

1 Supplementary materials

2 Statistical comparison between groups at baseline

3 As our baseline distributions didn't follow normal distributions, the statistical comparisons
4 between groups at baseline were on medians which were presented in *Table S1*.

5

6 **Table S1.** *Statistical Comparison Between Children With ASD and Children with TD at Baseline in*
7 *Terms of Demographic, Clinical, Cognitive Features*

8

	ASD - <i>Mdn</i> n = 177	TD - <i>Mdn</i> n = 43	<i>p</i> -value*
Sex	24 ♀ / 153 ♂	10 ♀ / 33 ♂	0.155 ¹
Age (years old)	2.82	2.76	0.429 ²
ADOS Total symptom severity	8	1	<0.001²
PEP-3			
CVP (raw score)	27	45	<0.001²
EL (raw score)	4	27	<0.001²
RL (raw score)	10	32	<0.001²
VABS-II Adaptive Behavior Composite	77	110	<0.001²

9

10 *Note.* ADOS = Autism Diagnosis Observation Schedule ; PEP-3 = PsychoEducational Profile, 3rd edition ; CVP =
11 Cognition Verbal and Preverbal ; EL = Expressive language ; RL = Receptive language ; VABS-II = Vineland
12 Adaptive Behavior Scales, 2nd edition.

13 * *p* value of *Fisher's exact test*¹ and *Mann-Whitney tests*² on medians of differences between the ASD and TD groups.
14 Significant results are shown in bold.

15

16 Statistical comparison between ASD subgroups at baseline

17 In order to differentiate the subgroups ASD2 and ASD3 at baseline, we compared them
18 according to the level of symptoms (ADOS Total symptom severity) and cognitive and
19 language skills (PEP-3). In addition, we compared the number of children in each group that
20 was included in an early and intensive Early Start Denver Model intervention program in
21 Geneva (Rogers & Dawson, 2010). Finally, in order to test for potential differences between
22 the two groups with regards to social orienting, we used eye-tacking. In this task adynamic

23 social and geometric videos are presented side-by-side (see Franchini et al., 2017, for more
 24 details). Thus, as a measure of social orienting, for each child we were able to calculate the
 25 percentage of time she/he spent on the videos containing social information compared to the
 26 videos with geometric forms .

27

28 **Table S2.** *Statistical Comparison Between Subgroups ASD2 and ASD3 at Baseline in Terms of*
 29 *Clinical, Cognitive, Therapy and Eye-tracking Features*

	ADS2 - Mean (SD) n = 27	ASD3 - Mean (SD) n = 29	p-value
ADOS Total symptom severity	8.46 (1.6)	9.07 (1.2)	$p = 0.179^1$
PEP-3			
VPC (raw scores)	15.81 (8)	13.61 (6.7)	$p = 0.613^1$
EL (raw scores)	2.96 (4.7)	1.71 (1.2)	$p = 0.613^1$
RL (raw scores)	4.73 (6)	3.71 (3)	$p = 0.873^1$
Number of subjects included in an early intervention (CIPA)	15	13	$p = 0.408^2$
Social orientation (eye- tracking)	39.89 (19.3)	45.68 (19)	$p = 0.937^3$

30

31 *Note.* ADOS = Autism Diagnosis Observation Schedule ; PEP-3 = PsychoEducational Profile, 3rd edition ; CVP =
 32 Cognition Verbal and Preverbal ; EL = Expressive language ; RL = Receptive language.

33 * p value of *Mann-Whitney tests*¹ , *Chi-square test*² and *t-test*³ of differences between the two subgroups.

34