


## Supplemental Materials

### Supplemental Figure S1. Sample selection and group sample sizes



## **Supplemental Note 1: Selection of unrelated participants**

The ABCD study includes a total of 11,875 children, some of whom are siblings. In the current study, we chose to include only unrelated participants. Instead of randomly selecting a sibling from a family, we chose to select siblings based on STB using the selection strategy described below to maximize the sample size of the STB groups.

In particular, if one of the siblings had a lifetime history of suicidal behavior (actual, interrupted or aborted attempt according to the parent- or child reported), this sibling was selected from that family. If in a family, multiple siblings had a lifetime history of suicidal behavior, we selected the sibling that had a history of an actual attempt over siblings with an aborted or interrupted attempt.

If none of the siblings had a lifetime history of suicidal behavior, we examined lifetime history of suicidal ideation (parent- or child-reported). If multiple siblings had a history of suicidal ideation, we selected the sibling with the most severe ideation (coded as: 5: active ideation with a plan, 4: active ideation with intent, 3: active ideation with a specific method, 2: active non-specific ideation, 1: passive ideation). If there were multiple siblings with similar severity of suicidal ideation, one sibling was selected randomly.

If none of the siblings had a lifetime history of suicidal behavior or suicidal ideation, one sibling was then selected randomly.

The sample of selected siblings was then combined with the sample of participants who did not have siblings included in the ABCD study, resulting in a total of 9985 participants.

## **Supplemental Note 2: STB outcome group definition**

Previous findings showed low correspondence between parent- and child reported STB [5], therefore we created two STB outcome variables: the parent-reported STB group variable and the child-reported STB group variable.

### Child-reported STB group variable

The child reported STB group variable consists of three groups:

1. STB group (N=528)
2. Clinical control group (N=2985)
3. Healthy control group (N=2367)

The STB group includes children who reported lifetime suicidal ideation or suicidal behavior, which means that they endorse one or more of the following measures from the K-SADS-5: present or past passive suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_946\_t, ksads\_23\_957\_t), present or past active non-specific suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_947\_t, ksads\_23\_958\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with a specific method (ksads\_23\_948\_t, ksads\_23\_959\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with intent (ksads\_23\_949\_t, ksads\_23\_960\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with a plan (ksads\_23\_950\_t, ksads\_23\_961\_t), present or past preparatory actions toward imminent suicidal behavior (ksads\_23\_951\_t, ksads\_23\_962\_t), present or past interrupted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_952\_t,

ksads\_23\_963\_t), present or past aborted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_953\_t, ksads\_23\_964\_t), present or past actual suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_954\_t, ksads\_23\_965\_t).

The clinical control group did not reported lifetime suicidal ideation or suicidal behavior, but did have a lifetime psychiatric diagnosis based on parent- or child-reported of the K-SADS-5 of one or more of the following disorders: bipolar disorder, depressive disorder, psychotic disorder, ADHD, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, specific phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, substance use disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, alcohol disorder, PTSD, eating disorder. As the available K-SADS-5 diagnoses based on child-reported are very limited, we determined there was a diagnosis if this was derived from the parent-reported or the child-reported.

The healthy control group includes children who have not reported lifetime history of suicidal thoughts or behaviors, and who do not have a lifetime diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder according to the K-SADS-5 parent- and child-reports.

#### Parent-reported STB group variable

The parent-reported STB group variable consists of three groups:

1. STB group (N=494)
2. Clinical control group (N=2976)
3. Healthy control group (N=2415)

Here, the STB group includes children whose parents reported that their child had a lifetime history of suicidal ideation or suicidal behavior, which includes the following measures from the K-SADS-5 parent-reported: present or past passive suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_946\_p, ksads\_23\_957\_p), present or past active non-specific suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_947\_p, ksads\_23\_958\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with a specific method (ksads\_23\_948\_p, ksads\_23\_959\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with intent (ksads\_23\_949\_p, ksads\_23\_960\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with a plan (ksads\_23\_950\_p, ksads\_23\_961\_p), present or past preparatory actions toward imminent suicidal behavior (ksads\_23\_951\_p, ksads\_23\_962\_p), present or past interrupted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_952\_p, ksads\_23\_963\_p), present or past aborted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_953\_p, ksads\_23\_964\_p), present or past actual suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_954\_p, ksads\_23\_965\_p).

The clinical control group did not have a history of suicidal thoughts and behavior according to the K-SADS-5 parent report, but had a lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric disorder (parent-reported or child-reported), similar to what is described above for the child-reported STB group).

The healthy control group does not have a history of suicidal thoughts and behavior according to the K-SADS-5 parent reported and does not have a lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric disorder according to the K-SADS-5 parent or child-reported.

#### **Supplemental Note 3: Overlapping outcome groups**

The child-reported and parent-reported outcome groups differed from each other, therefore the analyses were repeated using only the individuals that were in the same group according to the K-SADS-5 child and parent report (the overlapping sample). The overlapping outcome variable included the following three groups: 1) healthy control (N=2,298), 2) clinical control group (N=2,693), 3) STB group (N=139). The results in the overlapping sample are presented in Table S3.

#### **Supplemental Note 4: Ideation vs suicidal behavior group definition**

##### Child-reported Ideation vs. suicidal behavior group variable

The child-reported Ideation vs. attempt group variable consists of two groups:

1. Lifetime self-reported suicidal ideation, but no self-reported history of attempt group (N=461)
2. Self-reported history of suicidal behavior group (N=67)

The suicidal behavior group includes children who endorse one or more of the following KSADS-5 items: present or past interrupted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_952\_t, ksads\_23\_963\_t), present or past aborted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_953\_t, ksads\_23\_964\_t), present or past actual suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_954\_t, ksads\_23\_965\_t).

Children in the suicidal ideation group did not report past or present interrupted, aborted or actual suicide attempts, but endorsed one or more of the following items on suicidal ideation: present or past passive suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_946\_t, ksads\_23\_957\_t), present or past active non-specific suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_947\_t, ksads\_23\_958\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with a specific method (ksads\_23\_948\_t, ksads\_23\_959\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with intent (ksads\_23\_949\_t, ksads\_23\_960\_t), present or past active suicidal ideation with a plan (ksads\_23\_950\_t, ksads\_23\_961\_t).

##### Parent-reported Ideation vs. suicidal behavior group variable

The parent-reported Ideation vs. attempt group variable consists of two groups:

1. Lifetime parent-reported suicidal ideation, but no parent-reported history of attempt group (N=464)
2. Parent-reported history of suicidal behavior group (N=30)

Here, the suicidal behavior group includes children whose parents reported that their child has a lifetime history of suicidal behavior, which included the following measures from the K-SADS-5 parent-reported: present or past interrupted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_952\_p, ksads\_23\_963\_p), present or past aborted suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_953\_p, ksads\_23\_964\_p), present or past actual suicide attempt (ksads\_23\_954\_p, ksads\_23\_965\_p).

The suicidal ideation group did not have a history of aborted, interrupted or actual suicide attempts according to their parents, but their parents endorsed one or more of the following KSADS items on suicidal ideation: present or past passive suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_946\_p, ksads\_23\_957\_p), present or past active non-specific suicidal ideation (ksads\_23\_947\_p, ksads\_23\_958\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with a specific

method (ksads\_23\_948\_p, ksads\_23\_959\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with intent (ksads\_23\_949\_p, ksads\_23\_960\_p), present or past active suicidal ideation with a plan (ksads\_23\_950\_p, ksads\_23\_961\_p).

### **Supplemental Note 5: Included features per dimension**

#### *Sociodemographic factors*

Age at time of assessment (in months), birth sex, parent-reported race of the child (White, Black, Native-American, Asian, other or mixed race), marital status of the parents, combined educational level of both parents, total family income (as a measure of socio-economic status) and the number of cohabitants in the household were determined using questions from the PhenX toolkit (parent-reported; [30]) and included as sociodemographic predictor variables.

#### *Physical factors*

The included physical factors were pubertal development, sleep disturbance, physical activity, BMI, screen time and physical illness. Pubertal status (child-reported) was assessed using the Pubertal Development Scale [31]. Total scores from the Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children [32, 33] (parent-reported) and its subscales (sleep breathing disorders, disorders of sleep arousal, sleep-wake transition disorders, disorders of excessive somnolence and sleep hyperhydrosis) were included as measures of sleep function. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on weight and height. Exercise was assessed by questions from the Youth Risk behavior Survey [34], by asking the child how many days in the past week they were active for at least an hour and how many days they did exercises to strengthen their muscles. Physical illness was included as a dichotomous variable, which was scored as 'yes' when parents reported the child had (a history of) brain injury, cancer, cerebral palsy, diabetes, epilepsy, multiple sclerosis or heart disease in the Magic Health Services Utilization Questionnaire [35]. Finally, screen time was assessed by asking children how many hours they typically spend during a weekday and a weekend day on a computer, tablet, cellphone or other electronic device [36].

#### *Social environment factors*

The social environmental factors included parental monitoring, family conflict, prosocial behavior, parental acceptance, bullying, friendship, neighborhood safety and school environment. Friendship was assessed by asking parents if their child has a best friend and if their child has a group of friends in the introduction to the KSADS-5 [12]. Bullying at school or in the neighborhood was assessed using the parent-reported of the introduction to the KSADS-5 [12]. Neighborhood safety/crime was assessed by calculating the mean of the parent-reported Neighborhood Safety/Crime Survey [37]. While bullying, friendships and neighborhood safety were available from the parent- and child-reported, the parent-reported was used here due to the high number of missing values in the child-reported bullying measure and because more detailed information was available on neighborhood safety and friendships in the parent-reported. Family conflict was assessed with the family conflict subscale score of the Family Environment Scale (child-reported) [38]. Prosocial behavior was measured using the mean of the prosocial behavior subscale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (child-reported) [39]. Child-reported parental acceptance was assessed using the acceptance subscale from the short version of the Children's report of Parental behavior Inventory (CRPBI-short) [40]. Parental monitoring was determined with the mean of the child-reported Parental Monitoring Questionnaire [41]. School environment,

involvement and disengagement were assessed with the child-reported School Risk and Protective Factors Survey [42].

#### *Clinical psychiatric factors*

Psychopathology, prodromal psychosis, mania symptoms, mental health service use, current and lifetime psychiatric diagnosis and family history of psychopathology were included as clinical psychiatric factors. As the scores on clinical psychiatric measures were very low and by definition no psychiatric diagnoses were present in the HC group, the clinical psychiatric measures described below (with the exception of the family history of mental health issues) were only included in the binomial penalized logistic regression models when comparing the clinical controls and individuals with suicide thoughts or behavior.

Dimensional psychopathology was assessed using the T-scores of the 20 subscales of the Achenbach Child behavior Checklist (CBCL; parent-reported) [43]. Mental health service use was determined by asking parents if their child ever received treatment for mental health issues or addiction and what type of treatment was received (e.g. outpatient care, inpatient care) in the Introduction to KSADS-5 [12]. Family history of mental health issues was determined using a modified version of the Family History Assessment from NCANDA [44] (parent-reported), and was coded in this study as a dichotomous variable, with 'yes' indicating a family history of mania, psychosis, nerves, mental health hospitalization or mental health treatment. Dimensional mania symptoms of the child were assessed using the ten-item parent-reported Mania Scale from the Parent General behavior Inventory (PGBI) [45]. Child-reported psychotic symptoms were assessed using the brief version of the Pediatric Psychosis Questionnaire [46]. Current and past psychiatric diagnoses were determined from the KSADS-5 parent-reported and child-reported.

#### *Cognitive factors*

Age-corrected standardized scores (one measure per test) were obtained from the NIH Toolbox picture vocabulary test, flanker inhibitory control and attention test, list sorting working memory test, dimensional change card sorting test, pattern comparison processing speed test, picture sequence memory test and oral reading recognition test (<http://www.nihtoolbox.org>). In addition, the total score of the WISC-V Matrix Reasoning scale [47] and the Cash Choice Task delay of gratification measure [48] were included. Finally, total scores on the five subscales of the self-reported UPPS-P scale (negative urgency, lack of planning, sensation seeking, positive urgency and perseverance) were included as measures of impulsivity [49, 50].

#### *Task-based neuroimaging measures*

Participants underwent a functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan. The neuroimaging protocol, scan acquisition parameters, and pre-processing procedures are described in detail elsewhere [51]. For the current study, we included derived brain activity measures from various regions of interest during the Stop Signal Task (which measures response inhibition) and the Monetary Incentive Delay Task (which measures reward monitoring and processing) as predictors in our model. Details about these tasks can be found in [51].

For the Stop Signal Task, we included regionally averaged beta-estimates from 14 cortical and 8 subcortical regions of interest during the correct stop versus correct go condition (see

Supplemental Table S1). These regions of interest were selected based on findings from previous meta-analyses [52, 53].

For the Monetary Incentive Delay task we included beta weights for reward anticipation from 22 cortical and 12 subcortical regions during the reward versus neutral condition, and beta weights for loss anticipation from 18 cortical and 14 subcortical regions during the loss versus neutral condition (see Supplemental Table S1). These regions of interest were selected based on findings from previous meta-analyses [54–56].

#### *Genetic factors*

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS)' summary statistics allow for the estimation of a polygenic risk score in a genotyped independent sample. We leveraged previously published GWAS for four psychiatric diseases: major depression [57], bipolar disorder [58], anorexia [59] and schizophrenia [60]; as well as the most recent cross-disorder [61] GWAS performed by the psychiatric genomics consortium (PGC) (for methods see Supplemental Note 6).

#### **Supplemental Note 6: Polygenic risk scores method**

To avoid bias due to correlated SNPs arising from linkage-disequilibrium (LD), the GWAS summary statistics were subjected to a Bayesian analysis to approximate the results of a conditional GWAS (i.e. one estimating the effect for all SNPs simultaneously). This was done using the software SBayesR [62] implemented within a tool for Genome-wide Complex Trait Bayesian analysis (GCTB). The estimated conditional effect sizes were then used for polygenic scoring in the ABCD sample. The ABCD genotyping has been previously described [63]. Briefly, saliva samples were obtained at the baseline visit and genotyping was performed using a Smokescreen array following standard DNA extraction protocols. Quality control removed genetic variants with a low call rate (less than 99% of the sample), and samples with a missing rate greater than 20 percent or with conflicting IDs. This quality controlled dataset was then imputed to the 1000G Phase 3 reference panel using the Michigan Imputation Server[64]. Imputed genotype probabilities were extracted from the imputed data using QCTOOL v2. Only SNPs passing quality control (minor allele frequency >0.01, call rate > 0.9 and imputation score > 0.6) were included in the polygenic risk scores which were estimated using PLINKv2.

**Supplemental Table S1. Variables used in binomial penalized logistic regression analysis to predict group status (healthy controls vs. clinical controls vs. suicidal ideation or attempt)**

Measure	Description	Assessment
<b>Sociodemographic</b>		
Age	Chronological age in months	Demographics survey
Sex	Male or female	Demographics survey
Parental marital status	1=married; 2=widowed; 3= divorced; 4=separated; 5=never married; 6=living with partner	Parent demographics survey
Family income in last 12 months	1= Less than \$5,000; 2=\$5,000 through \$11,999; 3=\$12,000 through \$15,999; 4=\$16,000 through \$24,999; 5=\$25,000 through \$34,999; 6=\$35,000 through \$49,999; 7=\$50,000 through \$74,999; 8= \$75,000 through \$99,999; 9=\$100,000 through \$199,999; 10=\$200,000 and greater	Parent demographics survey
Parental education	1=1st grade 2=2nd grade 3=3rd grade 4=4th grade 5=5th grade 6=6th grade 7=7th grade 8=8th grade 9=9th grade 10=10th grade 11=11th grade 12=12th grade 13=high School 14= GED or equivalent diploma 15=some college 16=associate degree: Occupational 17=associate degree: Academic program 18= bachelor's degree 19= master's degree 20= professional school degree 21=doctoral degree	Parent demographics survey: Highest grade or level of school or the highest degree completed: Calculated as parent education score + partner education score
Race of the child	Recoded to: white, black, native-american, asian, mixed, other	Parent demographics survey
Number of cohabitants	Number of individuals living in the same household	Parent demographics survey
<b>Physical health</b>		
Physical illness (parent reported)	Dichotomous variable, scored 1 if parent reported that the child had a history of brain injury, cancer, cerebral palsy, diabetes, epilepsy, multiple sclerosis or heart disease	ABCD Medical History Questionnaire
Pubertal status (child reported)	Categories: 1=prepuberty; 2=early puberty;	Pubertal Developmental Scale - categories based on sum scores



	3=mid puberty; 4=late puberty; 5=post puberty	
Sleep breathing disorders	Score on the sleep breathing disorder subscale	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Sleep arousal disorders	Score on the sleep arousal disorder subscale	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Sleep-wake transition disorders	Score on the sleep-wake transition disorder subscale	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Sleep-excessive somnolence	Score on the excessive somnolence subscale	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Sleep - hyperhydrosis	Score on the hyperhydrosis disorder subscale	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Sleep disturbance - total score	Total score on the Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children	Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
Physical activity in past week (child-reported)	Number of days in the past week during which the child was physically active for at least sixty minutes per day	Youth Risk Behavior Survey
Physical activity training muscles in past week (child-reported)	Number of days in the past week during which the child was did exercises to strengthen or tone muscles	Youth Risk Behavior Survey
Body Mass Index	Body mass index was calculated from height (in inches) and weight (in lbs)	Physical exam
Screen time weekday (child-reported)	Sum of hours during a weekday a child typically spends on a computer, cellphone, tablet or other electronic device	ABCD Youth Screen Time Survey
Screen time weekend (child-reported)	Sum of hours during a weekend day a child typically spends on a computer, cellphone, tablet or other electronic device	ABCD Youth Screen Time Survey
<b>Social environment</b>		
Parental monitoring (youth-reported)	Responses to each item were coded as 1-5 and the mean of the responses was calculated	ABCD Parental Monitoring Survey
Family conflict (child-reported)	Responses to 9 items were coded 0/1 and a sum score was created	ABCD Family Environment Scale: Family Conflict Subscale Modified from PhenX
Prosocial behavior (child-reported)	Responses to 3 items were coded 0-2 and the mean was calculated	Prosocial Behavior Subscale from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
Parental acceptance (child-reported)	Responses to 5 items were coded 1-3 and the mean was calculated	Acceptance subscale from the Children's reported of Parental Behavioral Inventory
Bullying (child-reported)	Problems with bullying at school or in the neighborhood: coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5

Best friend (parent-reported)	Does the child have a best friend: coded yes/no/unsure	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Friend group (parent-reported)	Does the child have a friend group: coded: yes/no/unsure	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Neighborhood safety (parent reported)	Mean of three items	ABCD Neighborhood Safety/Crime Survey Modified from PhenX
School environment (child-reported)	Responses to 5 items were coded 1-4 and a sumscore was calculated	ABCD School Risk and Protective Factors Survey - Environment Subscale
School involvement (child-reported)	Responses to 4 items were coded 1-4 and a sumscore was created	ABCD School Risk and Protective Factors Survey - Involvement Subscale
School disengagement (child-reported)	Responses to 2 items were coded 1-4 and a sumscore was created	ABCD School Risk and Protective Factors Survey Disengagement Subscale
<b>Clinical psychiatric (only included in analyses with clinical controls &amp; suicide group)</b>		
CBCL Anxious Depression	T-score for the anxious/depressed subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Withdrawn Depressed	T-score for the withdrawn/depressed subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Somatic Complaints	T-score for the somatic complaints subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Social Problems	T-score for the social problems subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Thought Problems	T-score for the thought problems subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Attention Problems	T-score for the attention problems subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Rule Breaking behavior	T-score for the rule-breaking behavior subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Aggressive behavior	T-score for the aggressive behavior subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Internalizing Broad Band Score	T-score for the internalizing broad band score	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Externalizing Broad Band Score	T-score for the externalizing broad band score	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Total problems Score	T-score for the total problems score	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Depression	T-score for the DSM5 depression subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Anxiety Disorder	T-score for the DSM5 anxiety disorder subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Somatic	T-score for the DSM5 somatic	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist

	complaints subscale	
CBCL ADHD	T-score for the DSM5 ADHD subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Oppositional Defiant Problems	T-score for the DSM5 ODD subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Conduct Problems	T-score for the DSM5 conduct problems subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Sluggish Cognitive Tempo	T-score for the sluggish cognitive tempo subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Obsessive-compulsive problems	T-score for the DSM5 OCD subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
CBCL Stress	T-score for the stress tempo subscale	Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist
Prodromal psychosis	21 items scored 1-5 and 1 item scored 0-1, a sum score is calculated	Brief version of the Pediatric Psychosis Questionnaire
Mania symptoms	10 items scored 1-3, a sum score is calculated	Mania Scale from the Parent General Behavior Inventory
Mental health service use	Has the child ever received mental health or substance abuse services? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Outpatient care	Has the child ever received outpatient mental health services? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Partial hospitalization	Has the child ever been partially hospitalized for mental health issues? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Inpatient care	Has the child ever received inpatient mental health services? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Psychotherapy	Has the child ever received psychotherapy? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Medication	Has the child ever been prescribed medication for mental health issues? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Other treatment	Has the child ever received other types of treatment for mental health issues? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Service use - Clinical treatment	Has the child not received treatment for mental health issues? Coded yes/no	Introduction to the K-SADS5
Bipolar disorder - present	Current diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Depressive disorder - present	Current diagnosis of a depressive disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Psychotic disorder - present	Current diagnosis of a psychotic disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
ADHD - present	Current diagnosis of ADHD disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)

PD - present	Current diagnosis of panic disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
SA - present	Current diagnosis of social anxiety. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
SP - present	Current diagnosis of specific phobia. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Generalized anxiety disorder - present	Current diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Substance use disorder - present	Current diagnosis of substance use disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Obsessive compulsive disorder - present	Current diagnosis of obsessive compulsive disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Post-traumatic stress disorder - present	Current diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Eating disorder - present	Current diagnosis of an eating disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Bipolar disorder - past	Past diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Depressive disorder - past	Past diagnosis of a depressive disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Psychotic disorder - past	Past diagnosis of a psychotic disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
ADHD - past	Past diagnosis of ADHD disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
PD - past	Past diagnosis of panic disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
SA - past	Past diagnosis of social anxiety. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
SP - past	Past diagnosis of specific phobia. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Generalized anxiety disorder - past	Past diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Substance use disorder - past	Past diagnosis of substance use disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Eating disorder - past	Past diagnosis of an eating disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (parent reported)
Depressive disorder (child-reported)	Lifetime diagnosis of a depressive disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (child reported)
Bipolar disorder (child-reported)	Lifetime diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (child reported)
Anxiety disorder (child-reported)	Lifetime diagnosis of an anxiety disorder. Coded yes/no	K-SADS5 (child reported)
Family history of psychopathology	Dichotomous variable, with 'yes' indicating a family history of mania, psychosis, nerves, mental health hospitalization or mental health treatment	Family History Assessment from NCANDA
<b>Cognition</b>		

Flanker inhibitory control	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention Test
Card Sorting	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Dimensional Change Card Sort Test
Cash choice task	Would you rather have \$75 in three days or \$115 in 3 months? Scored 1-2	Cash Choice Task - Delay Discounting
Impulsivity - negative urgency	4 items scored 1-4 a sum score is created	UPPS-P Negative Urgency subscale
Impulsivity - lack of planning	4 items scored 1-4 a sum score is created	UPPS-P Lack of Planning subscale
Impulsivity- sensation seeking	4 items scored 1-4 a sum score is created	UPPS-P Sensation Seeking subscale
Impulsivity - positive urgency	4 items scored 1-4 a sum score is created	UPPS-P Positive Urgency subscale
Impulsivity - lack of perseverance	4 items scored 1-4 a sum score is created	UPPS-P Lack of Perseverance subscale
Picture vocabulary	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Picture Vocabulary Test
List sorting task	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox List Sorting Working Memory Test
Pattern comparison	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Pattern Comparison Processing Speed Test
Picture sequence memory	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Picture Sequence Memory Test
Reading test	Age-corrected standard score	NIH Toolbox Oral Reading Recognition Test
Matrix reasoning	Total scaled score	WISC-V Matrix Reasoning
<b>Neuroimaging</b>		
Correct stop vs correct go - left thalamus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left caudate	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left putamen	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left pallidum	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right thalamus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right caudate	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right putamen	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right pallidum	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left inferior parietal gyrus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast

Correct stop vs correct go - left pars opercularis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left pars orbitalis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left triangularis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left precentral	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left superior temporal	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - left insula	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right inferior parietal gyrus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right pars opercularis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right pars orbitalis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right triangularis	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right precentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right superior temporal gyrus	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Correct stop vs correct go - right insula	Mean beta weight for SST correct stop versus correct go contrast	Stop Signal task - Correct stop vs. correct go contrast
Reward vs neutral - left thalamus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left caudate	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left putamen	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left pallidum	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left amygdala	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left accumbens	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right thalamus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right caudate	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task

Reward vs neutral - right putamen	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right pallidum	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right amygdala	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right accumbens	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left caudal middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left cuneus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left inferior temporal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left parahippocampal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left paracentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left precentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left rostral middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left superior frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left superior temporal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left supramarginal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - left insula	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right caudal middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right cuneus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right inferior temporal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task

Reward vs neutral - right parahippocampal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right paracentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right precentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right rostral middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right superior frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right superior temporal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right supramarginal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Reward vs neutral - right insula	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of reward versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left thalamus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left caudate	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left putamen	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left pallidum	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left hippocampus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left amygdala	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left accumbens	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right thalamus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right caudate	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right putamen	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task



Loss vs neutral - right pallidum	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right hippocampus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right amygdala	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right accumbens	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left caudal anterior cingulate cortex	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left caudal middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left fusiform gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left precentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left rostral middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left superior frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left supramarginal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - left insula	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right caudal anterior cingulate cortex	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right caudal middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right fusiform gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right precentral gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task

Loss vs neutral - right rostral middle frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right superior frontal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right supramarginal gyrus	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
Loss vs neutral - right insula	Mean beta weight for MID anticipation of loss versus neutral contrast	Monetary Incentive Delay task
<b>Genetic</b>		
Depression polygenic risk score	Weighted sum of allele SBayesR conditional GWAS effect sizes.	Genotyping
Bipolar disorder polygenic risk score	Weighted sum of allele SBayesR conditional GWAS effect sizes.	Genotyping
Schizophrenia polygenic risk score	Weighted sum of allele SBayesR conditional GWAS effect sizes.	Genotyping
Anorexia polygenic risk score	Weighted sum of allele SBayesR conditional GWAS effect sizes.	Genotyping
Cross-disorder (psychiatric) polygenic risk score	Weighted sum of allele SBayesR conditional GWAS effect sizes.	Genotyping

**Supplemental Table S2. Demographic in the train and test set (in child-reported and parent-reported groups)**

	Child reported			Parent reported		
	Training set			Validation set		
	HC (N=1650)	CC (N=2122)	STB (N=396)	HC (N=717)	CC (N=863)	STB (N=132)
Age	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.9
Sex (%F, n)	51.5% (849)	48.0% (1017)	44.2% (175)	56.1% (402)	46.6% (402)	47.7% (63)
Ideation (% , n)	NA	NA	86.9% (344)	NA	NA	88.6% (117)
Attempt (% , n)	NA	NA	13.1% (52)	NA	NA	11.3% (15)
	Child reported			Parent reported		
	Training set			Validation set		
	HC (N=1683)	CC (N=2128)	STB (N=361)	HC (N=732)	CC (N=848)	STB (N=133)
Age	9.9	9.9	9.9	10.0	10.0	10.0
Sex (%F, n)	51.8% (871)	48.5% (1032)	38.8% (140)	55.8% (409)	48.0% (407)	37.6% (50)
Ideation (% , n)	NA	NA	94.7% (342)	NA	NA	91.7% (122)
Attempt (% , n)	NA	NA	5.3% (19)	NA	NA	8.3% (11)

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S3. Performance measures of group classification in the overlapping sample (consistent parent and child-reported STB)**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC						
	0.25	0.689	0.021	0.685	0.589	0.637
	0.50	0.689	0.023	0.688	0.587	0.637
	0.75	0.691	0.022	0.686	0.589	0.638
	1.00	0.688	0.021	0.688	0.586	0.637
HC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.878	0.056	0.764	0.825	0.795
	0.50	0.879	0.064	0.766	0.828	0.797
	0.75	0.876	0.063	0.749	0.832	0.791
	1.00	0.886	0.055	0.751	0.839	0.795
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.860	0.069	0.764	0.804	0.784
	0.50	0.865	0.070	0.761	0.822	0.792
	0.75	0.849	0.069	0.747	0.795	0.771
	1.00	0.858	0.067	0.743	0.806	0.774

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S4. Results of feature selection (child-reported)**

Comparison	Alpha:	0.25	0.5	0.75	1
HC vs. CC		Age	Age	Age	Age
		Race	Parental income	Parental income	Parental income
		Parental income	Sleep: excessive somnolesence	Sleep: total score	Sleep: total score
		Sleep: excessive somnolesence	Sleep: total score	BMI	BMI
		Sleep: total score	BMI	Family conflict	Family conflict
		BMI	Screen time weekend	School involvement	School involvement
		Exercise in the past week	Family conflict	Bullying	Bullying
		Screen time weekend	School involvement	Family history of psychopathology	Family history of psychopathology
		Family conflict	Bullying	Impulsivity: positive urgency	Impulsivity: positive urgency
		School involvement	Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance
		Bullying	Impulsivity: positive urgency	Picture sequence memory task performance	Picture sequence memory task performance
		Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation
		Cash Choice Task performance	Picture sequence memory task performance	Right inferior temporal lobe activation during reward anticipation	
		Impulsivity: sensation seeking	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation		
		Impulsivity: positive urgency			
		Impulsivity: lack of perseverance			
		Picture sequence memory task performance			
	Right inferior temporal lobe activation during reward anticipation				
	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation				
HC vs. STB		Sleep: excessive somnolesence	Sleep: total score	Sleep: total score	Sleep: total score
		Sleep: total score	screen time weekend	screen time weekend	screen time weekend
		screen time weekend	Parental monitoring	Parental monitoring	Family conflict
		Parental monitoring	Family conflict	Family conflict	Bullying
		Family conflict	School involvement	School involvement	Impulsivity: negative

	School involvement	Pubertal status	Bullying	urgency Impulsivity: lack of planning
	Pubertal status	Bullying	Impulsivity: negative urgency	
	Bullying	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: lack of planning	
	Having a group of friends	Impulsivity: lack of planning		
	Impulsivity: negative urgency			
	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance			
	Impulsivity: lack of planning			
CC vs. STB	Race	Family conflict	Family conflict	Family conflict
	Family conflict	Prodromal psychosis	Prodromal psychosis	Prodromal psychosis
	Prodromal psychosis	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: negative urgency
	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: lack of planning	Impulsivity: lack of planning
	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: lack of planning		
	Impulsivity: lack of planning			

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S5. Results of feature selection (parent-reported)**

Comparison	Alpha:	0.25	0.5	0.75	1
HC vs. CC		Age	Age	Age	Age
		Race	Race	Race	Race
		Parental income	Parental income	Parental income	Parental income
		Sleep-excessive somnolesence	Sleep - total sleep score	Sleep - total sleep score	Sleep - total sleep score
		Sleep - total sleep score	Exercise in the past week	BMI	BMI
		Exercise in the past week	BMI	Screen time in weekends	Screen time in weekends
		BMI	Screen time in weekends	Family conflict	Family conflict
		Pubertal status	Family conflict	School involvement	School involvement
		Screen time in weekends	School involvement	Bullying	Bullying
		Family conflict	Bullying	Friend group	Family history of psychopathology
		Neighborhood safety	Friend group	Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: sensation seeking
		School involvement	Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: sensation seeking	Impulsivity: positive urgency
		Bullying	Impulsivity: sensation seeking	Impulsivity: positive urgency	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance
		Friend group	Impulsivity: positive urgency	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance	Impulsivity: negative urgency
		Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: lack of planning
		Cash Choice Task performance	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: lack of planning	Picture sequence memory
		Impulsivity: sensation seeking	Impulsivity: lack of planning	Picture sequence memory	Pattern comparison: processing speed
		Impulsivity: positive urgency	Pattern comparison: processing speed	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation
		Impulsivity: lack of perseverance	Picture sequence memory	Right amygdala activation during loss anticipation	Right amygdala activation during loss anticipation
		Impulsivity: negative urgency	Left caudate activation during loss anticipation		
	Impulsivity: lack of planning	Right amygdala activation during loss anticipation			
	Pattern comparison: processing speed				
	Picture sequence memory				

Left caudate activation during loss anticipation

Right amygdala activation during loss anticipation

Right thalamus activation during successful response inhibition

Right inferior temporal gyrus during reward anticipation

HC vs. STB	Sex	Sex	Sleep - total sleep score	Sleep - total sleep score
	Sleep-excessive somnolesence	Sleep-excessive somnolesence	Family conflict	Family conflict
	Sleep - total sleep score	Sleep - total sleep score	Bullying	Bullying
	Family conflict	Family conflict	Family history of psychopathology	Family history of psychopathology
	School environment	Bullying	Impulsivity: negative urgency	Impulsivity: negative urgency
	Bullying	Family history of psychopathology		
	Family history of psychopathology	Impulsivity: negative urgency		
	Impulsivity: negative urgency			
	Impulsivity: lack of perseverance			
CC vs. STB	CBCL: Anxious Depression subscale	CBCL: Anxious Depression subscale	CBCL: Anxious Depression subscale	CBCL: Internalizing Broad Band Score
	CBCL: Internalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: Internalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: Internalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: Externalizing Broad Band Score
	CBCL: Externalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: Externalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: Externalizing Broad Band Score	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale
	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale	CBCL: DSM5 Depression subscale	History of mental health treatment
	CBCL: Conduct Disorder subscale	History of mental health treatment	History of mental health treatment	
	History of mental health service use			
	History of mental health treatment			

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group



**Supplemental Table S6. Classification of groups (child-reported) in independent dataset using only the features selected at different alpha levels in the training dataset**

Comparison	Using features selected in training dataset at alpha	AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC					
	0.25	0.704	0.660	0.633	0.646
	0.50	0.701	0.665	0.634	0.650
	0.75	0.701	0.656	0.633	0.644
	1.00	0.701	0.661	0.638	0.650
HC vs. STB					
	0.25	0.790	0.735	0.649	0.692
	0.50	0.786	0.727	0.649	0.688
	0.75	0.792	0.758	0.664	0.711
	1.00	0.784	0.720	0.657	0.688
CC vs. STB					
	0.25	0.683	0.697	0.568	0.632
	0.50	0.703	0.712	0.567	0.639
	0.75	0.711	0.758	0.553	0.655
	1.00	0.711	0.758	0.553	0.655

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S7. Classification of groups (parent-reported) in independent dataset using only the features selected at different alpha levels in the training dataset**

Comparison	Using features selected in training dataset at alpha	AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC					
	0.25	0.706	0.634	0.664	0.649
	0.50	0.707	0.627	0.669	0.648
	0.75	0.705	0.638	0.651	0.644
	1.00	0.703	0.635	0.647	0.641
HC vs. STB					
	0.25	0.817	0.752	0.721	0.737
	0.50	0.816	0.767	0.736	0.752
	0.75	0.807	0.752	0.724	0.738
	1.00	0.807	0.752	0.724	0.738
CC vs. STB					
	0.25	0.706	0.583	0.714	0.649
	0.50	0.706	0.583	0.700	0.642
	0.75	0.706	0.583	0.699	0.641
	1.00	0.703	0.598	0.700	0.649

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S8. Classification of STB groups (child-reported): Results of binomial penalized logistic regression analysis only including specific types of predictors**

<b>Sociodemographic</b>						
Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC						
	0.25	0.559	0.025	0.550	0.533	0.541
	0.50	0.558	0.030	0.539	0.537	0.538
	0.75	0.560	0.025	0.534	0.545	0.540
	1.00	0.557	0.029	0.540	0.541	0.541
HC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.587	0.054	0.523	0.599	0.561
	0.50	0.588	0.066	0.523	0.617	0.570
	0.75	0.590	0.060	0.521	0.613	0.567
	1.00	0.585	0.063	0.526	0.601	0.563
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.556	0.058	0.585	0.494	0.540
	0.50	0.552	0.060	0.592	0.479	0.536
	0.75	0.547	0.069	0.590	0.482	0.536
	1.00	0.540	0.055	0.644	0.419	0.532
<b>Physical health</b>						
Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC						
	0.25	0.668	0.021	0.690	0.550	0.620
	0.50	0.667	0.028	0.695	0.547	0.621
	0.75	0.667	0.028	0.696	0.550	0.623
	1.00	0.669	0.029	0.697	0.551	0.624
HC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.712	0.052	0.596	0.717	0.657
	0.50	0.726	0.060	0.607	0.734	0.670
	0.75	0.717	0.056	0.601	0.728	0.664
	1.00	0.715	0.061	0.603	0.720	0.661
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.583	0.063	0.544	0.578	0.561
	0.50	0.582	0.062	0.566	0.559	0.563
	0.75	0.579	0.062	0.540	0.566	0.553

1.00	0.582	0.057	0.547	0.570	0.559
------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

**Social environment**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.604	0.024	0.694	0.458	0.576
0.50	0.605	0.028	0.689	0.458	0.574
0.75	0.604	0.031	0.694	0.459	0.576
1.00	0.601	0.030	0.691	0.454	0.572

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.738	0.738	0.618	0.741	0.680
0.50	0.734	0.734	0.615	0.737	0.676
0.75	0.737	0.737	0.618	0.748	0.683
1.00	0.735	0.735	0.609	0.740	0.674

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.633	0.062	0.553	0.645	0.599
0.50	0.641	0.063	0.553	0.661	0.607
0.75	0.639	0.056	0.548	0.658	0.603
1.00	0.632	0.059	0.551	0.653	0.602

**Clinical psychiatric**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.676	0.059	0.532	0.721	0.627
0.50	0.681	0.059	0.525	0.729	0.627
0.75	0.676	0.059	0.523	0.719	0.621
1.00	0.677	0.057	0.522	0.727	0.625

**Cognition**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.587	0.027	0.577	0.547	0.562
0.50	0.587	0.022	0.580	0.547	0.564
0.75	0.588	0.030	0.578	0.548	0.563
1.00	0.587	0.029	0.579	0.545	0.562

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.712	0.057	0.647	0.665	0.656
0.50	0.712	0.050	0.642	0.670	0.656

0.75	0.712	0.056	0.644	0.669	0.656
1.00	0.712	0.047	0.644	0.668	0.656

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.645	0.060	0.599	0.608	0.604
0.50	0.654	0.063	0.598	0.628	0.613
0.75	0.648	0.067	0.599	0.613	0.606
1.00	0.650	0.070	0.595	0.615	0.605

**Neuroimaging**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.503	0.020	0.583	0.421	0.502
0.50	0.502	0.021	0.519	0.479	0.499
0.75	0.503	0.021	0.581	0.423	0.502
1.00	0.501	0.017	0.576	0.425	0.501

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.535	0.053	0.576	0.481	0.529
0.50	0.534	0.056	0.639	0.406	0.523
0.75	0.534	0.055	0.603	0.439	0.521
1.00	0.535	0.055	0.600	0.451	0.525

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.496	0.025	0.758	0.241	0.500
0.50	0.496	0.033	0.771	0.221	0.496
0.75	0.504	0.031	0.792	0.212	0.502
1.00	0.497	0.031	0.773	0.222	0.497

**Genetic**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.519	0.025	0.557	0.473	0.515
0.50	0.517	0.024	0.508	0.516	0.512
0.75	0.518	0.023	0.553	0.476	0.515
1.00	0.517	0.024	0.571	0.460	0.515

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.564	0.058	0.537	0.551	0.544
0.50	0.563	0.063	0.538	0.549	0.543
0.75	0.560	0.058	0.532	0.551	0.541

	1.00	0.560	0.057	0.544	0.537	0.540
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.522	0.045	0.645	0.387	0.516
	0.50	0.521	0.049	0.634	0.398	0.516
	0.75	0.523	0.047	0.658	0.385	0.521
	1.00	0.517	0.048	0.651	0.371	0.511

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group

**Supplemental Table S9. Classification of STB groups (parent-reported): Results of binomial penalized logistic regression analysis only including specific types of predictors**

<b>Sociodemographic</b>						
Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC						
	0.25	0.555	0.030	0.542	0.537	0.539
	0.50	0.551	0.027	0.530	0.545	0.537
	0.75	0.553	0.029	0.534	0.540	0.537
	1.00	0.550	0.029	0.532	0.539	0.536
HC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.612	0.066	0.573	0.594	0.584
	0.50	0.604	0.071	0.575	0.586	0.580
	0.75	0.603	0.069	0.589	0.579	0.584
	1.00	0.603	0.061	0.555	0.593	0.574
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.550	0.057	0.571	0.516	0.543
	0.50	0.541	0.068	0.601	0.458	0.530
	0.75	0.534	0.067	0.582	0.477	0.529
	1.00	0.545	0.060	0.568	0.505	0.536
<b>Physical health</b>						
Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
HC vs. CC						
	0.25	0.662	0.026	0.687	0.549	0.618
	0.50	0.663	0.026	0.689	0.549	0.619
	0.75	0.661	0.029	0.690	0.545	0.617
	1.00	0.662	0.025	0.690	0.546	0.618
HC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.770	0.052	0.629	0.770	0.699
	0.50	0.767	0.050	0.625	0.773	0.699
	0.75	0.774	0.051	0.633	0.782	0.707
	1.00	0.768	0.058	0.626	0.777	0.702
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.632	0.061	0.516	0.686	0.601
	0.50	0.635	0.067	0.517	0.683	0.600
	0.75	0.631	0.061	0.521	0.674	0.597

1.00	0.644	0.057	0.517	0.700	0.608
------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

**Social environment**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.602	0.026	0.668	0.475	0.571
0.50	0.602	0.030	0.669	0.476	0.572
0.75	0.603	0.031	0.670	0.478	0.574
1.00	0.606	0.026	0.670	0.482	0.576

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.710	0.053	0.551	0.768	0.659
0.50	0.710	0.065	0.547	0.766	0.656
0.75	0.702	0.063	0.555	0.753	0.654
1.00	0.694	0.054	0.542	0.750	0.646

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.602	0.055	0.477	0.669	0.573
0.50	0.611	0.063	0.484	0.673	0.578
0.75	0.606	0.063	0.474	0.683	0.578
1.00	0.612	0.056	0.466	0.689	0.578

**Clinical psychiatric**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.775	0.056	0.658	0.751	0.704
0.50	0.777	0.053	0.655	0.761	0.708
0.75	0.769	0.052	0.661	0.748	0.705
1.00	0.782	0.049	0.661	0.766	0.713

**Cognition**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.594	0.028	0.588	0.555	0.572
0.50	0.594	0.028	0.586	0.559	0.572
0.75	0.592	0.024	0.586	0.553	0.570
1.00	0.591	0.028	0.586	0.552	0.569

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.647	0.055	0.587	0.628	0.608
0.50	0.640	0.063	0.582	0.623	0.603



0.75	0.641	0.070	0.584	0.605	0.594
1.00	0.642	0.066	0.584	0.624	0.604

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.551	0.070	0.584	0.485	0.534
0.50	0.559	0.077	0.558	0.530	0.544
0.75	0.555	0.061	0.573	0.509	0.541
1.00	0.550	0.061	0.573	0.496	0.534

**Neuroimaging**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.508	0.020	0.574	0.436	0.505
0.50	0.513	0.024	0.576	0.439	0.507
0.75	0.506	0.019	0.625	0.379	0.502
1.00	0.510	0.026	0.546	0.469	0.507

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.522	0.060	0.557	0.470	0.513
0.50	0.530	0.068	0.599	0.445	0.522
0.75	0.527	0.055	0.551	0.491	0.521
1.00	0.514	0.050	0.548	0.468	0.508

CC vs. STB

0.25	0.507	0.046	0.579	0.425	0.502
0.50	0.509	0.051	0.606	0.410	0.508
0.75	0.502	0.052	0.620	0.372	0.496
1.00	0.504	0.042	0.643	0.364	0.504

**Genetic**

Comparison	Alpha	AUROC	SD AUROC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
------------	-------	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------

HC vs. CC

0.25	0.529	0.026	0.560	0.486	0.523
0.50	0.525	0.025	0.561	0.480	0.521
0.75	0.524	0.025	0.540	0.499	0.519
1.00	0.527	0.024	0.543	0.503	0.523

HC vs. STB

0.25	0.549	0.058	0.574	0.489	0.532
0.50	0.545	0.070	0.568	0.495	0.531
0.75	0.553	0.058	0.543	0.527	0.535

	1.00	0.541	0.058	0.526	0.527	0.526
<hr/>						
CC vs. STB						
	0.25	0.537	0.068	0.575	0.469	0.522
	0.50	0.533	0.062	0.570	0.472	0.521
	0.75	0.533	0.058	0.550	0.496	0.523
	1.00	0.529	0.056	0.515	0.521	0.518

Note: CC: clinical control group; HC: healthy control group; STB: suicidal thoughts and behaviors group