

1 **Title**

2 Multi-Omic Profiling of Plasma Identify Biomarkers and Pathogenesis of COVID-19 in  
3 Children

4  
5 **Authors**

6 Chong Wang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xufang Li<sup>1†</sup>, Wanshan Ning<sup>3†</sup>, Sitang Gong<sup>1</sup>, Fengxia Yang<sup>1</sup>, Chunxiao  
7 Fang<sup>1</sup>, Yu Gong<sup>1</sup>, Di Wu<sup>2</sup>, Muhan Huang<sup>2</sup>, Yujie Gou<sup>3</sup>, Shanshan Fu<sup>3</sup>, Yujie Ren<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruyi  
8 Yang<sup>2</sup>, Yang Qiu<sup>2\*</sup>, Yu Xue<sup>3\*</sup>, Yi Xu<sup>1\*</sup>, Xi Zhou<sup>1,2\*</sup>

9  
10 **Affiliations**

11 <sup>1</sup> Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center,  
12 Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510120, China

13 <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Virology, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Center for Biosafety Mega-  
14 Science, Chinese Academy Sciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430071, China

15 <sup>3</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics, Hubei Bioinformatics and Molecular Imaging  
16 Key Laboratory, Center for Artificial Intelligence Biology, College of Life Science and Technology,  
17 Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China

18  
19 †These authors contributed equally

20 \* E-mail: [zhouxi@wh.iov.cn](mailto:zhouxi@wh.iov.cn) (X.Z.), [xueyu@hust.edu.cn](mailto:xueyu@hust.edu.cn) (Y.X.), [xuyi70@163.com](mailto:xuyi70@163.com) (Y.Xu.), and  
21 [yangqiu@wh.iov.cn](mailto:yangqiu@wh.iov.cn) (Y.Q.)

## 23 **Abstract**

24 Although children usually develop less severe disease responding to COVID-19 than adults,  
25 little is known about the pathogenesis of COVID-19 in children. Herein, we conducted the plasma  
26 proteomic and metabolomic profiling of a cohort of COVID-19 pediatric patients with mild  
27 symptoms. Our data show that numerous proteins and metabolites involved in immune as well as  
28 anti-inflammatory processes were up-regulated on a larger scale in children than in adults. By  
29 developing a machine learning-based pipeline, we prioritized two sets of biomarker combinations,  
30 and identified 5 proteins and 5 metabolites as potential children-specific COVID-19 biomarkers.  
31 Further study showed that these identified metabolites not only inhibited the expression of pro-  
32 inflammatory factors, but also suppressed coronaviral replication, implying that these factors  
33 played key roles in protecting pediatric patients from both viral infection and infection-induced  
34 inflammation. Together, our study uncovered a protective mechanism responding to COVID-19 in  
35 children, and sheds light on potential therapies.

## 36 37 **Teaser**

38 Anti-inflammatory metabolites were highly elevated in the plasma of COVID-19 pediatric patients  
39 with mild symptoms.

## 40 41 **MAIN TEXT**

### 42 43 **Introduction**

44 The pandemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory  
45 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has become the worst public health crisis once a century,  
46 which has caused over 97 million human infections and 2 million deaths all over the world. It had  
47 been found that all people are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 without significant differences in sex or  
48 age<sup>1-3</sup>, and SARS-CoV-2 infects children under 18-year-old at a similar rate as adults<sup>4</sup>. Reports  
49 from different countries showed that the symptoms are milder in the overwhelming majority of  
50 children with COVID-19 (CC) compared to that of adults with COVID-19 (AC)<sup>1-3,5-11</sup>. Most children  
51 have minor symptoms or an asymptomatic SARSCoV2 infection and severe conditions such as  
52 acute respiratory distress syndrome and multisystem inflammatory syndrome are rare in CC<sup>12-14</sup>.

53 Several theories have been discussed to explain the differences in clinical symptoms between  
54 CC and AC<sup>15</sup>. One possible theory is the differences in the composition and functional  
55 responsiveness of immune systems between children and adults<sup>16</sup>. Children have a qualitatively  
56 different response to SARS-CoV-2 compared to adults<sup>17</sup>. Besides, young children were usually

57 infected with other simultaneous viruses in the mucosa of lungs and airways<sup>18</sup>, which would restrict  
58 the infection of SARS-CoV-2 via virus to virus interactions and competition. Another possible  
59 explanation is the differences in the maturity and function of the viral entry receptor angiotensin-  
60 converting enzyme (ACE2) between children and adults. In addition, children have the better  
61 overall physical conditions compared to adults, which probably make children more resistant for  
62 SARS-CoV-2<sup>19</sup>. However, the detailed mechanisms for the differences in clinical symptoms  
63 between children and adults remain to be determined.

64 Multiple reports showed that immune system of CC is less likely to elicit an excessive  
65 inflammatory response and cytokine storm, as observed in AC, suggesting that global molecular  
66 alterations in CC might be much milder and the deterioration process of COVID-19 was not  
67 strongly induced in CC. Considering that the intrinsic differences between children and adults, we  
68 proposed that molecules associated with COVID-19 in CC were also induced, whereas some  
69 protective mechanisms were elicited to antagonize the deterioration of the disease. To test this  
70 hypothesis, we collected plasma samples from a cohort including 18 CC cases and 12 healthy  
71 children (HC), and conducted the proteomic and metabolomic profiling. By comparing the omics  
72 data of CC with those of adult with COVID-19 (AC) that identified previously by us<sup>20, 21</sup>, we  
73 uncovered numerous molecular alterations in CC against AC cases, which may contribute to the  
74 pathogenesis of COVID-19 in children. Moreover, we developed a new pipeline named inference  
75 of biomarker combinations with minimal bias (iBM), and predicted 5 proteins and 5 metabolites as  
76 potential CC-specific biomarkers. In addition, some of metabolite biomarkers were experimentally  
77 examined their roles in suppressing viral replication and/or modulating inflammation *in vitro*. Our  
78 findings provided valuable knowledge about plasma biomarkers associated with CC, shed lights on  
79 the better understanding of mild COVID-19 symptom in children, and may reveal potential  
80 therapeutic targets.

81

## 82 **Results**

### 83 **Study design and blood samples**

84 We collected the blood samples of 30 children including 18 CC and 12 HC cases from  
85 Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center (Fig. 1A). All CC cases were diagnosed as mild  
86 symptoms based on the Diagnosis and Treatment Protocol for Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia (6<sup>th</sup>  
87 edition) of the National Health Commission of China<sup>22</sup>, and discharged from the hospital after  
88 recovery. No severe or critically ill CC cases were charged in our hospital (Table 1). The 12 HC  
89 cases, whose throat swab and serological tests were negative for SARS-CoV-2, were enrolled for  
90 comparison (Table S1). The clinical data of CC is shown in Table 1.

91 For each blood sample, plasma was separated, and total proteins were extracted, denatured and  
92 digested into peptides by trypsin (Fig. 1A). Then, the 30 plasma samples were separated into 2  
93 batches and individually subjected to tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling (Table S2). For each batch,  
94 individual samples were labeled with TMT 16-plex reagents, and a pooling mixture of all the 30  
95 samples was included and labeled as a standard control to eliminate the batch effect. After  
96 fractionation, each batch of peptide mixtures was analyzed by liquid chromatography with tandem  
97 mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The hydrophilic and hydrophobic metabolites were extracted  
98 from each plasma sample, respectively and then determined via using liquid chromatography  
99 electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS) system. The identification of  
100 metabolites was conducted by using home-made database with retention time and ion pairs or  
101 searching against the public databases based on MS/MS spectra for those metabolites without  
102 authentic standards in our database.

103 Recently, we conducted metabolomic and proteomic profilings of plasma samples from adults  
104 with or without SARS-CoV-2 infection, and identified numerous molecular alterations associated  
105 with COVID-19 in adults<sup>20, 21</sup>. From our two previous studies, we obtained the TMT-based  
106 quantitative proteomic data of 43 AC cases and 13 healthy adults (HA), and metabolomic data of  
107 34 AC and 10 HA cases. In total, there were 1033 proteins and 1129 metabolites quantified from  
108 AC and HA cases.

### 109 110 **A multi-omic profiling of plasma samples**

111 The proteomic profiling detected 9445 peptides from the 30 plasma samples, with an average  
112 number of 4716.1 peptides per sample (Fig. S1A). We mapped the peptides to corresponding  
113 protein sequences, and quantified proteins using the reporter ion MS2 module of the MaxQuant  
114 software package<sup>23</sup>. We found that 757 proteins were quantified in at least one sample (Table S3),  
115 with average numbers of 666 and 666 proteins in the 18 CC and 12 HC samples, respectively (Fig.  
116 S1B). We checked the raw MS/MS data and found that 4877 peptides (48.4%) could be matched  
117 by  $\geq 2$  spectral counts (Fig. S1C). The average spectral counts were calculated as 2.6 for all peptides,  
118 indicating a high quality of peptide identification. Also, we found that 626 proteins (79.2%) could  
119 be traced and supported by  $\geq 2$  peptides, with an average number of 11.1 peptides (Fig. S1D). Thus,  
120 our proteomic data was also highly reliable at the protein level. After the metabolomic profiling,  
121 from the principal component analysis (PCA) results, it was found that the 4 QC samples can be  
122 clustered in the center, indicating a high quality of metabolomic identification (Fig. S1E). We in  
123 total obtained 1174 metabolites from the 30 samples (Table S4), with an average number of 1140.7  
124 metabolites per sample (Fig. S1F). The multiquant software package was used for quantification.

125 From the multi-omic profiling, the intensity-based abundances (IBAs) of proteins and  
126 metabolites were obtained. For each batch of the proteomic data, the relative protein abundances  
127 (RPA) were obtained by normalizing the control sample, and proteins not quantified in control were  
128 discarded. To ensure the data quality, only 575 proteins and 1158 metabolites mutually quantified  
129 in > 80% samples for further analysis, and a heatmap was illustrated after a hierarchical clustering  
130 (Fig. S2). It could be found that CC and HC cases have distinct molecular signatures. For each  
131 protein or metabolites, normal distribution imputation (NI) was applied to impute the missing  
132 values<sup>24</sup>. To measure the variability of proteomic and metabolomic quantification, the coefficient  
133 of variation (CV) was calculated for each molecule, with median values of 0.172 and 0.135 for the  
134 proteomic data, and 0.122 and 0.122 for the metabolomic data in CC and HC samples, respectively  
135 (Fig. 1B). The low CV values supported a high reproducibility of the multi-omic quantification.  
136 Then, the principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted for the proteomic (Fig. 1C) and  
137 metabolomic data (Fig. 1D). From the results, it was found that CC and HC cases could be clearly  
138 distinguished using either the data type, indicating molecular alterations were quite significant in  
139 both omic levels. In addition, we calculated the average Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) for  
140 each pair of samples using the proteomic (Fig. 1E) or metabolomic data (Fig. 1F), and the results  
141 supported that similar molecular alterations triggered in CC cases.

### 143 **Characterization of CC-specific molecular alterations**

144 To identify molecular alterations in CC against HC cases, we directly used RPAs of the  
145 proteomic data and IBAs of the metabolomic data, and in total detected 121 and 418 potential DEPs  
146 and DEMs, respectively (Fig. 2A-B,  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 0.25$ , Adjusted  $P < 0.05$ ). It could be found that  
147 more proteins and metabolites were down-regulated in CC cases, indicating a general suppressive  
148 effect of normal biological and metabolic processes in children upon SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig.  
149 2A-B and Table S5-S6). However, up-regulated molecules exhibited stronger changes in expression,  
150 supporting that COVID-19-associated molecular alterations are not mild in children.

151 To further identify molecular alterations in CC against AC cases, the intrinsic differences  
152 between adults and children were eliminated by calculating normalized abundance values (NAVs)  
153 of proteins and metabolites in CC or AC cases against their counterparts in HC or HA samples.  
154 Again, 332 proteins and 783 metabolites simultaneously quantified in > 80% children and adults  
155 were reserved to ensure the data quality, and the PCA analysis demonstrated that CC and AC  
156 samples can be clearly distinguished either by the proteomic or metabolomic data (Fig. S3A-B).  
157 Using NAVs, we identified 196 DEPs and 449 DEMs in CC against HC cases (Fig. 2C-D,  
158  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 0.25$ , Adjusted  $P < 0.05$ , Table S7-S8). We found much more metabolites were down-

159 regulated in CC cases, whereas up-regulated metabolites exhibited stronger changes in expression.  
160 This result not only support some strong molecular alterations in CC cases, but also suggested that  
161 different biological and metabolic processes are affected upon COVID-19 in CC against AC cases.

162 Next, we used the annotations of Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes and Kyoto  
163 Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways, and performed functional enrichment  
164 analyses for proteins and metabolites, respectively (Fig. 2E-F and Table S9-S10). We found that a  
165 considerable number of biological processes and metabolic pathways enriched in CC vs. AC and  
166 CC vs. HC cases were overlapped, such as platelet degranulation (GO:0002576), blood coagulation  
167 (GO:0007596), fibrinolysis (GO:0042730) and plasminogen activation (GO:0031639) in the  
168 proteomic level (Fig. 2E), and ABC transporters (KEGG ID: map02010), biosynthesis of amino  
169 acids (KEGG ID: map01230) and pyrimidine metabolism (KEGG ID: map00240) in the metabolic  
170 level (Fig. 2F). These enriched processes in CC and AC are consistent with the COVID-19-  
171 associated pathophysiological processes identified by other previous omics studies, and that further  
172 confirmed that COVID-19-associated molecular alterations occurred in CC cases, as did in AC  
173 cases.

174 We sought to examine the CC-specific molecules by overlapping DEPs and DEMs of CC vs.  
175 HC and CC vs AS, and identified 44 and 249 CC-specific DEPs and DEMs, respectively (Fig. 2G-  
176 H and Table S11-S12). We performed functional enrichment analyses for CC-specific DEPs (Fig.  
177 2I) and DEMs (Fig. 2J and Table S9-S10), respectively. The biological processes and metabolic  
178 pathways based on these CC-specific molecules were mainly enriched in blood coagulation-related  
179 processes in the proteomic level, and anabolism-related processes involved in amino acid  
180 biosynthesis in the metabolic level (Fig. S3C-D), suggesting the importance of these physiological  
181 changes in children in response to COVID-19.

### 182 **Machine learning-based inference of CC-specific biomarker combinations**

184 Although 44 and 249 CC-specific DEPs and DEMs were identified (Fig. 2G-H), different  
185 molecules were altered with distinct extents in CC cases. Identification of optimal biomarker  
186 combinations will not only be helpful for an accurate classification of different types of patients,  
187 but also for uncovering the pathogenesis of COVID-19 in children. Here, we developed a new  
188 computational pipeline named iBM, which consisted of three steps, including mutual DEPs or  
189 DEMs selection (MDS), candidate combination generation (CCG) to randomly select 10,000  
190 biomarker combinations, and final combination prioritization (FCP) to get the protein or metabolite  
191 combination with a maximal accuracy and a minimal bias from the 5-fold cross-validation (Fig.  
192 3A). The accuracy of a model was evaluated by calculating the total area under curve (AUC) value,



193 and we also computed the total root mean squared error (RMSE) to measure the prediction bias. In  
194 the step of FCP, a widely used machine learning algorithm, penalized logistic regression (PLR)<sup>25-27</sup>,  
195 was used for model training and parameter optimization (Fig. 3A). The CC-specific biomarker  
196 combinations were separately determined for the proteomic and metabolic data.

197 From the results, there were 8098 protein combinations and 8376 metabolite combinations  
198 with a total AUC value of 1 (Table S13-S14), indicating that too many combinations could achieve  
199 a perfect accuracy on the existing data. However, a minimal RMSE value between predicted scores  
200 and observed values will ensure the robustness and reliability of the model on the new data. With  
201 total RMSE values of 1.83% and 7.01E-07, we prioritized two optimal biomarker combinations,  
202 containing 5 proteins coagulation factor XI and IX (F11 and F9), enolase (ENO1), fibrinogen alpha  
203 (FGA) and gamma (FGG) chains, and 5 metabolites methylmalonic acid (MMA), dihydroorotic  
204 acid (DHOA), indoleacetaldehyde (IAAID), tryptophan (TRP) and mannitol (Fig. 3A). Both of two  
205 biomarker combinations can perfectly distinguish CC group from AC and HC groups with AUC  
206 value of 1 (Fig. 3B-G). Moreover, the results of confusion matrices and RMSE analyses of these  
207 biomarker combinations also showed high accuracy for classifying different groups (Fig. 3C, D, F,  
208 G and H-O).

209 Also, we calculated the total AUC values and total RMSE values for individual proteins or  
210 metabolites. For the 5 proteins, the total AUC values ranged from 0.77 to 1, and the total RMSE  
211 values ranged from 6.57% to 36.13% (Fig. S4A-E, Table S15). For the 5 metabolites, all the total  
212 AUC values were 1, while the total RMSE values ranged from 10.97% to 28.72% (Fig. S4F-J,  
213 Table S15). Although individual molecules can reach a perfect accuracy on the current data, the  
214 combination of multiple molecules was undoubtedly important to reduce the prediction bias.

## 216 **The alterations of CC-specific molecules in plasma are linked with the mild symptoms of** 217 **COVID-19 in children**

218 The promising biomarkers for CC as well as other CC-specific molecules may also partially  
219 explain the differences in clinical symptoms between CC and AC cases. Of the protein biomarker  
220 combination, 4 proteins, including F11, F9, FGA and FGG were involved in the blood coagulation  
221 cascade, and all of them were higher expressed in CC cases than those in AC cases (Fig. 3L-M).  
222 F11 and F9 contribute the initiation of the thrombin generation during blood coagulation by  
223 proteolytic activation of a serial of coagulation factors<sup>28</sup>. FGA and FGG contribute to form the fibrin  
224 clot in response to explosive generation of thrombin mediated by coagulation factors<sup>29</sup>. Moreover,  
225 plasma serine protease inhibitor (SERPINA5) that negatively regulate the blood coagulation  
226 cascade<sup>28</sup> was the most significantly upregulated one among all the CC-specific DEPs (Table S11).

227 Thus, the remarkably alternations of coagulation-related proteins in CC plasma suggest that  
228 COVID-19-associated coagulation and the accompanying immune response/inflammation in CC  
229 are more active than those in AC.

230 On the other hand, a large number of CC-specific molecules implicated in antioxidant and/or  
231 anti-inflammatory processes were also significantly altered in CC. For example, ENO1, one of the  
232 components in the protein biomarker combination, was significantly downregulated in CC cases  
233 compared to that in AC cases (Fig. 3L-M). ENO1 is a key glycolytic enzyme in the last steps of the  
234 catabolic glycolytic pathway. Previous study showed that the suppression of ENO1 in pulmonary  
235 artery smooth muscle cells prevented the hypoxia-induced metabolic reprogramming from  
236 mitochondrial respiration to glycolysis<sup>30</sup>, the process of which enhance the oxidant stress and  
237 inflammation<sup>31</sup>. Moreover, for the components of the metabolite biomarker combination, DHOA  
238 that was significantly upregulated in CC cases (Fig. 3N-O), is involved in the pyrimidine  
239 metabolism and the secretion of DHOA can reduce the toxicity of glucose metabolism  
240 reprogramming in response to hypoxia<sup>32</sup>. TRP is metabolized to other indole compounds such as  
241 IAAID. The downstream products of TRP are key family of agonists to activate the aryl  
242 hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) that regulate the immunosuppression and restriction of inflammatory<sup>33</sup>.  
243 The remarkably elevated level of tryptophan and its downstream product indoleacetaldehyde  
244 suggested that AhR activity was more active in CC cases (Fig. 3N-O). Furthermore, mannitol has  
245 been found to be a hydroxyl radical scavenger that plays important roles in reducing inflammation<sup>34</sup>,  
246 and importantly, it was the most significantly upregulated one among all the CC-specific DEMs  
247 (Table S12). Besides, MMA is a dicarboxylic acid that is primarily a by-product of propionate  
248 metabolism. Previous study showed that the elevated circulating MMA level caused a significant  
249 upregulation of Sex-determining region Y box 4 (SOX4) expression and consequently elicited  
250 transcriptional reprogramming<sup>35</sup>, while the role of MMA in regulation of immune response and  
251 inflammation is unclear.

252 In addition to the molecules involved in the optimal biomarker combination, we also identified  
253 other molecules that contain the potentials to relieve the exacerbated inflammation. For instance,  
254 uric acid (UA), an end product of purine catabolism, is a major antioxidant in the blood and can be  
255 helpful for protection against free-radical oxidative damage<sup>36</sup>. The plasma level of uric acid in CC  
256 cases was 109-folds higher than that in AC cases (Table S12).

257 Together, our findings indicated that the molecules involved in anti-oxidant and anti-  
258 inflammation were significantly elevated in the circulating system in CC cases compared with AC  
259 cases. Consistent with it, the clinical data showed that the coagulation indicators, such as APTT,  
260 PT and D-dimer, the status of immune cell activation, such as the ratios of CD3+CD4+ and



261 CD3+CD8+, and the levels of inflammatory factors, such as IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-1 $\beta$ , in the CC cases were  
262 overall normal, albeit some results in certain cases fell outside the normal range (Table 1).

263

264 **Effects of regulating metabolism on coronaviral RNA replication and cytokine expressions *in***  
265 ***vitro***

266 After identifying that CC-specific molecules may contribute to the mild COVID-19 symptom  
267 in children, it is intriguing to exploit the potential role of these metabolites on immune response  
268 and/or inflammation in the context of authentic viral infection. To this end, we used mouse hepatitis  
269 virus (MHV, strain A59)<sup>37</sup>, a well-known surrogate for SARS-CoV-2<sup>38</sup>, and tested the effects of  
270 MMA, DHOA and mannitol on the viral replication and cytokine expressions.

271 Rat lung epithelial L2 cells were treated with different metabolites at the concentration of 5 or  
272 10  $\mu$ M for 1 hr, and then infected with MHV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1, respectively.  
273 At 12 hrs post infection, total cellular RNAs were extracted and the viral RNA accumulation as  
274 well as the mRNA levels of IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , TNF- $\beta$  and IL-10 were examined via using qRT-  
275 PCR. As expected, MHV infection resulted in significantly enhanced mRNA levels of cytokines  
276 (Fig. 4E-H). Moreover, all these metabolites can reduce the mRNA levels of cytokines in MHV-  
277 infected cells but not in mock cells, and the types of cytokines to change was different in response  
278 to treatment with distinct metabolites (Fig. 4E-G), suggesting that these metabolites regulate the  
279 expressions of cytokines via different pathways. Interestingly, while DHOA or TRP treatment  
280 showed no effect on MHV replication, we found that the RNA accumulation level of MHV was  
281 significantly reduced in the presence of MMA or mannitol (Fig. 4A, D). Together, these results  
282 provided experimental data to support that molecules involved in anti-inflammation were  
283 significantly elevated in CC.

284

## 285 **Discussion**

286 The infection rate of SARS-CoV-2 to children is similar to that of adults, but the disease of  
287 COVID-19 is very mild in most cases of CC. Therefore, better understanding the mechanisms  
288 underlying the milder COVID-19 symptom in children has particular importance to uncover the  
289 pathogenesis of this disease. For this purpose, we conducted omics study to profile plasma protein  
290 and metabolite alterations in CC cases, and identified CC-specific molecule alternations by  
291 comparing with the omics data of AC cases. Moreover, we prioritized two optimal biomarker  
292 combinations, each of them containing 5 protein biomarkers or 5 metabolite biomarkers, by using  
293 the machine learning-based pipeline. These biomarker combinations as well as the individual  
294 biomarker in the combinations can accurately distinguish CC group from AC and HC groups.  
295 Moreover, the alternations of these host molecules provide very valuable insight for the  
296 pathogenesis of COVID-19 in children. In addition, some of metabolite biomarkers were  
297 experimentally examined their roles in suppressing viral replication and/or modulating  
298 inflammation *in vitro*.

299 The identified alternations of plasma proteins and metabolites in this study as well as their  
300 enriched processes/pathways in CC are in line with the previous omics data with the plasma of AC  
301 cases<sup>20, 21, 39-42</sup>, indicating that COVID-19-associated molecular alterations occurred in children, as  
302 did in adults, in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, we found that many molecules  
303 exhibited different changes in expression to different extents in CC cases compared to those in AC  
304 cases. Particularly, the plasma proteins involved in coagulation cascade were significantly higher  
305 expressed in CC cases compared with AC cases. Coagulation system plays important roles in  
306 immune responses against infections, and prevent damage to tissues and facilitate the repair of  
307 damaged areas<sup>43</sup>. While over-activation of coagulation cascade during the immune response to  
308 infection usually cause exacerbating production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and coagulation-  
309 induced thrombin also exerts the activity to further augment inflammation<sup>43</sup>. Therefore, our findings  
310 suggest that COVID-19-associated coagulation and the accompanying immune  
311 response/inflammation in CC are strongly active. On the other hand, the levels of many negative  
312 regulators of inflammation and oxidation, such as TRP, IAAID, DHOA, mannitol and UA in CC  
313 cases were significantly upregulated compared with those in AC cases, indicating a feedback.  
314 Moreover, our findings showed that these metabolites not only can relieve the expressions of  
315 different pro-inflammatory factors, but also contain the unexpected activity to inhibit MHV  
316 replication in cells. These result further confirm that immune response in CC is strengthened and  
317 suggest that SARS-CoV-2 replication in CC is restricted by the enhanced levels of COVID-19-  
318 associated plasma molecules. Correspondingly, we speculated that the immune system of CC is in

319 a relatively balanced state, in which its activation is stronger than that of AC and is sufficient to  
320 restrict SARS-CoV-2 infection and the collateral damages, and meanwhile, the molecules involved  
321 in anti-oxidant and anti-inflammation processes are also strongly activated in CC, thereby  
322 preventing the exacerbation of inflammation and the deterioration of disease (Fig. 5).

323 Identification of the alternations of plasma molecules between CC and AC also provides  
324 promising therapeutic targets for COVID-19. In this study, we tested the effects of MMA, DHOA,  
325 TRP and mannitol on the expression levels of cytokines, as well as the viral replication in MHV-  
326 infected cells. Interestingly, the changes in the types of cytokine were different in response to  
327 distinct metabolite treatments, suggesting that the action to mode of these metabolites are dependent  
328 on different cellular signaling pathways. Moreover, we found that MMA or mannitol treatment can  
329 efficiently inhibit MHV replication. Mannitol is reported to be a hydroxyl radical scavenger that  
330 plays important roles in relieving inflammation<sup>34</sup>. A recent study showed that the SARS-CoV-2  
331 infection in monocytes triggers mitochondrial ROS production, which induces stabilization of  
332 hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) and consequently metabolism reprogramming that facilitates  
333 the viral replication and inhibits immune responses requires<sup>44</sup>. It is possible that the hydroxyl radical  
334 scavenging activity of mannitol relieves the cellular levels of ROS, and in turn suppresses MHV  
335 replication. For MMA, its exact role in regulation of immune response or inflammation is not clear.  
336 Previous study showed that MMA treatment in A549 cells triggered the induction of SOX4<sup>35</sup>, and  
337 interestingly, a recent study found that SOX4 can suppress hepatitis B virus replication via  
338 inhibiting hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 $\alpha$ <sup>45</sup>. The detailed roles of the identified CC-specific  
339 metabolites such as MMA, DHOA and mannitol, require further investigation and the potential  
340 therapeutic targets should be further experimentally validated.

341 In summary, our findings provide highly valuable multi-omics data resource for the research  
342 community to better understand COVID-19-associated host responses, identify a serial of children-  
343 specific COVID-19 biomarker candidates, shed lights on the pathogenesis of COVID-19 in children,  
344 and provide promising potential therapeutic targets.

## 345 **Materials and Methods**

### 346 **Ethics and Human Subjects**

347 All work performed in this study was approved by the Guangzhou Women and Children's  
348 Medical Center Ethics Committee and Written informed consent was waived by the Ethics  
349 Commission of the designated hospital for emerging infectious diseases. Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-  
350 2 infection was based on the New Coronavirus Pneumonia Prevention and Control Program (6th  
351 edition) published by the National Health Commission of China<sup>22</sup>. HC subjects were recruited at  
352 Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center. The throat swabs and serological testing of H  
353 volunteers were negative for SARS-CoV-2. All blood samples were collected after fasting  
354 overnight and by added with ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) plus potassium (K<sup>+</sup>). All  
355 the blood samples were treated according to the biocontainment procedures of the processing of  
356 SARS-CoV-2-positive samples.

357

### 358 **Preparation of protein and peptide samples**

359 Firstly, the cellular debris of serum sample was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 g at 4 °C  
360 for 10 min. Then, the supernatant was transferred to new centrifuge tubes. The top 12 high  
361 abundance proteins were removed by Pierce™ Top 12 Abundant Protein Depletion Spin Columns  
362 Kit (Thermo Fisher). Finally, the protein concentration was determined with BCA kit according to  
363 the manufacturer's instructions.

364 For digestion, the protein solution was reduced with 5 mM dithiothreitol for 30 min at 56 °C  
365 and alkylated with 11 mM iodoacetamide for 15 min at room temperature in darkness. The protein  
366 sample was made buffer exchange by 8M UREA three times and then made buffer exchange by the  
367 label buffer three times. Finally, trypsin was added at 1:50 trypsin-to-protein mass ratio for the  
368 digestion overnight at 37°C. Recover the peptide by centrifugation at 12,000 g at room temperature  
369 for 10 min and repeat the recovery step by H<sub>2</sub>O.

370 For TMT labeling, samples are divided to two groups according to the comparison design and  
371 processed according to the manufacturer's protocol for TMT pro 16plex Label Reagent (Thermo  
372 Fisher) kit. Briefly, one unit of TMT pro reagent (defined as the amount of reagent required to label  
373 100 µg of protein) were thawed and reconstituted in 10 µl ACN. The peptide mixtures were then  
374 incubated for 2 hrs at room temperature and pooled, desalted and dried by vacuum centrifugation.  
375 The labeling efficiency (calculated from the ratio of number of TMT labeled sites divided by  
376 number of all the potential labeling sites) had to pass the threshold of 95% before proceeding to the  
377 fractionation step.

378 The sample was then fractionated into fractions by high pH reverse-phase HPLC using Agilent  
379 300Extend C18 column (5  $\mu\text{m}$  particles, 4.6 mm ID, 250 mm length). Briefly, peptides were first  
380 separated with a gradient of 2% to 32% acetonitrile in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 10 over  
381 60 min into 60 fractions<sup>46</sup>. Then, the peptides were combined into 6 fractions and dried by vacuum  
382 centrifuging.

383

### 384 **Extraction of hydrophilic and hydrophobic metabolites**

385 To extract hydrophilic compounds, sample was thawed on ice, 6 volumes of ice-cold methanol  
386 was added to 1 volume of plasma, whirled the mixture for 3 min and centrifuge it at 12,000 rpm at  
387 4 °C for 5 min. Then collect the supernatant and leave in a refrigerator at - 20 °C. After 30 min,  
388 centrifuge at 12000 r/min at 4 °C for 3 min, and then collected the supernatant and subjected them  
389 to LC-MS/MS analysis.

390 To extract hydrophobic compounds, sample was thawed on ice, whirl around 10 s, and then  
391 centrifuge it with 3000 g at 4°C for 5 min. Take 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of one sample and homogenized it with 1mL  
392 mixture (include methanol, MTBE and internal standard mixture). Whirled the mixture for 2 min.  
393 Then added 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of water and whirled the mixture for 1 min, and centrifuged it with 12,000 g at  
394 4°C for 10 min. Extracted 200  $\mu\text{L}$  supernatant and concentrated it. Dissolved powder with 200  $\mu\text{L}$   
395 mobile phase B and subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

396

### 397 **LC-MS/MS-based proteomic analysis**

398 LC-MS/MS data acquisition was carried out on a Exploris 480 mass spectrometer coupled  
399 with an Easy-nLC 1200 system (both Thermo Scientific)<sup>40, 47</sup>. Peptides were loaded onto a home-  
400 made reversed-phase analytical column (100  $\mu\text{m}$   $\times$  250 mm, 1.9  $\mu\text{m}$  particle size, 120 Å pore size,  
401 Dr. Maish GmbH, Germany) and then separated. Mobile phase A (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic  
402 acid) and mobile phase B (90% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) were used to establish a 60 min  
403 separation gradient (0 min – 7% B; 4 min – 11% B; 53 min – 32% B; 57 min – 80% B; 60 min –  
404 80% B). A constant flow rate was set at 500 nL/min. For the analysis in data-dependent acquisition  
405 (DDA) mode, each scan cycle consisted of one full-scan mass spectrum (R = 60 K, AGC = 100%,  
406 max IT = 50 ms, scan range = 400–1200 m/z) followed by 25 MS/MS events (R = 45 K, AGC =  
407 100%, max IT = Auto). High energy collision dissociation (HCD) collision energy was set to 35.  
408 Isolation window for precursor selection was set to 1.6 Da. Former target ion exclusion was set for  
409 30 s.

410

### 411 **Protein database search**

412 MS/MS raw data were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (v2.4.1.15) using the Andromeda  
413 database search algorithm. The reference database contained 20,380 Swiss-Prot/reviewed human  
414 protein sequences downloaded from the UniProt database  
415 (<https://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/UP000005640>, on November 15, 2019), and reverse decoy  
416 sequences were generated. Then, spectra files were searched against the merged database using the  
417 following parameters: Type, TMT; Variable modifications, Oxidation (M), Acetyl (Protein N-term);  
418 Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl (C), TMTpro (peptide N-Terminus), TMTpro (K);  
419 Digestion, Trypsin (Full). The MS1 match tolerance was set as 10 parts per million (ppm); the MS2  
420 tolerance was set as 0.02 Da. Search results were filtered with 1% false discovery rate (FDR) at  
421 both protein and peptide levels. Proteins denoted as decoy hits, or only identified by sites were  
422 removed, and the remaining proteins were used for further analysis.

423

#### 424 **UPLC conditions of hydrophilic and hydrophobic compounds**

425 The sample extracts of hydrophilic compounds were analyzed using an LC-ESI-MS/MS  
426 system (UPLC, Shim-pack UFLC SHIMADZU CBM A system, MS, QTRAP® 6500+ System).  
427 The samples were injected onto a Waters HSS T3 column (1.8  $\mu\text{m}$ , 2.1 mm  $\times$  100 mm). Column  
428 temperature, flow rate and injection volume were set 40  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 0.4 mL/min and 2  $\mu\text{L}$ , respectively.  
429 Mobile phase was composed of water containing 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile containing  
430 0.1% formic acid (B). The gradient program initiated from 5% B increased to 90% B in 11.0 min,  
431 and held for 1 min and then decreased 5% B for re-equilibrium.

432 The sample extracts of hydrophobic compounds were analyzed using an LC-ESI-MS/MS  
433 system (UPLC, Shim-pack UFLC SHIMADZU CBM A system, MS, QTRAP® 6500+ System).  
434 The samples were injected onto a Thermo Accucore™ C30 column (2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , 2.1 mm  $\times$  100 mm).  
435 Mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile/water (60/40, v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid, and 10  
436 mmol/L ammonium formate (A) and acetonitrile/isopropanol (10/90, v/v) containing 0.1% formic  
437 acid and 10 mmol/L ammonium formate (B). The gradient program initiated A/B (80:20, V/V)  
438 at 0 min, 70:30 V/V at 2.0 min, 40:60 V/V at 4 min, 15:85 V/V at 9 min, 10:90 V/V at 14 min, 5:95  
439 V/V at 15.5 min, 5:95 V/V at 17.3 min, 80:20 V/V at 17.3 min, 80:20 V/V at 20 min. The flow rate,  
440 column temperature and injection volume were set 0.35 ml/min, 45 $^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 2  $\mu\text{L}$ , respectively. The  
441 effluent was alternatively connected to an ESI-triple quadrupole-linear ion trap (QTRAP)-MS.

442

#### 443 **ESI-Q TRAP-MS/MS of hydrophilic and hydrophobic compounds**



LIT and triple quadrupole (QQQ) scans were acquired on a triple quadrupole-linear ion trap mass spectrometer (QTRAP), QTRAP® LC-MS/MS System, equipped with an ESI Turbo Ion-Spray interface, operating in positive and negative ion modes and controlled by Analyst 1.6.3 software (Sciex). The ESI source operation parameters were as follows: ion source, turbo spray; source temperature 550 °C; ion spray voltage (IS) 5500 V in positive ion mode (or -4500 V in negative ion mode); ion source gas I (GSI), gas II (GSII), curtain gas (CUR) were set at 45, 55, and 35 psi, respectively; the collision gas (CAD) was medium. Instrument tuning and mass calibration were performed with 10 and 100 µM polypropylene glycol solutions in QQQ and LIT modes, respectively. QQQ scans were acquired as MRM experiments with collision gas (nitrogen) set to 5 psi. Declustering potential (DP) and collision energy (CE) for individual MRM transitions was done with further DP and CE optimization. A specific set of MRM transitions were monitored for each period according to the metabolites within this period. Each sample analysis was conducted by both positive and negative ion modes, and the MRM transitions were listed in Table S16.

### **Plasma metabolites and lipids data analysis**

The mass spectrum data were processed by Software Analyst 1.6.3. The repeatability of metabolite extraction and detection can be judged by total ion current (TIC) and multi peak MRM. Based on home-made MWDB (metadata database) and other databases, qualitative analysis of information and secondary general data was carried out according to retention time (RT) and mass-to-charge ratio. Metabolite structure analysis referred to some existing mass spectrometry public databases, mainly including massbank (<http://www.massbank.jp/>), knapsack (<http://kanaya.naist.jp/knapsack/>), HMDB (<http://www.hmdb.ca/>), and Metlin (<http://metlin.scripps.edu/index.php>). The metabolite identification was conducted by reference standards in our home-made database and public databases (Table S4).

For the quality control (QC) of metabolomic analysis, we pipette 10 µL of each sample to pool a QC sample. When running sample sets on column, one QC sample was injected after 10 samples in the sequence. Metabolite quantification was accomplished by using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) of triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. Opened the mass spectrum file under the sample machine with multiquant software to integrate and calibrate the chromatographic peaks. The peak area of each chromatographic peak represented the relative content of the corresponding substance. Finally, exported all the integral data of chromatographic peak area to save, and used the self-built software package to remove the positive and negative ions of metabolites. We calculated CV values of the metabolites in QC samples, and removed the metabolites whose CV values were larger than

477 0.5. When the metabolites were detected in both positive and negative ionization modes, we  
478 removed the metabolites with larger CVs in either positive or negative mode.

479

## 480 **Cell culture, virus and infection**

481 L2 cell line was kindly provided by Prof. Chen (Wuhan University, China) and maintained in  
482 Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine  
483 serum (FBS) (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified  
484 atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. MHV strain A59 was kindly provided by Prof. Chen (Wuhan University,  
485 China).

486 MMA (STBF5304V), DHOA (SLCD3296) and mannitol (WXBD1141V) were commercially  
487 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. TRP (10211562) was commercially purchased from Alfa Aesar.  
488 For detection of the effects of MMA, DHOA, TRP and mannitol upon MHV infection, each one of  
489 the tested compounds at the concentration of 5 or 10 µM was added to L2 cells. After 1 hr incubation,  
490 L2 cells were infected with MHV at MOI=0.1. At 12 hr post infection, the infected L2 cells were  
491 collected and total cellular RNAs were extracted. The viral RNA accumulation and the mRNA  
492 levels of IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, TNF-β and IL-10 were determined via qRT-PCR. For measuring viral  
493 RNA replication, the level of MHV RNA in cells without treatment was defined as 100%. For  
494 measuring mRNA levels of cytokines, the mRNA level of each one of the tested cytokines in cells  
495 without infection was defined as 1-fold.

496

## 497 **Data normalization and imputation**

498 For each batch of the plasma proteomic data, the IBA of a protein in one sample was first  
499 normalized using its corresponding expression in the control of the same batch to calculate the RPA,  
500 which eliminated the batch effect prior to the comparative analysis of CC and HC samples.

501 To identify molecular alterations exclusively in CC but not HC samples, the mean RPA value  
502 of a protein *i* in HC or HA samples was first calculated as below:

$$503 \quad \overline{RPA} (HC)_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N RPA (HC)_j}{N}$$

$$504 \quad \overline{RPA} (HA)_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^M RPA (HA)_j}{M}$$

505 Where *N* and *M* denoted the numbers of HC and HA samples, respectively. Then, the NAV of the  
506 protein *i* in each CC or AC sample was calculated as below:

$$507 \quad NAV (CC)_i = \frac{RPA (CC)_i}{\overline{RPA} (HC)_i}$$

$$NAV(AC)_i = \frac{RPA(AC)_i}{RPA(HA)_i}$$

Analogously, the NAVs of all metabolites in each CC or AC samples were also computed.

To ensure the data quality for identification of potential DEPs or DEMs, we only reserved proteins or metabolites quantified in > 80% samples (> 24 samples for CC vs. HC analysis, > 68 samples for the proteomic analysis of CC vs. AC, and > 59 samples for the metabolomic analysis of CC vs. AC). Using NI, the missing values were imputed with values representing a normal distribution around the detection limit of the mass spectrometer. For each sample, the mean and standard deviation (S.D.) of the distribution of the raw protein or metabolite intensities were calculated. Then a new distribution with a downshift of 1.8 S.D. and a width of 0.3 S.D. was automatically modeled. The total data set was imputed before statistical analysis. After imputation, the mean  $\mu$  and S.D  $\sigma$  were counted for each protein or metabolite in CC and HC samples, respectively, and CV was calculated as below:

$$CV = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$$

Before model training, the proteomic or metabolomic data of each sample was further normalized using the z-score transformation, one of the mostly used normalization methods<sup>43</sup>. For each sample, the median expression value  $m$  and S.D.  $\delta$  were first calculated for the proteomic or metabolomic data. For a protein or metabolite  $i$  with the abundance of  $NAV_i$ , its normalized z-score was calculated as below:

$$z_i = \frac{NAV_i - m}{\delta}$$

After transformation, the z-scores of proteins or metabolites followed a logarithmic normal distribution ( $\log_2$ ) centered at zero.

The proteomic and metabolomic data normalization and imputation were conducted using Perseus 1.6.14<sup>24</sup>. To test whether different types of patients could be distinguished, PCA was performed using Scikit-learn 0.22.1 (<https://scikit-learn.org/stable/>), a useful toolkit for data mining and analysis. The Pearson correlation analysis was performed by an R package, corrplot (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/corrplot/index.html>).

### Statistical analysis of the quantitative omic data

Using RPA values of the proteomic data and IBA values of the metabolomic data, we identified potential DEPs and DEMs that were significantly altered in CC cases against HC samples. Then, using NAVs of the omic data, we further identified potential DEPs and DEMs that were significantly altered in CC cases against AC cases. The FC value was calculated based on the mean

540 of the same patient group for each pair of groups, and proteins or metabolites with  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 0.25$   
541 were reserved. The statistical significance was calculated for reserved proteins and metabolites,  
542 using the unpaired two-sided Welch's t-test and adjusted  $P$  values were calculated using Benjamini  
543 & Hochberg correction (Adjusted  $P < 0.05$ ). The statistical analyses were conducted using the  
544 `ttest_ind` function in `scipy.stats`.

545

## 546 **The enrichment analysis**

547 The two-sided hypergeometric test was adopted for the GO- or KEGG-based enrichment  
548 analysis of the DEPs or DEMs. Here, we defined:

549  $N$  = number of human proteins or metabolites annotated by at least one term

550  $n$  = number of human proteins or metabolites annotated by term  $t$

551  $M$  = number of the DEPs or DEMs annotated by at least one term

552  $m$  = number of the DEPs or DEMs annotated by term  $t$

553 Then, the E-ratio was calculated, and the  $P$  value was computed with the hypergeometric  
554 distribution as below:

$$555 \text{ E-ratio} = \frac{\frac{m}{n}}{\frac{M}{N}}$$

$$556 P \text{ value} = \sum_{m'=m}^n \frac{\binom{M}{m'} \binom{N-M}{n-m'}}{\binom{N}{n}}, (\text{E-ratio} > 1)$$

557 In this study, adjusted  $P$  values were calculated using Benjamini & Hochberg correction and  
558 only statistically over-represented GO terms for the proteomic data and KEGG pathways for the  
559 metabolomic data were considered. GO annotation files (released on 03 January 2020) were  
560 downloaded from the Gene Ontology Consortium Web site (<http://www.geneontology.org/>), and in  
561 total we obtained 19,288 human proteins annotated with at least one GO biological process term.  
562 KEGG annotation files (released on 4 September 2020) were downloaded from the ftp server of  
563 KEGG (<ftp://ftp.bioinformatics.jp/>), which contained 6,182 metabolites annotated with at least one  
564 KEGG pathway term.

565

## 566 **Performance evaluation**

567 To evaluate the accuracy of iBM, the numbers of true positive ( $TP$ ), true negative ( $TN$ ), false  
568 positive ( $FP$ ) and false negative ( $FN$ ) hits were counted. Then, we calculated two measurements,  
569 including sensitivity ( $Sn$ ), specificity ( $Sp$ ) as below:

$$570 Sn = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$Sp = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

The 5-fold cross-validation was performed, while  $Sn$  and  $Sp$  values were calculated, respectively. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was illustrated and AUC value was calculated based on  $Sn$  and  $1-Sp$  scores.

To estimate the prediction bias of a model, the root mean squared error (RMSE), an important measure of the residuals between predicted values and observed values, was calculated as below:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - P_i)^2}$$

Where  $n$  represented the number of plasma samples in the data set.  $P_i$  denoted the predicted probability value ranged from 0 to 1, while  $O_i$  was equal to 0 for non-COVID-19 cases and 1 for COVID-19 cases, respectively.

### Inference of optimal biomarker combinations

We separately identified potentially CC-specific biomarker combinations with minimal RMSE for the proteomic and metabolomic data, by developing a three-step pipeline named iBM that included mutual DEPs or DEMs selection (MDS), candidate combination generation (CCG), and final combination prioritization (FCP).

In the step of MDS, mutually identified DEPs or DEMs of CC patients against HC cases and CC cases against AC cases were reserved as a candidate pool. Then, CCG was adopted to select different sets of biomarker combinations with  $\leq 5$  proteins or metabolites. This number was much smaller than the sample size and could efficiently avoid over-fitting. From the pool, 10,000 candidate biomarker combinations were randomly generated for the proteomic and metabolomic data, respectively. The initial weight value of each protein or metabolite was set to 1.

In the step of FCP, the 5-fold cross-validation was conducted for model training. For each candidate combination, we randomly generated a training data set and a testing data set with a ratio of approximately 4:1. The testing data set was only used to test the performance but not for training, and the final total AUC value was calculated as below:

$$\text{Total AUC} = \sqrt{\text{AUC}_{CC \text{ vs. } HC} * \text{AUC}_{CC \text{ vs. } AC}}$$

The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO, L1 regularization) penalty and the ridge regression (L2 regularization) penalty in PLR<sup>25-27</sup>, were iteratively used to optimize the weight values of the 5 proteins or metabolites. To simplify the composition of a combination, one or multiple protein or metabolite was randomly dropped if the total AUC value of the 5-fold cross-

validation was increased. Such a procedure was repeatedly performed until the AUC value was not increased any longer. All biomarker combinations with total AUC equal to 1 were reserved for the proteomic and metabolomic data, respectively (Table S11-S12). The total RMSE value of all samples was calculated for each combination, and the final result was determined based on the minimal total RMSE value.

The PLR algorithm was implemented in Python 3.7 with Scikit-learn 0.22.1. The source code of iBM is available at: <https://github.com/Ning-310/iBM>.

## Construction of a working model associated with immune system of CC

We constructed a working model of CC-specific immune response around 5 CC-specific protein biomarkers and 5 CC-specific metabolite biomarkers. Based on the pathway annotations in KEGG, working model included the 13 CC-specific DEPs and 25 CC-specific DEMs mainly involved in 6 KEGG pathways, including platelet activation, complement and coagulation cascades, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, pyrimidine metabolism, biosynthesis of amino acids and tryptophan metabolism.

## References

- 1 Y. Dong, X. Mo, Y. Hu, X. Qi, F. Jiang, Z. Jiang, and S. Tong, *Pediatrics*. 145, (2020)
- 2 K. Yuki, M. Fujiogi, and S. Koutsogiannaki, *Clin Immunol*. 215, 108427 (2020)
- 3 J. F. Ludvigsson, *Acta Paediatr*. 109, 1088-1095 (2020)
- 4 T. C. Jones, B. Mühlemann, T. Veith, G. Biele, M. Zuchowski, J. Hoffmann, A. Stein, A. Edelmann, V. M. Corman, and C. Drosten, *medRxiv*. (2020)
- 5 L. Su, X. Ma, H. Yu, Z. Zhang, P. Bian, Y. Han, J. Sun, Y. Liu, C. Yang, J. Geng, Z. Zhang, and Z. Gai, *Emerging Microbes & Infections*. 9, 707-713 (2020)
- 6 Y. Dong, X. Mo, Y. Hu, X. Qi, F. Jiang, Z. Jiang, and S. Tong, *Pediatrics*. 145, (2020)
- 7 Y. Xu, X. Li, B. Zhu, H. Liang, C. Fang, Y. Gong, Q. Guo, X. Sun, D. Zhao, J. Shen, H. Zhang, H. Liu, H. Xia, J. Tang, K. Zhang, and S. Gong, *Nat Med*. 26, 502-505 (2020)
- 8 H. Qiu, J. Wu, L. Hong, Y. Luo, Q. Song, and D. Chen, *Lancet Infect Dis*. 20, 689-696 (2020)
- 9 N. Parri, M. Lenge, and D. Buonsenso, *N Engl J Med*. 383, 187-190 (2020)
- 10 Q. Wu, Y. Xing, L. Shi, W. Li, Y. Gao, S. Pan, Y. Wang, W. Wang, and Q. Xing, *Pediatrics*. 146, (2020)
- 11 H. Ma, J. Hu, J. Tian, X. Zhou, H. Li, M. T. Laws, L. D. Wesemann, B. Zhu, W. Chen, R. Ramos, J. Xia, and J. Shao, *BMC Med*. 18, 123 (2020)
- 12 E. Whittaker, A. Bamford, J. Kenny, M. Kaforou, C. E. Jones, P. Shah, P. Ramnarayan, A. Fraisse, O. Miller, P. Davies, F. Kucera, J. Brierley, M. McDougall, M. Carter, A. Tremoulet, C. Shimizu, J. Herberg, J. C. Burns, H. Lyall, and M. Levin, *JAMA*. 324, 259-269 (2020)
- 13 E. W. Cheung, P. Zachariah, M. Gorelik, A. Boneparth, S. G. Kernie, J. S. Orange, and J. D. Milner, *JAMA*. 324, 294-296 (2020)
- 14 C. R. Consiglio, N. Cotugno, F. Sardh, C. Pou, D. Amodio, L. Rodriguez, Z. Tan, S. Zicari, A. Ruggiero, G. R. Pascucci, V. Santilli, T. Campbell, Y. Bryceson, D. Eriksson, J. Wang,



- 643 A. Marchesi, T. Lakshmikanth, A. Campana, A. Villani, P. Rossi, N. Landegren, P. Palma, and P.  
644 Brodin, *Cell*. 183, 968-981 e7 (2020)
- 645 15 P. Brodin, *Acta Paediatr*. 109, 1082-1083 (2020)
- 646 16 A. K. Simon, G. A. Hollander, and A. McMichael, *Proc Biol Sci*. 282, 20143085 (2015)
- 647 17 A. Olin, E. Henckel, Y. Chen, T. Lakshmikanth, C. Pou, J. Mikes, A. Gustafsson, A. K.  
648 Bernhardsson, C. Zhang, K. Bohlin, and P. Brodin, *Cell*. 174, 1277-1292 e14 (2018)
- 649 18 S. Nickbakhsh, C. Mair, L. Matthews, R. Reeve, P. C. D. Johnson, F. Thorburn, B. von  
650 Wissmann, A. Reynolds, J. McMenamin, R. N. Gunson, and P. R. Murcia, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*  
651 *S A*. 116, 27142-50 (2019)
- 652 19 S. Bunyavanich, A. Do, and A. Vicencio, *JAMA*. 323, 2427-2429 (2020)
- 653 20 D. Wu, T. Shu, X. B. Yang, J. X. Song, M. L. Zhang, C. Y. Yao, W. Liu, M. H. Huang, Y.  
654 Yu, Q. Y. Yang, T. J. Zhu, J. Q. Xu, J. F. Mu, Y. X. Wang, H. Wang, T. Tang, Y. J. Ren, Y. R.  
655 Wu, S. H. Lin, Y. Qiu, D. Y. Zhang, Y. Shang, and X. Zhou, *National Science Review*. 7, 1157-  
656 1168 (2020)
- 657 21 T. Shu, W. Ning, D. Wu, J. Xu, Q. Han, M. Huang, X. Zou, Q. Yang, Y. Yuan, Y. Bie, S.  
658 Pan, J. Mu, Y. Han, X. Yang, H. Zhou, R. Li, Y. Ren, X. Chen, S. Yao, Y. Qiu, D. Y. Zhang, Y.  
659 Xue, Y. Shang, and X. Zhou, *Immunity*. 53, 1108-1122 e5 (2020)
- 660 22 T. National Health Commission, *National Health Commission of the People's Republic of*  
661 *China*. (2020)
- 662 23 S. Tyanova, T. Temu, and J. Cox, *Nat Protoc*. 11, 2301-2319 (2016)
- 663 24 S. Tyanova, T. Temu, P. Sinitcyn, A. Carlson, M. Y. Hein, T. Geiger, M. Mann, and J.  
664 Cox, *Nature Methods*. 13, 731-740 (2016)
- 665 25 W. S. Ning, S. J. Lei, J. J. Yang, Y. K. Cao, P. R. Jiang, Q. Q. Yang, J. Zhang, X. B.  
666 Wang, F. H. Chen, Z. Geng, L. Xiong, H. M. Zhou, Y. P. Guo, Y. L. Zeng, H. S. Shi, L. Wang, Y.  
667 Xue, and Z. Wang, *Nature Biomedical Engineering*. (2020)
- 668 26 W. Ning, P. Jiang, Y. Guo, C. Wang, X. Tan, W. Zhang, D. Peng, and Y. Xue, *Brief*  
669 *Bioinform*. (2020)
- 670 27 W. S. Ning, H. D. Xu, P. R. Jiang, H. Cheng, W. K. Deng, Y. P. Guo, and Y. Xue,  
671 *Genomics Proteomics & Bioinformatics*. 18, 194-207 (2020)
- 672 28 B. M. Mohammed, A. Matafonov, I. Ivanov, M. F. Sun, Q. Cheng, S. K. Dickeson, C. Li,  
673 D. Sun, I. M. Verhamme, J. Emsley, and D. Gailani, *Thromb Res*. 161, 94-105 (2018)
- 674 29 D. Davalos, and K. Akassoglou, *Semin Immunopathol*. 34, 43-62 (2012)
- 675 30 J. Dai, Q. Zhou, J. Chen, M. L. Rexus-Hall, J. Rehman, and G. Zhou, *Nat Commun*. 9,  
676 3850 (2018)
- 677 31 J. Van den Bossche, L. A. O'Neill, and D. Menon, *Trends Immunol*. 38, 395-406 (2017)
- 678 32 Y. Wang, C. Bai, Y. Ruan, M. Liu, Q. Chu, L. Qiu, C. Yang, and B. Li, *Nat Commun*. 10,  
679 201 (2019)
- 680 33 J. E. Cheong, and L. Sun, *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 39, 307-325 (2018)
- 681 34 P. André and F. Villain, *Int J Cosmet Sci*. 39, 355-360 (2017)
- 682 35 A. P. Gomes, D. Ilter, V. Low, J. E. Endress, J. Fernández-García, A. Rosenzweig, T.  
683 Schild, D. Broekaert, A. Ahmed, M. Planque, I. Elia, J. Han, C. Kinzig, E. Mullarky, A. P.  
684 Mutvei, J. Asara, R. de Cabo, L. C. Cantley, N. Dephore, S. M. Fendt, and J. Blenis, *Nature*.  
685 585, 283-287 (2020)
- 686 36 T. Mikami, and M. Sorimachi, *Physiol Res*. 66, 1001-1007 (2017)
- 687 37 L. Cao, Y. Ji, L. Zeng, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, S. Guo, X. Guo, Y. Tong, X. Zhao, C. M. Li, Y.  
688 Chen, and D. Guo, *PLoS Pathog*. 15, e1008079 (2019)
- 689 38 R. W. Körner, M. Majjouti, M. A. A. Alcazar, and E. Mahabir, *Viruses*. 12, (2020)
- 690 39 B. Shen, X. Yi, Y. Sun, X. Bi, J. Du, C. Zhang, S. Quan, F. Zhang, R. Sun, L. Qian, W.  
691 Ge, W. Liu, S. Liang, H. Chen, Y. Zhang, J. Li, J. Xu, Z. He, B. Chen, J. Wang, H. Yan, Y.  
692 Zheng, D. Wang, J. Zhu, Z. Kong, Z. Kang, X. Liang, X. Ding, G. Ruan, N. Xiang, X. Cai, H.

- 693 Gao, L. Li, S. Li, Q. Xiao, T. Lu, Y. Zhu, H. Liu, H. Chen, and T. Guo, *Cell*. 182, 59-72 e15  
694 (2020)
- 695 40 D. E. Gordon, G. M. Jang, M. Bouhaddou, J. Xu, K. Obernier, K. M. White, M. J.  
696 O'Meara, V. V. Rezelj, J. Z. Guo, D. L. Swaney, T. A. Tummino, R. Huettenhain, R. M. Kaake,  
697 A. L. Richards, B. Tutuncuoglu, H. Foussard, J. Batra, K. Haas, M. Modak, M. Kim, P. Haas, B.  
698 J. Polacco, H. Braberg, J. M. Fabius, M. Eckhardt, M. Soucheray, M. J. Bennett, M. Cakir, M. J.  
699 McGregor, Q. Li, B. Meyer, F. Roesch, T. Vallet, A. Mac Kain, L. Miorin, E. Moreno, Z. Z. C.  
700 Naing, Y. Zhou, S. Peng, Y. Shi, Z. Zhang, W. Shen, I. T. Kirby, J. E. Melnyk, J. S. Chorba, K.  
701 Lou, S. A. Dai, I. Barrio-Hernandez, D. Memon, C. Hernandez-Armenta, J. Lyu, C. J. P. Mathy,  
702 T. Perica, K. B. Pilla, S. J. Ganesan, D. J. Saltzberg, R. Rakesh, X. Liu, S. B. Rosenthal, L.  
703 Calviello, S. Venkataramanan, J. Liboy-Lugo, Y. Lin, X. P. Huang, Y. Liu, S. A. Wankowicz, M.  
704 Bohn, M. Safari, F. S. Ugur, C. Koh, N. S. Savar, Q. D. Tran, D. Shengjuler, S. J. Fletcher, M. C.  
705 O'Neal, Y. Cai, J. C. J. Chang, D. J. Broadhurst, S. Klippsten, P. P. Sharp, N. A. Wenzell, D.  
706 Kuzuoglu, H. Y. Wang, R. Trenker, J. M. Young, D. A. Cavero, J. Hiatt, T. L. Roth, U. Rathore,  
707 A. Subramanian, J. Noack, M. Hubert, R. M. Stroud, A. D. Frankel, O. S. Rosenberg, K. A.  
708 Verba, D. A. Agard, M. Ott, M. Emerman, N. Jura, M. von Zastrow, E. Verdin, A. Ashworth, O.  
709 Schwartz, C. d'Enfert, S. Mukherjee, M. Jacobson, H. S. Malik, D. G. Fujimori, T. Ideker, C. S.  
710 Craik, S. N. Floor, J. S. Fraser, J. D. Gross, A. Sali, B. L. Roth, D. Ruggero, J. Taunton, T.  
711 Kortemme, P. Beltrao, M. Vignuzzi, A. Garc á-Sastre, K. M. Shokat, B. K. Shoichet, and N. J.  
712 Krogan, *Nature*. (2020)
- 713 41 D. Bojkova, K. Klann, B. Koch, M. Widera, D. Krause, S. Ciesek, J. Cinatl, and C.  
714 Münch, *Nature*. (2020)
- 715 42 D. Kim, J. Y. Lee, J. S. Yang, J. W. Kim, V. N. Kim, and H. Chang, *Cell*. 181, 914-921  
716 e10 (2020)
- 717 43 B. Arneth, *Inflamm Res*. 68, 117-123 (2019)
- 718 44 A. C. Codo, G. G. Davanzo, L. B. Monteiro, G. F. de Souza, S. P. Muraro, J. V. Virgilio-  
719 da-Silva, J. S. Prodonoff, V. C. Carregari, C. A. O. de Biagi Junior, F. Crunfli, J. L. Jimenez  
720 Restrepo, P. H. Vendramini, G. Reis-de-Oliveira, K. Bispo Dos Santos, D. A. Toledo-Teixeira, P.  
721 L. Parise, M. C. Martini, R. E. Marques, H. R. Carmo, A. Borin, L. D. Coimbra, V. O. Boldrini,  
722 N. S. Brunetti, A. S. Vieira, E. Mansour, R. G. Ulaf, A. F. Bernardes, T. A. Nunes, L. C. Ribeiro,  
723 A. C. Palma, M. V. Agrela, M. L. Moretti, A. C. Sposito, F. B. Pereira, L. A. Velloso, M. A. R.  
724 Vinolo, A. Damasio, J. L. Proença-Módena, R. F. Carvalho, M. A. Mori, D. Martins-de-Souza, H.  
725 I. Nakaya, A. S. Farias, and P. M. Moraes-Vieira, *Cell Metab*. 32, 498-499 (2020)
- 726 45 S. Shi, M. Liu, J. Xi, H. Liu, G. Guan, C. Shen, Z. Guo, T. Zhang, Q. Xu, D. Kudereti, X.  
727 Chen, J. Wang, and F. Lu, *Antiviral Research*. 176, 104745 (2020)
- 728 46 T. S. Batth, C. Francavilla, and J. V. Olsen, *J Proteome Res*. 13, 6176-86 (2014)
- 729 47 M. Miao, F. Yu, D. Wang, Y. Tong, L. Yang, J. Xu, Y. Qiu, X. Zhou, and X. Zhao, *Virol*  
730 *Sin*. 34, 549-562 (2019)

731  
732

### 733 Acknowledgments

734 **General:** We thank many staff members at Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical  
735 Center their contributions and Prof. Yu Chen (Wuhan university) for his kind assistance in  
736 this study. We also thank SpecAlly Life Technology Co., Ltd. and Wuhan Metware  
737 Biotechnology Co., Ltd. for their contributions and assistance in this study.

738

739 **Funding:** This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of CAS  
740 (XDB29010300 to X.Z.), the National Science and Technology Major Project  
741 (2018ZX10101004 to X.Z.), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81873964 to  
742 Y.Q., 31930021, 31970633 and 34671360 to Y.X., and 31670161 to X.Z.), Grant from the  
743 CAS Youth Innovation Promotion Association (2020332 to Y.Q.), the program for HUST  
744 Academic Frontier Youth Team (Y.X.).


745  
746 **Author contributions:** C.W., W.N. and X.L. performed experiments with the help of S.G.,  
747 D.W., M.H., F.Y., C.F., Y.G., Y.R. and R.Y.; Y.X., W.N., and Y.Q. analyzed the data with  
748 the help of C.W. and X.L.; Y.Q., W.N., Y.X., C.W. and X.Z. wrote the manuscript; X.Z.,  
749 Y.Xu., Y.X. and Y.Q. designed and supervised the overall study.

750  
751 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

752  
753 **Data and materials availability:** The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been  
754 deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium  
755 (<http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org>) via the iProX partner repository with the  
756 dataset identifier (<https://www.iprox.org/page/project.html?id=IPX0002673000>).

757 **Figures and Tables**


**Figure 1**



759 **Figure 1. Study design and quality control of proteomic and metabolomic data.** **A** Overview  
760 of plasma samples collection from COVID-19 children, including CC ( $n = 18$ ) and HC ( $n = 12$ )  
761 cases. The workflow for processing the proteomic and metabolomic data was shown, including the  
762 plasma separation, TMTpro 16-plex labeling, metabolite extraction, LC-MS/MS analysis, database  
763 search and further computational analyses. The proteomic data of 43 AC and 13 HA cases, and  
764 metabolomic data of 34 AC and 10 HA cases from our two previous studies were also used for  
765 further computational analyses. **B** CV of the proteomic and metabolomic data. **C,D** The PCA  
766 analysis of the proteomic (C) and metabolomic (D) data. **E,F** PCC for each pair of samples using  
767 the proteomic (E) and metabolomic (F) data.



**Figure 2**




768

769



770 **Figure 2. CC-specific proteomic and metabolomic alterations. A,B** Volcano plots show the  
771 protein (A) and metabolite (B) alterations in CC against HC cases. **C,D** Volcano plots show the  
772 protein (C) and metabolite (D) alterations in CC against AC cases. Proteins and metabolites with  
773  $\log_2$  (FC) below 0.25 or beyond  $-0.25$  with adjusted  $P$  lower than 0.05 were considered as  
774 significantly differential expression. **E** GO-based enrichment analysis for DEPs of CC against HC  
775 cases and CC against AC cases (Two-sided hypergeometric test,  $m \geq 5$ , adjusted  $P < 10^{-5}$ ). **F** KEGG-  
776 based enrichment analysis for DEMs of CC against HC cases and CC against AC cases (Two-sided  
777 hypergeometric test,  $m \geq 5$ , adjusted  $P < 10^{-3}$ ). **G,H** CC-specific DEPs (G) and DEMs (H) were  
778 identified by overlapping DEPs and DEMs of CC vs. HC and CC vs AC, respectively. **I** GO-based  
779 enrichment analysis of CC-specific DEPs shown in the term of biological processes (Two-sided  
780 hypergeometric test,  $m \geq 5$ , adjusted  $P < 0.01$ ). **J** KEGG-based enrichment analysis of CC-specific  
781 DEMs (Two-sided hypergeometric test,  $m \geq 5$ , adjusted  $P < 0.01$ ).

**Figure 3**




782

783

784 **Figure 3. Inference of CC-specific biomarker combinations using a machine learning strategy.**  
785 **A** The workflow of iBM, including MDS, CCG and FCP to prioritize CC-specific protein or  
786 metabolite biomarker combination with a maximal accuracy and a minimal bias from the 5-fold  
787 cross-validation. **B** From the 5-fold cross-validation, AUC values of protein combination for  
788 distinguishing the CC from HC cases and CC from AC cases were calculated, respectively. **C,D**  
789 The confusion matrix of the protein combination for distinguishing the CC from HC cases (C) and  
790 CC from AC cases (D). **E** From the 5-fold cross-validation, AUC values of metabolite combination  
791 for distinguishing the CC from HC cases and CC from AC cases were calculated, respectively. **F,G**  
792 The confusion matrices of the metabolite combination for distinguishing the CC from HC cases (F)  
793 and CC from AC cases (G). **H,I** The results of RMSE analyses of protein biomarker combinations  
794 for distinguishing the CC from HC cases (H) and CC from AC cases (I). **J,K** The results of RMSE  
795 analyses of metabolite biomarker combinations for distinguishing the CC from HC cases (J) and  
796 CC from AC cases (K). **L,M** The expression level of 5 protein biomarkers in CC against HC cases  
797 (L) and CC against AC cases (M). **N,O** The expression level of 5 metabolite biomarkers in CC  
798 against HC cases (N) and CC against AC cases (O). The center line within each box shows the  
799 median, and the top and bottom of each box represent the 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> percentile values,  
800 respectively. The upper and lower whiskers extend from the hinge to the largest and smallest value  
801 no further than 1.5 times the distance between the first and third quartiles, respectively.

**Figure 4**




802

803

804 **Figure 4. Effects of regulating metabolism on MHV RNA replication and cytokine expressions**  
805 *in vitro*. **A-D** L2 cells were treated with MMA (A), DHOA (B), TRP (C) or mannitol (D) at the  
806 concentration of 5 or 10  $\mu$ M, respectively, for 1 hr, and then infected with MHV at MOI=0.1,  
807 respectively. At 12 hr post infection, the total cellular RNAs were extracted. **E-H** The viral RNA  
808 accumulation and the mRNA levels of IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , TNF- $\beta$  and IL-10 were determined via  
809 qRT-PCR. For measuring viral RNA replication, the level of MHV RNA in cells without treatment  
810 was defined as 100%. For measuring mRNA levels of cytokines, the mRNA level of each one of  
811 the tested cytokines in cells without infection was defined as 1-fold. The qRT-PCR and were  
812 measured by *t*-test (GraphPad Prism). \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01.

**Figure 5**



813  
814  
815 **Figure 5. Key proteins and metabolites characterized in a working model associated with**  
816 **immune system of CC.** In the working model, the plasma proteins involved in coagulation cascade  
817 were significantly higher expressed in CC cases compared with AC cases, suggesting COVID-19-  
818 associated coagulation and the accompanying immune response/inflammation in CC may be  
819 strongly active. On the other hand, the levels of many negative regulators of inflammation and  
820 oxidation, such as TRP, IAAID, DHOA, mannitol and UA in CC cases were significantly  
821 upregulated compared with those in AC cases, indicating a feedback. Moreover, metabolite  
822 Mannitol, MMA can enhance the IFN system and/or inhibit MHV replication with unknown  
823 mechanism in cells. As a whole, immune system of CC is in a relatively balanced state, in which  
824 its activation is stronger than that of AC and is sufficient to restrict SARS-CoV-2 infection and the  
825 collateral damages, and meanwhile, the molecules involved in anti-oxidant and anti-inflammation  
826 processes are also strongly activated in CC, thereby preventing the exacerbation of inflammation  
827 and the deterioration of disease.



828







**Table 1. Characteristics of COVID-19 pediatric patients.**

829







Children with COVID-19	n=18
Age-year	
Median (IQR)	7 (5, 12)
Sex-no.(%)	
Female	5 (27.78%)
Male	13 (72.22%)
Throat swab for SARS-CoV-2 (days)	
Median (IQR)	6 (4, 14)
Sampling time from the disease onset (days)	
Median (IQR)	7 (10, 13)
Co-infection-no.(%)	
Other viruses	ND
Bacteria	ND
Fungus	ND
Clinical outcome-no.(%)	
Discharged	18 (100%)
Blood routine- Median (IQR)	
WBC ( $\times 10^9/L$ , normal range 5-12)	6.90 (5.50, 8.55)
Lymphocyte ( $\times 10^9/L$ , normal range 1.5-4.8)	2.60 (2.07, 4.19)
Neutrophil ( $\times 10^9/L$ , normal range 2-7.2)	2.58 (2.15, 3.24)
CD19+ (cells/ $\mu l$ , normal range 90-660)	396.35 (236.82, 800.53)
CD3+ (cells/ $\mu l$ , normal range 690-2540)	1232.74 (967.60, 2305.85)
CD3+CD4+ (cells/ $\mu l$ , normal range 410-1590)	655.44 (459.88, 1150.56)
CD3+CD8+ (cells/ $\mu l$ , normal range 190-1140)	482.67 (386.66, 859.49)
NK (cells/ $\mu l$ , normal range 90-590)	334.34 (194.04, 438.04)
Platelet ( $10^9/L$ , normal range 140-440)	299.50 (263.00, 333.75)
RBC ( $\times 10^{12}/L$ , normal range 4-4.5)	4.66 (4.37, 5.36)
Haemoglobin (g/L, normal range 105-145)	124.50 (119.00, 141.75)
APTT (s, normal range 28-45)	40.50 (37.40, 42.60)
PT (s, normal range 11-15)	13.40 (13.15, 13.90)
D-dimer ( $\mu g/mL$ , normal range, 0-1.5 )	0.31 (0.26, 0.40)
Cytokines- Median (IQR)	
IFN- $\gamma$ (pg/mL, normal range 0-6.56)	4.62 (3.97, 6.07)
IL-10 (pg/mL, normal range 0-8.14)	1.98 (1.74, 2.91)
IL-12p70 (pg/mL, normal range 0-6.9)	2.89 (1.77, 4.38)
IL-17A (pg/mL, normal range 0-3.71)	5.98 (3.67, 8.76)
IL-1 $\beta$ (pg/mL, normal range 0-3.12)	0.94 (0.59, 1.70)
IL-2 (pg/mL, normal range 0-5.03)	4.33 (2.71, 5.01)
IL-22 (pg/mL, normal range 0-2.61)	2.53 (1.66, 4.40)
IL-4 (pg/mL, normal range 0-4.62)	3.37 (2.89, 5.42)
IL-5 (pg/mL, normal range 0-3.73)	2.45 (1.94, 3.47)
IL-6 (pg/mL, normal range 0-8.88)	3.84 (2.33, 6.58)
IL-8 (pg/mL, normal range 0-15.71)	6.55 (4.37, 9.34)
TNF- $\alpha$ (pg/mL, normal range 0-5.35)	5.35 (4.12, 6.95)

# Figure 1



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.04.21252876>; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.




# Figure 2





**Figure 3**

**Figure 4**





# Figure 5

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.04.21252876>; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

