

COREQ Checklist

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Answers	Referred in manuscript on
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity				
Personal Characteristics			...	
1.	Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	MH, MR, VB, IH, AU, JP, CH	Page 4
2.	Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? <i>E.g. PhD, MD</i>	PhD, MPH, MSc, MSc, B.A., M.A., BSc (highest degree, respectively)	Page 4
3.	Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	senior lecturer, research fellow, research fellow, junior scientist, junior scientist, research fellow, junior scientist	Page 4
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	All interviewers were female, interpretation was done by eight female and two male researchers.	Page 4
5.	Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	All interviewers were experienced in conducting qualitative interviews for at least one prior research project.	Page 4
Relationship with participants				
6.	Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	Some interviewees were personally known by some of the researchers. We tried to avoid any bias by assigning those participants to other researcher. Some participants had a short preliminary interview as part of the scheduling process.	page 4
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? <i>e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research</i>	Participants were informed about the professional background of the interviewer and the aim of the research.	page 4
8.	Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? <i>e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic</i>	Participants were informed about the professional background of the interviewer. Prior work experience in healthcare (four/seven interviewers)	page 4 and page 12

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Answers	Referred in manuscript on
			might have influenced the data collection.	
Domain 2: study design				
Theoretical framework				
9.	Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? <i>e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis</i>	The study is based on Garfinkel's ethnomethodological approach. Coding was guided by grounded theory (approach from Charmaz).	page 3 page 4
Participant selection				
10.	Sampling	How were participants selected? <i>e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball</i>	We approached 129 clinical/institutional contacts in order to recruit interview partners. Our recruiting strategy was driven by theoretical sampling approach and we aimed to include different clinical settings in Germany.	page 3
11.	Method of approach	How were participants approached? <i>e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email</i>	E-Mail, telephone, social media, flyer	page 3
12.	Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	39	page 3
13.	Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	There was no drop-out. Two contacted individuals actively declined to participate in the study at the first point of contact without specific reasons, while two asked to be contacted only after the pandemic.	page 3
Setting				
14.	Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? <i>e.g. home, clinic, workplace</i>	Interviews were conducted by telephone or via a suitable data protection compliant video conferencing system.	page 3
15.	Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No.	
16.	Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? <i>e.g. demographic data, date</i>	Relevant demographic data are shown in Figure 1.	page 5
Data collection				

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Answers	Referred in manuscript on
17.	Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	A topic guide was developed and used where appropriate. As the question was "I would like to ask you to tell us how you experience the phase of preparation for the care of COVID-19 infected patients.", this phrase encouraged the interviewees to talk as much freely as possible. Two interviews were determined as pilot interviews and included in the sample. The topic guide is attached as supplementary information.	page 4
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	Repeat interviews are carried out and will be published at a later stage.	page 3
19.	Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Two interviews were conducted including visual recording, 37 with audio only.	page 3
20.	Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	Yes, we documented the results of the interpretation groups in tabular form.	page 4
21.	Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	see Figure 1	page 5
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	In our continuous interpretation groups, saturation was discussed once per week.	
23.	Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No.	page 4
Domain 3: analysis and findings				
Data analysis				
24.	Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Ten	page 4
25.	Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	The inductively created concepts and categories are our coding tree.	page 5-6 (Categories and concepts)
26.	Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Yes, we identified seven major categories and 22 concepts from our data, see figure 2.	page 8, particular figure 2

No	Item	Guide questions/description	Answers	Referred in manuscript on
27.	Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	Interview data was managed with MAXQDA by Verbi GmbH or ATLAS.ti by Scientific Software Development GmbH. For collaborative interpretation, we used Confluence by Atlassian (v. 7.5.2).	page 4
28.	Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	We did not consider respondent validation.	page 4
Reporting				
29.	Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. <i>participant number</i>	Quotations can be found in Table 1. The quotations can be identified by our generated named pseudonyms. Remark: These pseudonyms do not allow any conclusions to be drawn about the true identity of the study participants.	page 9-10
30.	Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes. We transparently documented all interpretation process steps and see consistency after all.	page 4
31.	Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	We identified and clearly presented seven major themes in the results section.	page 5
32.	Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	We aimed to illustrate the heterogeneity of the topics of our data as comprehensively as possible. We found that the identified categories and concepts in our data were highly dynamic.	page 12