

1 **Distinct gut and vaginal microbiota profile in women with Recurrent**
2 **Implantation Failure and Unexplained Infertility**

3 **Author details**

4
5 Nayna Patel¹, Nidhi Patel², Sejal Pal¹, Neelam Nathani², Ramesh Pandit², Molina
6 Patel¹, Niket Patel¹, Chaitanya Joshi² and Bhavin Parekh^{1*}

7
8 1. Akanksha Hospital and Research Institute, Lambhvel Road, Lambhvel, Anand,
9 Gujarat 387310, India.

10
11 2. Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre (GBRC), Gandhinagar, Gujarat,
12 382011, India.

13
14 *Correspondence: bp258@cantab.net

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 **Background:** Implantation failure limits the success rate of natural and *in*
24 *vitro* fertilization (IVF)-assisted conceptions. Evidence suggests dysbiosis in the
25 female reproductive tract impacts implantation failure. However, whether gut
26 dysbiosis influences implantation failure and whether it accompanies reproductive
27 tract dysbiosis remains unexplored.

28 **Method:** We recruited 11 fertile women as the controls, and a cohort of 20 women
29 diagnosed with implantation-failure associated infertility, which included 10
30 women diagnosed with recurrent implantation failure (RIF), and 10 women
31 diagnosed with unexplained infertility (UE). Using next-generation amplicon
32 sequencing, we compared the diversity, structure, and composition of fecal and
33 vaginal bacteria of the controls with that of the infertile cohort. While we
34 sequenced fecal samples of all the participants (n=31), we could only
35 sequence 8 vaginal samples in each group (n=24).

36 **Results:** Compared with the controls, α -diversity of the gut bacteria, analysed by
37 Chao 1 and Shannon indices, among the infertile groups declined ($p < 0.05$). β -
38 diversity between the controls and infertile cohort, measured by both Bray-Curtis
39 and Jaccard distances, differed significantly ($p < 0.05$). Taxa analysis of the gut
40 bacteria revealed enrichment of Gram-positive bacteria, mainly of the
41 phylum *Firmicutes*, in the RIF group. In contrast, Gram-negative bacteria were
42 relatively more abundant in the UE group. Additionally, mucus-producing
43 bacteria genera such as *Prevotella* and *Sutterella* declined in the infertile
44 cohort ($p < 0.05$). Intriguingly, significant enrichment ($p < 0.05$) of the

45 genus *Hungatella*, associated with trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) production,
46 occurred in the infertile cohort. Vaginal microbiota was dominated by *L.*
47 *iners* across the groups, with the UE group showing the highest levels. Of the three
48 groups, the RIF group had the least diverse vaginal microbiota. Taxa analysis
49 showed higher levels of anaerobic bacteria such
50 as *Leptotrichia*, *Snethia*, and *Prevotella* in the controls.

51 **Conclusion:** We posit that in the setting of the compromised gut mucosal barrier,
52 the phyla *Firmicutes* generates TNF- α -driven systemic inflammation, leading to
53 RIF, whereas an overload of Gram-negative bacteria induces IL-6-driven systemic
54 inflammation, leading to UE. Additionally, *Hungatella*-induced elevation
55 of TMAO levels causes platelet hypercoagulability, synergistically contributing to
56 implantation failure. Finally, vaginal dysbiosis does not appear to co-occur with
57 gut dysbiosis.

58

59

60 **1. Introduction**

61 Infertility, defined as a failure to conceive after 1 year of appropriately timed
62 unprotected intercourse, is a distressing and costly reproductive disorder that
63 affects up to 15% of couples globally (Kamel 2010). Some known causes of
64 infertility include pelvic diseases, peritoneal factors, cervical factors, ovulatory
65 disorders, reproductive aging of women, and male factors (Lindsay and Vitrikas
66 2015).

67 Despite this knowledge, some couples are diagnosed as having unexplained
68 infertility (UE) because the underlying mechanism(s) remain undefined even after
69 assessment of ovulatory function, tubal patency, and sperm parameters (Bellver,
70 Soares et al. 2008). Frustratingly, many of the infertile couples undergo multiple
71 unsuccessful assisted reproduction technology (ART) cycles (i.e., IVF and/or
72 intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI)) and are thus diagnosed as having repeated
73 implantation failure (RIF) (Bellver, Soares et al. 2008, Simon and Laufer 2012,
74 Polanski, Baumgarten et al. 2014). Yet another subgroup of infertile couples,
75 diagnosed as recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL), exists that conceive several times
76 (≥ 3), but miscarriage occurs each time before gestational week 28, although
77 controversies exist on its definition (Christiansen, Nielsen et al. 2006, El Hachem,
78 Crepaux et al. 2017). It has been argued that RIF and RPL represent the same
79 condition spectrum (Christiansen, Nielsen et al. 2006).

80 Pathologies of all these enigmatic conditions converge on mechanisms by which
81 the embryo fails to implant in the uterus—also called implantation failure
82 (Graham, Seif et al. 1990, Christiansen, Nielsen et al. 2006, Bellver, Soares et al.
83 2008, Simon and Laufer 2012). It is the main limiting factor for natural and *in vitro*
84 fertilization (IVF)-assisted pregnancies (Bellver, Soares et al. 2008, Hernández-
85 Vargas, Muñoz et al. 2020). Failed implantation involves a triumvirate of a poor
86 quality embryo and an unreceptive endometrium and ill-timed embryo-
87 endometrium interaction, of which unreceptive endometrium appears to be the
88 most critical factor. (Simon and Laufer 2012). In fact, unreceptive endometrium
89 has been implicated in two-thirds of all the failures (Simon and Laufer 2012).
90 While multiple factors that disrupt endometrium receptivity, including steroidal
91 hormonal imbalance, thrombotic abnormalities, hyperhomocysteinemia, and
92 immune dysfunctions, have been identified, much remains recondite (Bellver,
93 Soares et al. 2008, Simon and Laufer 2012, Cho, Kim et al. 2019).

94 The reproductive tract bacteria influence implantation failure of endometrial origin
95 (Al-Nasiry, Ambrosino et al. 2020). For example, women with non-*Lactobacillus*-
96 dominated microbiota in a receptive endometrium had decreased implantation rates
97 than women with a *Lactobacillus*-dominated (>90%) microbiota (Moreno,
98 Codoñer et al. 2016). Chlamydia species in the endocervix of women undergoing
99 IVF-ET were correlated with implantation failure (Witkin, Sultan et al. 1994).

100 Increasingly researchers are exploring how the local microbiota influences
101 physiology at distal sites, especially how the gut bacteria, the densest and most
102 diverse bacterial communities of the body, impact distal organs, including the brain
103 and lungs, leading to the notions of the gut-brain axis and gut–lung microbiota axis
104 (Ravel and Brotman 2016, Budden, Gellatly et al. 2017). However, hitherto, gut
105 bacteria’s role in implantation failure of endometrial origin remains unexplored, let
106 alone the gut-reproductive tract microbiota axis, even though a compelling
107 rationale exists. Indeed, the gut bacteria impact the immune system, hormonal
108 homeostasis, and the coagulation system—all of which mediate implantation
109 success (Alexander, Targan et al. 2014, Baker, Al-Nakkash et al. 2017, Vinchi
110 2019). The comorbidity of gut disorders (e.g., celiac disease) with infertility
111 disorders, including recurrent pregnancy loss, allude to a role of ‘gut-reproductive
112 tract axis’ in implantation failure (Tersigni, D’Ippolito et al. 2018).

113 Hence we investigated whether gut dysbiosis occurs in women with implantation
114 failure, and if so, whether it accompanies vaginal dysbiosis, which usually involves
115 a decline in either the levels of *Lactobacillus* or protective species of *Lactobacillus*
116 and a simultaneous rise in the diversity and density of other bacteria (Madhivanan,
117 Alleyn et al. 2015, Amabebe and Anumba 2018, Wang, Fan et al. 2020). To this
118 end, by using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, we compared the diversity, structure

119 and taxonomic composition of the fecal and vaginal microbiota of fertile women
120 with that of infertile women with a history of RIF and UE.

121 **2. Materials and Methods**

122 **2.1 Study participants**

123 For this retrospective, single-center cohort study, fertile and infertile women,
124 referred to Akanksha Hospital and Research Institute between September 2018 and
125 February 2019, were recruited and divided into three groups: the control, RIF, and
126 UE groups. The RIF group's inclusion criteria were women who could not
127 conceive after ≥ 2 fresh IVF-embryo transfer cycles/ ICSI or had ≥ 3 consecutive
128 miscarriages. UE was diagnosed if a cause remains undefined after our routine
129 fertility tests with the following criteria: infertility of more than 1 year, normal
130 male partner, normal menstrual rhythm with regular ovulation, and normal
131 hormonal tests (i.e., thyroid, prolactin, AMH) Exclusion criteria included diabetes,
132 polycystic ovary syndrome and endometriosis, diarrhea, ongoing pregnancy,
133 addiction (e.g., drugs, alcohol, tobacco etc.) and the use of antibiotics within at
134 least two weeks before sample collection. We performed all the sampling and
135 experiments with the approval of the local Ethics Committee of Sat Kaival
136 Hospital Pvt. Ltd (EC2013/053). Participants gave their oral and written informed
137 consent for fecal and vaginal sample collections and subsequent microbiological
138 analysis. We recorded and compared participants' characteristics (**Table 1**).

139 **2.2 Sample Collection**

140 The fecal and vaginal samples were freshly and simultaneously collected.
141 Participants collected the fecal samples in a sterile plastic container with a tight
142 closing lid. To collect the vaginal samples, using a sterile swab stick, clinicians
143 thoroughly wiped the posterior fornix of the vagina of the participants. These
144 swabs were stored in sterile vials. Both types of samples were packaged and first
145 placed in a frozen storage at -20°C in the hospital and later, within 24 hours,
146 transported on ice to be stored at -80°C at Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre
147 (GBRC) for further analysis.

148

149 **2.3 DNA extraction**

150 DNA extraction was performed from approximately 200 mg of fecal samples and
151 ~1ml of thoroughly vortexed swab sample using QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit
152 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total DNA was eluted in 30 μL of
153 AE buffer. DNA concentration was quantified fluorometrically with a Qubit 2.0
154 dsDNA HS Assay kit. DNA was stored at -20°C for further procedures.

155

156

157

158 **2.4 Library preparation and 16s rRNA Sequencing**

159 The V2-V3 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified using
160 fusion primers, 101□F5'ACTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAA 3' and 518□R
161 5'CGTATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG 3'. Amplicon libraries were purified using the
162 Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter). For quality control, we used
163 Bioanalyzer with a DNA-HS assay kit. All the libraries were quantified using
164 Qubit fluorimeter v4.0 and were pooled into equimolar concentrations. Clonal
165 amplification (Emulsion PCR) sequencing was performed on the Ion S5 system
166 using the Ion 520/530 kit OT2 (ThermoFisher Scientific) with Ion One Touch 2
167 system, which uses a 530 chip on Ion S5 plus sequencer according to the
168 manufacturer's instructions.

169 **2.5 Bioinformatics and Statistical analysis**

170 **Diversity**

171 Microbial community richness and diversity was evaluated by α -diversity that
172 included Chao1 and Shannon indices. The Kruskal–Wallis test used to determine
173 statistical differences between the three groups. The Mann–Whitney U test was
174 used to determine the influence of diet on α -diversity. β -diversity, differences in
175 microbial community structures between the three groups, was analysed using
176 Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis and Jaccard distances,

177 and the statistical difference between the groups was calculated using non-
178 parametric multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA).

179 QIIME2 software was used to calculate alpha and beta diversity indices. The
180 demultiplexed sequences were uploaded to QIIME2 environment, and denoising
181 was carried out using DADA2. Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were
182 predicted at a minimum sampling depth of 25000 for Gut datasets, and 9000 for the
183 vaginal datasets. The predicted ASVs were taxonomically classified using the pre-
184 trained classifier of the full 16S rRNA gene sequence of the SILVA database.

185 **Taxonomic structure**

186 We analysed the gut microbiota at the community level to determine differences in
187 the microbial composition between groups and to identify taxa with significantly
188 different abundance (relative abundance > 0.001 and $P < 0.05$). Additionally, linear
189 discriminant analysis (LDA) Effect Size (LEfSe) method was employed to identify
190 species with significant differences in abundance between the three groups ($|LDA|$
191 > 3 and $P < 0.05$). Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney U tests were performed
192 for computing statistical differences between the groups at taxonomic levels in the
193 study viz., three major groups and the infertile cohort versus controls using
194 STAMP v2.1 software (Parks et al., 2014).

195 Continuous data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or frequencies
196 (number and percentages), calculated using GraphPad Prism statistical software
197 6.0. To determine statistical differences in subjects' characteristics between
198 groups, we performed one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey testing.

199 **3. Results**

200 **3.1 Clinical Characteristics among the Control, RIF, and UE Groups**

201 We included 31 study subjects, comprising 11 fertile women, enrolled as the
202 control group, 10 women with a history of RIF, and 10 women with a history of
203 UE (**Table 1**). Comparisons of the mean age between the three groups showed that
204 the controls were statistically significantly younger than the RIF group ($F=6.8$, p
205 <0.01), while the UE group was older than the controls and younger than the RIF
206 group, although both differences were statistically insignificant (**Table 1**). The
207 average BMI was not significantly different between the controls and the UE group
208 and between the RIF and UE groups but was significant between the controls and
209 the RIF group ($F=3.7$, $p<0.05$) (**Table 1**). Among women with RIF, eight were
210 nullipara (80%), and two were nulligravida (20%), while all women with UE
211 (100%) were nullipara. There were more vegetarian participants in the UE group (9
212 of 10; 90%) than in the RIF group (4 of 10; 40%) and the controls (4 of 11; 36%)
213 (**Table 1**).

214

215 **3.2 Metagenomics Findings of Gut and Vaginal bacteria**

216 In total 31 fecal samples and 24 vaginal swab samples, sequencing of the V2-V3
217 region of the 16S rRNA gene created 235810 sequences, with an average of 1,01,
218 767 sequences, and 178260 high-quality sequences, with an average of 3,76,012
219 sequences, per sample for fecal and vaginal samples respectively. Based on the
220 results of the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) analysis, rarefaction curves
221 show that the sequencing depth was adequate to analyse the gut and vaginal
222 bacteria in the three groups (**Figures S1 (a), (b) and Figures S2 (a), (b)**).

223

224 **3.3 Richness and diversity of Gut bacteria**

225 We performed bacterial diversity analyses by comparing the richness using Chao 1
226 and evenness using the Shannon index for the fecal microbial communities
227 between the three groups. We found that richness differed significantly between
228 the three groups (Chao 1 index (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p= 0.049$)) (**Figure 1(a)**).
229 Precisely, the controls had a significantly higher richness than the RIF group
230 ($p_{\text{Chao 1}} = 0.04$) and UE group ($p_{\text{Chao 1}} = 0.03$). Richness was similar between
231 RIF and UE groups ($p_{\text{Chao 1}} = 0.75$). We discovered that evenness differed
232 significantly between the three groups: Shannon index (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p =$
233 0.003) (**Figure 1(b)**). Specifically, the controls had more evenness than the RIF
234 ($p_{\text{Shannon}} = 0.006$) and the UE groups ($p_{\text{Shannon}} = 0.002$). In contrast, evenness

235 was similar between the RIF and the UE groups ($p_{\text{Shannon}} = 0.65$). Interestingly,
236 the diet did not affect alpha diversity ($p_{\text{Shannon}} = 0.165$) (**Figure 3S**).

237 Regarding the bacterial community structure differences, the PCoA plot of the
238 Bray-Curtis and Jaccard dissimilarity showed that bacteria of the RIF and UE
239 groups overlapped and that both groups differed markedly from the controls
240 (**Figures 2(a), (b)**). In the PCoA plot based on Bray-Curtis distances, the first and
241 second axes of the PCoA explained 21.5% of the total variance with a significant
242 difference (PERMANOVA, $P < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.12$; **Figures 2(a)**). Showing the similar
243 clustering pattern, in the Jaccard based PCoA plot, the first and second axes
244 explained 23.4% of the total variance with a significant difference
245 (PERMANOVA, $P < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.10$; **Figures 2(b)**).

246

247 **3.5 Taxonomic analysis of Gut bacteria**

248 After excluding the sequences that were present in less than 3%, we clustered the
249 high-quality sequences into OTUs and assigned taxonomic identities.

250 Consequently, we found 550 OTUs pertaining to 481 genera, 265 families, 156
251 orders, 68 classes, 715 species and 28 phyla. To evaluate the contribution of
252 different taxa to diversity and composition, we calculated the relative abundance of
253 taxa at the phylum, family and genus levels. Except at the genus level, we could

254 not find statistically significant alteration of particular taxa at any other levels.
255 Though, noticeable trends from a clinical point of view were still apparent in the
256 data.

257 Across all 31 participants, the phyla level composition, represented by read
258 percentages, showed usual human microbiota structures. Overall, among the
259 detected 28 phyla, the four most abundant microbes were *Firmicutes* (85.10%),
260 *Bacteroidetes* (7.70%), *Proteobacteria* (4.75%), and *Actinobacteria* (1.8%)
261 **(Figure 3(a))**. With a relative abundance of less than 1%, the remaining bacterial
262 population belonged to four phyla, including *Verrucomicrobia*, *Tenericutes*,
263 *Cyanobacteria*, and *Chloroflexi*.

264 Firmicutes were abundant (7-9 %) in the RIF group than both the control and UE
265 groups. *Firmicutes* were only slightly more abundant (2%) in the UE group than
266 the controls. *Bacteroidetes* were depleted (50% less) in the RIF group than the
267 other two groups. In contrast, the control and UE groups had the same levels of
268 *Bacteroidetes*. *Proteobacteria* were relatively less abundant (4-7% less) in the RIF
269 group than the control and UE groups **(Figure 3 (a))**. Among the three groups, the
270 UE group had the highest levels of *Proteobacteria*, almost 2 fold higher than the
271 controls. *Actinobacteria* were depleted in the UE group (~4 fold less) compared to

272 the other two groups, with the RIF group showing the highest abundance amongst
273 all the groups, with 2 fold more abundance than the control group (**Figure 3(a)**).

274 The dominant bacterial families for all the subjects in the descending order of
275 abundance were *Lachnospiraceae*, *Ruminococcaceae*, *Bifidobacteriaceae*,
276 *Erysipelotrichaceae*, *Lactobacillaceae*, *Prevotellaaceae*, *Vellinollacaea* and
277 *Enterobacteriaceae* (**Figure 3(b)**). The levels of *Lachnospiraceae* and
278 *Ruminococcaceae* were similar between the three groups. Notably, the levels of
279 *Lactobacillaceae* and *Prevotellaaceae* families were highest in the controls as
280 compared to the other two infertile groups. *Bacteroidaceae*, *Vellinollacaea* and
281 *Enterobacteriaceae* were highest in the UE group compared to the RIF and control
282 groups, while *Bifidobacteriaceae* and *Erysipelotrichaceae* families were highest in
283 the RIF group as compared to the control and UE groups (**Figure 3(b)**).

284 We further determined statistical differences in the specific bacterial genera of the
285 three groups. Among 481 genera, the 6 were statistically significantly ($p < 0.05$)
286 different: *Bacteroides*, *Prevotella 9*, *Hungatella*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*,
287 *Ruminococcaceae UCG-010*, and *Sutterella*. Aside from *Bacteroides* and
288 *Prevotella 9*, the abundance of the other 5 genera, while statistically significant
289 ($p < 0.05$), occurred in much lower proportions (<1%). Notably, *Bacteroides* and

290 *Hungatella* were abundant in the infertile cohort, especially in the UE group, than
291 the controls (**Figure 3(c)**).

292 *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella 9*, the two most highly abundant genera, varied
293 significantly between the three groups. Compared to the controls, the relative
294 abundance of *Prevotella 9* plunged noticeably in the RIF group (7 fold), and the
295 UE group (8 fold), and the relative abundance of *Bacteroides* rose in the RIF group
296 (1.5 fold) and UE group (3.2 fold) (**Figure 3(c)**). When we compared the infertile
297 group against the controls, we found that in the infertile cohort *Prevotella 9*,
298 *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-010* ($p < 0.05$) declined,
299 whereas *Bacteroides*, *Dorea*, *oral clone FR58* and *Peptoniphilus* increased
300 ($p < 0.05$) (**Figure 4S**).

301 **3.7 LEfSe analysis**

302 LEfSe analysis was performed to assess the differentially abundant communities in
303 the three groups (**Figure 4 (a), (b)**). In the controls, we observed diverse microbial
304 communities with a high LDA score (Log10), with *Clostridia* showing the highest
305 LDA score > 9 ($p < 0.05$). In the RIF group, only *Eubacterium halli* showed the
306 highest dominance with an LDA score > 7 ($p < 0.05$). In the UE group,
307 unconventional *Firmicutes* such as *Veillonellaceae*, *Selenomonadales*, of the class
308 *Negativicutes*, showed the highest preponderance with an LDA score > 7 ($p < 0.05$).

309 **3.8 Metagenomics of vaginal bacteria**

310 Across the 24 vaginal samples, we found 384 distinct species belonging to 301
311 different genera classified in 135 different families, distributed into 10 phyla. We
312 compared taxa between the three groups. Given the small sample size, we could
313 not detect a significant statistical difference between them. Nonetheless, interesting
314 trends in the data were apparent from a clinical point of view.

315 **3.9 Taxonomic Analysis of Vaginal Bacteria**

316 In the descending order, the dominant phyla, among the 10 detected phyla,
317 included *Firmicutes*, *Fusobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*,
318 and *Patescibacteria* (**Figure 5(a)**). Of these, *Firmicutes* accounted for the vast
319 majority of the vaginal bacteria in all the groups, with both the RIF (69%) and UE
320 (69.71%) groups showing similar relative abundance, which was higher than the
321 controls (53%). *Fusobacteria* (18% Vs. 0.07 Vs. 0.14) and *Bacteroidetes* (4.1%
322 Vs.0.17 Vs. 0.92) were relatively abundant in the controls than the RIF and UE
323 groups. *Proteobacteria* were marginally more abundant in both RIF (15% Vs.11%)
324 and UE (19% Vs. 11%) groups compared to the controls (**Figure 5(a)**).

325 The dominant families for all the groups in the descending order of abundance
326 were *Lactobacillaceae*, *Bifidobacteriaceae*, *Leptotrichiaceae*, and *Prevotellaceae*
327 (**Figure 5(b)**). *Lactobacillaceae* and *Bifidobacteriaceae* were present in all the

328 groups. Reflecting this trend at the phylum level, levels of *Lactobacillaceae* were
329 higher in all the groups, with UE (65.3%) and RIF (58.41%) women showing the
330 highest levels compared with the controls (47.2%).

331 At the genus level, 5 genera were detected, of which three were present in all the
332 groups (**Figure 5(c)**). *Lactobacillus* was the most dominant genus among them,
333 followed by *Gardnerella* and *Parvimonas*. *Gardnerella*, *Prevotella*, *Parvimonas*,
334 and *Sneathia* were relatively more abundant in the controls compared to the
335 infertile groups. Compared to the controls, *Lactobacillus* was relatively more
336 abundant in the RIF and the UE groups (2-fold).

337 At the species levels, *Sneathia ammi* (0.36%) was detected only in the control
338 groups. In contrast, *Lactobacillus iners AB-1* were present in all the groups, with
339 descending order of relatively high abundance in the following manner: the UE
340 group (62%), the controls (16%), and the RIF group (11.02%) (**Figure 5(d)**).

341 **3.10 LEfSe analysis**

342 We performed LEfSe analysis to assess the differentially abundant vaginal
343 bacterial communities in the three groups. We could only find significant
344 differences in the controls, with *Leptotrichia*, of the phylum *Fusobacteria*,
345 showing an LDA (Log10) score >3 ($p < 0.05$) (**Figure 6**).

346 **3.11 Alterations of the genus *Lactobacillus* Species**

347 Within the genus of *Lactobacillus*, 9 species were identified. *L. gasseri*, *L. ruminis*,
348 and *L. iners AB-1* were found in all the groups, with *Lactobacillus iners AB-1*
349 being the most abundant species (**Figure 7**). Among these, *L. jensenii* and *L.*
350 *vaginalis* were only detected in the UE group, while *L.reuteri* was unique to the
351 RIF group. *L. equicursoris*, *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius* were unique to the
352 controls.

353 **4. Discussion**

354 We compared, for the first time, the gut-vaginal microbiota axis of fertile women
355 with that of women diagnosed with RIF and UE. The core findings include i) the
356 infertile groups had gut dysbiosis as evident by low α -diversity indices and beta
357 diversity metrics; ii) the gut microbial composition of the RIF and UE groups
358 differed noticeably, with a set of Gram-positive taxa, mainly members of the
359 phylum *Firmicutes*, being dominant in the former group and a set of Gram-
360 negative bacteria, comprising members of the phylum *Proteobacteria* and the class
361 *Negativicutes*, of the phylum *Firmicutes*, being dominant in the latter group; iii)
362 butyrate-producing genera such as *Prevotella* declined in the infertile cohort; iv)
363 elevated levels of the genus *Hungatella* occurred in the infertile cohort, especially
364 in the UE; and v) the infertile cohort, especially the RIF group, had a
365 comparatively healthy vaginal microbiota than the controls.

366 Gut microbial richness and diversity, defined by α -diversity indices, declined
367 amongst the infertile groups, with the highest decline in the UE group. Studies
368 have suggested that reduced α -diversity, an important indicator of gut microbiome
369 health, indicates low-grade inflammatory disorders such as inflammatory bowel
370 disease, metabolic disorder and obesity (Ott and Schreiber 2006, Le Chatelier,
371 Nielsen et al. 2013, Al-Assal, Martinez et al. 2018). Of note, diet (vegetarian vs.
372 Non-vegetarian) had little influence on α -diversity. Although, a fine-grained
373 analysis showed vegetarian women had a higher proportion of the phyla
374 *Patescibacteria* and the genus *Bacteroides*, likely reflecting its role in the digestion
375 of plant fiber (Matijašić, Obermajer et al. 2014, Jang, Choi et al. 2017). Gut
376 dysbiosis was also reflected in beta diversity indices, suggesting a distinct bacterial
377 composition between the infertile cohort and the controls.

378 Notably, the reduction in the richness of gut bacteria in the RIF group was seen for
379 the phyla *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* compared with the other groups.
380 Mirroring this, we observed a lower percentage of *prevotellaceae* (phylum
381 *Bacteroidetes*), *Veillonellaceae* (phylum *Proteobacteria*), *Enterobacteriaceae*
382 (phylum *Proteobacteria*). This was partly reflected at the genus level by a several-
383 fold decrease in the genus *Prevotella* (phylum *Bacteroidetes*) and a mild elevation
384 in *Bacteroidaceae* (phylum *Bacteroidetes*). In contrast, in the UE group, the
385 reduction in richness was seen mainly for the phyla *Actinobacteria* and marginally

386 for *Bacteroidetes*. In keeping with this, reduced levels of the *Bifidobacteriaceae*
387 family (Phylum *Actinobacteria*) were noted, and a marginal decline in the phyla
388 *Bacteroidetes* was reflected by the depletion of the *prevotellaceae* family (phylum
389 *Bacteroidetes*) and a modest rise in *Bacteroidaceae* (phylum *Bacteroidetes*).

390 Altogether, the data clearly indicate that the reduced microbial richness in the RIF
391 and UE groups is characterised by contrasting types of abundance at the taxa level.
392 Nonetheless, data showed a partial overlap at the genus level in that a relative
393 decline in the abundance of *Prevotella* and an increase in the abundance of
394 *Bacteroides* commonly occurred in both the groups. Since *Prevotella* builds the
395 protective gut mucosal barrier from short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) such as
396 butyrate and *Bacteroides* impedes mucin synthesis by producing metabolites such
397 as succinate, acetate, and propionate, these findings suggest thinned mucosal
398 protection is the common abnormality in the infertile cohort. (Mejía-León and
399 Barca 2015). Of note, although not highly abundant in the infertile cohort,
400 *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-004 and *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-010, of the
401 *Ruminococcaceae* family and the genus *Sutterella* declined as well. These are also
402 butyrate- producing genera (Vital, Karch et al. 2017, Jennings, Koch et al. 2019,
403 Wang, Wichienhot et al. 2019).

404 When the mucus barrier is thinned, the gut bacteria and other microbe-associated
405 molecular patterns (MAMPs) come in direct contact with toll-like receptors

406 (TLRs), located in the gut epithelial cells such as the Paneth cells (Uchida,
407 Oyanagi et al. 2014, Okumura and Takeda 2017, Steimle, Michaelis et al. 2019).
408 TLRs recognise microbes and MAMPs, and subsequently elicit an immune
409 response, leading to localised and systemic inflammation, which can cause
410 implantation failure (Uchida, Oyanagi et al. 2014, Mejía-León and Barca 2015,
411 Okumura and Takeda 2017, Steimle, Michaelis et al. 2019).

412 We proffer the following hypotheses to explain how the gut dysbiosis in the
413 infertile groups causes implantation failure by separate mechanisms that promote
414 systemic inflammation. We posit that gut dysbiosis–induced metabolic
415 dysregulation plays a role in RIF. At the phyla level, abundances of *Bacteroidetes*
416 and *Proteobacteria* were lower. By contrast, *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria*'s
417 levels were higher in the RIF group compared with the other two groups--
418 indicating an obesity-associated microbiota profile (Koliada, Syzenko et al. 2017).
419 Obesity has been linked to an increase in *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* (F/B) ratio
420 (Verdam, Fuentes et al. 2013, Koliada, Syzenko et al. 2017).

421 Conversely, weight loss has been shown to normalise this ratio (Koliada, Syzenko
422 et al. 2017). The F/B ratio was elevated in six out of ten women in the RIF group.
423 In contrast, only two to three subjects had elevated F/B ratio in the other groups.
424 Unsurprisingly, the RIF group's mean BMI, highest among the three groups, was
425 in the obesity range (obesity ≥ 25 kg/m² for Asian Indians (Mahajan and Batra

426 2018)), which chimes with the fact that obesity is a risk factor for RIF(Zhang, Liu
427 et al. 2019).

428 Notably, the Clostridium XIVa cluster, of the *Firmicutes* phylum, whose members
429 comprise flagellated bacteria with a tendency to colonise mucus, play a critical role
430 in metabolic dysregulation such as obesity (including visceral
431 adiposity) (Lopetuso, Scaldaferri et al. 2013, Verdam, Fuentes et al. 2013,
432 Jennings, Koch et al. 2019). Indeed, a trend towards an increase in the relative
433 abundance of *Firmicutes* genera in this cluster such as *Lachnoclostridium*, *Dorea*,
434 *Ruminococcus 2*, and *Eubacterium* was duly noted in the RIF group (Lopetuso,
435 Scaldaferri et al. 2013). Crucially, LEfSe analysis found that *Eubacteriumhalli*, a
436 member of this cluster, previously found to be elevated in human obesity, is a RIF
437 biomarker (Sanz, Santacruz et al. 2008, Verdam, Fuentes et al. 2013). Strikingly,
438 the RIF group had the highest levels of the *Erysipelotrichaceae* (phylum
439 *Firmicutes*) family. In contrast, it was almost absent in the two groups. Elevated
440 levels of *Erysipelotrichaceae* has been linked to human obesity and has been
441 correlated to elevated levels of Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α), a pro-
442 inflammatory cytokine involved in obesity-linked insulin resistance (Kaakoush
443 2015). Tellingly, a high relative abundance of *Firmicutes* has been shown to
444 correlate with increased levels of peripheral TNF- α (Orbe-Orihuela, Lagunas-
445 MartÃ-nez et al. 2018). A rodent study found that a high-fat diet first increased the

446 phylum *Firmicutes*, corresponding with the changes of Paneth cell-antimicrobial
447 peptides, which was later followed by the elevations of circulating inflammatory
448 cytokines, including TNF- α , thus establishing causality between the phylum
449 *Firmicutes* and TNF- α (Guo, Li et al. 2017).

450 Therefore, precisely, we postulate that the phylum *Firmicutes* generates TNF- α -
451 driven systemic inflammation, and consequent insulin resistance may cause RIF.
452 Strikingly, investigators showed elevated TNF- α /IL-10 ratio correlates with an
453 increased risk of IVF failure (Winger, Reed et al. 2011). Chan *et al.* found that
454 insulin resistance reduces implantation rate in *in vitro* maturation-*in vitro*
455 fertilization-embryo transfer cycle (Chang, Han et al. 2013). Metformin, known to
456 reduce the F/B ratio, has been shown to increase pregnancy rate in IVF repeaters
457 without polycystic ovary syndrome (Jinno, Watanabe et al. 2010, Wang, Saha et al.
458 2018). Investigator showed an arginine-rich diet, known to reduce obesity and
459 increase insulin sensitivity, corrects the elevated F/B ratio and increases embryo
460 survival (Dai, Wu et al. 2011).

461 The most striking phyla level changed in the UE group involved depletion of
462 *Actinobacteria* and abundance of *Proteobacteria*, the pro-inflammatory phylum,
463 comprising common pathogens (e.g., *Escherichia*, *Salmonella*) (Sekirov, Russell et
464 al. 2010). Compared to the controls, only marginal changes occurred in the levels
465 of phyla *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* in this group, suggesting a critical role of

466 *Proteobacteria* phyla in UE. This concurs with the fact that *Bifidobacterium*, a
467 genus of the depleted phyla *Actinobacteria*, inhibits gut pathogens (Azad, Sarker et
468 al. 2018). Unsurprisingly, compared to the other two groups, the UE group had the
469 highest enrichment of pathogenic Gram-negative families, whose outer membrane
470 contains a unique component, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Brown 2019). These
471 bacterial families included: *Bacteroidaceae* (phylum *Bacteroidetes*),
472 *Veillonellaceae* (phylum *Firmicutes*) and *Enterobacteriaceae* (phylum
473 *Bacteroidetes*). Cogently, LEfSe analysis revealed members of the *Negativicutes*
474 class—such as *Veillonellaceae*, *Selenomonadales*, which are atypical gram-
475 negative *Firmicutes*, which possess lipopolysaccharides in the outer membranes—
476 were biomarkers of UE (Vesth, Ozen et al. 2013).

477 The abundance of *Negativicutes* has been linked with an increase in the systemic
478 levels of IL-6, the pro-inflammatory cytokine (Leite, Rodrigues et al. 2017). Along
479 this line, enrichment of other Gram-negative species has been shown to increase
480 plasma IL-6 levels (de Man, van Kooten et al. 1989, Leite, Rodrigues et al. 2017,
481 Higuchi, Rodrigues et al. 2018). LPS of Gram-negative species, a pro-
482 inflammatory endotoxin, binds to TLR-4 in the gastrointestinal mucosa, triggering
483 an inflammatory cascade that causes localised NF- κ B activation, ensuing the
484 systemic secretion of IL-6 (Steimle, Michaelis et al. 2019).

485 Taken together, we proffer that in the setting of the enfeebled mucosal barrier, the
486 overload of Gram-negative bacteria activates the gut innate immune system,
487 generating IL-6-driven systemic low-grade inflammation, ultimately leading to
488 UE. Indeed, Demir *et al.* found higher serum IL-6 levels, but not TNF-alpha levels,
489 in women with UE than fertile women (Demir, Guven et al. 2009). Since elevated
490 IL-6 levels impair various aspects of reproductive physiology, including LH
491 secretion, LH-induced ovulation, and FSH-stimulated E2 and progesterone release,
492 the gut bacteria-induced higher IL-6 levels may thus cause UE through these
493 mechanisms (Demir, Guven et al. 2009).

494 In the infertile cohort, fascinatingly, elevated levels of *Hungatella*, producers of
495 trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), which enhances thrombotic potential through
496 platelet hyperreactivity, were found than the controls (Zhu, Gregory et al. 2016,
497 Genoni, Christophersen et al. 2019). This data raises the possibility that an
498 overactive coagulation system is a common mechanism of implantation failure.
499 Since levels of *Hungatella* were highest in the UE group, this indicates an
500 important role of thrombosis in UE. Indeed, Azem *et al.* found inherited
501 thrombophilia plays a role in repeated IVF failures, particularly in the subgroup
502 with UE (Azem, Maslovich et al. 2001).

503 Regarding the landscape of the vaginal microbiota, data showed that the vaginal
504 bacterial community was less diverse than in the gut. Rarefaction analysis found

505 that the RIF group had the lowest microbial diversity of the three groups,
506 suggesting a healthy vaginal microbiota in the RIF group (Lokken, Richardson et
507 al. 2019). We posit that through TNF- α -driven systemic insulin resistance, gut
508 dysbiosis in the RIF group causes hyperglycemia and consequently increases
509 glycogen levels in the vaginal epithelium, which is required for the maintenance of
510 healthy microbiota (Carrara, Bazotte et al. 2009, Amabebe and Anumba 2018).
511 Alternatively, adipose tissue-driven rise in peripheral estrogen may increase the
512 glycogen content of vaginal epithelial cells (Lokken, Richardson et al. 2019). This
513 chimes with the finding that obesity protects against vaginal dysbiosis (Lokken,
514 Richardson et al. 2019). By contrast, the highest microbial diversity in the control
515 group suggests vaginal dysbiosis. LEfSe analysis found *Leptotrichia*, an
516 opportunistic pathogen of the female urogenital tract of the phylum *Fusobacteria*,
517 in this group (Thiesen, Nicolaidis et al. 2007). In line with this, other pathogenic
518 genera such as *Gardnerella*, *Prevotella*, and *Snaethia* were relatively more
519 abundant in the controls compared to the infertile groups (Thiesen, Nicolaidis et
520 al. 2007, Amabebe and Anumba 2018).

521 Consistent with previous research, *Firmicutes*, mainly *Lactobacilli* spp.,
522 constituted the bulk of total bacteria of all the groups (Madhivanan, Raphael et al.
523 2014, Madhivanan, Alleyn et al. 2015). Of the nine detected *Lactobacilli* spp., four
524 belonged to the *L. delbrueckii*, subsp. of the *L. acidophilus* group (*L. iners*,

525 *L.gasseri*, *L. jensenii*, *L. equicursoris*), three belonged to the *L. reuteri* group (*L.*
526 *fermentum*, *L. reuteri*, *L. vaginalis*), and two belonged to the *L. salivarius* group
527 (*L.ruminis*, *L. salivarius*) (Salvetti, Torriani et al. 2012). Of the three groups, the *L.*
528 *reuteri* group comprises mostly obligate heterofermentative lactobacilli, consistent
529 with the finding that compared to the women in the USA, Indian women housed a
530 higher proportion of obligate heterofermentative lactobacilli, reflecting a need for
531 metabolic plasticity and an adaptation against high pathogen load (Salvetti,
532 Torriani et al. 2012, Madhivanan, Raphael et al. 2014, Madhivanan, Alleyn et al.
533 2015). Three *Lactobacillus* species dominated the vaginal microbiota across the
534 groups: *L. iners*, *L.gasseri*, and *L.ruminis*, with *L. iners* being the most abundant,
535 suggesting the existence of community state type 3 (CST 3) of the five human
536 vaginal microbial communities (HVMC) as classified by Ravel et al. (2011)
537 (Ravel, Gajer et al. 2011). To put this in context, *L. crispatus*, *L. jensenii*, *L.*
538 *gasseri*, and *L. iners* occur most frequently in the healthy vagina worldwide,
539 although substantial geographic, socio-economic and ethnic variations exist
540 (Madhivanan, Krupp et al. 2008, Madhivanan, Raphael et al. 2014).

541 By lowering the vaginal PH<4 through lactic acid, generating bacteriocins and
542 hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), or acting as a competitive inhibitor, *Lactobacilli* spp.
543 protect the vagina from opportunistic pathogens (Kalia, Singh et al. 2020, Wang,
544 Fan et al. 2020). Notably, though this protection varies according to strains based

545 on their ability to produce D-lactic acid, which keeps PH<4, and antibacterial
546 compounds (Wang, Fan et al. 2020). Most studies have shown that *L. iners*,
547 lacking the ability to produce D-lactic acid, is less protective than other strains
548 such as *L. crispatus* and *L. salivarius* (Pino, Bartolo et al. 2019, Kalia, Singh et al.
549 2020, Wang, Fan et al. 2020). Indeed, the highest relative proportions of
550 *Lactobacillus iners AB-1* occurred in the UE group, suggesting a weakened
551 resistance to colonisation by pathogens (Wang, Fan et al. 2020).

552 In sum, we illuminated gut and vaginal bacterial communities' landscape, both at
553 broader and finer levels, in implantation failure-associated infertile women and
554 fertile women and offer conjectures to explain the data. Most importantly, these
555 findings suggest that gut dysbiosis does not necessarily lead to vaginal dysbiosis.
556 We hope that this study has laid the foundation of research on the link between the
557 gut microbiota, the gut-reproductive microbiota axis, and implantation failure,
558 which can lead microbiota-based diagnostic tools and therapeutic strategies.

559 Our study has a few limitations. First, since it is an underpowered single-center
560 study, multi-center longitudinal studies with a large sample size are needed.
561 Second, although we suggested the mechanistic hypotheses, we did not measure
562 alterations in the immune system, hormones, platelet parameters and bacterial
563 metabolic products such as short-chain fatty acids. Third, owing to the limited
564 resolution of the 16S rRNA-sequencing technique, we could not identify what

565 specific bacterial species or strains were involved. Fourth, we have not controlled
566 for the factors that influence the vaginal microbiota such as menses, vaginal
567 douching, and contraception. Fifth, we included two women with RPL in the RIF
568 group. Finally, the functional significance of many species such as *peptoniphilus*
569 remains undetermined in our analysis as the literature is scant on these genera.
570 Hence, future investigations should address these shortcomings.

571

572 **References**

- 573 Al-Assal, K., A. C. Martinez, R. S. Torrinhas, C. Cardinelli and D. Waitzberg (2018). "Gut
574 microbiota and obesity." *Clinical Nutrition Experimental* **20**: 60-64.
- 575 Al-Nasiry, S., E. Ambrosino, M. Schlaepfer, S. A. Morré, L. Wieten, J. W. Voncken, M.
576 Spinelli, M. Mueller and B. W. Kramer (2020). "The Interplay Between Reproductive Tract
577 Microbiota and Immunological System in Human Reproduction." *Frontiers in immunology* **11**:
578 378-378.
- 579 Alexander, K. L., S. R. Targan and C. O. Elson, 3rd (2014). "Microbiota activation and
580 regulation of innate and adaptive immunity." *Immunological reviews* **260**(1): 206-220.
- 581 Amabebe, E. and D. O. C. Anumba (2018). "The Vaginal Microenvironment: The Physiologic
582 Role of Lactobacilli." *Frontiers in medicine* **5**: 181-181.
- 583 Azad, M. A. K., M. Sarker, T. Li and J. Yin (2018). "Probiotic Species in the Modulation of Gut
584 Microbiota: An Overview." *BioMed research international* **2018**: 9478630-9478630.
- 585 Azem, F., S. Maslovich, A. Amit, J. B. Lessing, M. J. Kupferminc and A. Many (2001).
586 "Increased rates of thrombophilia in women with repeated in-vitro fertilization-embryo transfer
587 (IVF-ET) failures." *Fertility and Sterility* **76**(3): S226.
- 588 Baker, J. M., L. Al-Nakkash and M. M. Herbst-Kralovetz (2017). "Estrogen–gut microbiome
589 axis: Physiological and clinical implications." *Maturitas* **103**: 45-53.
- 590 Bellver, J., S. R. Soares, C. Alvarez, E. Munoz, A. Ramirez, C. Rubio, V. Serra, J. Remohi and
591 A. Pellicer (2008). "The role of thrombophilia and thyroid autoimmunity in unexplained
592 infertility, implantation failure and recurrent spontaneous abortion." *Hum Reprod* **23**(2): 278-
593 284.
- 594 Brown, G. C. (2019). "The endotoxin hypothesis of neurodegeneration." *Journal of*
595 *Neuroinflammation* **16**(1): 180.
- 596 Budden, K. F., S. L. Gellatly, D. L. A. Wood, M. A. Cooper, M. Morrison, P. Hugenholtz and P.
597 M. Hansbro (2017). "Emerging pathogenic links between microbiota and the gut–lung axis."
598 *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **15**(1): 55-63.
- 599 Carrara, M. A., R. B. Bazotte, L. Donatti, T. I. Svidzinski, M. E. Consolaro, E. V. Patussi and M.
600 R. Batista (2009). "Effect of experimental diabetes on the development and maintenance of
601 vulvovaginal candidiasis in female rats." *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **200**(6): 659 e651-654.
- 602 Chang, E. M., J. E. Han, H. H. Seok, D. R. Lee, T. K. Yoon and W. S. Lee (2013). "Insulin
603 resistance does not affect early embryo development but lowers implantation rate in in vitro
604 maturation–in vitro fertilization–embryo transfer cycle." *Clinical Endocrinology* **79**(1): 93-99.
- 605 Cho, S. H., J. H. Kim, H. J. An, J. O. Kim, Y. R. Kim, W. S. Lee and N. K. Kim (2019).
606 "Association of methionine synthase (rs1805087), methionine synthase reductase (rs1801394),
607 and methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase 1 (rs2236225) genetic polymorphisms with
608 recurrent implantation failure." *Hum Fertil (Camb)*: 1-8.
- 609 Christiansen, O. B., H. S. Nielsen, A. Kolte and A. T. Pedersen (2006). "Research methodology
610 and epidemiology of relevance in recurrent pregnancy loss." *Semin Reprod Med* **24**(1): 5-16.
- 611 Christiansen, O. B., H. S. Nielsen and A. M. Kolte (2006). "Future directions of failed
612 implantation and recurrent miscarriage research." *Reprod Biomed Online* **13**(1): 71-83.
- 613 Dai, Z.-L., G. Wu and W.-Y. Zhu (2011). "Amino acid metabolism in intestinal bacteria: links
614 between gut ecology and host health." *Frontiers in bioscience (Landmark edition)* **16**: 1768-
615 1786.

616 de Man, P., C. van Kooten, L. Aarden, I. Engberg, H. Linder and C. Svanborg Edén (1989).
617 "Interleukin-6 induced at mucosal surfaces by gram-negative bacterial infection." *Infection and*
618 *immunity* **57**(11): 3383-3388.

619 Demir, B., S. Guven, E. S. G. Guven, Y. Atamer and T. Gul (2009). "Serum IL-6 level may have
620 role in the pathophysiology of unexplained infertility." *American journal of reproductive*
621 *immunology* (New York, N.Y. : 1989) **62**(4): 261-267.

622 El Hachem, H., V. Crepaux, P. May-Panloup, P. Descamps, G. Legendre and P.-E. Bouet (2017).
623 "Recurrent pregnancy loss: current perspectives." *International journal of women's health* **9**: 331-
624 345.

625 Genoni, A., C. T. Christophersen, J. Lo, M. Coghlan, M. C. Boyce, A. R. Bird, P. Lyons-Wall
626 and A. Devine (2019). "Long-term Paleolithic diet is associated with lower resistant starch
627 intake, different gut microbiota composition and increased serum TMAO concentrations."
628 *European Journal of Nutrition*.

629 Graham, R. A., M. W. Seif, J. D. Aplin, T. C. Li, I. D. Cooke, A. W. Rogers and P. Dockery
630 (1990). "An endometrial factor in unexplained infertility." *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*
631 **300**(6737): 1428-1431.

632 Guo, X., J. Li, R. Tang, G. Zhang, H. Zeng, R. J. Wood and Z. Liu (2017). "High Fat Diet Alters
633 Gut Microbiota and the Expression of Paneth Cell-Antimicrobial Peptides Preceding Changes of
634 Circulating Inflammatory Cytokines." *Mediators of inflammation* **2017**: 9474896-9474896.

635 Hernández-Vargas, P., M. Muñoz and F. Domínguez (2020). "Identifying biomarkers for
636 predicting successful embryo implantation: applying single to multi-OMICs to improve
637 reproductive outcomes." *Human Reproduction Update* **26**(2): 264-301.

638 Higuchi, B. S., N. Rodrigues, M. I. Gonzaga, J. C. C. Paiolo, N. Stefanutto, W. P. Omori, D. G.
639 Pinheiro, J. L. Brisotti, E. Matheucci, Jr., V. S. Mariano and G. L. V. de Oliveira (2018).
640 "Intestinal Dysbiosis in Autoimmune Diabetes Is Correlated With Poor Glycemic Control and
641 Increased Interleukin-6: A Pilot Study." *Frontiers in immunology* **9**: 1689-1689.

642 Jang, H. B., M.-K. Choi, J. H. Kang, S. I. Park and H.-J. Lee (2017). "Association of dietary
643 patterns with the fecal microbiota in Korean adolescents." *BMC Nutrition* **3**(1): 20.

644 Jennings, A., M. Koch, M. K. Jensen, C. Bang, J. Kassubek, H.-P. Müller, U. Nöthlings, A.
645 Franke, W. Lieb and A. Cassidy (2019). "The role of the gut microbiome in the association
646 between habitual anthocyanin intake and visceral abdominal fat in population-level analysis."
647 *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **111**(2): 340-350.

648 Jinno, M., A. Watanabe, N. Eguchi, N. Hatakeyama, J. Hirohama and E. Hiura (2010). "Low-
649 dose metformin improves pregnancy rate in IVF repeaters without polycystic ovary syndrome:
650 its indication and mechanism." *Fertility and Sterility* **94**(4): S29.

651 Kaakoush, N. O. (2015). "Insights into the Role of Erysipelotrichaceae in the Human Host."
652 *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* **5**: 84-84.

653 Kalia, N., J. Singh and M. Kaur (2020). "Microbiota in vaginal health and pathogenesis of
654 recurrent vulvovaginal infections: a critical review." *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and*
655 *Antimicrobials* **19**(1): 5.

656 Kamel, R. M. (2010). "Management of the infertile couple: an evidence-based protocol."
657 *Reproductive biology and endocrinology : RB&E* **8**: 21-21.

658 Koliada, A., G. Syzenko, V. Moseiko, L. Budovska, K. Puchkov, V. Perederiy, Y. Gavalko, A.
659 Dorofeyev, M. Romanenko, S. Tkach, L. Sineok, O. Lushchak and A. Vaiserman (2017).
660 "Association between body mass index and Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio in an adult Ukrainian
661 population." *BMC microbiology* **17**(1): 120-120.

662 Le Chatelier, E., T. Nielsen, J. Qin, E. Prifti, F. Hildebrand, G. Falony, M. Almeida, M.
663 Arumugam, J.-M. Batto, S. Kennedy, P. Leonard, J. Li, K. Burgdorf, N. Grarup, T. Jørgensen, I.
664 Brandslund, H. B. Nielsen, A. S. Juncker, M. Bertalan, F. Levenez, N. Pons, S. Rasmussen, S.
665 Sunagawa, J. Tap, S. Tims, E. G. Zoetendal, S. Brunak, K. Clément, J. Doré, M. Kleerebezem,
666 K. Kristiansen, P. Renault, T. Sicheritz-Ponten, W. M. de Vos, J.-D. Zucker, J. Raes, T. Hansen,
667 H. I. T. c. Meta, P. Bork, J. Wang, S. D. Ehrlich and O. Pedersen (2013). "Richness of human
668 gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers." *Nature* **500**(7464): 541-546.
669 Leite, A. Z., N. d. C. Rodrigues, M. I. Gonzaga, J. C. C. Paiolo, C. A. de Souza, N. A. V.
670 Stefanutto, W. P. Omori, D. G. Pinheiro, J. L. Brisotti, E. Matheucci Junior, V. S. Mariano and
671 G. L. V. de Oliveira (2017). "Detection of Increased Plasma Interleukin-6 Levels and Prevalence
672 of *Prevotella copri* and *Bacteroides vulgatus* in the Feces of Type 2 Diabetes Patients." *Frontiers*
673 *in immunology* **8**: 1107-1107.
674 Lindsay, T. J. and K. R. Vitrikas (2015). "Evaluation and treatment of infertility." *American*
675 *family physician* **91**(5): 308-314.
676 Lokken, E. M., B. A. Richardson, J. Kinuthia, K. Mwinyikai, A. Abdalla, W. Jaoko, K.
677 Mandaliya, J. Shafi and R. Scott McClelland (2019). "A Prospective Cohort Study of the
678 Association Between Body Mass Index and Incident Bacterial Vaginosis." *Sexually transmitted*
679 *diseases* **46**(1): 31-36.
680 Lopetuso, L. R., F. Scaldaferri, V. Petito and A. Gasbarrini (2013). "Commensal Clostridia:
681 leading players in the maintenance of gut homeostasis." *Gut pathogens* **5**(1): 23-23.
682 Madhivanan, P., H. N. Alleyn, E. Raphael, K. Krupp, K. Ravi, R. Nebhrajani, A. Arun, A. L.
683 Reingold, L. W. Riley and J. D. Klausner (2015). "Identification of culturable vaginal
684 *Lactobacillus* species among reproductive age women in Mysore, India." *J Med Microbiol* **64**(6):
685 636-641.
686 Madhivanan, P., K. Krupp, V. Chandrasekaran, C. Karat, A. Arun, C. R. Cohen, A. L. Reingold
687 and J. D. Klausner (2008). "Prevalence and correlates of bacterial vaginosis among young
688 women of reproductive age in Mysore, India." *Indian J Med Microbiol* **26**(2): 132-137.
689 Madhivanan, P., E. Raphael, A. Rumphs, K. Krupp, K. Ravi, V. Srinivas, A. Arun, A. L.
690 Reingold, J. D. Klausner and L. W. Riley (2014). "Characterization of culturable vaginal
691 *Lactobacillus* species among women with and without bacterial vaginosis from the United States
692 and India: a cross-sectional study." *Journal of medical microbiology* **63**(Pt 7): 931-935.
693 Mahajan, K. and A. Batra (2018). "Obesity in adult asian indians- the ideal BMI cut-off." *Indian*
694 *heart journal* **70**(1): 195-195.
695 Matijašić, B. B., T. Obermajer, L. Lipoglavšek, I. Grabnar, G. Avguštin and I. Rogelj (2014).
696 "Association of dietary type with fecal microbiota in vegetarians and omnivores in Slovenia."
697 *European Journal of Nutrition* **53**(4): 1051-1064.
698 Mejía-León, M. E. and A. M. C. d. I. Barca (2015). "Diet, Microbiota and Immune System in
699 Type 1 Diabetes Development and Evolution." *Nutrients* **7**(11): 9171-9184.
700 Moreno, I., F. M. Codoñer, F. Vilella, D. Valbuena, J. F. Martinez-Blanch, J. Jimenez-Almazán,
701 R. Alonso, P. Alamá, J. Remohí, A. Pellicer, D. Ramon and C. Simon (2016). "Evidence that the
702 endometrial microbiota has an effect on implantation success or failure." *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
703 **215**(6): 684-703.
704 Okumura, R. and K. Takeda (2017). "Roles of intestinal epithelial cells in the maintenance of gut
705 homeostasis." *Experimental & Molecular Medicine* **49**(5): e338-e338.
706 Orbe-Orihuela, Y. C., A. Lagunas-Martínez, M. Bahena-Román, V. Madrid-Marina, K.
707 Torres-Poveda, E. Flores-Alfaro, A. Mández-Padrón, C. E. Díaz-Benitez, O. Peralta-

- 708 Zaragoza, D. Ant nez-Ortiz, M. Cruz and A. I. Burguete-Garc a (2018). "High relative
709 abundance of firmicutes and increased TNF- \pm levels correlate with obesity in children." *Salud*
710 *Publica Mex.* 2018; 60(1): 5-11.
- 711 Ott, S. J. and S. Schreiber (2006). "Reduced microbial diversity in inflammatory bowel diseases."
712 *Gut* **55**(8): 1207-1207.
- 713 Pino, A., E. Bartolo, C. Caggia, A. Cianci and C. L. Randazzo (2019). "Detection of vaginal
714 lactobacilli as probiotic candidates." *Scientific Reports* **9**(1): 3355.
- 715 Polanski, L. T., M. N. Baumgarten, S. Quenby, J. Brosens, B. K. Campbell and N. J. Raine-
716 Fenning (2014). "What exactly do we mean by 'recurrent implantation failure'? A systematic
717 review and opinion." *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* **28**(4): 409-423.
- 718 Ravel, J. and R. M. Brotman (2016). "Translating the vaginal microbiome: gaps and challenges."
719 *Genome medicine* **8**(1): 35-35.
- 720 Ravel, J., P. Gajer, Z. Abdo, G. M. Schneider, S. S. K. Koenig, S. L. McCulle, S. Karlebach, R.
721 Gorle, J. Russell, C. O. Tacket, R. M. Brotman, C. C. Davis, K. Ault, L. Peralta and L. J. Forney
722 (2011). "Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women." *Proceedings of the National*
723 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108 Suppl 1**(Suppl 1): 4680-4687.
- 724 Salvetti, E., S. Torriani and G. E. Felis (2012). "The Genus *Lactobacillus*: A Taxonomic
725 Update." *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins* **4**(4): 217-226.
- 726 Sanz, Y., A. Santacruz and G. De Palma (2008). "Insights into the roles of gut microbes in
727 obesity." *Interdisciplinary perspectives on infectious diseases* **2008**: 829101-829101.
- 728 Sekirov, I., S. L. Russell, L. C. M. Antunes and B. B. Finlay (2010). "Gut Microbiota in Health
729 and Disease." *Physiological Reviews* **90**(3): 859-904.
- 730 Simon, A. and N. Laufer (2012). "Assessment and treatment of repeated implantation failure
731 (RIF)." *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* **29**(11): 1227-1239.
- 732 Steimle, A., L. Michaelis, F. Di Lorenzo, T. Kliem, T. M nznner, J. K. Maerz, A. Sch fer, A.
733 Lange, R. Parusel, K. Gronbach, K. Fuchs, A. Silipo, H. H.  z, B. J. Pichler, I. B. Autenrieth, A.
734 Molinaro and J.-S. Frick (2019). "Weak Agonistic LPS Restores Intestinal Immune
735 Homeostasis." *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy* **27**(11):
736 1974-1991.
- 737 Tersigni, C., S. D'Ippolito, F. Di Nicuolo, R. Marana, V. Valenza, V. Masciullo, F. Scaldaferrri,
738 F. Malatucca, C. de Waure, A. Gasbarrini, G. Scambia and N. Di Simone (2018). "Recurrent
739 pregnancy loss is associated to leaky gut: a novel pathogenic model of endometrium
740 inflammation?" *Journal of translational medicine* **16**(1): 102-102.
- 741 Thilesen, C. M., M. Nicolaidis, J. E. L keb , E. Falsen, A. T. Jorde and F. M ller (2007).
742 "*Leptotrichia amnionii*, an emerging pathogen of the female urogenital tract." *Journal of clinical*
743 *microbiology* **45**(7): 2344-2347.
- 744 Uchida, M., E. Oyanagi, N. Kawanishi, M. Iemitsu, M. Miyachi, M. J. Kremenik, S. Onodera
745 and H. Yano (2014). "Exhaustive exercise increases the TNF- α production in response to
746 flagellin via the upregulation of toll-like receptor 5 in the large intestine in mice." *Immunology*
747 *letters* **158**(1-2): 151-158.
- 748 Verdam, F. J., S. Fuentes, C. de Jonge, E. G. Zoetendal, R. Erbil, J. W. Greve, W. A. Buurman,
749 W. M. de Vos and S. S. Rensen (2013). "Human intestinal microbiota composition is associated
750 with local and systemic inflammation in obesity." *Obesity* **21**(12): E607-E615.
- 751 Vesth, T., A. Ozen, S. C. Andersen, R. S. Kaas, O. Lukjancenko, J. Bohlin, I. Nookaew, T. M.
752 Wassenaar and D. W. Ussery (2013). "*Veillonella*, Firmicutes: Microbes disguised as Gram
753 negatives." *Standards in genomic sciences* **9**(2): 431-448.

- 754 Vinchi, F. (2019). "Thrombosis Prevention: Let's Drug the Microbiome!" *HemaSphere* **3**(1):
755 e165-e165.
- 756 Vital, M., A. Karch and D. H. Pieper (2017). "Colonic Butyrate-Producing Communities in
757 Humans: an Overview Using Omics Data." *mSystems* **2**(6): e00130-00117.
- 758 Wang, C., A. Fan, H. Li, Y. Yan, W. Qi, Y. Wang, C. Han and F. Xue (2020). "Vaginal bacterial
759 profiles of aerobic vaginitis: a case-control study." *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious
760 Disease* **96**(4): 114981.
- 761 Wang, M., S. Wichienchot, X. He, X. Fu, Q. Huang and B. Zhang (2019). "In vitro colonic
762 fermentation of dietary fibers: Fermentation rate, short-chain fatty acid production and changes
763 in microbiota." *Trends in Food Science & Technology* **88**: 1-9.
- 764 Wang, Z., S. Saha, S. Van Horn, E. Thomas, C. Traini, G. Sathe, D. K. Rajpal and J. R. Brown
765 (2018). "Gut microbiome differences between metformin- and liraglutide-treated T2DM
766 subjects." *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab* **1**(1): e00009.
- 767 Winger, E. E., J. L. Reed, S. Ashoush, T. El-Toukhy, S. Ahuja and M. Taranissi (2011). "Degree
768 of TNF- α /IL-10 Cytokine Elevation Correlates With IVF Success Rates in Women Undergoing
769 Treatment With Adalimumab (Humira) and IVIG." *American Journal of Reproductive
770 Immunology* **65**(6): 610-618.
- 771 Witkin, S. S., K. M. Sultan, G. S. Neal, J. Jeremias, J. A. Grifo and Z. Rosenwaks (1994).
772 "Unsuspected Chlamydia trachomatis infection and in vitro fertilization outcome." *American
773 Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **171**(5): 1208-1214.
- 774 Zhang, J., H. Liu, X. Mao, Q. Chen, Y. Fan, Y. Xiao, Y. Wang and Y. Kuang (2019). "Effect of
775 body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in a freeze-all policy: an analysis of 22,043 first
776 autologous frozen-thawed embryo transfer cycles in China." *BMC Medicine* **17**(1): 114.
- 777 Zhu, W., J. C. Gregory, E. Org, J. A. Buffa, N. Gupta, Z. Wang, L. Li, X. Fu, Y. Wu, M.
778 Mehrabian, R. B. Sartor, T. M. McIntyre, R. L. Silverstein, W. H. W. Tang, J. A. DiDonato, J.
779 M. Brown, A. J. Lusis and S. L. Hazen (2016). "Gut Microbial Metabolite TMAO Enhances
780 Platelet Hyperreactivity and Thrombosis Risk." *Cell* **165**(1): 111-124.

781

782

783 **Acknowledgements:** The authors wish to acknowledge the technical support of
784 Nitin Savaliya, of Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre (GBRC), for fecal and
785 vaginal samples sequencing run. We are also grateful to Dr Yuvraj Jadeja, Dr Nipa
786 Shah, Dr Nikita Daswani, Hansa Khrishti and Harmi Thakkar for supporting the
787 clinical work.

788

789 **Authors' contributions:** BP conceptualized the idea, contributed to the design,
790 made a few suggestions on the statistical methods, interpreted the data, and wrote
791 the manuscript except for the metagenomics part of the method section. NP
792 contributed to the design, patient identification, sample collection, ethical approval,
793 financially supported the clinical work, presented a part of the work, and critically
794 discussed and corrected the manuscript's clinical aspects. NP collected fecal and
795 vaginal samples, performed DNA isolation, sequencing, assisted in the analysis,
796 drafted a part of the material and method section, and prepared some graphs and
797 tables. SP collected fecal and vaginal samples, performed DNA isolation, library
798 preparation of samples, and contributed to the correction of materials and method
799 section. NN analysed the metagenomics data and contributed to the writing of the
800 metagenomics section of the manuscript. RP sequenced fecal samples and
801 corrected material and method section. CJ, the director of GBRC, provided
802 financial and technical support and guidance for metagenomics sequencing and
803 critically discussed the data. NP and MP contributed to ethical permission, patient
804 selection and clinical data acquisition and critically discussed the data. All the
805 authors provided criticisms and read and approved the manuscript.

806

807

808 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

809 **Figure and Table Legend**

810

811 **Table 1.** Study characteristics of the control, RIF, and the UE groups. Data are
812 expressed as the mean \pm SD or n/N (%).RIF, recurrent implantation failure; UE,
813 unexplained infertility; BMI, body–mass index; Veg, Vegetarian; NA, Not
814 applicable. Differences between the 3 groups were assessed by 1-factor ANOVA
815 and Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used for post-hoc comparisons, if
816 $P < 0.05$. In the RIF group, two participants belonged to the RPL category. a.
817 statistically significant difference between CON and RIF.

818

819 **Figure 1.** Box plots of α -diversity indices of the gut bacterial microbiomes of the
820 control (CON, N = 11), RIF (RIF, N = 10), and the UE (UE, N=10) groups: (a)
821 Shannon and (b) Chao 1 indices. The P values were determined by the Kruskal-
822 Wallis test.

823

824 **Figure 2.** Differences in community composition (β -diversity) between the control
825 (CON, Red, N = 11), RIF (RIF, Green, N = 10), and the UE group (UE, Cyan
826 N=10) groups. Comparisons are based on the PCoA plots of Bray-Curtis (left) and
827 Jaccard distances (right). Each principal coordinate axis represents the proportion
828 of variance. Non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)

829 was used to calculate statistical differences between the groups. In the Bray-Curtis-
830 based PCoA, the first and second axes of the PCoA accounted for 21.5% of the
831 total variance (PERMANOVA, $P < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.12$). In the Jaccard based PCoA, the
832 first and second axes explained 23.4% of the total variance (PERMANOVA,
833 $P < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.10$).

834

835 **Figure 3.** The bar chart shows the comparisons of relative abundances of top gut
836 bacterial taxa between control (CON, N = 11), RIF (RIF, N = 10), and the UE (UE,
837 N=10) groups at (a) the phylum (b) family and (c) genus levels. No differences in
838 relative abundance were found between the phylum and family levels ($P > 0.05$,
839 Kruskal-Wallis test). Six bacterial genera showed statistically significantly
840 ($P < 0.05$, Kruskal-wallis test) differential abundance across the three groups are
841 shown.

842

843 **Figure 4.** Distinct taxa of the gut bacteria determined by linear discriminant
844 analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis in the control (CON, N = 11), RIF (RIF, N =
845 10), and the UE (UE, N=10) groups. (a) The cladogram shows the taxa that were
846 significantly elevated between the groups (b) Taxa with an LDA score significant
847 threshold > 3 are shown ($P < .05$; LDA score > 3).

848

849 **Figure 5.** The bar charts show taxonomic comparisons of the vaginal bacteria
850 between the control (CON, N = 8), RIF (RIF, N = 8), and the UE (UE, N=8)
851 groups at (a) the phylum, (b) family (c) genus level (d) species levels. No
852 differences in relative abundance were found at any levels ($P > 0.05$, Kruskal-
853 Wallis test).

854
855 **Figure 6.** Differentially abundant vagina bacteria between the control (CON, N =
856 8), RIF (RIF, N = 8), and the UE (UE, N=8) groups as determined by linear
857 discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis. (a) Cladogram shows the taxa
858 that were significantly enriched between the groups (b) Taxa with a LDA score
859 significant threshold >3 are shown ($P < .05$; LDA score 3).

860
861 **Figure 7.** The bar charts show taxonomic comparisons of different *Lactobacillus*
862 spp. of the vagina between the control (CON, N = 8), RIF (RIF, N = 8), and the UE
863 (UE, N=8) groups. No significant differences in relative abundance were found
864 between at any levels ($P > 0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test).

865

866

867 **Supplementary figures**

868 **Figure 1S.** Rarefaction analysis of Gut and Vaginal Microbial diversity of the
869 controls, RIF and UE groups. (A) Rarefaction curve of α -diversity of the gut
870 bacteria in control (CON, N = 11), RIF (RIF, N = 10), and the UE (UE, N=10)
871 groups (B) Rarefaction curve of α -diversity of the vaginal bacteria in control
872 (CON, N = 8), RIF (RIF, N = 8), and the UE (UE, N=8) groups. The x-axis shows
873 the number of sequences per sample, and the y-axis shows the rarefaction measure.
874 When the curve plateaus, it shows that the sequencing data volume is sufficient to
875 reveal most of the microbial information in the sample. The values of the y-axis
876 reflect the community diversity of microbiota. The sequence number in the chart
877 shows the sequence number of the sample. OTU, operational taxonomic unit.

878
879 **Figure 2S.** Rarefaction analysis of microbiome diversity sequences per sample: (a)
880 gut (n=31) and (b) vaginal samples (n=24) (Operational taxonomic units (OTUs)
881 for each sample at 97% of similarity). Each graph represents mean (column) and
882 SD (bars).

883
884 **Figure 3S.** Influence of diet on α -diversity between vegetarian (n=21) and non-
885 vegetarian participants (n=10). No significant difference in α -diversity between
886 these groups was found ($P > 0.05$, the Mann-Whitney U test).

887 **Figure 4S.** The bar chart shows taxonomic comparisons of the gut bacteria
888 between the controls (CON, N=11) and the infertile cohort (the RIF plus UE
889 groups, N=20) at the genus level with statistical significance values ($P > 0.05$,
890 Mann-Whitney U test).

891

892

893

Table 1

Characteristics	Control (n=11)		RIF (n=10)		UE (n=10)	
Age (Years)	27.9±3.8		34.5±4.8 ^a		30.8±3.42	
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.62±4.05		25.9±3.31 ^a		23.92±3	
Nulligravida	NA		2 (20%)		NA	
Nullipara			8 (80%)		10 (100%)	
Dietary Information	Veg	Non-Veg	Veg	Non-Veg	Veg	Non-Veg
	5 (45%)	6 (54%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)

Figure 1(a)

Kruskal-Wallis , $P=0.049$; $H =6.00$




Figure 1(b)




Figure 2(a),(b)





Figure 3(a)




Figure 3(b)







Figure 3(c)




Hungatella




Ruminococcaceae UCG-010




Bacteroides



Prevotella_9



Ruminococcaceae UCG-004



Sutterella

Figure 4(a)




Figure 4(b)




Figure 5(a)




Figure 5(b)




Figure 5(c)




Figure 5(d)




Figure 6





Figure 7



Supplementary figures

Figure 1S(a)




Figure 1S(b)




Figure 2S(a)




Figure 2S(b)




Figure 3S

Kruskal-Wallis , $P=0.150$; $H =2.06$




Figure 4S

