=148) with a median age of 35 (IQR-20-63) years. Forty-three (17.2%) participants had

body fat abnormalities. 42 (97.6%) had lipoatrophy and 1 (2.4%) buffalo hump. Of the 43

patients with lipodystrophy 34 (79%) were on Stavudine (d4T)/Lamivudine

(3TC)/Nevirapine (NVP) regimen, 6 (14%) on Zidovudine (AZT)/3TC/NVP, 2 (4.7%) on

d4T/3TC/Efavirenz (EFV) and 1(2.3%) on AZT, 3TC, EFV. EFV- based regimen was

significantly associated with lipodystrophy (p< 0.01).

Conclusion: We report a high prevalence of lipodystrophy. Four drug regimens were

incriminated in the development of lipodystrophy. EFV-based regimen was significantly

associated with the lipodystrophy.

Keywords: lipodystrophy, Antiretroviral therapy, Human immunodeficiency virus,

2

lipoatrophy, lipohypertrophy.

Background

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pandemic has spread to every country in the world and has claimed over 33 million lives worldwide (1). The identification of a cytopathic retrovirus in 1983 and development of a diagnostic serologic test for HIV-1 in 1985 played a major role in developing improvements in the diagnosis of the disease condition (2). Moreover, management was dramatically altered with the introduction of antiretroviral drugs in 1987 and in 1996 it was revolutionized by combination treatment, known as highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) (3). In the initial years, following the introduction of HAART, mortality, AIDS, AIDS-defining disease, and hospitalizations significantly decreased by 60 to 80 percent (4).

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) strategy uses combination of several antiretroviral drugs from different classes and mechanisms of action to treatment of HIV infection (5). The primary goal of ART is to increase disease-free survival through suppression of viral replication and improvement in immunologic function (6).

HIV-associated lipodystrophy typically refers to changes in fat distribution that are often associated with metabolic abnormalities, including dyslipidemia and insulin resistance (7). Patients with lipoatrophy have loss of subcutaneous fat mostly noticed in their limbs, face, and/or buttocks areas, and patients with fat accumulation have gain of visceral fat in the abdomen, dorsocervical fat pad enlargement (buffalo hump) and breast enlargement (8,9). Different studies suggest that use of thymidine analogue nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (stavudine and, to a lesser extent, zidovudine) is strongly associated with the development of lipoatrophy (9,10). Another observational data also suggested that use of protease inhibitors with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors may accelerate the rate of fat loss (11).

There are multiple reasons to evaluate the body fat abnormalities in patients on ART.

Patients are often distressed by the physical changes in their appearance, especially if

they develop facial lipoatrophy. These morphologic abnormalities can have a significant

impact on self-esteem (12). Lipodystrophy, or fear of developing it, may impact

adherence to ART or the willingness to initiate such therapy. Correction of lipodystrophy

may improve quality of life (13). Thus, a better understanding of drug side effects and

potential risk factors for developing body fat abnormalities will affect the overall picture

of patient care and management. Identifying the drug regimen that is incriminated for the

disorder and risk factors associated with are very crucial. Therefore, our study aimed at

retrospectively reviewing the prevalence of body fat abnormalities in patients on ART at

the Orotta National Referral Hospital (ONRH), a large tertiary hospital in Eritrea. The

information that is generated from this study will be used to inform clinical practice and

policy.

Methods

Study design and setting:

This was a retrospective, descriptive and single-centered study conducted at the Orotta

National Referral Hospital, Asmara, Eritrea. Orotta National Referral Hospital is a

tertiary hospital, located at Senita 2km from Asmara city center. In this hospital HIV

clinic and ART center is managed by 4 counselors, one data manager and one Internist.

In 2005, Orotta ART center was one of the five centers where ART provision and

patients follow up started. It provided more than 55 percent of the whole ART follow ups

in the country. In this center from January 2007 to December 2011, there were 1868

4

ELISA positive patients. Out of this 1129 patients were started on ART over similar

duration (HIV clinic register Dec 2015).

Study population

All patients who were diagnosed with HIV based on national algorithm and were on ART

treatment in the period between January 2010 and December 2015 were randomly

recruited. Patients with missing follows up and missing data were excluded.

Sample Size

The sample size was determined using computer Programme for epidemiologist (PEPI),

version 3.01, described by Armitage and Berry, and 250 participants were determined as

the sample size of the study. This was 22 percent of the total population on ART.

Study procedure

Initially patients' name was put in alphabetical order. And number was given to the

names. This study was designed to generate information from patients' clinical follow up

cards documented by a clinician at every visit. Then we selected computer's random

numbers. The data collecting tool was a self-prepared form. After collecting the data, data

entry was done in Microsoft Excel and is given to a professional biostatistician for

analysis of the numerical data in SPSS (version 21.0, 2013) and inferring proportions in a

whole from these in representative sample.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data was summarized as frequency and percentages and numerical data as

mean ± standard deviations or median (range). Demographic, immunologic, clinical,

laboratory, and drug treatment factors were assessed in startatified and multivariate

analyses for their relationship to the presence and severity of fat accumulation and

5

atrophy. For specific drug regimens confidence interval was done. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

We reviewed records of 250. The median(range) age of the patients was 35(20-63) years and 148(59.2%) were women. The baseline median(range) weight for all the patients was 51.7(34-82) kg.

Most of the patients started taking ART in the year 2005 (n=71, 28.4%), 2006 (n=75,30%), and 2007 (n=59, 23.6%). Out of 247 with recorded treatment regimen, 157(62.6%) had a treatment regimen, the majority, 157 (62.6%) were on d4T/3TC/NVP and also for those(n=232) with WHO clinical stages, 129(55.6%) were in WHO clinical stage III. Majority of the patients (n=210, 84%) had excellent self-reported ART compliance. Baseline median(range) CD4 count was 151(7-993). The median (range) WBC count was 4.1(1-32). The median(range) of hemoglobin (Hb), platelets (PLT), Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST), Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT), Alkaline phosphatase (ALK.PH), Albumin (ALB), Bilirubin (BIL), Blood-urea nitrogen (BUN), and Creatinine (Cr) were 12.4(8-18), 215(37-677), 33.5(10-284), 24 (3-366), 75(7-919), 3.6(0-5), 0.4(0-3), 9(1-48), and 0.7(0-2) respectively (**Table 1**).

The median CD4 count of the patients increased steadily from 194 cell/microliter at the baseline to 351 cells/microliter at visit 6 (p<0.0001) (**Figure:1**). On the other hand, the median weight of the participants increased from 51.25kgs at baseline to 55.0kgs at visit 2 (P-0.03) and then remained relatively constant till visit 6 (p=0.24) (**Figure: 2**).

Eighty-two (32.8%) patients changed their treatment regimen. Of these, 43(52.4%) had body fat abnormalities, 9(11%) had neuropathy, 1(1.2%) had treatment failure and the

rest had other form of side effects like severe anemia (n=1), drug toxicity (n=1), Stevens-

Johnson syndrome (n=1) and virological failure (n=1).

43(17.2%) of the patients had body fat abnormalities with a median(range) age of 35(20-

63) years (p- 0.6) and also the median(range) body weight of those patients at baseline

was 46.5(41-52) kg (p-54) compared to 51.3 kg.

Patients who had body fat abnormalities had a relatively lower Hb count with a

median(range) count of 11.95(8.3-14.7) at baseline compared to those who had no body

fat abnormalities with a median(range) HGB count of 12.5(7.6-18.3) (p- 0.048).

Moreover, they had a relatively higher BUN count with a median(range) count of 11(6-31)

at baseline compared to those who had no body fat abnormalities with a median(range)

BUN count of 9(0.6-48) (p-0.042) (**Table 2**).

Compared to NVP-based regimen, EFV-based regimen was significantly associated with

lipodystrophy (p=0.01).

Discussion

Body fat abnormalities in patients on ART is a real concern for patients and their

relatives. The impact of body fat changes on patients affects drug adherence. This study

was aimed at determining the prevalence of body fat abnormalities and associated factors

in patients on ART at Orotta national referral hospital, Asmara Eritrea. No study has been

done previously to address this abnormality in our setting.

The majority of participants were in stage III WHO clinical staging and had CD 4 count <

300 at the time of ART initiation. In one study increased age at initiation of ART have

been associated with self-reported lipoatrophy but no specific age was determined (9).

However, one study using objective measurements of lipoatrophy has not confirmed a

7

link between age (14). The similar studies have also shown that, disease severity or high

clinical staging at initiation of treatment is one of the predictors of fat atrophy (14,15).

Hence in our study the severity of disease could have an input to the high percentage

(17.2%) of body fat abnormalities.

The mean CD4 count at the initiation of therapy in this study was 151/mm³. In one study,

increased age and lower CD4 cell counts at initiation of HIV therapy have been

associated with self-reported lipoatrophy which was consistent with our findings (9). The

older the age at the start of ART and the earlier the development of body fat

abnormalities seen in this study. Patients with the age of 50-59 years showed significant

difference in developing body fat abnormalities when compared with other age groups.

50 percent from same age group developed side effect as early as 7 to 18 months of age.

This demonstrated old age is one of the risk factors to develop drug side effect earlier

than younger patients. In one study, patients were evaluated for signs of body fat

abnormalities and the independent factors for moderate/severe lipoatrophy were

increasing age, any use of stavudine, use of indinavir for longer than 2 years (9).

Peripheral and central lipoatrophy is an associated entity of HIV infection (16). After

initiation of ART, the body fat maldistribution was also described with the drug regimens

(17). However, the clinical significance of HIV associated lipohypertrophy came more

slowly than lipoatrophy in studies. Some of the reasons of this delay in report were, the

perception that fat loss is more readily noticeable than fat accumulation, patients tend to

report fat loss than fat accumulation due to its negative cosmetic effects, and fat

8

accumulation can be confounded with obesity of other causes (15,18).

ART-induced lipodystrophy which is characterized by peripheral and/or central fat loss or accumulation is described in patients on zidovudine, stavudine, didanosine and most protease inhibitors (19). The management of ART induced lipodystrophy is difficult and complex that includes switching of drug regimen to non lipodystrophic regimen, lifestyle modification, statins, metformin, fibrates, growth hormone and thiazolidinediones (20). In our study, lipoatrophy held 50.6% of total side effects noted, while lipohypertrophy ('buffalo hump') held only 1.2%. d4T, 3TC, NVP results in 79 percent of lipoatrophy when compared to the side effects noted with the other regimen, AZT, 3TC, NVP resulted in 14 percent, d4T, 3TC, EFV in 4.7 percent and AZT, 3TC, EFV in 2.3 percent. Lamivudine (3TC) was constituent of all the four regimens. Stavudine (d4T), efavirenz (EFV), zidovudine (AZT), nevirapine (NVP) are each in two drug regimens. In vitro and in vivo studies suggest that thymidine analogues – zidovudine and stavudine in particular, efavirenz play an important role in pathogenesis of lipoatrophy (21,22). In one prospective, randomized, open trial, 237 patients initiating ART were assigned to abacavir or stavudine, both combined with lamivudine or efavirenz (23). Buffalo hump occurred in one male patient who was on d4T, 3TC, NVP. The use of

protease inhibitor therapy was initially thought to lead to the development of fat deposition (24). In a study done on ART-naive patients, there was no difference in the development of regional fat in any of the three treatment arms utilizing a PI, a NNRTI, or a PI plus NNRTI strategy (25). In this study we only got one patient with the disorder. Hence it is uneasy to attribute it to any drug or drug regimen.

ART- induced lipodystrophy is common in low-, low-middle income settings with considerable impact to the patients. These impacts includes increased risk of metabolic

diseases, compromised quality of life and poor drug adherence (26). Increased prevalence

of ART induced lipoatrophy, lipohypertrophy and mixed syndrome in short term and

long term use of ART have been reported in the resource limited settings. The main

explanation given to the rise of this burden more than in high-income countries, is the

lack of better alternative of drug regimens which are easily accessible in high-income

settings (26–28).

The switch of drug due to fat maldistribution was mainly from nevirapine to efavirenz

or/and from stavudine to zidovudine. And drug regimen with efavirenz showed to be

significantly associated with lipodystrophy (p-0.01). Our study observed that the huge

burden of shifting drug regimen was due to lipoatrophy (more than half of the reason). In

one randomized trial, limb fat loss was more common in subjects receiving NRTIs plus

efavirenz compared to NRTIs plus the PI lopinavir/ritonavir, similar to our finding (29).

Our study demonstrated an excellent adherence which was 85%. Adherence is a key to

successful therapy. Patients' adherence to ART is a dynamic process that interacts with

adipose tissue advancement. Better adherence is associated with a higher risk of

subsequent occurrence of fat maldistribution (30). Patients who are started on ART need

to be counseled about the importance of adherence prior to initiation of medications.

In 2019, the WHO revised the first line ART regimens. The first line options from the

new guidelines are a) TDF + 3TC (or FTC) + DTG, and as alternative regimen, b) TDF +

3TC + EFV, and in special circumstances c) AZT + 3TC + EFV. Moreover, in 2016

WHO recommended countries to discontinue d4T use in first-line regimens due to well-

10

recognized metabolic toxicities (31,32).

Study limitations and strengths

Due to its retrospect nature some investigations were missing, e.g For most patients' lipid

panels were missing.

Body shape changes were only determined subjectively by clinicians' clinical assessment

which is prone to interobserver variability. Objective quantification of fat by CT, MRI,

and DEXA scanning for lipoatrophy and quantitative measurements of visceral adipose

tissue by CT or MRI were not done.

The effect of abacavir plus lamivudine plus efavirenz, abacavir plus didanosine plus

efavirenz, zidovudine plus lamivudine plus abacavir could not be equated because of

inadequate number of subjects on the mentioned regimens. There was only one subject in

each regimen.

Since the initiation of ART in the country no study was done to address the real problem

of body fat abnormalities in patients on ART. This study proved that the problem is real.

Conclusion

The prevalence of body fat abnormality in our setting was 17.2 percent. The evolvement

of body fat abnormality was between 19 and 36 months after initiation of ART. Drug

regimens that include efavirenz showed to be significantly associated with lipodystrophy.

In the revised 2016 WHO ART drug regimens, d4T was removed but AZT remained

from the thymidine analogue, also 3TC, NVP and EFV. Therefore, using this study as an

entry point, we recommend more multicentric prospective studies to be done on the role

11

of AZT, 3TC, EFV, NVP, abacavir in the development of body fat maldistribution.

Abbreviations

3TC: Lamivudine

AIDS: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome.

ABC: Abacavir

ART: Antiretroviral Therapy/ Treatment

Atv/r: Atazanvir/ritonavir

AZT: Zidovudine

BMI: Body Mass Index

CT: Computed Tomography

DEXA: Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry

d4T: Stavudine

ddI: Didanosine

EFV: Efavirenz

ELISA: Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay.

FTC: Emtricitabine

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus.

HAART: Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy/ Treatment

LPV/r : Lopinavir/ritonavir

MoH: Ministry of Health

MACS: Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study

MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

NVP: Nevirapine

NRTIs: Nucleoside or nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor

NNRTI: Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor

PI: Protease Inhibitor

SJS: Stevens-Johnson Syndrome

WHO: world health organization

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approval for the study was given by the Orotta school of medicine and the Research

ethics and Protocol review committee of the Eritrean ministry of health. Administrative

clearance was sought from Orotta National Referral Hospital. Permission was obtained

from the authorities of the Hospital. All the data collected from the records of the

respondents was kept confidential. Consent to participate was not obtained from the

patients because the study was based on anonymized patient records.

Availability of data and materials

The information used and/or analyzed during this manuscript is available from the

corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal

relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding

No funding

Authors' contribution

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data,

or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it

critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave

final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects

13

of the work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge, the staff of HIV clinic of Orotta National referral hospital for their active support in the data collection process.

Reference

- 1. AIDSinfo | UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2020 Dec 7]. Available from: http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/
- 2. Broder S. The development of antiretroviral therapy and its impact on the HIV-1/AIDS pandemic. Antiviral Res. 2009/12/16 ed. 2010 Jan;85(1):1–18.
- 3. Arts EJ, Hazuda DJ. HIV-1 antiretroviral drug therapy. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2012 Apr;2(4):a007161–a007161.
- 4. Lartey M, Asante-Quashie A, Essel A, Kenu E, Ganu V, Neequaye A. Causes of Death in Hospitalized HIV Patients in the Early Anti-Retroviral Therapy Era. Ghana Med J. 2015 Mar;49(1):7–11.
- 5. Shafer RW, Vuitton DA. Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for the treatment of infection with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Biomed Pharmacother Biomedecine Pharmacother. 1999 Mar;53(2):73–86.
- 6. Hammer SM, Eron JJ, Reiss P, Schooley RT, Thompson MA, Walmsley S, et al. Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2008 recommendations of the International AIDS Society-USA panel. JAMA. 2008 Aug 6;300(5):555–70.
- 7. Wohl DA, McComsey G, Tebas P, Brown TT, Glesby MJ, Reeds D, et al. Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of metabolic complications of HIV infection and its therapy. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2006 Sep 1;43(5):645–53.
- 8. Dubé MP, Komarow L, Mulligan K, Grinspoon SK, Parker RA, Robbins GK, et al. Long-term body fat outcomes in antiretroviral-naive participants randomized to nelfinavir or efavirenz or both plus dual nucleosides. Dual X-ray absorptiometry

- results from A5005s, a substudy of Adult Clinical Trials Group 384. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2007 Aug;45(5):508—514.
- Lichtenstein KA, Ward DJ, Moorman AC, Delaney KM, Young B, Palella FJ, et al. Clinical assessment of HIV-associated lipodystrophy in an ambulatory population. AIDS Lond Engl. 2001 Jul 27;15(11):1389–98.
- 10. Joly V, Flandre P, Meiffredy V, Leturque N, Harel M, Aboulker J-P, et al. Increased risk of lipoatrophy under stavudine in HIV-1-infected patients: results of a substudy from a comparative trial. AIDS Lond Engl. 2002 Dec 6;16(18):2447–54.
- Mallal SA, John M, Moore CB, James IR, McKinnon EJ. Contribution of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors to subcutaneous fat wasting in patients with HIV infection. AIDS Lond Engl. 2000 Jul 7;14(10):1309–16.
- 12. Power R, Tate HL, McGill SM, Taylor C. A qualitative study of the psychosocial implications of lipodystrophy syndrome on HIV positive individuals. Sex Transm Infect. 2003 Apr;79(2):137–41.
- 13. Njelekela M, Mpembeni R, Muhihi A, Ulenga N, Aris E, Kakoko D. Lipodystrophy among HIV-Infected Patients Attending Care and Treatment Clinics in Dar es Salaam. Katzenstein D, editor. AIDS Res Treat. 2017 Oct 11;2017:3896539.
- Mulligan K, Anastos K, Justman J, Freeman R, Wichienkuer P, Robison E, et al. Fat distribution in HIV-infected women in the United States: DEXA substudy in the Women's Interagency HIV Study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2005 Jan 1;38(1):18–22.
- 15. Lichtenstein K, Balasubramanyam A, Sekhar R, Freedland E. HIV-associated adipose redistribution syndrome (HARS): definition, epidemiology and clinical impact. AIDS Res Ther. 2007 Jul 16;4(1):16.
- 16. Tien PC, Grunfeld C. What is HIV-associated lipodystrophy? Defining fat distribution changes in HIV infection. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2004 Feb;17(1):27–32.

- 17. Bacchetti P, Gripshover B, Grunfeld C, Heymsfield S, McCreath H, Osmond D, et al. Fat distribution in men with HIV infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2005 Oct 1;40(2):121–31.
- Engelson ES. HIV lipodystrophy diagnosis and management. Body composition and metabolic alterations: diagnosis and management. AIDS Read. 2003 Apr;13(4 Suppl):S10-14.
- 19. Villarroya F, Domingo P, Giralt M. Drug-induced lipotoxicity: lipodystrophy associated with HIV-1 infection and antiretroviral treatment. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010 Mar;1801(3):392–9.
- Behera V, Randive M, Sundaray S, Murty MSN. Antiretroviral therapy-induced lipodystrophy. BMJ Case Rep [Internet]. 2015 Feb 12 [cited 2021 Jan 4];2015.
 Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4330419/
- Caron M, Vigouroux C, Bastard J-P, Capeau J. Antiretroviral-related adipocyte dysfunction and lipodystrophy in HIV-infected patients: Alteration of the PPARγdependent pathways. PPAR Res. 2008/12/30 ed. 2009;2009:507141–507141.
- Bedimo RJ. Body-Fat Abnormalities in Patients With HIV: Progress and Challenges.
 J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care. 2008 Dec 1;7(6):292–305.
- 23. Podzamczer D, Ferrer E, Sanchez P, Gatell JM, Crespo M, Fisac C, et al. Less lipoatrophy and better lipid profile with abacavir as compared to stavudine: 96-week results of a randomized study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2007 Feb 1;44(2):139–47.
- 24. Anuurad E, Bremer A, Berglund L. HIV protease inhibitors and obesity. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2010 Oct;17(5):478–85.
- 25. Shlay JC, Bartsch G, Peng G, Wang J, Grunfeld C, Gibert CL, et al. Long-term body composition and metabolic changes in antiretroviral naive persons randomized to protease inhibitor-, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-, or protease

- inhibitor plus nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based strategy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2007 Apr 15;44(5):506–17.
- 26. Finkelstein JL, Gala P, Rochford R, Glesby MJ, Mehta S. HIV/AIDS and lipodystrophy: Implications for clinical management in resource-limited settings. J Int AIDS Soc [Internet]. 2015 Jan 15 [cited 2021 Jan 4];18(1). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4297925/
- 27. Mercier S, Gueye NFN, Cournil A, Fontbonne A, Copin N, Ndiaye I, et al. Lipodystrophy and metabolic disorders in HIV-1-infected adults on 4- to 9-year antiretroviral therapy in Senegal: a case-control study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2009 Jun 1;51(2):224–30.
- 28. Nguyen A, Calmy A, Schiffer V, Bernasconi E, Battegay M, Opravil M, et al. Lipodystrophy and weight changes: data from the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, 2000-2006. HIV Med. 2008 Mar;9(3):142–50.
- 29. Haubrich RH, Riddler SA, DiRienzo AG, Komarow L, Powderly WG, Klingman K, et al. Metabolic outcomes in a randomized trial of nucleoside, nonnucleoside and protease inhibitor-sparing regimens for initial HIV treatment. AIDS Lond Engl. 2009 Jun 1;23(9):1109–18.
- 30. Ammassari A, Antinori A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Trotta MP, Nasti G, Ridolfo AL, et al. Relationship between HAART adherence and adipose tissue alterations. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2002 Dec;31 Suppl 3:S140—4.
- 31. Consolidated Guidelines on the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach [Internet]. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 [cited 2021 Jan 4]. (WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review Committee). Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK374294/

32. WHO | Update of recommendations on first- and second-line antiretroviral regimens [Internet]. WHO. World Health Organization; [cited 2021 Feb 21]. Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-update-2019-policy/en/

Figure Legends

Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the participants.

Age 35 20-63 sex	Percent/SD/Range	Frequency/Median/Mean	Characteristics
Female 148 59.2 Male 102 40.8 Body weight at baseline 51.25 34-81.5 Year when ART was started T 28.4 2005 71 28.4 30 2007 59 23.6 30 2008 28 11.2 2009 Regimen(n=247)	20-63	35	Age
Male 102 40.8 Body weight at baseline 51.25 34-81.5 Year when ART was started 2005 71 28.4 2006 75 30 2007 23.6 2008 23.6 2008 11.2 2009 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 6.8 8 2009 17 6.8 8 6.8 8 8 10.2 2009 17 6.8 8 8 10.2 2009 17 6.8 8 8 10.2 2009 20.0 1.0 4 4 2009 17 6.8 8 8 10.2 2009 20.0 1.0 4 4 2009 1.0 4			sex
Body weight at baseline 51.25 34-81.5 Year when ART was started 28.4 2006 75 30 2007 59 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) N 0.4 ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 1 0.8 ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	59.2	148	Female
Year when ART was started 28.4 2006 75 30 2007 59 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/NVP 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 0.8 D4T based 6 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) 22.8 EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	40.8	102	Male
2005 71 28.4 2006 75 30 2007 59 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 2 0.8 ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) 22.8 EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	34-81.5	51.25	Body weight at baseline
2006 75 30 2007 59 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) 22.8 EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9			Year when ART was started
2007 59 23.6 2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	28.4	71	2005
2008 28 11.2 2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247)	30	75	2006
2009 17 6.8 Regimen(n=247) 0.4 ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) 74.9 EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	23.6	59	2007
Regimen(n=247) 0.4 ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247)	11.2	28	2008
ABC/3TC/EFV 1 0.4 ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.5 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	6.8	17	2009
ABC/DDI/EFV 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9			Regimen(n=247)
AZT/3TC/ABC 1 0.4 AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247)	0.4	1	ABC/3TC/EFV
AZT/3TC/EFV 26 10.5 AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247)	0.4	1	ABC/DDI/EFV
AZT/3TC/NVP 33 13.4 D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	0.4	1	AZT/3TC/ABC
D4T/3TC/EFV 28 11.3 D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) 0.8 ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	10.5	26	AZT/3TC/EFV
D4T/3TC/NVP 157 62.6 Regimen 1(n=247) ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	13.4	33	AZT/3TC/NVP
Regimen 1(n=247) 0.8 ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	11.3	28	D4T/3TC/EFV
ABC based 2 0.8 D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	62.6	157	D4T/3TC/NVP
D4T based 60 24.3 AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9			Regimen 1(n=247)
AZT based 185 74.9 Regimen 2 (n=247) EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	0.8	2	ABC based
Regimen 2 (n=247) 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	24.3	60	D4T based
EFV based 56 22.8 NVP based 190 76.9	74.9	185	AZT based
NVP based 190 76.9			Regimen 2 (n=247)
	22.8	56	EFV based
Triple pulses 1	76.9	190	NVP based
Triple flakes	0.4	1	Triple nukes
WHO clinical stage n=232		n=232	WHO clinical stage
50 21.6	21.6	50	1
II 43 18.5	18.5	43	II

III	129	55.6
IV	10	4.3
CD4(baseline)	151	7-993
Blood count		
WBC	4.1	0.5-320
HGB	12.4	7.6-18.3
PLT	215	116-245
AST	33.5	10-284
ALT	24	3-366
ALK.PH	75	7.2-919
ALB	3.60	0.1-2.7
BIL	0.4	0.1-2.7
BUN	9	0.6-48
CR	0.7	0.1-2.3
Drug compliance(n=239)		
Poor	12	5.02
Good	4	1.7
Excellent	210	87.9
Dropout	9	3.8
Dropout (defaulter)	4	1.7
Regimen change		
Yes	82	32.8
No	168	67.2
•		

Table 2: Sociodemographic and clinical factors associated lipodystrophy

Characteristic	LIPODYSTROPHY		P-value
	Yes (n=43(17.2%))	No (n=207(82.8%))	
	Median(range)/Freq(%)	Median(range)/Freq(%)	
Age	35(20-63)	35(27-57)	0.598

Sex(n=149)			
Female	27(62.8)	120(58)	0.680
Male	16(37.2)	86(42)	
Regimen (n=247)			
ABC/3TC/EFV	0(0)	1(0.5)	0.179
ABC/DDI/EFV	0(0)	1(0.5)	
AZT/3TC/ABC	0(0)	1(0.5)	
AZT/3TC/EFV	1(2.3)	25(12.3)	
AZT/3TC/NVP	6(14)	27(13.2)	
D4T/3TC/EFV	2(4.7)	26(12.7)	
D4T/3TC/NVP	34(79)	123(60.3)	
Regimen 1(n=247)			
ABC based	0(0)	2(1)	0.437
D4T based	7(16.3)	53(26)	
AZT based	36(83.7)	149(73)	
Regimen 2 (n=247)			
EFV based	3(7)	53(26)	0.01*
NVP based	40(93)	150(73.5)	
WHO clinical stage (n=232)			
I	7(17.1)	43(22.6)	0.479
II	10(24.4)	33(17.4)	
III	21(51.2)	108(56.3)	
IV	3(7.3)	7(3.7)	
Drug compliance (n=239)			
Poor	1(2.3)	11(5.6)	0.863
Good	0(0)	4(2)	
Excellent	41(95.3)	169(86.2)	
Drop out	1(2.3)	8(4.1)	
Drop out (defaulter)	0(0)	4(2)	
Body Weight at baseline	46.5(41-52)	56.5(42-75)	0.542
CD4	151(54-378)	151(7-993)	0.915
WBC	3.9(0.5-10.8)	4.15(1.4-320)	0.153
HGB	11.95(8.3-14.7)	12.5(7.6-18.3)	0.048*
PLT	245(116-396)	213.5(37-677)	0.657

AST	35(20-151)	33(10-284)	0.637
ALT	20(11-58)	25.5(3-366)	0.091
ALK.PH	74(55-152)	75(7.2-919)	0.637
ALB	3.6(2.3-5.1)	3.7(2-4)	0.521
BIL	0.5(0.2-0.7)	0.4(0.1-4.9)	0.276
BUN	11(6-31)	9(0.6-48)	0.042*
CR	0.8(0.5-1.5)	0.7(0.1-2.3)	0.213
Regimen change			
Yes	41 (95.3)	41 (19.8)	<0.0001
No	2 (4.7)	166 (80.2)	




Figure 1: Variation in the median CD4 count of the participants from baseline through visit 6.




Figure 2: Variation in the median weight of the participants from the baseline through visit 6