

Exploring the short-term role of particulate
matter in the COVID-19 outbreak in USA cities -
Supporting Information

Leonardo Yoshiaki Kamigauti¹, Gabriel Martins Palma Perez²,
Carlos Eduardo Souto-Oliveira¹, Elizabeth Cowdery³, Paulo
Hilário Nascimento Saldiva⁴, and Maria de Fatima Andrade¹

¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of São Paulo,
São Paulo, Brazil

²Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Earley Gate,
United Kingdom

³Department of Earth and Environment, University of Boston,
Boston, United States of America

⁴Department of Pathology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo,
Brazil

February 2021

This supporting information has 5 pages including Tables S1-S4.

	County	State	p-value
1	Fort Worth	Texas	0.00
2	Jacksonville	Florida	0.00
3	Nashville	Tennessee	0.00
4	San Antonio	Texas	0.00
5	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	0.00
6	Raleigh	North Carolina	0.00
7	Miami	Florida	0.00
8	Jackson	Mississippi	0.00
9	Springfield	Illinois	0.01
10	Memphis	Tennessee	0.01
11	Staten Island	New York	0.01
12	Los Angeles	California	0.01
13	Seattle	Washington	0.01
14	Columbus	Ohio	0.01
15	Indianapolis	Indiana	0.02
16	Houston	Texas	0.04
17	Atlanta	Georgia	0.05
18	Baltimore	Maryland	0.06
19	Dallas	Texas	0.07
20	San Francisco	California	0.07
21	Charlotte	North Carolina	0.09
22	El Paso	Texas	0.10
23	Providence	Rhode Island	0.10
24	Portland	Oregon	0.10
25	Brooklyn	New York	0.13
26	Omaha	Nebraska	0.14
27	Little Rock	Arkansas	0.15
28	Albuquerque	New Mexico	0.15
29	Manhattan	New York	0.15
30	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	0.18
31	Sacramento	California	0.18
32	Las Vegas	Nevada	0.22
33	Austin	Texas	0.23
34	Oakland	California	0.31
35	Denver	Colorado	0.31
36	San Jose	California	0.34
37	Salem	Oregon	0.36
38	Queens	New York	0.36
39	Detroit	Michigan	0.38
40	Boston	Massachusetts	0.39
41	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	0.40
42	Chicago	Illinois	0.42
43	Hartford	Connecticut	0.56
44	Madison	Wisconsin	0.56

Table 1: Results of Granger Analysis for PM25.

	County	State	p-value
1	Miami	Florida	0.00
2	Jacksonville	Florida	0.00
3	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	0.00
4	Atlanta	Georgia	0.00
5	Las Vegas	Nevada	0.01
6	Boise	Idaho	0.01
7	El Paso	Texas	0.02
8	Indianapolis	Indiana	0.05
9	Los Angeles	California	0.08
10	Baltimore	Maryland	0.09
11	Denver	Colorado	0.13
12	San Jose	California	0.19
13	Omaha	Nebraska	0.23
14	Detroit	Michigan	0.30
15	Hartford	Connecticut	0.35
16	Chicago	Illinois	0.42
17	Honolulu	Hawaii	0.43
18	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	0.46
19	Albuquerque	New Mexico	0.68
20	Madison	Wisconsin	0.76

Table 2: Results of Granger Analysis for PM10.

	County	State	p-value
1	Denver	Colorado	0.00
2	Staten Island	New York	0.00
3	Seattle	Washington	0.01
4	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	0.02
5	Manhattan	New York	0.07
6	San Jose	California	0.11
7	Raleigh	North Carolina	0.11
8	Albuquerque	New Mexico	0.13
9	Boise	Idaho	0.15
10	Oakland	California	0.15
11	Indianapolis	Indiana	0.16
12	Atlanta	Georgia	0.21
13	Chicago	Illinois	0.22
14	Providence	Rhode Island	0.22
15	Los Angeles	California	0.26
16	Omaha	Nebraska	0.30
17	Hartford	Connecticut	0.35
18	San Francisco	California	0.42
19	Jacksonville	Florida	0.49
20	Miami	Florida	0.50
21	Boston	Massachusetts	0.54

Table 3: Results of Granger Analysis for CO.

	County	State	p-value
1	Denver	Colorado	0.00
2	Seattle	Washington	0.00
3	Boise	Idaho	0.00
4	Manhattan	New York	0.01
5	Baltimore	Maryland	0.02
6	Indianapolis	Indiana	0.02
7	San Francisco	California	0.02
8	Staten Island	New York	0.05
9	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	0.05
10	Chicago	Illinois	0.06
11	Albuquerque	New Mexico	0.07
12	San Jose	California	0.07
13	Atlanta	Georgia	0.08
14	Los Angeles	California	0.08
15	Las Vegas	Nevada	0.08
16	Richmond	Virginia	0.09
17	Hartford	Connecticut	0.11
18	El Paso	Texas	0.12
19	Providence	Rhode Island	0.12
20	Boston	Massachusetts	0.14
21	Sacramento	California	0.20
22	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	0.27
23	Portland	Oregon	0.27
24	Columbus	Ohio	0.30
25	Jacksonville	Florida	0.44
26	Raleigh	North Carolina	0.53
27	Oakland	California	0.59
28	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	0.60

Table 4: Results of Granger Analysis for NO₂.