


Supplemental Figure 1: Summary risk of bias as a percentage of all included studies for the effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes




Supplemental figure 2: Sensitivity analyses of main effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes

Contents


| | |
|---|---|
| Supplemental figure 2A: Mean differences for continuous outcomes | 2 |
| Supplemental figure 2B: Prevalence ratios for dichotomous outcomes | 3 |
| Supplemental figure 2C: Prevalence differences for dichotomous outcomes | 4 |
| Supplemental figure 2D: Prevalence ratios for 12 mo milestone outcomes | 5 |
| Supplemental figure 2E: Prevalence differences for 12 mo milestone outcomes | 6 |
| Supplemental figure 2F: Prevalence ratios for 18 mo milestone outcomes | 7 |
| Supplemental figure 2G: Prevalence differences for 18 mo milestone outcomes | 8 |

These figures show the pooled estimates of intervention effects by different pooling methods and different sensitivity analyses. For continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis indicate which outcome is assessed. The different columns correspond to sensitivity analyses in which intervention group categorization differs. All-trial analysis includes all trials; Child-LNS-only excludes trial arms that provided both maternal and child LNS; Multi-component analysis separates comparisons within trials that included multi-component interventions, so that the SQ-LNS vs. no SQ-LNS comparisons were conducted separately between pairs of arms that included the same non-nutrition components (e.g. SQ-LNS+WASH vs. WASH; SQ-LNS vs. Control); Passive arms excluded analysis excludes passive control arms.


Supplemental figure 2A: Mean differences for continuous outcomes




Supplemental figure 2B: Prevalence ratios for dichotomous outcomes




Supplemental figure 2C: Prevalence differences for dichotomous outcomes




Supplemental figure 2D: Prevalence ratios for 12 mo milestone outcomes




Supplemental figure 2E: Prevalence differences for 12 mo milestone outcomes



Supplemental figure 2F: Prevalence ratios for 18 mo milestone outcomes



Supplemental figure 2G: Prevalence differences for 18 mo milestone outcomes



Supplemental figure 3: Forest plots for all main effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Supplemental figure 3A: Mean difference in language z-score | 3 |
| Supplemental figure 3B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio | 4 |
| Supplemental figure 3C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference | 5 |
| Supplemental figure 3D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score | 6 |
| Supplemental figure 3E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio | 7 |
| Supplemental figure 3F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference | 8 |
| Supplemental figure 3G: Mean difference in motor z-score | 9 |
| Supplemental figure 3H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio | 10 |
| Supplemental figure 3I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference | 11 |
| Supplemental figure 3J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score | 12 |
| Supplemental figure 3K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score | 13 |
| Supplemental figure 3L: Mean difference in executive function z-score | 14 |
| Supplemental figure 3M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio | 15 |
| Supplemental figure 3N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference | 16 |
| Supplemental figure 3O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio | 17 |
| Supplemental figure 3P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference | 18 |
| Supplemental figure 3Q: 12-mo walking with support prevalence ratio | 19 |
| Supplemental figure 3R: 12-mo walking with support prevalence difference | 20 |
| Supplemental figure 3S: 12-mo standing without support prevalence ratio | 21 |
| Supplemental figure 3T: 12-mo standing without support prevalence difference | 22 |
| Supplemental figure 3U: 12-mo standing with support prevalence ratio | 23 |
| Supplemental figure 3V: 12-mo standing with support prevalence difference | 24 |
| Supplemental figure 3W: 12-mo crawling prevalence ratio | 25 |
| Supplemental figure 3X: 12-mo crawling prevalence difference | 26 |
| Supplemental figure 3Y: 18-mo walking without support prevalence ratio | 27 |
| Supplemental figure 3Z: 18-mo walking without support prevalence difference | 28 |

Supplemental figure 3AA: 18-mo walking with support prevalence ratio

29

Supplemental figure 3AB: 18-mo walking with support prevalence difference


30

These figures are forest plots showing the study-level estimates of intervention effect with the pooled estimate in the bottom summary rows. For continuous outcomes the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis correspond to trial level information. The values on the right indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimate.


Motor milestone figures show individual trial estimates excluding the JiVitA-4 data because those individual trial results have not yet been published. The pooled estimate in each figure includes the JiVitA-4 data, for consistency with all other analyses.

Figures showing individual trial estimates for the SHINE trial are split by comparison to reflect the cross-over design. For calculating the pooled estimates shown in these figures, the trial is analyzed with LNS intervention arms combined and non-LNS intervention arms combined.


Supplemental figure 3A: Mean difference in language z-score




Supplemental figure 3B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score




Supplemental figure 3E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3G: Mean difference in motor z-score




Supplemental figure 3H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score




Supplemental figure 3K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score




Supplemental figure 3L: Mean difference in executive function z-score




Supplemental figure 3M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3Q: 12-mo walking with support prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3R: 12-mo walking with support prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3S: 12-mo standing without support prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3T: 12-mo standing without support prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3U: 12-mo standing with support prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3V: 12-mo standing with support prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3W: 12-mo crawling prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3X: 12-mo crawling prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3Y: 18-mo walking without support prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3Z: 18-mo walking without support prevalence difference



Supplemental figure 3AA: 18-mo walking with support prevalence ratio



Supplemental figure 3AB: 18-mo walking with support prevalence difference



Supplemental figure 4: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes stratified by study-level effect modifiers

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score | 6 |
| 4A1: Stratified by Geographic region | 6 |
| 4A2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 7 |
| 4A3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 8 |
| 4A4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 9 |
| 4A5: Stratified by Source water quality | 10 |
| 4A6: Stratified by Sanitation | 11 |
| 4A7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 12 |
| 4A8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 13 |
| 4A9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 14 |
| Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio | 15 |
| 4B1: Stratified by Geographic region | 15 |
| 4B2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 16 |
| 4B3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 17 |
| 4B4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 18 |
| 4B5: Stratified by Source water quality | 19 |
| 4B6: Stratified by Sanitation | 20 |
| 4B7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 21 |
| 4B8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 22 |
| 4B9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 23 |
| Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference | 24 |
| 4C1: Stratified by Geographic region | 24 |
| 4C2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 25 |
| 4C3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 26 |
| 4C4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 27 |
| 4C5: Stratified by Source water quality | 28 |
| 4C6: Stratified by Sanitation | 29 |
| 4C7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 30 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 4C8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 31 |
| 4C9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 32 |
| Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score | 33 |
| 4D1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 33 |
| 4D2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 34 |
| 4D3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 35 |
| 4D4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 36 |
| 4D5: Stratified by Source water quality | 37 |
| 4D6: Stratified by Sanitation | 38 |
| 4D7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 39 |
| 4D8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 40 |
| 4D9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 41 |
| Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio | 42 |
| 4E1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 42 |
| 4E2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 43 |
| 4E3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 44 |
| 4E4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 45 |
| 4E5: Stratified by Source water quality | 46 |
| 4E6: Stratified by Sanitation | 47 |
| 4E7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 48 |
| 4E8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 49 |
| 4E9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 50 |
| Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference | 51 |
| 4F1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 51 |
| 4F2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 52 |
| 4F3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 53 |
| 4F4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 54 |
| 4F5: Stratified by Source water quality | 55 |
| 4F6: Stratified by Sanitation | 56 |
| 4F7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 57 |
| 4F8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 58 |
| 4F9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 59 |
| Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score | 60 |
| 4G1: Stratified by Geographic region | 60 |
| 4G2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 61 |
| 4G3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 62 |
| 4G4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 63 |
| 4G5: Stratified by Source water quality | 64 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 4G6: Stratified by Sanitation | 65 |
| 4G7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 66 |
| 4G8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 67 |
| 4G9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 68 |
| Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio | 69 |
| 4H1: Stratified by Geographic region | 69 |
| 4H2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 70 |
| 4H3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 71 |
| 4H4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 72 |
| 4H5: Stratified by Source water quality | 73 |
| 4H6: Stratified by Sanitation | 74 |
| 4H7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 75 |
| 4H8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 76 |
| 4H9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 77 |
| Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference | 78 |
| 4I1: Stratified by Geographic region | 78 |
| 4I2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 79 |
| 4I3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 80 |
| 4I4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 81 |
| 4I5: Stratified by Source water quality | 82 |
| 4I6: Stratified by Sanitation | 83 |
| 4I7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 84 |
| 4I8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 85 |
| 4I9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 86 |
| Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score | 87 |
| 4J1: Stratified by Geographic region | 87 |
| 4J2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 88 |
| 4J3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 89 |
| 4J4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 90 |
| 4J5: Stratified by Source water quality | 91 |
| 4J6: Stratified by Sanitation | 92 |
| 4J7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 93 |
| 4J8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 94 |
| 4J9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 95 |
| Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score | 96 |
| 4K1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 96 |
| 4K2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons) | 97 |
| 4K3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 98 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4K4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 99 |
| 4K5: Stratified by Source water quality | 100 |
| 4K6: Stratified by Sanitation | 101 |
| 4K7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons) | 102 |
| 4K8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 103 |
| 4K9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons) | 104 |
| Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score | 105 |
| 4L1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 105 |
| 4L2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons) | 106 |
| 4L3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 107 |
| 4L4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons) | 108 |
| 4L5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 109 |
| 4L6: Stratified by Sanitation | 110 |
| 4L7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons) | 111 |
| 4L8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 112 |
| 4L9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons) | 113 |
| Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio | 114 |
| 4M1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 114 |
| 4M2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons) | 115 |
| 4M3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 116 |
| 4M4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons) | 117 |
| 4M5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 118 |
| 4M6: Stratified by Sanitation | 119 |
| 4M7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons) | 120 |
| 4M8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 121 |
| 4M9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons) | 122 |
| Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference | 123 |
| 4N1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons) | 123 |
| 4N2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons) | 124 |
| 4N3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 125 |
| 4N4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons) | 126 |
| 4N5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 127 |
| 4N6: Stratified by Sanitation | 128 |
| 4N7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons) | 129 |
| 4N8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 130 |
| 4N9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons) | 131 |
| Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio | 132 |
| 4O1: Stratified by Geographic region | 132 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 4O2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 133 |
| 4O3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 134 |
| 4O4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 135 |
| 4O5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 136 |
| 4O6: Stratified by Sanitation | 137 |
| 4O7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 138 |
| 4O8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 139 |
| 4O9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 140 |
| Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference | 141 |
| 4P1: Stratified by Geographic region | 141 |
| 4P2: Stratified by Stunting burden | 142 |
| 4P3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence | 143 |
| 4P4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence | 144 |
| 4P5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 145 |
| 4P6: Stratified by Sanitation | 146 |
| 4P7: Stratified by Supplement duration | 147 |
| 4P8: Stratified by Frequency of contact | 148 |
| 4P9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance | 149 |

These figures are forest plots showing the study-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure shows the study-level estimates along with the corresponding pooled estimate grouped by study-level effect modifier category. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis correspond to trial level information. The values on the right indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates.

Motor milestone figures show individual trial estimates excluding the JiVitA-4 data because those individual trial results have not yet been published. The pooled estimate in each figure includes the JiVitA-4 data, for consistency with all other analyses.

Figures showing individual trial estimates for the SHINE trial are split by comparison to reflect the cross-over design. For calculating the pooled estimates shown in these figures, the trial is analyzed with LNS intervention arms combined and non-LNS intervention arms combined. Except for when sanitation or water quality are the effect modifier then the LNS +WSH vs WSH comparison is excluded.

Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region

(p-diff = 0.978)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| | | | 3217 | 4310 |

$I^2 = 0.58, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 6821 | 9914 |

$I^2 = 0.66, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden
(p-diff = 0.077)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | MD (95% CI) | W |
|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 | 0.01 (-0.12, 0.14) | 0.26 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 | -0.13 (-0.35, 0.10) | 0.17 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.02 (-0.04, 0.08) | 0.34 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 | 0.01 (-0.15, 0.18) | 0.23 |
| | | | 2058 | 5991 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.06) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | -0.08 (-0.29, 0.12) | 0.09 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 | 0.17 (0.07, 0.26) | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 | 0.09 (0.02, 0.17) | 0.15 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.37 (0.21, 0.53) | 0.11 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | -0.11 (-0.30, 0.07) | 0.10 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 | 0.05 (-0.11, 0.20) | 0.11 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.15 (-0.02, 0.31) | 0.10 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 | 0.03 (-0.15, 0.21) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 | 0.13 (-0.02, 0.29) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 | 0.06 (-0.29, 0.40) | 0.08 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 | 0.37 (0.07, 0.67) | 0.08 |
| | | | 8130 | 8382 | 0.11 (0.02, 0.20) | |



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence

(p -diff = 0.365)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 7324 | 11736 |

$I^2 = 0.60$, $Tau^2 = 0.01$

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 2864 | 2637 |

$I^2 = 0.72$, $Tau^2 = 0.02$



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.530)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 2683 | 2128 |

I² = 0.75, Tau² = 0.02

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 7505 | 12245 |

I² = 0.55, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A5: Stratified by Source water quality

Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.536)

Source water quality – Improved


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 548 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| | | | 3997 | 3523 |

I² = 0.54, Tau² = 0.01

Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| | | | 4382 | 5437 |

I² = 0.72, Tau² = 0.02



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score

4A6: Stratified by Sanitation


Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.991)

Sanitation – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 548 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLINS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| I² = 0.59, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 4064 | 3807 |

Sanitation – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLINS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 4315 | 5153 |




Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score


4A7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.524)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score


4A8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.853)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in language z-score


4A9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.918)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p-diff = 0.624)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| | | | 3217 | 4310 |

$I^2 = 0.00$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 6821 | 9914 |

$I^2 = 0.59$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.04$




Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden

(p-diff = 0.046)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%



Stunting burden – More than 35%



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.322)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 7324 | 11736 |

I² = 0.52, Tau² = 0.08

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 2864 | 2637 |

I² = 0.71, Tau² = 0.08



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence

(p-diff = 0.644)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.46 (0.33, 0.64) | 0.25 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 | 2.75 (1.28, 5.93) | 0.11 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 | 0.87 (0.53, 1.43) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 | 0.95 (0.61, 1.50) | 0.20 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.77 (0.56, 1.07) | 0.25 |
| | | | 2683 | 2128 | 0.90 (0.53, 1.55) | |

I² = 0.81, Tau² = 0.32

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 0.86 (0.50, 1.49) | 0.09 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 | 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) | 0.15 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 | 0.81 (0.65, 1.00) | 0.16 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 | 1.09 (0.74, 1.61) | 0.12 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.95 (0.78, 1.16) | 0.16 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 1.17 (0.75, 1.83) | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 | 1.05 (0.67, 1.65) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 | 0.77 (0.49, 1.20) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 | 1.29 (0.54, 3.06) | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 | 0.32 (0.11, 0.92) | 0.07 |
| | | | 7505 | 12245 | 0.87 (0.78, 0.97) | |

I² = 0.03, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.737)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 548 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| I² = 0.61, Tau² = 0.12 | | | 3997 | 3523 |

Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| I² = 0.72, Tau² = 0.09 | | | 4382 | 5437 |



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B6: Stratified by Sanitation


Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.994)

Sanitation – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 548 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| I² = 0.67, Tau² = 0.17 | | | 4064 | 3807 |

Sanitation – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| I² = 0.67, Tau² = 0.07 | | | 4315 | 5153 |




Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio


4B7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.545)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio


4B8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.370)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



Supplemental figure 4B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

4B9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.616)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|----------|--|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 | 1.09 (0.74, 1.61) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 | 0.87 (0.53, 1.43) | 0.15 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 | 0.95 (0.61, 1.50) | 0.16 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.77 (0.56, 1.07) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 | 1.05 (0.67, 1.65) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 | 0.77 (0.49, 1.20) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 | 1.29 (0.54, 3.06) | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 | 0.32 (0.11, 0.92) | 0.11 |
| | | | 3100 | 3190 | 0.88 (0.75, 1.04) | |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 0.86 (0.50, 1.49) | 0.12 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 | 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) | 0.20 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 | 0.81 (0.65, 1.00) | 0.21 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.46 (0.33, 0.64) | 0.18 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 | 2.75 (1.28, 5.93) | 0.08 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.95 (0.78, 1.16) | 0.21 |
| | | | 5475 | 9579 | 0.86 (0.56, 1.33) | |

I² = 0.80, Tau² = 0.25



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region

(p-diff = 0.484)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| | | | 3217 | 4310 |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 6821 | 9914 |

I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C2: Stratified by Stunting burden

Stunting burden
(p-diff = 0.073)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05) | 0.26 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 | 0.10 (0.03, 0.16) | 0.16 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.00 (-0.02, 0.01) | 0.35 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.03) | 0.23 |
| | | | 2058 | 5991 | 0.02 (-0.03, 0.06) | |

$I^2 = 0.65, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | -0.02 (-0.07, 0.04) | 0.08 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 | -0.04 (-0.06, -0.01) | 0.13 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.14 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.08 (-0.13, -0.04) | 0.10 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.02 (-0.01, 0.04) | 0.14 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 | 0.00 (-0.05, 0.04) | 0.10 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 | -0.03 (-0.06, 0.01) | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 | 0.03 (-0.08, 0.15) | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 | -0.09 (-0.17, 0.00) | 0.07 |
| | | | 8130 | 8382 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | |

$I^2 = 0.65, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.289)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 1109 | 3353 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 150 | 149 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | 99 | 79 |
| | | 7324 | 11736 |

I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.00

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 331 | 658 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 927 | 944 |
| | | 2864 | 2637 |

I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence

(p-diff = 0.762)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 2683 | 2128 |

I² = 0.81, Tau² = 0.00

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 7505 | 12245 |

I² = 0.42, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.748)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 548 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3997 | 3523 |


Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| I² = 0.76, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 4382 | 5437 |



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.443)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 5127 | 4517 |

I² = 0.72, Tau² = 0.00

Supplement duration – > 12m

| | | | | |
|------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 5061 | 9856 |

I² = 0.26, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.340)

Frequency of contact – Monthly


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 |
| | | | 6697 | 9184 |

I² = 0.71, Tau² = 0.00

Frequency of contact – Weekly

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 |
| | | | 3491 | 5189 |

I² = 0.58, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

4C9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.770)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | | PD (95% CI) | W |
|----------|--|------|-------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | CDI | 331 | 658 | | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05) | 0.17 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | CDI | 215 | 439 | | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.03) | 0.15 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | CDI | 645 | 221 | | 0.00 (-0.05, 0.04) | 0.16 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | 0.21 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 381 | 373 | | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 436 | 408 | | -0.03 (-0.06, 0.01) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | CDI | 66 | 68 | | 0.03 (-0.08, 0.15) | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | CDI | 99 | 79 | | -0.09 (-0.17, 0.00) | 0.11 |
| | | | 3100 | 3190 | | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | | -0.02 (-0.07, 0.04) | 0.12 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | CDI | 1663 | 814 | | -0.04 (-0.06, -0.01) | 0.20 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | CDI | 1109 | 3353 | | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.21 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | | -0.08 (-0.13, -0.04) | 0.16 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | Total sounds | 150 | 149 | | 0.10 (0.03, 0.16) | 0.10 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | | 0.00 (-0.02, 0.01) | 0.22 |
| | | | 5475 | 9579 | | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.03) | |

I² = 0.80, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden
(p -diff = 0.066)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | MD (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.01 (-0.12, 0.14) | 0.32 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.01 (-0.05, 0.08) | 0.41 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 0.01 (-0.15, 0.18) | 0.28 |
| $I^2 = 0.00, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$ | | | | | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.07) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | 0.06 (-0.04, 0.16) | 0.15 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | 0.12 (0.05, 0.20) | 0.16 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.36 (0.18, 0.53) | 0.11 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | -0.07 (-0.26, 0.11) | 0.10 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18) | 0.12 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.15 (-0.02, 0.31) | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.07 (-0.07, 0.21) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.17 (0.02, 0.31) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.24 (0.00, 0.48) | 0.10 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.44 (0.14, 0.73) | 0.10 |
| $I^2 = 0.65, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$ | | | | | 0.13 (0.04, 0.23) | |



Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.863)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | MD (95% CI) | W |
|------------|--|------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | 0.06 (-0.04, 0.16) | 0.18 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | 0.12 (0.05, 0.20) | 0.20 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.01 (-0.05, 0.08) | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | -0.07 (-0.26, 0.11) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.07 (-0.07, 0.21) | 0.18 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.17 (0.02, 0.31) | 0.18 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.24 (0.00, 0.48) | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.44 (0.14, 0.73) | 0.12 |
| | | | 6709 | 11380 | 0.09 (-0.01, 0.20) | |

I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.01

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.36 (0.18, 0.53) | 0.19 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.01 (-0.12, 0.14) | 0.22 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 0.01 (-0.15, 0.18) | 0.19 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18) | 0.20 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.15 (-0.02, 0.31) | 0.19 |
| | | | 2864 | 2635 | 0.11 (-0.02, 0.23) | |

I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.496)

Anemia prevalence – High

Country


| Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 |
| Malawi iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 |
| Mali PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | 2532 | 1978 |

I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.02

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | |
|--|------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh JiVitA-4 (21) | | | |
| Bangladesh RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 |
| Bangladesh WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 |
| Ghana iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 |
| Kenya WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | 7041 | 12037 |

I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.01




Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score



4D5: Stratified by Source water quality

Source water quality
(p -diff = 0.681)

Source water quality – Improved




Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score


4D7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.702)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.897)

Frequency of contact – Monthly


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 6570 | 9058 |

I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.01

Frequency of contact – Weekly

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 |
| | | | 3003 | 4957 |

I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.01




Supplemental figure 4D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

4D9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance


Average SQ-LNS compliance

(p-diff = 0.863)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors Control Favors LNS

Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden
(p-diff = 0.228)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.99 (0.67, 1.47) | 0.31 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) | 0.44 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 1.00 (0.61, 1.61) | 0.26 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | 0.91 (0.77, 1.08) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | 0.84 (0.66, 1.07) | 0.16 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | 0.81 (0.64, 1.03) | 0.16 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.41 (0.28, 0.62) | 0.12 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 1.15 (0.75, 1.78) | 0.11 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 1.08 (0.68, 1.72) | 0.10 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | 0.77 (0.56, 1.07) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.86 (0.56, 1.33) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.62 (0.41, 0.93) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.68 (0.22, 2.04) | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.33 (0.15, 0.76) | 0.07 |
| I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.08 | | | | | 0.75 (0.59, 0.95) | |




Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.902)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.534)

Anemia prevalence – High


Country

Burkina Faso
Ghana
Haiti
Malawi
Malawi
Mali

Trial

iLiNS–Zinc (24)
GHANA (25)
HAITI (27)
iLiNS–DYADM (30)
iLiNS–DOSE (31)
PROMIS CS (32)

Tool N N
DMC 746 375
PSED 215 438
PSED 644 221
DMC 927 944
2532 1978




I² = 0.75, Tau² = 0.14

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

Bangladesh
Bangladesh
Bangladesh
Ghana
Kenya
Madagascar
Zimbabwe
Zimbabwe
Zimbabwe
Zimbabwe

JiVitA–4 (21)
RDNS (22)
WASH–B (23)
iLiNS–DYADG (26)
WASH–B (28)
MAHAY (29)
SHINE¹ (HIV–) (33), LNS vs SOC
LNS+WSH vs WSH
SHINE¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC
LNS+WSH vs WSH


Tool N N
DMC 1657 815
EASQ 1066 3266
PSED 332 657
EASQ 1362 4745
ASQI 1613 1604
MDAT 395 382
MDAT 446 417
MDAT 67 68
MDAT 103 83
7041 12037



I² = 0.27, Tau² = 0.02


Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E5: Stratified by Source water quality



Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

4E6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio


4E7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.731)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio


4E8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.991)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




Supplemental figure 4E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio


4E9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.575)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference
4F1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F2: Stratified by Stunting burden

Stunting burden

(p -diff = 0.324)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.00 (-0.04, 0.04) | 0.31 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | 0.43 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) | 0.26 |
| | | | 1909 | 5840 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.15 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.10 (-0.14, -0.06) | 0.11 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.04) | 0.15 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.10 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | -0.01 (-0.05, 0.03) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | -0.05 (-0.10, -0.01) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | -0.03 (-0.12, 0.06) | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | -0.14 (-0.24, -0.04) | 0.07 |
| | | | 7664 | 8175 | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | |



Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.802)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---|--|------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.18 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.19 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.04) | 0.19 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | -0.01 (-0.05, 0.03) | 0.17 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | -0.05 (-0.10, -0.01) | 0.17 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | -0.03 (-0.12, 0.06) | 0.08 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | -0.14 (-0.24, -0.04) | 0.08 |
| I² = 0.64, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | |
| | | | 6709 | 11380 | | |

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.10 (-0.14, -0.06) | 0.20 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.00 (-0.04, 0.04) | 0.20 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) | 0.17 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.18 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | 0.25 |
| I² = 0.75, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.01) | |
| | | | 2864 | 2635 | | |



Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.492)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 |
| | | | 2532 | 1978 |

I² = 0.78, Tau² = 0.00

Anemia prevalence – Moderate


| | | | | |
|------------|--|------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7041 | 12037 |

I² = 0.58, Tau² = 0.00




Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F5: Stratified by Source water quality



Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference


4F7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.815)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference


4F8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.919)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



Supplemental figure 4F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

4F9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance


Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p -diff = 0.563)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---|--|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 332 | 657 | 0.00 (-0.04, 0.04) | 0.17 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 215 | 438 | 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05) | 0.14 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 644 | 221 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.16 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 927 | 944 | -0.03 (-0.05, 0.00) | 0.22 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | -0.01 (-0.05, 0.03) | 0.21 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | -0.05 (-0.10, -0.01) | 0.21 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | -0.03 (-0.12, 0.06) | 0.10 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | -0.14 (-0.24, -0.04) | 0.10 |
| $I^2 = 0.35, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$ | | | 3129 | 3210 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | |

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1657 | 815 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.25 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1066 | 3266 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.28 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.10 (-0.14, -0.06) | 0.19 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | 0.28 |
| $I^2 = 0.78, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$ | | | 4831 | 9201 | -0.03 (-0.07, 0.00) | |



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region

(p -diff = 0.591)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| | | | 3075 | 4175 |

$I^2 = 0.05$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 6796 | 9853 |

$I^2 = 0.68$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$




Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden

(p -diff = 0.045)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%



Stunting burden – More than 35%



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.557)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7061 | 11474 |

I² = 0.47, Tau² = 0.00

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| | | | 2810 | 2554 |

I² = 0.73, Tau² = 0.02



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.269)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS–Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS–DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS–DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| | | | 2508 | 1953 |

$I^2 = 0.77, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.02$

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA–4 (21) | BSID–III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH–B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Ghana | iLiNS–DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH–B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV–) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7363 | 12075 |

$I^2 = 0.40, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.530)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| I² = 0.32, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3691 | 3253 |

Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 4372 | 5423 |



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G6: Stratified by Sanitation


Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.963)

Sanitation – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiViTA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| I² = 0.38, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3733 | 3517 |

Sanitation – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 4330 | 5159 |



Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.621)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|--|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 4977 | 4330 |

I² = 0.67, Tau² = 0.01

Supplement duration – > 12m

| | | | | |
|------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| | | | 4894 | 9698 |

I² = 0.45, Tau² = 0.00




Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score


4G8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p -diff = 0.932)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors Control Favors LNS

Supplemental figure 4G: Mean difference in motor z-score

4G9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.898)


Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | MD (95% CI) | W |
|----------|--|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 0.03 (-0.11, 0.16) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | 0.03 (-0.13, 0.18) | 0.16 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 0.05 (-0.10, 0.20) | 0.17 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | 0.13 (-0.04, 0.30) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.00 (-0.16, 0.15) | 0.21 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.20 (0.05, 0.35) | 0.21 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.04 (-0.30, 0.39) | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.49 (0.23, 0.74) | 0.12 |
| | | | 3075 | 3129 | 0.09 (0.02, 0.16) | |

I² = 0.14, Tau² = 0.00

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | -0.02 (-0.17, 0.14) | 0.16 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | 0.10 (0.03, 0.17) | 0.23 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | 0.10 (0.04, 0.17) | 0.23 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.40 (0.24, 0.57) | 0.15 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.01 (-0.05, 0.07) | 0.23 |
| | | | 5183 | 9295 | 0.11 (-0.03, 0.25) | |



Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p-diff = 0.610)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| | | | 3075 | 4175 |

$I^2 = 0.20$, $Tau^2 = 0.01$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 6796 | 9853 |

$I^2 = 0.48$, $Tau^2 = 0.03$




Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden

(p-diff = 0.466)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%



Stunting burden – More than 35%



Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.491)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 1.26 (0.77, 2.07) | 0.08 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | 0.85 (0.67, 1.07) | 0.19 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | 0.82 (0.66, 1.01) | 0.21 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.86 (0.70, 1.05) | 0.22 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.91 (0.58, 1.44) | 0.09 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.73) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.82 (0.54, 1.24) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 1.16 (0.44, 3.08) | 0.05 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.40 (0.17, 0.95) | 0.05 |
| | | | 7061 | 11474 | 0.87 (0.78, 0.97) | |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.49 (0.36, 0.67) | 0.27 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 1.13 (0.76, 1.70) | 0.20 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | 0.84 (0.51, 1.40) | 0.14 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.71) | 0.16 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | 0.81 (0.56, 1.18) | 0.22 |
| | | | 2810 | 2554 | 0.82 (0.60, 1.10) | |

I² = 0.71, Tau² = 0.08



Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence

(p-diff = 0.093)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.49 (0.36, 0.67) | 0.34 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | 0.84 (0.51, 1.40) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.71) | 0.20 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | 0.81 (0.56, 1.18) | 0.28 |
| | | | 2508 | 1953 | 0.75 (0.54, 1.05) | |

I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.07

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 1.26 (0.77, 2.07) | 0.07 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | 0.85 (0.67, 1.07) | 0.18 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | 0.82 (0.66, 1.01) | 0.19 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 1.13 (0.76, 1.70) | 0.10 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.86 (0.70, 1.05) | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.91 (0.58, 1.44) | 0.08 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.73) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.82 (0.54, 1.24) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 1.16 (0.44, 3.08) | 0.05 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.40 (0.17, 0.95) | 0.05 |
| | | | 7363 | 12075 | 0.88 (0.79, 0.98) | |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H5: Stratified by Source water quality

Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.303)

Source water quality – Improved


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| | | | 3691 | 3253 |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Source water quality – Unimproved


| | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| | | | 4372 | 5423 |

I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.05



Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio


4H7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.839)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H8: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.977)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Frequency of contact – Weekly



I² = 0.71, Tau² = 0.08

Supplemental figure 4H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

4H9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.330)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|----------|--|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 1.13 (0.76, 1.70) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | 0.84 (0.51, 1.40) | 0.13 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.71) | 0.15 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | 0.81 (0.56, 1.18) | 0.20 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.73) | 0.24 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | 0.82 (0.54, 1.24) | 0.24 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 1.16 (0.44, 3.08) | 0.09 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | 0.40 (0.17, 0.95) | 0.09 |
| | | | 3075 | 3129 | 0.92 (0.78, 1.09) | |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 1.26 (0.77, 2.07) | 0.10 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | 0.85 (0.67, 1.07) | 0.23 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | 0.82 (0.66, 1.01) | 0.24 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | 0.49 (0.36, 0.67) | 0.18 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | 0.86 (0.70, 1.05) | 0.25 |
| | | | 5183 | 9295 | 0.80 (0.61, 1.05) | |

I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.07



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p -diff = 0.995)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| | | | 3075 | 4175 |

$I^2 = 0.00$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 6796 | 9853 |

$I^2 = 0.37$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I2: Stratified by Stunting burden

Stunting burden


(p -diff = 0.537)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|------|------|------|----------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.06) | 0.21 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | 0.61 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.03) | 0.17 |
| $I^2 = 0.00, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$ | | | | | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|------|------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 0.02 (-0.03, 0.08) | 0.05 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.19 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.08 (-0.12, -0.04) | 0.08 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01) | 0.18 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.07 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | -0.02 (-0.05, 0.01) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.02) | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.02 (-0.09, 0.12) | 0.03 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | -0.09 (-0.17, 0.00) | 0.03 |
| $I^2 = 0.38, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$ | | | | | -0.02 (-0.03, 0.00) | |



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.476)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7061 | 11474 |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| | | | 2810 | 2554 |

I² = 0.64, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.163)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| | | | 2508 | 1953 |

I² = 0.64, Tau² = 0.00

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7363 | 12075 |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.444)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3691 | 3253 |


Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| I² = 0.56, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 4372 | 5423 |



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference


4I7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p -diff = 0.553)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

4I8: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.780)

Frequency of contact – Monthly

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---|--|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1556 | 753 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.17 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | 0.26 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01) | 0.22 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 902 | 921 | -0.02 (-0.05, 0.01) | 0.16 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.02) | 0.15 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 | 0.02 (-0.09, 0.12) | 0.04 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 | -0.09 (-0.17, 0.00) | 0.04 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 6444 | 8973 | -0.01 (-0.02, 0.00) | |

Frequency of contact – Weekly

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 0.02 (-0.03, 0.08) | 0.10 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 | -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00) | 0.37 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 746 | 375 | -0.08 (-0.12, -0.04) | 0.15 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 0.01 (-0.03, 0.06) | 0.14 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.03) | 0.12 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.05) | 0.13 |
| I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3427 | 5055 | -0.01 (-0.04, 0.01) | |




Supplemental figure 4I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference


4I9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.342)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region

(p-diff = 0.929)

Geographic region – SEAR


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| | | | 3089 | 4180 |

$I^2 = 0.66$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$

Geographic region – AFR

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 6088 | 9514 |

$I^2 = 0.50$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$




Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score


4J2: Stratified by Stunting burden

Stunting burden
(p-diff = 0.014)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%



Stunting burden – More than 35%



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.387)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7075 | 11479 |

I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.01

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| | | | 2102 | 2215 |

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.558)

Anemia prevalence – High


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS–Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS–DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS–DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| | | | 1800 | 1614 |

I² = 0.04, Tau² = 0.00

Anemia prevalence – Moderate

| | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA–4 (21) | BSID–III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH–B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Ghana | iLiNS–DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Kenya | WASH–B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV–) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 7377 | 12080 |

I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.777)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 3705 | 3258 |

Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3664 | 5084 |



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J6: Stratified by Sanitation


Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.276)

Sanitation – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiViA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 528 | 1099 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.01 | | | 3785 | 3558 |

Sanitation – Unimproved

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 649 | 2073 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3584 | 4784 |



Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

4J7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration
(p-diff = 0.643)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N |
|--------------|--|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV-) (33), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 395 | 382 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 446 | 417 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE ¹ (HIV+) (34), LNS vs SOC | MDAT | 67 | 68 |
| Zimbabwe | LNS+WSH vs WSH | MDAT | 103 | 83 |
| | | | 4231 | 3955 |

I² = 0.61, Tau² = 0.01

Supplement duration – > 12m

| | | | | |
|------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1570 | 758 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 1074 | 3279 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1362 | 4745 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 940 | 957 |
| | | | 4946 | 9739 |

I² = 0.37, Tau² = 0.00




Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score


4J8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p -diff = 0.150)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




Supplemental figure 4J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score


4J9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.525)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors Control Favors LNS

Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

4K1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score


4K2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score


4K3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.626)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%




Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score


4K4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence

Anemia prevalence
(p-diff = 0.928)

Anemia prevalence – High



Anemia prevalence – Moderate



Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

4K5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality
(p-diff = 0.234)

Source water quality – Improved

| Country | Trial | Tool | N | N | MD (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 445 | 143 | 0.14 (-0.05, 0.34) | 0.10 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1641 | 804 | 0.10 (-0.01, 0.20) | 0.34 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 302 | 601 | 0.04 (-0.09, 0.17) | 0.23 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 214 | 436 | 0.08 (-0.08, 0.24) | 0.15 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 646 | 221 | 0.09 (-0.06, 0.24) | 0.17 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 3248 | 2205 | 0.09 (0.02, 0.15) | |


Source water quality – Unimproved

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1613 | 1604 | 0.05 (-0.17, 0.26) | 0.22 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 905 | 924 | 0.11 (-0.11, 0.32) | 0.22 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 395 | 382 | -0.03 (-0.18, 0.11) | 0.48 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 67 | 68 | -0.04 (-0.40, 0.31) | 0.08 |
| I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | 2980 | 2978 | 0.01 (-0.09, 0.11) | |



Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

4K6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score


4K7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score


4K8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
($p\text{-diff} = 0.791$)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



Supplemental figure 4K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

4K9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

4L1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score


4L2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score


4L3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.242)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score


4L4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

4L5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

4L6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score


4L7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score


4L8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.192)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



Supplemental figure 4L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

4L9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

4M1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


4M2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio



4M3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p -diff = 0.990)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


4M4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

4M5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

4M6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


4M7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


4M8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.724)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

4M9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference
4N1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


4N2: Stratified by Stunting burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


4N3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.865)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


4N4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

4N5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

4N6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


4N7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


4N8: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.609)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

4N9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O1: Stratified by Geographic region



Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O2: Stratified by Stunting burden

Stunting burden


(p -diff = 0.711)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 90 | 87 | 1.67 (1.08, 2.58) | 0.08 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 327 | 663 | 1.15 (1.01, 1.31) | 0.28 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 83 | 79 | 1.25 (0.86, 1.81) | 0.10 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 447 | 1604 | 0.97 (0.85, 1.10) | 0.28 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 208 | 426 | 1.20 (1.03, 1.40) | 0.26 |
| $I^2 = 0.59, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$ | | 1155 | 2859 | 1.15 (1.00, 1.33) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1628 | 790 | 1.28 (1.07, 1.53) | 0.21 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 497 | 1474 | 1.20 (1.05, 1.38) | 0.24 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 602 | 200 | 1.04 (0.84, 1.30) | 0.17 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 118 | 151 | 0.70 (0.44, 1.11) | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | 3014 | 1363 | 1.05 (0.99, 1.12) | 0.31 |
| $I^2 = 0.60, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.02$ | | 5859 | 3978 | 1.09 (0.94, 1.27) | |



Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence


(p-diff = 0.768)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%

| Country | Trial | N | N | PR (95% CI) | W |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1628 | 790 | 1.28 (1.07, 1.53) | 0.19 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 497 | 1474 | 1.20 (1.05, 1.38) | 0.22 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 83 | 79 | 1.25 (0.86, 1.81) | 0.08 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 447 | 1604 | 0.97 (0.85, 1.10) | 0.23 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | 3014 | 1363 | 1.05 (0.99, 1.12) | 0.28 |
| I² = 0.61, Tau² = 0.01 | | 5669 | 5310 | 1.11 (1.00, 1.23) | |


Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 90 | 87 | 1.67 (1.08, 2.58) | 0.09 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 327 | 663 | 1.15 (1.01, 1.31) | 0.32 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 208 | 426 | 1.20 (1.03, 1.40) | 0.29 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 602 | 200 | 1.04 (0.84, 1.30) | 0.22 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 118 | 151 | 0.70 (0.44, 1.11) | 0.08 |
| I² = 0.52, Tau² = 0.05 | | 1345 | 1527 | 1.12 (0.90, 1.41) | |



Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence




Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O7: Stratified by Supplement duration



Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O8: Stratified by Frequency of contact



Supplemental figure 4O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

4O9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.700)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


| Country | Trial | N | N |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 327 | 663 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 208 | 426 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 602 | 200 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 118 | 151 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | |
| | | 1255 | 1440 |

I² = 0.43, Tau² = 0.02

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High


| | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1628 | 790 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 497 | 1474 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 90 | 87 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 83 | 79 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 447 | 1604 |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | 3014 | 1363 |
| | | 5759 | 5397 |

I² = 0.64, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P1: Stratified by Geographic region



Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P2: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden
(p-diff = 0.316)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%

| Country | Trial | N | N | PD (95% CI) | W |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 90 | 87 | 0.17 (0.03, 0.31) | 0.12 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 327 | 663 | 0.07 (0.00, 0.14) | 0.26 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 83 | 79 | 0.09 (-0.06, 0.24) | 0.11 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 447 | 1604 | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.04) | 0.30 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 208 | 426 | 0.10 (0.02, 0.18) | 0.22 |
| I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.00 | | 1155 | 2859 | 0.07 (0.01, 0.12) | |

Stunting burden – More than 35%

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1628 | 790 | 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) | 0.24 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 497 | 1474 | 0.05 (0.01, 0.10) | 0.22 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 602 | 200 | 0.02 (-0.06, 0.09) | 0.17 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 118 | 151 | -0.10 (-0.21, 0.01) | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | 3014 | 1363 | 0.03 (-0.01, 0.06) | 0.25 |
| I² = 0.62, Tau² = 0.00 | | 5859 | 3978 | 0.03 (-0.02, 0.08) | |




Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference


4P3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence
(p-diff = 0.814)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%




Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P4: Stratified by Anemia prevalence




Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P7: Stratified by Supplement duration




Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P8: Stratified by Frequency of contact



Supplemental figure 4P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

4P9: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance



Supplemental figure 5: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes stratified by individual-level maternal and child effect modifiers

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score | 5 |
| 5A1: Stratified by Maternal height | 5 |
| 5A2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 6 |
| 5A3: Stratified by Maternal age | 7 |
| 5A4: Stratified by Maternal education | 8 |
| 5A5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 9 |
| 5A6: Stratified by Child sex | 10 |
| 5A7: Stratified by Child birth order | 11 |
| 5A8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 12 |
| 5A9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 13 |
| 5A10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 14 |
| | |
| Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio | 15 |
| 5B1: Stratified by Maternal height | 15 |
| 5B2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 16 |
| 5B3: Stratified by Maternal age | 17 |
| 5B4: Stratified by Maternal education | 18 |
| 5B5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 19 |
| 5B6: Stratified by Child sex | 20 |
| 5B7: Stratified by Child birth order | 21 |
| 5B8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 22 |
| 5B9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 23 |
| 5B10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 24 |
| | |
| Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference | 25 |
| 5C1: Stratified by Maternal height | 25 |
| 5C2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 26 |
| 5C3: Stratified by Maternal age | 27 |
| 5C4: Stratified by Maternal education | 28 |
| 5C5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 29 |
| 5C6: Stratified by Child sex | 30 |
| 5C7: Stratified by Child birth order | 31 |
| 5C8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 32 |
| 5C9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 33 |
| 5C10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 34 |
| | |
| Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score | 35 |
| 5D1: Stratified by Maternal height | 35 |
| 5D2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 36 |
| 5D3: Stratified by Maternal age | 37 |
| 5D4: Stratified by Maternal education | 38 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 5D5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 39 |
| 5D6: Stratified by Child sex | 40 |
| 5D7: Stratified by Child birth order | 41 |
| 5D8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 42 |
| 5D9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 43 |
| 5D10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 44 |
| Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio | 45 |
| 5E1: Stratified by Maternal height | 45 |
| 5E2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 46 |
| 5E3: Stratified by Maternal age | 47 |
| 5E4: Stratified by Maternal education | 48 |
| 5E5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 49 |
| 5E6: Stratified by Child sex | 50 |
| 5E7: Stratified by Child birth order | 51 |
| 5E8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 52 |
| 5E9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons) | 53 |
| 5E10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 54 |
| Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference | 55 |
| 5F1: Stratified by Maternal height | 55 |
| 5F2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 56 |
| 5F3: Stratified by Maternal age | 57 |
| 5F4: Stratified by Maternal education | 58 |
| 5F5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 59 |
| 5F6: Stratified by Child sex | 60 |
| 5F7: Stratified by Child birth order | 61 |
| 5F8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 62 |
| 5F9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons) | 63 |
| 5F10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 64 |
| Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score | 65 |
| 5G1: Stratified by Maternal height | 65 |
| 5G2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 66 |
| 5G3: Stratified by Maternal age | 67 |
| 5G4: Stratified by Maternal education | 68 |
| 5G5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 69 |
| 5G6: Stratified by Child sex | 70 |
| 5G7: Stratified by Child birth order | 71 |
| 5G8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 72 |
| 5G9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 73 |
| 5G10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 74 |
| Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio | 75 |
| 5H1: Stratified by Maternal height | 75 |
| 5H2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 76 |
| 5H3: Stratified by Maternal age | 77 |
| 5H4: Stratified by Maternal education | 78 |
| 5H5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 79 |
| 5H6: Stratified by Child sex | 80 |
| 5H7: Stratified by Child birth order | 81 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 5H8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 82 |
| 5H9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 83 |
| 5H10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 84 |
| Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference | 85 |
| 5I1: Stratified by Maternal height | 85 |
| 5I2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 86 |
| 5I3: Stratified by Maternal age | 87 |
| 5I4: Stratified by Maternal education | 88 |
| 5I5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 89 |
| 5I6: Stratified by Child sex | 90 |
| 5I7: Stratified by Child birth order | 91 |
| 5I8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 92 |
| 5I9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 93 |
| 5I10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 94 |
| Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score | 95 |
| 5J1: Stratified by Maternal height | 95 |
| 5J2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 96 |
| 5J3: Stratified by Maternal age | 97 |
| 5J4: Stratified by Maternal education | 98 |
| 5J5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 99 |
| 5J6: Stratified by Child sex | 100 |
| 5J7: Stratified by Child birth order | 101 |
| 5J8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 102 |
| 5J9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 103 |
| 5J10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 104 |
| Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score | 105 |
| 5K1: Stratified by Maternal height | 105 |
| 5K2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 106 |
| 5K3: Stratified by Maternal age | 107 |
| 5K4: Stratified by Maternal education | 108 |
| 5K5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 109 |
| 5K6: Stratified by Child sex | 110 |
| 5K7: Stratified by Child birth order | 111 |
| 5K8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 112 |
| 5K9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 113 |
| 5K10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 114 |
| Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score | 115 |
| 5L1: Stratified by Maternal height | 115 |
| 5L2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 116 |
| 5L3: Stratified by Maternal age | 117 |
| 5L4: Stratified by Maternal education | 118 |
| 5L5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 119 |
| 5L6: Stratified by Child sex | 120 |
| 5L7: Stratified by Child birth order | 121 |
| 5L8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 122 |
| 5L9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 123 |
| 5L10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 124 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio | 125 |
| 5M1: Stratified by Maternal height (insufficient comparisons) | 125 |
| 5M2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 126 |
| 5M3: Stratified by Maternal age | 127 |
| 5M4: Stratified by Maternal education | 128 |
| 5M5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 129 |
| 5M6: Stratified by Child sex | 130 |
| 5M7: Stratified by Child birth order | 131 |
| 5M8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 132 |
| 5M9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons) | 133 |
| 5M10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 134 |
| Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference | 135 |
| 5N1: Stratified by Maternal height (insufficient comparisons) | 135 |
| 5N2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 136 |
| 5N3: Stratified by Maternal age | 137 |
| 5N4: Stratified by Maternal education | 138 |
| 5N5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 139 |
| 5N6: Stratified by Child sex | 140 |
| 5N7: Stratified by Child birth order | 141 |
| 5N8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 142 |
| 5N9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons) | 143 |
| 5N10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 144 |
| Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio | 145 |
| 5O1: Stratified by Maternal height | 145 |
| 5O2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 146 |
| 5O3: Stratified by Maternal age | 147 |
| 5O4: Stratified by Maternal education | 148 |
| 5O5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 149 |
| 5O6: Stratified by Child sex | 150 |
| 5O7: Stratified by Child birth order | 151 |
| 5O8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 152 |
| 5O9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 153 |
| 5O10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 154 |
| Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference | 155 |
| 5P1: Stratified by Maternal height | 155 |
| 5P2: Stratified by Maternal BMI | 156 |
| 5P3: Stratified by Maternal age | 157 |
| 5P4: Stratified by Maternal education | 158 |
| 5P5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms | 159 |
| 5P6: Stratified by Child sex | 160 |
| 5P7: Stratified by Child birth order | 161 |
| 5P8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting | 162 |
| 5P9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition | 163 |
| 5P10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia | 164 |


These figures are forest plots showing the individual-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure has the estimates of intervention effect stratified within study by individual-level effect modifier category. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the far left correspond to trial level information. In the middle left and on the right the values indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates is shown by subgroup.

Motor milestone figures show individual trial estimates excluding the JiVitA-4 data because those individual trial results have not yet been published. The pooled estimate in each figure includes the JiVitA-4 data for consistency with all other analyses.

Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A1: Stratified by Maternal height


P-for-interaction = 0.857
Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.09, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.194
 Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.11, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.361
 Difference in MDs = -0.03 (-0.08, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A4: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.411
Difference in MDs = 0.03 (-0.04, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms


P-for-interaction = 0.950
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.07, 0.08)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.424
 Difference in MDs = 0.02 (-0.03, 0.08)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.519
 Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.09, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.255
 Difference in MDs = 0.07 (-0.05, 0.20)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.034
Difference in MDs = 0.16 (0.01, 0.31)



Supplemental figure 5A: Mean difference in language z-score

5A10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.650
 Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.19, 0.12)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.678

Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.81, 1.39)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.149

Ratio of PRs = 1.18 (0.94, 1.47)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.832


Ratio of PRs = 1.02 (0.86, 1.20)



Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.804
 Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.79, 1.20)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.204

Ratio of PRs = 0.86 (0.69, 1.08)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.255

Ratio of PRs = 0.91 (0.77, 1.07)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.362

Ratio of PRs = 1.10 (0.90, 1.35)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.111

Ratio of PRs = 0.79 (0.59, 1.06)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.588

Ratio of PRs = 1.13 (0.73, 1.76)




Supplemental figure 5B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

5B10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.847


Ratio of PRs = 1.05 (0.65, 1.68)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C1: Stratified by Maternal height


P-for-interaction = 0.813
Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.303
 Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.820
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C4: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.116
Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

-for-interaction = 0.461
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)




Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.199


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.300
 Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.021

Difference in PDs = -0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)




Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.660


Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.09, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 5C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

5C10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.698
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.04, 0.06)




Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.511


Difference in MDs = 0.03 (-0.05, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.885
 Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.07, 0.06)





Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.666
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.05, 0.07)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | At least 25 y | | MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Less than 25 y | | MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | | | MD | (95% CI) | | | | | | | MD | (95% CI) | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 450 | 221 | -0.08 | 0.04 | (-0.17, 0.25) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 1207 | 594 | -0.01 | 0.07 | (-0.03, 0.17) | 0.21 | 0.16 | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 458 | 1442 | -0.01 | -0.02 | (-0.13, 0.09) | 0.19 | 0.11 | | 603 | 1809 | -0.04 | 0.23 | (0.13, 0.32) | 0.21 | 0.17 | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 421 | 232 | -0.30 | 0.43 | (0.23, 0.62) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 321 | 141 | -0.12 | 0.23 | (0.04, 0.42) | 0.06 | 0.08 | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 212 | 404 | 0.00 | 0.04 | (-0.13, 0.21) | 0.07 | 0.09 | | 120 | 253 | -0.01 | -0.05 | (-0.25, 0.16) | 0.05 | 0.07 | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 798 | 2622 | 0.02 | -0.02 | (-0.10, 0.07) | 0.29 | 0.12 | | 549 | 2092 | -0.03 | 0.06 | (-0.04, 0.15) | 0.22 | 0.17 | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 852 | 901 | 0.03 | -0.05 | (-0.23, 0.14) | 0.06 | 0.09 | | 759 | 703 | 0.05 | -0.10 | (-0.31, 0.11) | 0.05 | 0.07 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 103 | 224 | -0.03 | 0.04 | (-0.19, 0.27) | 0.04 | 0.08 | | 112 | 214 | 0.02 | -0.01 | (-0.25, 0.22) | 0.04 | 0.06 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 346 | 124 | -0.05 | 0.06 | (-0.15, 0.27) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 286 | 90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | (-0.23, 0.24) | 0.04 | 0.06 | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 492 | 492 | -0.07 | 0.17 | (-0.02, 0.36) | 0.06 | 0.09 | | 435 | 452 | -0.07 | 0.12 | (-0.07, 0.31) | 0.05 | 0.08 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 427 | 389 | -0.06 | 0.17 | (0.03, 0.32) | 0.09 | 0.10 | | 326 | 321 | -0.10 | 0.11 | (-0.05, 0.28) | 0.07 | 0.09 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 126 | 111 | -0.25 | 0.43 | (0.22, 0.64) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 29 | 26 | -0.01 | 0.03 | (-0.58, 0.65) | 0.01 | 0.01 | |



Fixed
 Random


I² = 0.72, Tau² = 0.02
0.07 (0.02, 0.11)
0.11 (0.01, 0.21)

I² = 0.41, Tau² = 0.00
0.10 (0.05, 0.14)
0.09 (0.02, 0.15)

Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.700
 Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.08, 0.05)




Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.335

Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.04, 0.11)





Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.706
 Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.06, 0.04)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Male | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Female | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|---------|-------|-----------|--------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | | | | Random W | MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 830 | 411 | 0.02 | -0.02 (-0.12, 0.08) | 0.18 | 0.12 | 827 | 404 | -0.08 | 0.15 (0.01, 0.29) | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 522 | 1635 | -0.04 | 0.16 (0.05, 0.27) | 0.15 | 0.12 | 544 | 1631 | -0.02 | 0.09 (-0.01, 0.19) | 0.22 | 0.12 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 372 | 193 | -0.22 | 0.34 (0.20, 0.48) | 0.09 | 0.10 | 374 | 182 | -0.25 | 0.38 (0.12, 0.63) | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 164 | 306 | 0.01 | -0.04 (-0.22, 0.15) | 0.05 | 0.08 | 168 | 351 | -0.02 | 0.05 (-0.14, 0.24) | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 682 | 2260 | 0.00 | 0.00 (-0.08, 0.09) | 0.23 | 0.13 | 680 | 2485 | 0.00 | 0.02 (-0.06, 0.11) | 0.28 | 0.13 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 795 | 787 | 0.00 | 0.00 (-0.19, 0.19) | 0.05 | 0.08 | 818 | 817 | 0.07 | -0.14 (-0.34, 0.05) | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 105 | 205 | -0.02 | 0.07 (-0.17, 0.31) | 0.03 | 0.06 | 110 | 233 | 0.01 | -0.04 (-0.26, 0.19) | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 320 | 117 | 0.05 | -0.07 (-0.29, 0.15) | 0.04 | 0.07 | 324 | 104 | -0.11 | 0.15 (-0.07, 0.36) | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 469 | 514 | -0.08 | 0.17 (0.00, 0.33) | 0.07 | 0.09 | 458 | 430 | -0.07 | 0.13 (-0.08, 0.33) | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 424 | 388 | -0.10 | 0.20 (0.05, 0.34) | 0.09 | 0.10 | 417 | 411 | -0.02 | 0.05 (-0.09, 0.19) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 84 | 79 | -0.12 | 0.24 (-0.04, 0.51) | 0.02 | 0.05 | 86 | 72 | -0.26 | 0.48 (0.16, 0.80) | 0.02 | 0.05 |



Fixed
Random

4767 6895

I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.01
0.08 (0.04, 0.13)
0.09 (0.02, 0.17)


4806 7120

I² = 0.52, Tau² = 0.01
0.07 (0.03, 0.12)
0.10 (0.01, 0.19)

Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.979
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.06, 0.07)





Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.495
 Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.08, 0.16)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | No | | Fixed W | Random W | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Yes | | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|------|---------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|---|------|---------|----------|
| | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1241 | 574 | 0.06 | 0.01 (-0.08, 0.10) | 0.36 | 0.19 | | 354 | 185 | -0.29 | 0.18 (-0.03, 0.40) | 0.25 | 0.24 | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 576 | 294 | -0.16 | 0.35 (0.19, 0.52) | 0.11 | 0.14 | | 170 | 80 | -0.50 | 0.37 (0.06, 0.68) | 0.12 | 0.13 | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 280 | 547 | 0.00 | 0.00 (-0.14, 0.15) | 0.14 | 0.16 | | 28 | 63 | 0.02 | 0.09 (-0.32, 0.51) | 0.07 | 0.07 | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 153 | 323 | 0.03 | 0.01 (-0.19, 0.20) | 0.08 | 0.13 | | 48 | 96 | -0.06 | 0.02 (-0.31, 0.35) | 0.11 | 0.11 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 464 | 147 | -0.04 | 0.07 (-0.11, 0.26) | 0.09 | 0.13 | | 180 | 74 | 0.02 | -0.06 (-0.33, 0.21) | 0.17 | 0.17 | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 532 | 490 | -0.02 | 0.15 (0.02, 0.28) | 0.17 | 0.16 | | 122 | 105 | -0.26 | 0.12 (-0.11, 0.35) | 0.22 | 0.21 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 115 | 89 | -0.18 | 0.35 (0.08, 0.62) | 0.04 | 0.09 | | 34 | 32 | -0.34 | 0.42 (-0.02, 0.86) | 0.06 | 0.07 | |
| | | | 3361 | 2464 | | | | | | 936 | 635 | | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | | I² = 0.68, Tau² = 0.02 | | | | | | | I² = 0.08, Tau² = 0.00 | | | |
| Random | | | | | | 0.09 (0.04, 0.15) | | | | | | | 0.14 (0.03, 0.25) | | | |
| | | | | | | 0.12 (0.01, 0.23) | | | | | | | 0.14 (0.03, 0.26) | | | |




Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.349


Difference in MDs = 0.08 (-0.08, 0.24)



Supplemental figure 5D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

5D10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.834
 Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.17, 0.14)




Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.638

Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.82, 1.38)




Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.199


Ratio of PRs = 1.16 (0.93, 1.45)



Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.546
 Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.79, 1.13)



Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.638
Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.76, 1.18)




Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.165


Ratio of PRs = 0.86 (0.69, 1.07)



Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.757
 Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.87, 1.21)



Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.920
 Ratio of PRs = 1.01 (0.83, 1.24)



Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.728
 Ratio of PRs = 0.94 (0.67, 1.32)




Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

5E10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia


P-for-interaction = 0.881
Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.63, 1.70)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F1: Stratified by Maternal height


P-for-interaction = 0.920
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.455
 Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.701
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.125
Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00)




Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.525


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.852
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.909
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.202

Difference in PDs = -0.03 (-0.08, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

5F10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.952
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.05, 0.05)




Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.457


Difference in MDs = -0.03 (-0.10, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.553
 Difference in MDs = 0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)




Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.043


Difference in MDs = -0.06 (-0.11, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.015
Difference in MDs = 0.09 (0.02, 0.16)




Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.823


Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.07, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.754
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.04, 0.06)




Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.042


Difference in MDs = -0.07 (-0.13, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.207
Difference in MDs = 0.07 (-0.04, 0.18)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.131
Difference in MDs = 0.12 (-0.04, 0.28)



Supplemental figure 5G: Mean difference in motor z-score

5G10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia


P-for-interaction = 0.369
 Difference in MDs = 0.07 (-0.08, 0.21)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.550
 Ratio of PRs = 1.09 (0.82, 1.45)




Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.477


Ratio of PRs = 1.08 (0.87, 1.34)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.466
 Ratio of PRs = 1.07 (0.89, 1.28)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H4: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.225
 Ratio of PRs = 0.87 (0.69, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms


P-for-interaction = 0.649
 Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.83, 1.34)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.701
 Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.81, 1.15)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.015
 Ratio of PRs = 1.29 (1.05, 1.59)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.870
 Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.72, 1.32)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.612
 Ratio of PRs = 1.14 (0.69, 1.88)



Supplemental figure 5H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

5H10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia


P-for-interaction = 0.237
 Ratio of PRs = 0.74 (0.46, 1.21)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I1: Stratified by Maternal height


P-for-interaction = 0.752
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.721
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.555


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.095
 Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.04, 0.00)




Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.603


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.917
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.035
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (0.00, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.226
 Difference in PDs = -0.03 (-0.07, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.540
 Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.09, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 5I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

5I10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.177
 Difference in PDs = -0.03 (-0.08, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.787

Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.09, 0.06)





Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.642
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.05, 0.08)

| Country | Trial | Tool | | | At least 20 kg/m ² | | Fixed W | Random W | | | Less than 20 kg/m ² | | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | MD (95% CI) | | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | MD (95% CI) | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 693 | 308 | -0.01 | 0.09 (0.00, 0.18) | 0.17 | 0.15 | 822 | 428 | -0.06 | 0.09 (0.02, 0.17) | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 478 | 1502 | 0.05 | 0.09 (-0.02, 0.19) | 0.13 | 0.14 | 591 | 1737 | -0.09 | 0.12 (0.03, 0.22) | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 262 | 485 | 0.01 | 0.02 (-0.13, 0.17) | 0.06 | 0.09 | 35 | 106 | -0.03 | -0.09 (-0.48, 0.30) | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1031 | 3535 | 0.01 | -0.02 (-0.09, 0.05) | 0.30 | 0.18 | 257 | 1012 | -0.04 | 0.12 (-0.02, 0.27) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 126 | 258 | -0.05 | 0.00 (-0.23, 0.23) | 0.03 | 0.05 | 87 | 175 | 0.12 | -0.08 (-0.28, 0.13) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 480 | 158 | 0.02 | 0.01 (-0.16, 0.18) | 0.05 | 0.08 | 163 | 61 | -0.06 | -0.01 (-0.33, 0.31) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 693 | 676 | -0.07 | 0.12 (0.02, 0.22) | 0.14 | 0.14 | 241 | 275 | 0.01 | 0.01 (-0.12, 0.15) | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 601 | 581 | -0.04 | 0.11 (-0.02, 0.24) | 0.09 | 0.11 | 100 | 96 | -0.18 | 0.19 (-0.07, 0.44) | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 124 | 113 | -0.13 | 0.35 (0.13, 0.58) | 0.03 | 0.05 | 25 | 23 | -0.42 | 0.27 (-0.16, 0.69) | 0.01 | 0.01 |



Fixed
 Random

I² = 0.49, Tau² = 0.00
0.06 (0.02, 0.10)
0.07 (0.01, 0.13)

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00
0.09 (0.04, 0.13)
0.09 (0.04, 0.13)


Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.610

Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.07, 0.04)


| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | At least 25 y | | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 200 | 68 | 0.08 | -0.07 (-0.29, 0.14) | | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 426 | 202 | -0.12 | 0.12 (-0.03, 0.26) | | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 466 | 1450 | -0.02 | 0.09 (-0.03, 0.20) | | 0.14 | 0.13 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 192 | 378 | -0.02 | 0.07 (-0.10, 0.24) | | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 798 | 2622 | 0.04 | -0.02 (-0.10, 0.05) | | 0.30 | 0.18 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 852 | 901 | -0.09 | 0.09 (-0.08, 0.25) | | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 102 | 224 | 0.06 | -0.05 (-0.28, 0.18) | | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 346 | 124 | -0.06 | 0.06 (-0.15, 0.26) | | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 495 | 500 | -0.08 | 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) | | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 427 | 389 | -0.02 | 0.15 (0.00, 0.30) | | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 126 | 111 | -0.15 | 0.31 (0.09, 0.54) | | 0.04 | 0.05 |



$I^2 = 0.38, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$
0.06 (0.02, 0.11)
0.08 (0.02, 0.13)

Fixed
Random

| LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Less than 25 y | | Fixed W | Random W |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|------------|-------------|
| | | | MD (95% CI) | | | |
| 242 | 74 | 0.12 | -0.15 (-0.44, 0.14) | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| 1144 | 556 | 0.00 | 0.07 (0.00, 0.15) | 0.30 | 0.26 | |
| 603 | 1815 | -0.03 | 0.11 (0.03, 0.20) | 0.22 | 0.21 | |
| 110 | 223 | 0.02 | -0.10 (-0.33, 0.14) | 0.03 | 0.03 | |
| 549 | 2092 | -0.05 | 0.05 (-0.05, 0.16) | 0.15 | 0.15 | |
| 759 | 703 | 0.03 | 0.06 (-0.11, 0.23) | 0.06 | 0.06 | |
| 112 | 212 | -0.03 | -0.01 (-0.24, 0.21) | 0.03 | 0.04 | |
| 288 | 90 | 0.08 | -0.07 (-0.29, 0.16) | 0.03 | 0.04 | |
| 445 | 457 | 0.00 | 0.02 (-0.11, 0.14) | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| 326 | 321 | -0.11 | 0.09 (-0.06, 0.25) | 0.07 | 0.07 | |
| 29 | 26 | -0.27 | 0.63 (-0.01, 1.26) | 0.00 | 0.00 | |




$I^2 = 0.06, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$
0.06 (0.02, 0.10)
0.06 (0.01, 0.10)

Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score


5J4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.104
 Difference in MDs = 0.06 (-0.01, 0.13)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | MD (95% CI) | Primary or greater | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 292 | 92 | 0.24 | -0.19 (-0.37, -0.01) | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 1178 | 549 | 0.03 | 0.09 (0.02, 0.15) | 0.31 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 755 | 2344 | 0.04 | 0.08 (0.00, 0.17) | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 235 | 467 | -0.01 | 0.00 (-0.15, 0.15) | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 658 | 2258 | 0.11 | -0.01 (-0.10, 0.08) | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 339 | 416 | 0.20 | -0.07 (-0.26, 0.11) | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 33 | 67 | 0.06 | -0.04 (-0.40, 0.31) | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 148 | 52 | 0.22 | -0.04 (-0.29, 0.20) | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 103 | 96 | 0.11 | -0.04 (-0.34, 0.25) | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 763 | 731 | -0.05 | 0.12 (0.01, 0.24) | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 149 | 130 | -0.16 | 0.37 (0.17, 0.57) | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| | | | 4653 | 7202 | | | | |
| | | | | | I² = 0.60, Tau² = 0.01 | | | |
| | | | | | 0.06 (0.02, 0.09) | | | |
| | | | | | 0.04 (-0.04, 0.12) | | | |
| | | | | | | | Fixed | |
| | | | | | | | Random | |



| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | MD (95% CI) | Incomplete or no formal | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 152 | 51 | -0.19 | 0.06 (-0.29, 0.40) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 392 | 209 | -0.19 | 0.05 (-0.11, 0.21) | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 319 | 935 | -0.17 | 0.16 (0.04, 0.29) | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 67 | 134 | 0.00 | 0.04 (-0.28, 0.36) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 702 | 2484 | -0.11 | 0.02 (-0.07, 0.12) | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1274 | 1188 | -0.12 | 0.13 (-0.02, 0.29) | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 180 | 366 | 0.01 | -0.04 (-0.21, 0.14) | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 487 | 162 | -0.07 | 0.02 (-0.16, 0.21) | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 836 | 861 | -0.06 | 0.10 (0.02, 0.18) | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 29 | 25 | -0.02 | -0.26 (-0.74, 0.22) | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 9 | 8 | -0.12 | 0.04 (-0.57, 0.65) | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| | | | 4447 | 6423 | | | | |
| | | | | | I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | |
| | | | | | 0.07 (0.02, 0.11) | | | |
| | | | | | 0.07 (0.02, 0.11) | | | |
| | | | | | | | Fixed | |
| | | | | | | | Random | |




Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.753


Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.08, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.155
 Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)




Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.145

Difference in MDs = -0.05 (-0.12, 0.02)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Later born | | Fixed W | Random W | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---|---------------------|--|------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 111 | 31 | -0.15 | 0.03 (-0.36, 0.41) | | 0.01 | 0.01 | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 916 | 471 | -0.09 | 0.13 (0.03, 0.23) | | 0.13 | 0.14 | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 675 | 2149 | -0.03 | 0.11 (0.02, 0.19) | | 0.18 | 0.17 | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 203 | 406 | -0.05 | 0.09 (-0.09, 0.27) | | 0.04 | 0.05 | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 1071 | 3767 | -0.02 | 0.01 (-0.06, 0.08) | | 0.26 | 0.22 | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 1162 | 1118 | -0.08 | 0.07 (-0.08, 0.22) | | 0.05 | 0.06 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 169 | 349 | 0.01 | -0.04 (-0.23, 0.14) | | 0.04 | 0.04 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 425 | 151 | -0.06 | 0.07 (-0.12, 0.25) | | 0.04 | 0.04 | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 780 | 819 | -0.04 | 0.12 (0.03, 0.21) | | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 602 | 574 | 0.00 | 0.10 (-0.03, 0.24) | | 0.07 | 0.08 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 149 | 118 | -0.14 | 0.29 (0.08, 0.50) | | 0.03 | 0.03 | |
| | | | 6263 | 9953 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | I² = 0.18, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.08 (0.05, 0.12) | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) | | | | | |




| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Firstborn | | Fixed W | Random W | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---|---------------------|--|------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | MD (95% CI) | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | 333 | 111 | 0.14 | -0.12 (-0.28, 0.04) | | 0.11 | 0.12 | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 653 | 287 | 0.05 | 0.01 (-0.10, 0.12) | | 0.24 | 0.16 | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 379 | 1028 | 0.02 | 0.07 (-0.04, 0.18) | | 0.22 | 0.15 | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 99 | 195 | 0.10 | -0.16 (-0.37, 0.06) | | 0.06 | 0.08 | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 289 | 974 | 0.07 | 0.01 (-0.14, 0.16) | | 0.12 | 0.12 | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 433 | 465 | 0.04 | 0.11 (-0.09, 0.30) | | 0.07 | 0.09 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 45 | 85 | 0.04 | 0.02 (-0.30, 0.34) | | 0.03 | 0.05 | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 134 | 41 | 0.21 | -0.11 (-0.42, 0.21) | | 0.03 | 0.05 | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 145 | 126 | -0.03 | -0.07 (-0.32, 0.17) | | 0.05 | 0.07 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 203 | 191 | -0.15 | 0.08 (-0.13, 0.29) | | 0.06 | 0.09 | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 18 | 29 | -0.30 | 0.73 (0.15, 1.31) | | 0.01 | 0.02 | |
| | | | 2731 | 3532 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | I² = 0.30, Tau² = 0.01 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.01 (-0.04, 0.06) | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.01 (-0.07, 0.09) | | | | | |



Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.312
Difference in MDs = 0.06 (-0.05, 0.17)



Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.417
Difference in MDs = 0.08 (-0.11, 0.26)



Supplemental figure 5J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

5J10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.658
Difference in MDs = 0.03 (-0.12, 0.19)




Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.069

Difference in MDs = -0.10 (-0.20, 0.01)




Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.767

Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.12, 0.09)




Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.005


Difference in MDs = -0.10 (-0.17, -0.03)



Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.003
Difference in MDs = 0.15 (0.05, 0.25)




Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.647


Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.11, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.162
 Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.02, 0.11)




Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.062


Difference in MDs = -0.08 (-0.17, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.613
Difference in MDs = 0.03 (-0.09, 0.15)



Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.992
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.18, 0.18)



Supplemental figure 5K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

5K10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia


P-for-interaction = 0.084
Difference in MDs = 0.14 (-0.02, 0.29)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L1: Stratified by Maternal height


P-for-interaction = 0.522
Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.15, 0.08)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.888
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.09, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.470
Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.06, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L4: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.495
Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.08, 0.16)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms


P-for-interaction = 0.332
Difference in MDs = 0.06 (-0.06, 0.17)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.414
 Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.12, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.285
Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.04, 0.15)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.702
 Difference in MDs = -0.03 (-0.20, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.166
Difference in MDs = 0.19 (-0.08, 0.47)



Supplemental figure 5L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

5L10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.567
Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.12, 0.22)



Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


5M1: Stratified by Maternal height (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.977

Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.72, 1.40)




Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.088


Ratio of PRs = 0.76 (0.55, 1.04)



Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.865
 Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.73, 1.46)




Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.394

Ratio of PRs = 1.18 (0.80, 1.75)




Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.231


Ratio of PRs = 1.19 (0.90, 1.57)



Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.144
 Ratio of PRs = 0.78 (0.56, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.507

Ratio of PRs = 1.23 (0.67, 2.28)



Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


5M9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

5M10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.464

Ratio of PRs = 0.81 (0.47, 1.41)




Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N1: Stratified by Maternal height (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.955
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.03, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N3: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.066
 Difference in PDs = -0.03 (-0.06, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.909
Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.04, 0.04)




Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

I^2 -for-interaction = 0.401


Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.267
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.01, 0.04)




Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N7: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.116


Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.06, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.577
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.04, 0.08)



Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference


5N9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

5N10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.432

Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.07, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.624

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.79, 1.15)





Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O2: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.343
 Ratio of PRs = 0.94 (0.82, 1.07)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | At least 20 kg/m ² | | Fixed W | Random W | | Less than 20 kg/m ² | | Fixed W | Random W | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|---|------|------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | PR (95% CI) | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 708 | 327 | 74.6 | 1.57 (1.20, 2.05) | 0.08 | 0.13 | | | 861 | 438 | 75.8 | 1.08 (0.88, 1.32) | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 225 | 637 | 73.0 | 1.30 (1.06, 1.60) | 0.13 | 0.15 | | | 266 | 810 | 75.7 | 1.13 (0.91, 1.39) | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 284 | 535 | 52.3 | 1.15 (1.00, 1.32) | 0.29 | 0.18 | | | 38 | 116 | 58.6 | 1.08 (0.71, 1.64) | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 334 | 1210 | 58.8 | 0.92 (0.79, 1.07) | 0.25 | 0.17 | | | 96 | 364 | 61.5 | 1.03 (0.77, 1.38) | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 121 | 255 | 51.4 | 1.17 (0.96, 1.43) | 0.14 | 0.16 | | | 86 | 168 | 50.0 | 1.23 (0.98, 1.54) | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 451 | 138 | 63.0 | 1.00 (0.78, 1.28) | 0.09 | 0.14 | | | 148 | 60 | 71.7 | 1.19 (0.75, 1.89) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 83 | 98 | 66.3 | 0.68 (0.41, 1.14) | 0.02 | 0.07 | | | 34 | 52 | 67.3 | 0.81 (0.41, 1.60) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2206 | 3200 | | | | | | | 1529 | 2008 | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.69, Tau² = 0.04 | | | | | | | | I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.11 (1.03, 1.20) | | | | | | | | 1.11 (1.00, 1.24) | | |
| | | | | | 1.11 (0.94, 1.32) | | | | | | | | 1.11 (1.00, 1.24) | | |



Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio



5O3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.394
 Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.95, 1.13)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | At least 25 y | | Fixed W | Random W | | Less than 25 y | | Fixed W | Random W | | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | PR (95% CI) | | | | LNS N | Control N | | | Control Prevalence | PR (95% CI) |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 447 | 217 | 76.0 | 1.37 (1.07, 1.76) | 0.11 | 0.13 | | | 1181 | 573 | 73.8 | 1.25 (1.02, 1.53) | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 223 | 676 | 74.6 | 0.95 (0.74, 1.22) | 0.11 | 0.13 | | | 274 | 791 | 74.6 | 1.41 (1.18, 1.67) | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 209 | 405 | 53.8 | 1.08 (0.91, 1.28) | 0.24 | 0.18 | | | 118 | 258 | 51.9 | 1.27 (1.05, 1.54) | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 60 | 57 | 68.4 | 1.58 (1.00, 2.50) | 0.03 | 0.06 | | | 22 | 22 | 50.0 | 0.73 (0.36, 1.45) | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 243 | 914 | 61.3 | 1.03 (0.87, 1.22) | 0.24 | 0.18 | | | 197 | 679 | 57.7 | 0.91 (0.76, 1.10) | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 100 | 213 | 47.9 | 1.06 (0.85, 1.31) | 0.15 | 0.15 | | | 108 | 213 | 54.5 | 1.36 (1.11, 1.68) | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 327 | 111 | 64.9 | 1.11 (0.83, 1.47) | 0.09 | 0.12 | | | 264 | 83 | 67.5 | 0.99 (0.69, 1.41) | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 59 | 71 | 62.0 | 0.58 (0.32, 1.06) | 0.02 | 0.04 | | | 59 | 80 | 70.0 | 0.85 (0.49, 1.45) | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.32, Tau² = 0.02
 1.07 (1.00, 1.14)
 1.08 (0.96, 1.21)

Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.02
 1.12 (1.05, 1.18)
 1.14 (1.01, 1.29)





Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O4: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.792
 Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.91, 1.08)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Primary or greater PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Incomplete or no formal PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1219 | 574 | 73.9 | 1.32 (1.08, 1.62) | 0.15 | 0.17 | | 409 | 216 | 75.9 | 1.14 (0.91, 1.42) | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 337 | 1048 | 72.7 | 1.28 (1.11, 1.49) | 0.28 | 0.26 | | 160 | 426 | 79.1 | 1.02 (0.76, 1.37) | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 257 | 524 | 51.5 | 1.12 (0.97, 1.30) | 0.30 | 0.27 | | 70 | 139 | 59.0 | 1.25 (0.93, 1.70) | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 217 | 775 | 59.2 | 1.04 (0.87, 1.24) | 0.19 | 0.20 | | 229 | 827 | 60.3 | 0.89 (0.72, 1.10) | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 32 | 64 | 42.2 | 0.97 (0.67, 1.41) | 0.04 | 0.06 | | 175 | 359 | 52.4 | 1.24 (1.05, 1.46) | 0.32 | 0.29 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 129 | 42 | 61.9 | 1.08 (0.70, 1.67) | 0.03 | 0.05 | | 462 | 152 | 67.1 | 1.05 (0.81, 1.36) | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2191 | 3027 | | | | | | 1505 | 2119 | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.44, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | | | I² = 0.16, Tau² = 0.00 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.10 (1.04, 1.16) | | | | | | | 1.09 (1.02, 1.16) | | |
| | | | | | 1.12 (1.04, 1.21) | | | | | | | 1.09 (1.00, 1.18) | | |




Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio


5O5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms

P-for-interaction = 0.543
Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.81, 1.12)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Less than 75th percentile | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1058 | 460 | 70.9 | 1.11 (0.89, 1.38) | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 389 | 1075 | 73.4 | 1.28 (1.08, 1.50) | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 242 | 446 | 52.9 | 1.16 (1.00, 1.35) | 0.24 | 0.23 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 314 | 1098 | 59.6 | 0.98 (0.85, 1.14) | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 149 | 293 | 48.1 | 1.20 (1.02, 1.42) | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | |
| | | 2152 | 3372 | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.34, Tau² = 0.00 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.14 (1.06, 1.23) | | |
| | | | | | 1.14 (1.04, 1.25) | | |




| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | At least 75th percentile | | |
|---------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 508 | 279 | 79.6 | 1.67 (1.26, 2.21) | 0.24 | 0.22 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 108 | 399 | 77.7 | 0.83 (0.53, 1.29) | 0.10 | 0.15 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 75 | 198 | 55.1 | 1.16 (0.89, 1.51) | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 99 | 373 | 61.1 | 1.01 (0.77, 1.33) | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 47 | 108 | 60.2 | 1.23 (0.85, 1.78) | 0.14 | 0.17 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | |
| | | 837 | 1357 | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.57, Tau² = 0.04 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.19 (1.04, 1.37) | | |
| | | | | | 1.17 (0.94, 1.46) | | |



Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O6: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.952
 Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.92, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.025
 Ratio of PRs = 0.90 (0.81, 0.99)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Later born PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 953 | 496 | 75.6 | 1.38 (1.13, 1.67) | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 314 | 944 | 74.8 | 1.15 (0.94, 1.40) | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 54 | 47 | 83.0 | 2.07 (1.00, 4.28) | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 222 | 439 | 54.9 | 1.21 (1.03, 1.42) | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 57 | 50 | 68.0 | 1.43 (0.87, 2.33) | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 338 | 1296 | 60.2 | 1.02 (0.88, 1.18) | 0.23 | 0.17 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 166 | 340 | 50.6 | 1.17 (0.99, 1.39) | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 388 | 139 | 67.6 | 1.20 (0.92, 1.58) | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 103 | 134 | 65.7 | 0.65 (0.39, 1.08) | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | |

2595 3885

$I^2 = 0.37, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.01$
1.16 (1.09, 1.23)
1.17 (1.05, 1.30)


Fixed
 Random



| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Firstborn PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 673 | 294 | 72.4 | 1.14 (0.90, 1.45) | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 157 | 440 | 74.1 | 1.38 (1.09, 1.74) | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 36 | 36 | 69.4 | 1.73 (0.97, 3.09) | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 105 | 224 | 49.6 | 1.04 (0.83, 1.30) | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | 26 | 29 | 55.2 | 1.03 (0.58, 1.84) | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 108 | 306 | 58.2 | 0.80 (0.60, 1.05) | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 42 | 84 | 52.4 | 1.30 (0.94, 1.80) | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 124 | 33 | 57.6 | 0.72 (0.45, 1.16) | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 14 | 14 | 64.3 | 1.00 (0.39, 2.56) | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | |

1285 1460

$I^2 = 0.46, \text{Tau}^2 = 0.02$
1.05 (0.99, 1.11)
1.08 (0.94, 1.24)





Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting

P-for-interaction = 0.624
 Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.90, 1.18)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | No | | Fixed W | Random W | | Yes | | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------|-------------|--|----------------|------------|------------|---|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | | | | | PR (95% CI) | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1219 | 559 | 72.1 | 1.23 (1.02, 1.47) | | 0.22 | 0.24 | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 277 | 557 | 52.1 | 1.14 (1.00, 1.31) | | 0.37 | 0.32 | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 148 | 317 | 47.6 | 1.21 (1.03, 1.42) | | 0.27 | 0.27 | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 424 | 133 | 57.1 | 0.90 (0.71, 1.13) | | 0.13 | 0.17 | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2068 | 1566 | | | | | | | 600 | 401 | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.45, Tau² = 0.01 | | | | | | | | I² = 0.11, Tau² = 0.00 |
| Random | | | | | 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) | | | | | | | | 1.11 (0.98, 1.26) |
| | | | | | 1.11 (1.01, 1.22) | | | | | | | | 1.13 (0.98, 1.31) |




Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.133
 Ratio of PRs = 1.13 (0.96, 1.31)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | No PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Yes PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1447 | 689 | 73.0 | 1.26 (1.05, 1.51) | 0.25 | 0.27 | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | | | | | | | 119 | 50 | 90.0 | 1.93 (0.84, 4.45) | 0.25 | 0.29 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 284 | 564 | 50.7 | 1.09 (0.95, 1.25) | 0.43 | 0.41 | 23 | 57 | 84.2 | 3.30 (1.61, 6.76) | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 184 | 374 | 50.3 | 1.17 (1.00, 1.37) | 0.32 | 0.32 | 14 | 39 | 61.5 | 1.30 (0.67, 2.51) | 0.41 | 0.37 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.36, Tau² = 0.00
 1.08 (1.03, 1.14)
 1.10 (1.02, 1.19)



Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.15
 1.19 (1.02, 1.38)
 1.60 (0.99, 2.58)





Supplemental figure 5O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

5O10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.526
Ratio of PRs = 0.94 (0.78, 1.14)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Not anemic PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Anemic PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|--|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 211 | 95 | 71.6 | 1.20 (0.87, 1.65) | 0.14 | 0.14 | | 310 | 157 | 74.5 | 1.20 (0.84, 1.72) | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 185 | 358 | 51.4 | 1.22 (1.04, 1.44) | 0.55 | 0.55 | | 91 | 201 | 55.2 | 0.93 (0.70, 1.24) | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 63 | 143 | 45.5 | 1.05 (0.81, 1.36) | 0.20 | 0.20 | | 135 | 266 | 54.1 | 1.28 (1.05, 1.55) | 0.45 | 0.38 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 205 | 84 | 67.9 | 1.20 (0.84, 1.71) | 0.11 | 0.11 | | 393 | 116 | 63.8 | 0.96 (0.73, 1.27) | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed | | 664 | 680 | | I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | 929 | 740 | | I² = 0.35, Tau² = 0.01 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.18 (1.05, 1.33) | | | | | | | 1.12 (0.98, 1.27) | | |
| | | | | | 1.18 (1.05, 1.33) | | | | | | | 1.10 (0.94, 1.29) | | |




Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P1: Stratified by Maternal height

P-for-interaction = 0.192


Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.09, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P2: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.058
 Difference in PDs = -0.05 (-0.09, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference


5P3: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.315
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference


5P4: Stratified by Maternal education



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P5: Stratified by Maternal depressive symptoms


P-for-interaction = 0.606
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.06, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P6: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.816
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.03, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P7: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.055
 Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.08, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P8: Stratified by Child baseline stunting


P-for-interaction = 0.517
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.03, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P9: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.170
Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.02, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 5P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

5P10: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.330
Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on developmental outcomes stratified by all individual-level household effect modifiers

Contents

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score | 4 |
| 6A1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 4 |
| 6A2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 5 |
| 6A3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 6 |
| 6A4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 7 |
| 6A5: Stratified by Home environment | 8 |
| 6A6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 9 |
| Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio | 10 |
| 6B1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 10 |
| 6B2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 11 |
| 6B3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 12 |
| 6B4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 13 |
| 6B5: Stratified by Home environment | 14 |
| 6B6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 15 |
| Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference | 16 |
| 6C1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 16 |
| 6C2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 17 |
| 6C3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 18 |
| 6C4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 19 |
| 6C5: Stratified by Home environment | 20 |
| 6C6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 21 |
| Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score | 22 |
| 6D1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 22 |
| 6D2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 23 |
| 6D3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 24 |
| 6D4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 25 |
| 6D5: Stratified by Home environment | 26 |
| 6D6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 27 |
| Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio | 28 |
| 6E1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 28 |
| 6E2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 29 |
| 6E3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 30 |
| 6E4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 31 |
| 6E5: Stratified by Home environment | 32 |
| 6E6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 33 |
| Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference | 34 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 6F1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 34 |
| 6F2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 35 |
| 6F3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 36 |
| 6F4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 37 |
| 6F5: Stratified by Home environment | 38 |
| 6F6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 39 |
| Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score | 40 |
| 6G1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 40 |
| 6G2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 41 |
| 6G3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 42 |
| 6G4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 43 |
| 6G5: Stratified by Home environment | 44 |
| 6G6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 45 |
| Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio | 46 |
| 6H1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 46 |
| 6H2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 47 |
| 6H3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 48 |
| 6H4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 49 |
| 6H5: Stratified by Home environment | 50 |
| 6H6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 51 |
| Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference | 52 |
| 6I1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 52 |
| 6I2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 53 |
| 6I3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 54 |
| 6I4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 55 |
| 6I5: Stratified by Home environment | 56 |
| 6I6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 57 |
| Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score | 58 |
| 6J1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 58 |
| 6J2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 59 |
| 6J3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 60 |
| 6J4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 61 |
| 6J5: Stratified by Home environment | 62 |
| 6J6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 63 |
| Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score | 64 |
| 6K1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 64 |
| 6K2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 65 |
| 6K3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 66 |
| 6K4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 67 |
| 6K5: Stratified by Home environment | 68 |
| 6K6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 69 |
| Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score | 70 |
| 6L1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 70 |
| 6L2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 71 |
| 6L3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 72 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 6L4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 73 |
| 6L5: Stratified by Home environment | 74 |
| 6L6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 75 |
| Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio | 76 |
| 6M1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 76 |
| 6M2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 77 |
| 6M3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 78 |
| 6M4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons) | 79 |
| 6M5: Stratified by Home environment | 80 |
| 6M6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 81 |
| Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference | 82 |
| 6N1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 82 |
| 6N2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 83 |
| 6N3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons) | 84 |
| 6N4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons) | 85 |
| 6N5: Stratified by Home environment | 86 |
| 6N6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 87 |
| Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio | 88 |
| 6O1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 88 |
| 6O2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 89 |
| 6O3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 90 |
| 6O4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 91 |
| 6O5: Stratified by Home environment | 92 |
| 6O6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 93 |
| Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference | 94 |
| 6P1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status | 94 |
| 6P2: Stratified by Household food insecurity | 95 |
| 6P3: Stratified by Household source water quality | 96 |
| 6P4: Stratified by Household sanitation | 97 |
| 6P5: Stratified by Home environment | 98 |
| 6P6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment | 99 |


These figures are forest plots showing the individual-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure has the estimates of intervention effect stratified within study by individual-level effect modifier category. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the far left correspond to trial level information. In the middle left and on the right the values indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates is shown by subgroup.

Motor milestone figures show individual trial estimates excluding the JiVitA-4 data because those individual trial results have not yet been published. The pooled estimate in each figure includes the JiVitA-4 data, for consistency with all other analyses.

Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.001
Difference in MDs = 0.10 (0.04, 0.15)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.627


Difference in MDs = 0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.988
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.11, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.218
 Difference in MDs = -0.06 (-0.16, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.251
Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.03, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in language z-score

6A6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.979
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.227


Ratio of PRs = 0.90 (0.75, 1.07)



Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.772
 Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.85, 1.25)




Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.707

Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.73, 1.24)




Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.821

Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.72, 1.50)




Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.592

Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.78, 1.16)




Supplemental figure 6B: Language lowest decile prevalence ratio

6B6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.524


Ratio of PRs = 0.93 (0.76, 1.15)



Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.078
Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.03, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.269
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.04, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.321
Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.04, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.848
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.04, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.364
Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)




Supplemental figure 6C: Language lowest decile prevalence difference

6C6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.556

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)





Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.982
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)


| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | At least median MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Less than median MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---|------------|-------------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 830 | 407 | 0.00 | 0.09 (-0.06, 0.23) | 0.09 | 0.11 | | 827 | 408 | -0.07 | 0.04 (-0.09, 0.16) | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 545 | 1659 | 0.11 | 0.09 (-0.01, 0.19) | 0.19 | 0.12 | | 521 | 1607 | -0.17 | 0.16 (0.05, 0.26) | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 459 | 163 | -0.25 | 0.41 (0.14, 0.69) | 0.03 | 0.06 | | 287 | 212 | -0.22 | 0.28 (0.05, 0.51) | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 153 | 341 | 0.00 | 0.00 (-0.19, 0.19) | 0.05 | 0.09 | | 179 | 314 | 0.00 | 0.01 (-0.17, 0.20) | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 778 | 2706 | 0.06 | 0.01 (-0.07, 0.08) | 0.32 | 0.13 | | 582 | 2036 | -0.08 | 0.02 (-0.07, 0.11) | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 741 | 845 | 0.20 | -0.06 (-0.24, 0.12) | 0.06 | 0.09 | | 823 | 724 | -0.16 | -0.04 (-0.26, 0.18) | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 118 | 230 | 0.00 | 0.08 (-0.15, 0.31) | 0.04 | 0.07 | | 97 | 207 | -0.02 | -0.06 (-0.29, 0.18) | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 278 | 94 | -0.02 | 0.00 (-0.23, 0.22) | 0.04 | 0.07 | | 270 | 96 | 0.01 | 0.06 (-0.18, 0.30) | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 494 | 450 | 0.03 | 0.05 (-0.14, 0.25) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 433 | 494 | -0.17 | 0.23 (0.03, 0.42) | 0.05 | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 406 | 359 | -0.07 | 0.22 (0.08, 0.35) | 0.10 | 0.11 | | 389 | 391 | -0.08 | 0.07 (-0.07, 0.21) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 91 | 78 | -0.23 | 0.45 (0.18, 0.72) | 0.03 | 0.06 | | 74 | 67 | -0.07 | 0.19 (-0.12, 0.49) | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| | | | 4893 | 7332 | | I² = 0.57, Tau² = 0.01 | | | | 4482 | 6556 | | I² = 0.24, Tau² = 0.00 | | |
| Fixed | | | | | | 0.07 (0.03, 0.12) | | | | | | | 0.08 (0.03, 0.12) | | |
| Random | | | | | | 0.10 (0.01, 0.19) | | | | | | | 0.08 (0.02, 0.13) | | |



Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.347
 Difference in MDs = -0.03 (-0.10, 0.04)





Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.939
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.09, 0.10)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Improved MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Unimproved MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|---------|----------|--|-------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 461 | 981 | -0.17 | 0.22 (0.11, 0.34) | 0.25 | 0.17 | | 66 | 117 | -0.33 | 0.26 (-0.02, 0.55) | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 220 | 82 | -0.11 | 0.32 (0.10, 0.54) | 0.07 | 0.09 | | 526 | 293 | -0.27 | 0.35 (0.17, 0.54) | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 413 | 1419 | 0.02 | -0.04 (-0.16, 0.09) | 0.21 | 0.16 | | 236 | 649 | 0.04 | -0.01 (-0.15, 0.13) | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 405 | 430 | -0.04 | -0.05 (-0.41, 0.31) | 0.03 | 0.05 | | 1134 | 1133 | 0.06 | -0.09 (-0.28, 0.10) | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 195 | 400 | 0.01 | 0.01 (-0.15, 0.18) | 0.12 | 0.13 | | 20 | 36 | -0.26 | 0.08 (-0.56, 0.72) | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 514 | 177 | 0.00 | 0.03 (-0.14, 0.21) | 0.11 | 0.12 | | 44 | 15 | -0.06 | -0.06 (-0.58, 0.46) | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 528 | 552 | -0.04 | 0.12 (-0.09, 0.33) | 0.08 | 0.10 | | 357 | 378 | -0.15 | 0.17 (-0.05, 0.39) | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 228 | 220 | -0.04 | 0.11 (-0.08, 0.30) | 0.09 | 0.11 | | 131 | 122 | -0.13 | 0.09 (-0.12, 0.30) | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 37 | 41 | -0.25 | 0.30 (0.00, 0.60) | 0.04 | 0.06 | | 25 | 25 | 0.05 | -0.07 (-0.48, 0.34) | 0.03 | 0.06 |




Fixed
 Random
 3001 4302
 I² = 0.53, Tau² = 0.01
 0.11 (0.05, 0.16)
 0.11 (0.02, 0.20)

Fixed
 Random
 2539 2768
 I² = 0.51, Tau² = 0.01
 0.09 (0.01, 0.16)
 0.10 (-0.01, 0.20)

Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.550
 Difference in MDs = -0.03 (-0.14, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.363
 Difference in MDs = 0.03 (-0.04, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 6D: Mean difference in social-emotional z-score

6D6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.734
Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.08, 0.06)





Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.655
Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.79, 1.16)


| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | At least median PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Less than median PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------|-------------|--|-------------|--------------|---|------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 830 | 407 | 10.1 | 0.77 (0.52, 1.13) | 0.14 | 0.11 | | 827 | 408 | 12.7 | 0.90 (0.67, 1.22) | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 545 | 1659 | 7.4 | 1.02 (0.67, 1.57) | 0.12 | 0.10 | | 521 | 1607 | 13.8 | 0.70 (0.53, 0.93) | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 459 | 163 | 14.7 | 0.31 (0.17, 0.56) | 0.06 | 0.09 | | 287 | 212 | 17.9 | 0.58 (0.35, 0.98) | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | PSED | 153 | 341 | 8.5 | 1.61 (0.95, 2.74) | 0.08 | 0.09 | | 179 | 314 | 11.8 | 0.57 (0.30, 1.06) | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 778 | 2706 | 9.2 | 0.80 (0.59, 1.09) | 0.22 | 0.11 | | 582 | 2036 | 11.8 | 0.96 (0.74, 1.23) | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 741 | 845 | 5.7 | 1.38 (0.78, 2.44) | 0.07 | 0.09 | | 823 | 724 | 13.1 | 0.98 (0.62, 1.54) | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | PSED | 118 | 230 | 10.4 | 0.97 (0.51, 1.88) | 0.05 | 0.08 | | 97 | 207 | 10.1 | 1.02 (0.50, 2.07) | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | PSED | 278 | 94 | 6.4 | 1.75 (0.75, 4.06) | 0.03 | 0.06 | | 270 | 96 | 11.5 | 0.81 (0.41, 1.58) | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 494 | 450 | 9.6 | 0.85 (0.52, 1.39) | 0.09 | 0.10 | | 433 | 494 | 13.0 | 0.73 (0.48, 1.12) | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 406 | 359 | 13.1 | 0.58 (0.38, 0.88) | 0.12 | 0.10 | | 389 | 391 | 11.8 | 0.76 (0.48, 1.23) | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 91 | 78 | 16.7 | 0.40 (0.17, 0.93) | 0.03 | 0.06 | | 74 | 67 | 10.4 | 0.65 (0.21, 2.01) | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| | | | 4893 | 7332 | | | | | | 4482 | 6556 | | | | |
| | | | | | | I² = 0.67, Tau² = 0.18 | | | | | | I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | | 0.83 (0.71, 0.95) | | | | | | 0.81 (0.72, 0.92) | | | |
| Random | | | | | | 0.84 (0.62, 1.13) | | | | | | 0.81 (0.72, 0.92) | | | |



Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.325
 Ratio of PRs = 1.11 (0.90, 1.37)



Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.534
 Ratio of PRs = 1.10 (0.81, 1.49)



Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.304
Ratio of PRs = 0.84 (0.60, 1.17)



Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.863
 Ratio of PRs = 1.02 (0.84, 1.23)




Supplemental figure 6E: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence ratio

6E6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.584


Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.85, 1.33)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.160
Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.599
 Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.782
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.189
Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.05, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.545
Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6F: Social-emotional lowest decile prevalence difference

6F6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.493
 Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.077
Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.01, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.898
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.07, 0.08)





Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.514
 Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.07, 0.14)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Improved MD (95% CI) | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Unimproved MD (95% CI) | | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | | | Fixed W | Random W | | | | MD (95% CI) | MD (95% CI) | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 460 | 981 | -0.09 | 0.20 (0.08, 0.31) | 0.25 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 220 | 82 | -0.07 | 0.32 (0.07, 0.57) | 0.05 | 0.07 | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 413 | 1419 | -0.01 | 0.02 (-0.10, 0.14) | 0.25 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 405 | 430 | -0.10 | 0.08 (-0.26, 0.41) | 0.03 | 0.04 | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 194 | 398 | 0.02 | -0.01 (-0.17, 0.16) | 0.13 | 0.13 | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 515 | 177 | 0.00 | 0.06 (-0.11, 0.23) | 0.12 | 0.13 | | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 514 | 539 | -0.04 | 0.16 (-0.07, 0.38) | 0.07 | 0.08 | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 228 | 220 | 0.11 | -0.04 (-0.24, 0.16) | 0.08 | 0.10 | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 37 | 41 | -0.01 | 0.04 (-0.34, 0.42) | 0.02 | 0.03 | | | | | | |



Fixed Random
 2986 4287
 I² = 0.28, Tau² = 0.00
 0.09 (0.03, 0.15)
 0.09 (0.02, 0.16)


2530 2758
 I² = 0.42, Tau² = 0.01
 0.17 (0.09, 0.25)
 0.16 (0.06, 0.26)

Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.193

Difference in MDs = -0.07 (-0.17, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.565

Difference in MDs = -0.02 (-0.09, 0.05)




Supplemental figure 6G: Mean difference in motor z-score

6G6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.827


Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.07, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.878
 Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.83, 1.17)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.594
 Ratio of PRs = 0.94 (0.75, 1.18)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.723
 Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.78, 1.42)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.228
Ratio of PRs = 1.24 (0.87, 1.76)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.766
 Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.84, 1.27)



Supplemental figure 6H: Motor lowest decile prevalence ratio

6H6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.839
 Ratio of PRs = 1.02 (0.83, 1.26)





Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.325
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)


| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | At least median PD (95% CI) | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Less than median PD (95% CI) | | |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---|----------|------|
| | | | | | | Fixed W | Random W | | | | Fixed W | Random W | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | 783 | 381 | 7.1 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 773 | 372 | 15.3 | -0.04 (-0.08, 0.00) | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | 546 | 1663 | 7.6 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 528 | 1616 | 13.6 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.01) | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | 459 | 163 | 16.0 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 287 | 212 | 14.6 | -0.05 (-0.11, 0.01) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | 143 | 311 | 10.0 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 159 | 288 | 9.0 | 0.03 (-0.03, 0.09) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | 778 | 2706 | 9.3 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 582 | 2036 | 11.6 | -0.01 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 741 | 845 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 823 | 724 | 15.7 | -0.03 (-0.09, 0.04) | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 118 | 227 | 8.8 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 96 | 208 | 12.5 | -0.03 (-0.11, 0.05) | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 278 | 94 | 6.4 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 271 | 96 | 12.5 | -0.02 (-0.09, 0.05) | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 479 | 445 | 11.0 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 423 | 476 | 11.1 | -0.02 (-0.06, 0.02) | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 406 | 359 | 10.6 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 389 | 391 | 11.3 | -0.01 (-0.06, 0.03) | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 91 | 78 | 11.5 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 74 | 67 | 13.4 | -0.04 (-0.14, 0.06) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| | | | 4822 | 7272 | | I² = 0.20, Tau² = 0.00 | | 4405 | 6486 | | I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00 | | |
| Fixed | | | | | | -0.01 (-0.02, 0.00) | | | | | -0.02 (-0.03, -0.01) | | |
| Random | | | | | | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.00) | | | | | -0.02 (-0.03, -0.01) | | |



Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.291
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.04, 0.01)




Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.795


Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.308
Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.562
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6I: Motor lowest decile prevalence difference

6I6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.586
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.091
Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.01, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.951
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.07, 0.08)




Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.883


Difference in MDs = -0.01 (-0.12, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.943
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.10, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.300
 Difference in MDs = -0.04 (-0.10, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6J: Mean difference in gross motor z-score

6J6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.958
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.07, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.967
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.08, 0.08)



Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.352
 Difference in MDs = 0.05 (-0.05, 0.15)





Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.410
 Difference in MDs = 0.07 (-0.10, 0.25)

| Country | Trial | Tool | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Improved MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | | LNS N | Control N | Control Mean | Unimproved MD (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|--|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | JiVitA-4 (21) | BSID-III | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | EASQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | DMC | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | KDI | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | EASQ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | ASQI | 405 | 430 | -0.14 | 0.02 (-0.37, 0.41) | 0.05 | 0.05 | | 1134 | 1133 | 0.02 | 0.07 (-0.16, 0.29) | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | KDI | 194 | 398 | 0.00 | 0.05 (-0.11, 0.22) | 0.30 | 0.30 | | 20 | 36 | -0.22 | 0.34 (-0.23, 0.91) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | KDI | 515 | 177 | -0.01 | 0.08 (-0.09, 0.25) | 0.28 | 0.28 | | 44 | 15 | -0.38 | 0.29 (-0.30, 0.89) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | DMC | 516 | 540 | -0.02 | 0.09 (-0.19, 0.37) | 0.10 | 0.10 | | 348 | 370 | -0.13 | 0.06 (-0.17, 0.29) | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | MDAT | 228 | 220 | 0.10 | -0.09 (-0.28, 0.11) | 0.22 | 0.22 | | 131 | 122 | -0.09 | 0.09 (-0.19, 0.37) | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | MDAT | 37 | 41 | 0.01 | -0.01 (-0.40, 0.38) | 0.05 | 0.05 | | 25 | 25 | -0.16 | -0.21 (-0.85, 0.42) | 0.04 | 0.04 |



Fixed
Random
 I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00
 0.03 (-0.06, 0.12)
 0.03 (-0.06, 0.12)


Fixed
Random
 I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00
 0.08 (-0.05, 0.21)
 0.08 (-0.05, 0.21)

Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.172


Difference in MDs = -0.09 (-0.23, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.860
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.11, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 6K: Mean difference in fine motor z-score

6K6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.993
 Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.09, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.033
Difference in MDs = 0.09 (0.01, 0.18)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.984
Difference in MDs = 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.700
Difference in MDs = 0.04 (-0.16, 0.24)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.947
Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.18, 0.19)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.142
 Difference in MDs = -0.08 (-0.18, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6L: Mean difference in executive function z-score

6L6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.766
 Difference in MDs = 0.01 (-0.08, 0.11)




Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

6M1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.389


Ratio of PRs = 0.88 (0.66, 1.17)



Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

6M2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.640
 Ratio of PRs = 1.08 (0.79, 1.47)



Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

6M3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio


6M4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

6M5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.287

Ratio of PRs = 1.18 (0.87, 1.59)




Supplemental figure 6M: Executive function lowest decile prevalence ratio

6M6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.819


Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.75, 1.44)



Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.517
Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.04, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.586
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.03, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.441
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 6N: Executive function lowest decile prevalence difference

6N6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.752
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.03, 0.04)




Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.721

Ratio of PRs = 1.01 (0.94, 1.10)



Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.812
Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.90, 1.08)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Mild to secure | Fixed W | Random W |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1034 | 483 | 72.9 | 1.19 (0.97, 1.48) | 0.13 | 0.15 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 398 | 1144 | 73.3 | 1.27 (1.08, 1.48) | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 230 | 454 | 52.4 | 1.16 (1.00, 1.35) | 0.25 | 0.24 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 400 | 1448 | 59.0 | 0.96 (0.84, 1.11) | 0.31 | 0.26 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 64 | 112 | 56.2 | 1.21 (0.89, 1.66) | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 120 | 48 | 70.8 | 1.43 (0.88, 2.33) | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | |

Fixed
Random

2246 3689


I² = 0.45, Tau² = 0.00
1.09 (1.03, 1.14)
1.12 (1.03, 1.21)



| LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Moderate to severe | Fixed W | Random W |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | PR (95% CI) | | |
| 594 | 307 | 76.9 | 1.44 (1.16, 1.80) | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| 99 | 330 | 79.1 | 0.82 (0.56, 1.20) | 0.07 | 0.11 |
| 96 | 206 | 54.9 | 1.11 (0.86, 1.42) | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| 46 | 153 | 66.7 | 0.98 (0.60, 1.59) | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 142 | 307 | 48.5 | 1.19 (1.00, 1.41) | 0.36 | 0.26 |
| 371 | 118 | 61.9 | 0.94 (0.72, 1.23) | 0.15 | 0.17 |

1348 1421

I² = 0.46, Tau² = 0.01
1.10 (1.02, 1.18)
1.10 (0.97, 1.24)





Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.190
 Ratio of PRs = 1.17 (0.92, 1.48)


| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Improved PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Unimproved PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---|---------|----------|------------|------------|--------------------|---|---------|----------|
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 201 | 428 | 74.5 | 1.17 (0.91, 1.51) | 0.17 | 0.17 | 38 | 56 | 76.8 | 1.36 (0.75, 2.48) | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 118 | 469 | 58.2 | 0.89 (0.68, 1.16) | 0.16 | 0.16 | 84 | 234 | 60.3 | 1.17 (0.89, 1.53) | 0.57 | 0.34 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 190 | 395 | 50.9 | 1.17 (1.00, 1.37) | 0.44 | 0.44 | 18 | 28 | 53.6 | 1.56 (0.95, 2.54) | 0.17 | 0.22 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 470 | 157 | 66.2 | 1.09 (0.85, 1.40) | 0.18 | 0.18 | 42 | 15 | 60.0 | 0.95 (0.46, 1.98) | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | 65 | 86 | 68.6 | 0.88 (0.56, 1.40) | 0.05 | 0.05 | 48 | 63 | 61.9 | 0.49 (0.22, 1.12) | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1044 | 1535 | | | | | 230 | 396 | | | | |
| Fixed | | | | | I² = 0.01, Tau² = 0.00 | | | | | | I² = 0.34, Tau² = 0.07 | | |
| Random | | | | | 1.09 (0.98, 1.21) | | | | | | 1.17 (0.95, 1.43) | | |
| | | | | | 1.09 (0.98, 1.21) | | | | | | 1.12 (0.80, 1.57) | | |



Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.336
 Ratio of PRs = 0.94 (0.84, 1.06)




Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O5: Stratified by Home environment


P-for-interaction = 0.458
 Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.84, 1.08)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | At least median | | | | Less than median | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|----------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|---------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W | |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 1158 | 560 | 74.5 | 1.34 (1.09, 1.64) | 0.13 | 0.15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 243 | 689 | 73.9 | 1.32 (1.10, 1.58) | 0.17 | 0.18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 198 | 373 | 50.4 | 1.16 (0.99, 1.36) | 0.23 | 0.20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 248 | 834 | 55.6 | 0.93 (0.79, 1.09) | 0.23 | 0.20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 130 | 278 | 49.3 | 1.17 (0.97, 1.40) | 0.17 | 0.17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 306 | 109 | 65.1 | 1.15 (0.86, 1.54) | 0.07 | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.56, Tau² = 0.01
 1.15 (1.07, 1.24)
 1.16 (1.04, 1.30)



Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00
 1.11 (1.00, 1.23)
 1.11 (1.00, 1.23)




Supplemental figure 6O: 12-mo walking without support prevalence ratio

6O6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.845
 Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.88, 1.11)

| Country | Trial | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Dry | | LNS N | Control N | Control Prevalence | Rainy | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | | | | Random W | PR (95% CI) | Fixed W | Random W |
| Bangladesh | RDNS (22) | 899 | 430 | 77.4 | 1.28 (1.04, 1.59) | 0.15 | 0.16 | 729 | 360 | 70.8 | 1.28 (1.03, 1.60) | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh | WASH-B (23) | 267 | 774 | 72.6 | 1.19 (0.99, 1.43) | 0.19 | 0.18 | 230 | 700 | 76.7 | 1.21 (0.99, 1.49) | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Burkina Faso | iLiNS-Zinc (24) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ghana | GHANA (25) | 53 | 51 | 78.4 | 2.01 (1.10, 3.69) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 37 | 36 | 69.4 | 1.33 (0.71, 2.49) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Ghana | iLiNS-DYADG (26) | 163 | 320 | 48.4 | 1.15 (0.98, 1.36) | 0.25 | 0.21 | 164 | 343 | 57.4 | 1.13 (0.93, 1.38) | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Haiti | HAITI (27) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kenya | WASH-B (28) | 131 | 461 | 62.5 | 0.92 (0.69, 1.22) | 0.08 | 0.11 | 316 | 1143 | 58.6 | 0.99 (0.86, 1.13) | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Madagascar | MAHAY (29) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DYADM (30) | 150 | 311 | 53.7 | 1.24 (1.03, 1.49) | 0.20 | 0.19 | 58 | 115 | 44.3 | 1.12 (0.86, 1.45) | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Malawi | iLiNS-DOSE (31) | 437 | 152 | 65.8 | 1.04 (0.81, 1.34) | 0.10 | 0.13 | 165 | 48 | 64.6 | 1.04 (0.68, 1.61) | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Mali | PROMIS CS (32) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV-) (33) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | SHINE (HIV+) (34) | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.23, Tau² = 0.01
 1.17 (1.08, 1.27)
 1.17 (1.05, 1.31)



Fixed
 Random
 I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00
 1.11 (1.02, 1.20)
 1.11 (1.02, 1.20)




Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.521


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.05, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.547
 Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.05, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.476
Difference in PDs = 0.03 (-0.06, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P4: Stratified by Household sanitation


P-for-interaction = 0.417
 Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.07, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P5: Stratified by Home environment

P-for-interaction = 0.302
 Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.07, 0.02)



Supplemental figure 6P: 12-mo walking without support prevalence difference

6P6: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.935
 Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.04, 0.05)

