


Supplemental Figure 1: Summary risk of bias as a percentage of all included studies for the effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes



Supplemental figure 2: Sensitivity analyses of main effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes

Contents


Supplemental figure 2A: Mean differences in hemoglobin concentration	2
Supplemental figure 2B: Geometric mean ratios for log transformed continuous outcomes	3
Supplemental figure 2B1: Geometric mean ratios for ferritin, sTfR, and ZPP	3
Supplemental figure 2B2: Geometric mean ratios for plasma zinc, retinol, and RBP	4
Supplemental figure 2C: Prevalence ratios for dichotomous outcomes	5
Supplemental figure 2C1: Prevalence ratios for anemia, moderate-to-severe anemia, iron deficiency, iron deficiency anemia, elevated sTfR, and elevated ZPP	5
Supplemental figure 2C2: Prevalence ratios for low and marginal vitamin A status	6
Supplemental figure 2D: Prevalence differences for dichotomous outcomes	7
Supplemental figure 2D1: Prevalence differences for anemia, moderate-to-severe anemia, iron deficiency, iron deficiency anemia, elevated sTfR, and elevated ZPP	7
Supplemental figure 2D2: Prevalence ratios for low and marginal vitamin A status	8

These figures show the pooled estimates of intervention effects by different pooling methods and different sensitivity analyses. For continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group.

The labels on the left y-axis indicate which outcome is assessed. The different columns correspond to sensitivity analyses in which intervention group categorization differs. All-trial analysis includes all trials; Child-LNS-only excludes trial arms that provided both maternal and child LNS; Multi-component analysis separates comparisons within trials that included multi-component interventions, so that the SQ-LNS vs. no SQ-LNS comparisons were conducted separately between pairs of arms that included the same non-nutrition components (e.g. SQ-LNS+WASH vs. WASH; SQ-LNS vs. Control); Passive arms excluded analysis excludes passive control arms. Depending on the sensitivity analysis, there may not have been enough comparisons available to generate a pooled estimate.


sTfR, soluble transferrin receptor; ZPP, zinc protoporphyrin; RBP, retinol binding protein.

Supplemental figure 2A: Mean differences in hemoglobin concentration




Supplemental figure 2B: Geometric mean ratios for log transformed continuous outcomes

Supplemental figure 2B1: Geometric mean ratios for ferritin, sTfR, and ZPP




Supplemental figure 2B2: Geometric mean ratios for plasma zinc, retinol, and RBP




Supplemental figure 2C: Prevalence ratios for dichotomous outcomes

Supplemental figure 2C1: Prevalence ratios for anemia, moderate-to-severe anemia, iron deficiency, iron deficiency anemia, elevated sTfR, and elevated ZPP




Supplemental figure 2C2: Prevalence ratios for low and marginal vitamin A status




Supplemental figure 2D: Prevalence differences for dichotomous outcomes

Supplemental figure 2D1: Prevalence differences for anemia, moderate-to-severe anemia, iron deficiency, iron deficiency anemia, elevated sTfR, and elevated ZPP



Supplemental figure 2D2: Prevalence ratios for low and marginal vitamin A status



Supplemental figure 3: Forest plots for all main effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes


Contents

Supplemental figure 3A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration	3
Supplemental figure 3B: Anemia prevalence ratio	4
Supplemental figure 3C: Anemia prevalence difference	5
Supplemental figure 3D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio	6
Supplemental figure 3E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference	7
Supplemental figure 3F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration	8
Supplemental figure 3G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio	9
Supplemental figure 3H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference	10
Supplemental figure 3I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio	11
Supplemental figure 3J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference	12
Supplemental figure 3K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	13
Supplemental figure 3L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio	14
Supplemental figure 3M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference	15
Supplemental figure 3N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	16
Supplemental figure 3O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio	17
Supplemental figure 3P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference	18
Supplemental figure 3Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration	19
Supplemental figure 3R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration	20
Supplemental figure 3S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	21
Supplemental figure 3T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	22
Supplemental figure 3U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	23
Supplemental figure 3V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	24
Supplemental figure 3W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration	25
Supplemental figure 3X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	26
Supplemental figure 3Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	27
Supplemental figure 3Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	28


Supplemental figure 3AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

These figures are forest plots showing the study-level estimates of intervention effect with the pooled estimate in the bottom summary rows. For continuous outcomes the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis correspond to trial level information. The values on the right indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimate. RBP, retinol binding protein.


Supplemental figure 3A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration




Supplemental figure 3B: Anemia prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3C: Anemia prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration




Supplemental figure 3G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration




Supplemental figure 3L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration




Supplemental figure 3O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration




Supplemental figure 3R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration




Supplemental figure 3S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference




Supplemental figure 3W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration




Supplemental figure 3X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio




Supplemental figure 3Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference



Supplemental figure 3Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio



Supplemental figure 3AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference



Supplemental figure 4: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes stratified by study-level effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration	9
4A1: Stratified by Geographic region	9
4A2: Stratified by Anemia burden	10
4A3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	11
4A4: Stratified by Inflammation burden	12
4A5: Stratified by Source water quality	13
4A6: Stratified by Sanitation	14
4A7: Stratified by Supplement duration	15
4A8: Stratified by Iron dose	16
4A9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	17
4A10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	18
Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio	19
4B1: Stratified by Geographic region	19
4B2: Stratified by Anemia burden	20
4B3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	21
4B4: Stratified by Inflammation burden	22
4B5: Stratified by Source water quality	23
4B6: Stratified by Sanitation	24
4B7: Stratified by Supplement duration	25
4B8: Stratified by Iron dose	26
4B9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	27
4B10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	28
Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference	29
4C1: Stratified by Geographic region	29
4C2: Stratified by Anemia burden	30
4C3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	31
4C4: Stratified by Inflammation burden	32
4C5: Stratified by Source water quality	33

4C6: Stratified by Sanitation	34
4C7: Stratified by Supplement duration	35
4C8: Stratified by Iron dose	36
4C9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	37
4C10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	38
Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio	39
4D1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	39
4D2: Stratified by Anemia burden	40
4D3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	41
4D4: Stratified by Inflammation burden	42
4D5: Stratified by Source water quality	43
4D6: Stratified by Sanitation	44
4D7: Stratified by Supplement duration	45
4D8: Stratified by Iron dose	46
4D9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	47
4D10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	48
Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference	49
4E1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	49
4E2: Stratified by Anemia burden	50
4E3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	51
4E4: Stratified by Inflammation burden	52
4E5: Stratified by Source water quality	53
4E6: Stratified by Sanitation	54
4E7: Stratified by Supplement duration	55
4E8: Stratified by Iron dose	56
4E9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	57
4E10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	58
Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration	59
4F1: Stratified by Geographic region	59
4F2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	60
4F3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	61
4F4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	62
4F5: Stratified by Source water quality	63
4F6: Stratified by Sanitation	64
4F7: Stratified by Supplement duration	65
4F8: Stratified by Iron dose	66
4F9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	67
4F10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	68

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio	69
4G1: Stratified by Geographic region	69
4G2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	70
4G3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	71
4G4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	72
4G5: Stratified by Source water quality	73
4G6: Stratified by Sanitation	74
4G7: Stratified by Supplement duration	75
4G8: Stratified by Iron dose	76
4G9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	77
4G10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	78
Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference	79
4H1: Stratified by Geographic region	79
4H2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	80
4H3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	81
4H4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	82
4H5: Stratified by Source water quality	83
4H6: Stratified by Sanitation	84
4H7: Stratified by Supplement duration	85
4H8: Stratified by Iron dose	86
4H9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	87
4H10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	88
Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio	89
4I1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	89
4I2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	90
4I3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	91
4I4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	92
4I5: Stratified by Source water quality	93
4I6: Stratified by Sanitation	94
4I7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	95
4I8: Stratified by Iron dose	96
4I9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	97
4I10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	98
Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference	99
4J1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	99
4J2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	100
4J3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	101
4J4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	102
4J5: Stratified by Source water quality	103

4J6: Stratified by Sanitation	104
4J7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	105
4J8: Stratified by Iron dose	106
4J9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	107
4J10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	108
Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	109
4K1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	109
4K2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	110
4K3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	111
4K4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	112
4K5: Stratified by Source water quality	113
4K6: Stratified by Sanitation	114
4K7: Stratified by Supplement duration	115
4K8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	116
4K9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	117
4K10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	118
Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio	119
4L1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	119
4L2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	120
4L3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	121
4L4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	122
4L5: Stratified by Source water quality	123
4L6: Stratified by Sanitation	124
4L7: Stratified by Supplement duration	125
4L8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	126
4L9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	127
4L10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	128
Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference	129
4M1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	129
4M2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	130
4M3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	131
4M4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	132
4M5: Stratified by Source water quality	133
4M6: Stratified by Sanitation	134
4M7: Stratified by Supplement duration	135
4M8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	136
4M9: Stratified by Frequency of contact	137
4M10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	138

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	139
4N1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	139
4N2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	140
4N3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	141
4N4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	142
4N5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	143
4N6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	144
4N7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	145
4N8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	146
4N9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	147
4N10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	148
Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio	149
4O1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	149
4O2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	150
4O3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	151
4O4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	152
4O5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	153
4O6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	154
4O7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	155
4O8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	156
4O9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	157
4O10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	158
Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference	159
4P1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	159
4P2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	160
4P3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	161
4P4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	162
4P5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	163
4P6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	164
4P7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	165
4P8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	166
4P9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	167
4P10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	168
Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration	169
4Q1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	169
4Q2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	170
4Q3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	171
4Q4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	172
4Q5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	173

4Q6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	174
4Q7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	175
4Q8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	176
4Q9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	177
4Q10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	178
Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration	179
4R1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	179
4R2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	180
4R3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	181
4R4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	182
4R5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	183
4R6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	184
4R7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	185
4R8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	186
4R9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	187
4R10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	188
Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	189
4S1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	189
4S2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	190
4S3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	191
4S4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	192
4S5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	193
4S6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	194
4S7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	195
4S8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	196
4S9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	197
4S10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	198
Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	199
4T1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	199
4T2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	200
4T3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	201
4T4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	202
4T5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	203
4T6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	204
4T7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	205
4T8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	206
4T9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	207
4T10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	208

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	209
4U1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	209
4U2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	210
4U3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	211
4U4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	212
4U5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	213
4U6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	214
4U7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	215
4U8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	216
4U9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	217
4U10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	218
Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	219
4V1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	219
4V2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	220
4V3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	221
4V4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	222
4V5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	223
4V6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	224
4V7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	225
4V8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	226
4V9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	227
4V10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	228
Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration	229
4W1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	229
4W2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	230
4W3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	231
4W4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	232
4W5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	233
4W6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	234
4W7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	235
4W8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	236
4W9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	237
4W10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	238
Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	239
4X1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	239
4X2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	240
4X3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	241
4X4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	242
4X5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	243

4X6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	244
4X7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	245
4X8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	246
4X9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	247
4X10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	248
Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	249
4Y1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	249
4Y2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	250
4Y3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	251
4Y4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	252
4Y5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	253
4Y6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	254
4Y7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	255
4Y8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	256
4Y9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	257
4Y10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	258
Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	259
4Z1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	259
4Z2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	260
4Z3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	261
4Z4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	262
4Z5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	263
4Z6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	264
4Z7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	265
4Z8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	266
4Z9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	267
4Z10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	268
Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	269
4AA1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)	269
4AA2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)	270
4AA3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)	271
4AA4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)	272
4AA5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	273
4AA6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	274
4AA7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)	275
4AA8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)	276
4AA9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)	277
4AA10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)	278

These figures are forest plots showing the study-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure shows the study-level estimates along with the corresponding pooled estimate grouped by study-level effect modifier category. For continuous outcomes the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis correspond to trial level information. The values on the right indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates. Due to the limited number of studies, we were able to examine only a few, if any, study-level effect modifiers for sTfR, ZPP, plasma zinc, retinol and RBP, and could not examine differences in the effect of SQ-LNS on ferritin by study-level anemia, malaria or inflammation prevalence. RBP, retinol binding protein.

Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p -diff = 0.725)

Geographic region – SEAR


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
		1240	604

$I^2 = 0.00$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.00$

Geographic region – AFR

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		7301	6253

$I^2 = 0.78$, $\text{Tau}^2 = 5.36$



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A2: Stratified by Anemia burden

Anemia burden
(p-diff = 0.077)

Anemia burden – Moderate


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		4506	4032

I² = 0.20, Tau² = 0.16

Anemia burden – High

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		4035	2825

I² = 0.83, Tau² = 8.80




Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p -diff = 0.354)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A4: Stratified by Inflammation burden

Inflammation burden

(p-diff = 0.676)

Inflammation burden – Low


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		4147	3982

I² = 0.62, Tau² = 2.50

Inflammation burden – High

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		4394	2875

I² = 0.81, Tau² = 6.54



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A5: Stratified by Source water quality

Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.711)

Source water quality – Improved


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	105	60
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
		1990	1749

I² = 0.64, Tau² = 3.93

Source water quality – Unimproved

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	196	162
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	819	795
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	133	126
		5232	3886

I² = 0.78, Tau² = 5.06



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A6: Stratified by Sanitation


Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.723)

Sanitation – Improved

Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	105	60
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
I² = 0.78, Tau² = 5.14		3064	2786

Sanitation – Unimproved

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	196	162
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	819	795
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	133	126
I² = 0.66, Tau² = 3.92		4158	2849



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.304)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		6455	5129

I² = 0.71, Tau² = 5.37

Supplement duration – > 12m

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		2086	1728

I² = 0.41, Tau² = 0.74



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose

(p-diff = 0.253)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		7310	6003

I² = 0.74, Tau² = 3.86

Iron dose – 9 mg

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
		1231	854

I² = 0.55, Tau² = 3.56



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A9: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.806)

Frequency of contact – Monthly


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		5014	4590

I² = 0.65, Tau² = 1.36

Frequency of contact – Weekly

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
		3527	2267

I² = 0.80, Tau² = 8.10



Supplemental figure 4A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

4A10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.086)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


Country	Trial	N	N
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		4296	4605

I² = 0.67, Tau² = 2.09

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
		3645	1664

I² = 0.73, Tau² = 5.66



Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p -diff = 0.055)

Geographic region – SEAR


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
		1240	604

$I^2 = 0.43$, $Tau^2 = 0.05$

Geographic region – AFR

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		7301	6253

$I^2 = 0.64$, $Tau^2 = 0.02$




Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio


4B2: Stratified by Anemia burden

Anemia burden
(p -diff = 0.073)

Anemia burden – Moderate



Anemia burden – High



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.023)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B4: Stratified by Inflammation burden

Inflammation burden

(p -diff = 0.008)

Inflammation burden – Low


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		4147	3982

$I^2 = 0.67, \tau^2 = 0.03$

Inflammation burden – High

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		4394	2875

$I^2 = 0.03, \tau^2 = 0.00$




Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.372)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.518)

Sanitation – Improved


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	105	60
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		3064	2786

I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.04

Sanitation – Unimproved

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	196	162
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	819	795
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	133	126
		4158	2849

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.058)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		6455	5129

I² = 0.57, Tau² = 0.01

Supplement duration – > 12m

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		2086	1728

I² = 0.70, Tau² = 0.03



Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose

(p-diff = 0.000)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		7310	6003

I² = 0.35, Tau² = 0.00

Iron dose – 9 mg

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
		1231	854

I² = 0.30, Tau² = 0.01




Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio

4B9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.714)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




Supplemental figure 4B: Anemia prevalence ratio


4B10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.062)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p-diff = 0.590)

Geographic region – SEAR


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
		1240	604

I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.00

Geographic region – AFR

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		7301	6253

I² = 0.66, Tau² = 0.00




Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C2: Stratified by Anemia burden



Anemia burden

(p-diff = 0.407)

Anemia burden – Moderate



Anemia burden – High




Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.702)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C4: Stratified by Inflammation burden


Inflammation burden

(p-diff = 0.423)

Inflammation burden – Low



Inflammation burden – High



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C5: Stratified by Source water quality



Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.943)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference


4C6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.565)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.018)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.055)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg


Country	Trial	N	N	PD (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146	-0.03 (-0.09, 0.04)	0.09
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664	-0.12 (-0.15, -0.09)	0.13
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581	-0.05 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.11
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.00)	0.09
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588	-0.07 (-0.13, -0.02)	0.10
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432	-0.05 (-0.13, 0.03)	0.08
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82	-0.10 (-0.21, 0.02)	0.05
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970	-0.16 (-0.19, -0.12)	0.13
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)	0.13
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285	-0.13 (-0.20, -0.06)	0.08
		7310	6003	-0.09 (-0.12, -0.06)	

I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.00

Iron dose – 9 mg

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272	-0.14 (-0.21, -0.07)	0.29
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186	-0.09 (-0.16, -0.03)	0.31
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96	-0.29 (-0.42, -0.15)	0.14
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.08)	0.27
		1231	854	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.08)	

I² = 0.57, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C9: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.524)

Frequency of contact – Monthly

Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		5014	4590

I² = 0.69, Tau² = 0.00


PD

(95% CI)	W
-0.14 (-0.21, -0.07)	0.12
-0.05 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.15
-0.15 (-0.23, -0.08)	0.12
-0.07 (-0.13, -0.02)	0.14
-0.16 (-0.19, -0.12)	0.17
-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)	0.18
-0.13 (-0.20, -0.06)	0.12
-0.11 (-0.14, -0.08)	

Frequency of contact – Weekly

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	457	146
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	234	186
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
		3527	2267

I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.00




Supplemental figure 4C: Anemia prevalence difference

4C10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance


Average SQ-LNS compliance

(p -diff = 0.327)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio


4D1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio


4D2: Stratified by Anemia burden

Anemia burden
(p-diff = 0.388)

Anemia burden – Moderate



Anemia burden – High



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p -diff = 0.282)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%




Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D4: Stratified by Inflammation burden


Inflammation burden

(p-diff = 0.100)

Inflammation burden – Low



Inflammation burden – High



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.934)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio


4D6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p -diff = 0.290)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.088)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D8: Stratified by Iron dose


Iron dose

(p-diff = 0.025)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg



Iron dose – 9 mg




Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

4D9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.459)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly




Supplemental figure 4D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio


4D10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance
(p-diff = 0.115)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High



Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference
4E1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E2: Stratified by Anemia burden


Anemia burden

(p-diff = 0.065)

Anemia burden – Moderate



Anemia burden – High




Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence


Malaria prevalence

(p-diff = 0.293)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%



Malaria prevalence – At least 10%




Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E4: Stratified by Inflammation burden



Inflammation burden

(p-diff = 0.312)

Inflammation burden – Low



Inflammation burden – High




Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E5: Stratified by Source water quality



Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.626)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference



4E6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.481)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E7: Stratified by Supplement duration

Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.363)

Supplement duration – 12m or less


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)		
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		5998	4983

I² = 0.91, Tau² = 0.01

Supplement duration – > 12m

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)		
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
		1852	1542

I² = 0.91, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.542)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg


Country	Trial	N	N	PD (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)				
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664	-0.21 (-0.25, -0.17)	0.12
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581	-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.11
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661	-0.01 (-0.04, 0.02)	0.12
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588	-0.06 (-0.11, -0.01)	0.11
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432	0.04 (-0.04, 0.11)	0.10
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82	-0.13 (-0.25, -0.01)	0.08
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970	-0.22 (-0.26, -0.18)	0.12
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594	-0.04 (-0.05, -0.02)	0.12
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285	-0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)	0.12
		6853	5857	-0.08 (-0.14, -0.02)	

I² = 0.94, Tau² = 0.01

Iron dose – 9 mg

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272	-0.08 (-0.13, -0.03)	0.37
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)				
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96	-0.22 (-0.33, -0.11)	0.27
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300	-0.08 (-0.14, -0.02)	0.36
		997	668	-0.11 (-0.20, -0.03)	

I² = 0.65, Tau² = 0.00



Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E9: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.680)

Frequency of contact – Monthly

Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	600	588
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285
		5014	4590

I² = 0.90, Tau² = 0.00


PD

(95% CI)	W
-0.08 (-0.13, -0.03)	0.14
-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.14
-0.08 (-0.14, -0.02)	0.14
-0.06 (-0.11, -0.01)	0.14
-0.22 (-0.26, -0.18)	0.14
-0.04 (-0.05, -0.02)	0.15
-0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)	0.14
-0.08 (-0.13, -0.03)	

Frequency of contact – Weekly

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)		
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)		
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82
		2836	1935

I² = 0.95, Tau² = 0.01



Supplemental figure 4E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

4E10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance

(p -diff = 0.128)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low


Country	Trial	N	N	PD (95% CI)	W
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	574	581	-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.14
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	328	661	-0.01 (-0.04, 0.02)	0.16
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	210	432	0.04 (-0.04, 0.11)	0.13
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	243	82	-0.13 (-0.25, -0.01)	0.10
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	953	970	-0.22 (-0.26, -0.18)	0.15
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1682	1594	-0.04 (-0.05, -0.02)	0.16
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	306	285	-0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)	0.15
		4296	4605	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.00)	

$I^2 = 0.92$, $Tau^2 = 0.01$

Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)				
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	549	272	-0.08 (-0.13, -0.03)	0.27
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)				
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1957	664	-0.21 (-0.25, -0.17)	0.28
Ghana	GHANA (40)	98	96	-0.22 (-0.33, -0.11)	0.20
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	350	300	-0.08 (-0.14, -0.02)	0.26
		2954	1332	-0.14 (-0.22, -0.06)	

$I^2 = 0.88$, $Tau^2 = 0.01$



Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F1: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region
(p-diff = 0.800)

Geographic region – SEAR


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	455	144
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	550	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	212	178
		1217	594

I² = 0.53, Tau² = 0.01

Geographic region – AFR

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	315	96
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)		
Ghana	GHANA (40)	83	82
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)		
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	298	259
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	83	51
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)		
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)		
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)		
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)		
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)		
		779	488

I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.04



Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F5: Stratified by Source water quality

Source water quality


(p-diff = 0.862)

Source water quality – Improved

Country	Trial	N	N	GMR (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	455	144	1.39 (1.17, 1.64)	0.30
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	550	272	1.52 (1.40, 1.64)	0.45
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	94	58	1.75 (1.33, 2.31)	0.18
Ghana	GHANA (40)	83	82	2.52 (1.49, 4.25)	0.07
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)				
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)				
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)				
I² = 0.48, Tau² = 0.03		1182	556	1.61 (1.32, 1.96)	

Source water quality – Unimproved

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	315	96	1.55 (1.31, 1.84)	0.34
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)				
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	166	144	1.67 (1.44, 1.94)	0.38
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	83	51	1.29 (1.05, 1.59)	0.28
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)				
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)				
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)				
I² = 0.49, Tau² = 0.01		564	291	1.52 (1.31, 1.75)	



Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.862)

Sanitation – Improved


Country	Trial	N	N
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	455	144
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	550	272
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	94	58
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)		
Ghana	GHANA (40)	83	82
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)		
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)		
		1182	556

I² = 0.48, Tau² = 0.03

Sanitation – Unimproved

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	315	96
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	166	144
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	83	51
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)		
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)		
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)		
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)		
		564	291

I² = 0.49, Tau² = 0.01




Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F7: Stratified by Supplement duration



Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.153)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.054)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg


Country	Trial	N	N	GMR (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	455	144	1.39 (1.17, 1.64)	0.35
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	315	96	1.55 (1.31, 1.84)	0.35
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)				
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)				
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	83	51	1.29 (1.05, 1.59)	0.30
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)				
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)				
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)				
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)				
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)				
		853	291	1.42 (1.28, 1.57)	

I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00

Iron dose – 9 mg

Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	550	272	1.52 (1.40, 1.64)	0.35
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	212	178	1.74 (1.50, 2.01)	0.28
Ghana	GHANA (40)	83	82	2.52 (1.49, 4.25)	0.06
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	298	259	1.69 (1.50, 1.91)	0.31
		1143	791	1.67 (1.47, 1.90)	

I² = 0.56, Tau² = 0.01




Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.584)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors Control Favors LNS

Supplemental figure 4F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

4F10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G1: Stratified by Geographic region


Geographic region

(p-diff = 0.016)

Geographic region – SEAR



Geographic region – AFR



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.105)

Source water quality – Improved




Source water quality – Unimproved



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.081)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio


4G8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.278)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg



Iron dose – 9 mg



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favours Control


Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.624)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favours Control

Supplemental figure 4G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

4G10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H1: Stratified by Geographic region


Geographic region

(p-diff = 0.026)

Geographic region – SEAR



Geographic region – AFR



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H5: Stratified by Source water quality



Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.006)

Source water quality – Improved




Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.767)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference



4H8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.548)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg



Iron dose – 9 mg




Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.650)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

4H10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.012)

Source water quality – Improved




Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I6: Stratified by Sanitation



Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio


4I7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio


4I8: Stratified by Iron dose

Iron dose
(p-diff = 0.402)

Iron dose – Less than 9 mg



Iron dose – 9 mg




Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.981)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.10 0.45 1.0 2.22 10.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

4I10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J5: Stratified by Source water quality



Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.222)

Source water quality – Improved




Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J6: Stratified by Sanitation




Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J8: Stratified by Iron dose




Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p -diff = 0.780)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

4J10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration
4K1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.779)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration



4K6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.779)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K7: Stratified by Supplement duration



Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.539)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.208)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

4K10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio
4L1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L5: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.496)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio


4L6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.496)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.692)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p-diff = 0.001)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

4L10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference
4M1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M5: Stratified by Source water quality



Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.841)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved



Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M6: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation

(p-diff = 0.841)

Sanitation – Improved

Country

Bangladesh

Bangladesh

Bangladesh

Burkina Faso

Ghana

Ghana

Mali

I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.00

Trial

JiVitA-4 (35)

RDNS (36)

WASH-B (37)

PROMIS CS (39)

GHANA (40)

iLiNS-DYADG (41)

PROMIS CS (46)

N

550

94

84

84

728


N

272

58

82

412



PD

(95% CI)

-0.15 (-0.22, -0.08)

-0.32 (-0.46, -0.18)

-0.26 (-0.41, -0.12)

-0.23 (-0.33, -0.12)

W

0.49

0.26

0.25

Sanitation – Unimproved

Burkina Faso

Kenya

Madagascar

Malawi

Malawi

Zimbabwe

Zimbabwe

I² = 0.43, Tau² = 0.00

iLiNS-Zinc (38)

WASH-B (42)

MAHAY (43)

iLiNS-DYADM (44)

iLiNS-DOSE (45)

SHINE (HIV-) (47)

SHINE (HIV+) (48)

315

166

83


564

96

144

51

291



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4

Difference

Favors LNS

Favors Control


Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M7: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.385)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m



Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M9: Stratified by Frequency of contact


Frequency of contact

(p -diff = 0.152)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4
Difference
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 4M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

4M10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration
4N1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

4N10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

4O10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference
4P1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

4P10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration
4Q1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

4Q10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

4R10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio
4S1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4S10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference
4T1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4T9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

4T10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio
4U1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4U10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference
4V1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4V10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration
4W1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

4W10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio
4X1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4X10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference
4Y1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4Y10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio
4Z1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μ mol/L) prevalence ratio

4Z3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μ mol/L) prevalence ratio

4Z5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

4Z10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference
4AA1: Stratified by Geographic region (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA2: Stratified by Anemia burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA3: Stratified by Malaria prevalence (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA4: Stratified by Inflammation burden (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA5: Stratified by Source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA6: Stratified by Sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA7: Stratified by Supplement duration (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA8: Stratified by Iron dose (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA9: Stratified by Frequency of contact (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 4AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

4AA10: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5: Sensitivity analyses of effect modification of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes by study-level effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 5A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration	4
5A1: By study context effect modifiers	4
5A2: By study design effect modifiers	5
Supplemental figure 5B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios	6
5B1: By study context effect modifiers	6
5B2: By study design effect modifiers	7
Supplemental figure 5C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences	8
5C1: By study context effect modifiers	8
5C2: By study design effect modifiers	9
Supplemental figure 5D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios	10
5D1: By study context effect modifiers	10
5D2: By study design effect modifiers	11
Supplemental figure 5E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences	12
5E1: By study context effect modifiers	12
5E2: By study design effect modifiers	13
Supplemental figure 5F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration	14
5F1: By study context effect modifiers	14
5F2: By study design effect modifiers	15
Supplemental figure 5G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios	16
5G1: By study context effect modifiers	16
5G2: By study design effect modifiers	17
Supplemental figure 5H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences	18
5H1: By study context effect modifiers	18
5H2: By study design effect modifiers	19

Supplemental figure 5I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios	20
5I1: By study context effect modifiers	20
5I2: By study design effect modifiers	21
Supplemental figure 5J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences	22
5J1: By study context effect modifiers	22
5J2: By study design effect modifiers	23
Supplemental figure 5K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	24
5K1: By study context effect modifiers	24
5K2: By study design effect modifiers	25
Supplemental figure 5L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios	26
5L1: By study context effect modifiers	26
5L2: By study design effect modifiers	27
Supplemental figure 5M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences	28
5M1: By study context effect modifiers	28
5M2: By study design effect modifiers	29
Supplemental figure 5N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	30
5N1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	30
5N2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	31
Supplemental figure 5O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios	32
5O1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	32
5O2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	33
Supplemental figure 5P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences	34
5P1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	34
5P2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	35
Supplemental figure 5Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration	36
5Q1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	36
5Q2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	37
Supplemental figure 5R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration	38
5R1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	38
5R2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	39
Supplemental figure 5S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios	40
5S1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	40
5S2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	41


Supplemental figure 5T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence differences	42
5T1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	42
5T2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	43
Supplemental figure 5U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios	44
5U1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	44
5U2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	45
Supplemental figure 5V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence differences	46
5V1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	46
5V2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	47
Supplemental figure 5W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations	48
5W1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	48
5W2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	49
Supplemental figure 5X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratios	50
5X1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	50
5X2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	51
Supplemental figure 5Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence differences	52
5Y1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	52
5Y2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	53
Supplemental figure 5Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios	54
5Z1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	54
5Z2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	55
Supplemental figure 5AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence differences	56
5AA1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	56
5AA2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	57

These figures show the pooled estimates of effect modification by different sensitivity analyses. For continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis indicate which outcome is assessed. The different columns correspond to sensitivity analyses in which intervention group categorization differs. All-trial analysis includes all trials; Child-LNS-only excludes trial arms that provided both maternal and child LNS; Multi-component analysis separates comparisons within trials that included multi-component interventions, so that the SQ-LNS vs. no SQ-LNS comparisons were conducted separately between pairs of arms that included the same non-nutrition components (e.g. SQ-LNS+WASH vs. WASH; SQ-LNS vs. Control); Passive arms excluded analysis excludes passive control arms. Depending on the sensitivity analysis, there may not have been enough comparisons available to generate a pooled estimate.

sTfR, soluble transferrin receptor; ZPP, zinc protoporphyrin; RBP, retinol binding protein.


Supplemental figure 5A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration

5A1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration

5A2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios

5B1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios

5B2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences

5C1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences

5C2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios

5D1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios

5D2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences

5E1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences

5E2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration

5F1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration

5F2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios
5G1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios

5G2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences
5H1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences

5H2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios

5I1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios

5I2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences

5J1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences

5J2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

5K1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

5K2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios

5L1: By study context effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios

5L2: By study design effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 5M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences

5M1: By study context effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 5M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences

5M2: By study design effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 5N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration
5N1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

5N2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 5O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios
5O1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 5O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios

5O2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences
5P1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences

5P2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration
5Q1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration

5Q2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration
5R1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration

5R2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 μmol/L) prevalence ratios
5S1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

5S2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 μmol/L) prevalence differences

5T1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

5T2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 5U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios
5U1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 5U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

5U2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence differences

5V1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

5V2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations
5W1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations

5W2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

**Supplemental figure 5X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios
5X1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 5X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

5X2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 μ mol/L) prevalence differences

5Y1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

5Y2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

5Z1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

5Z2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

5AA1: By study context effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 5AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

5AA2: By study design effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes stratified by individual-level maternal and child effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration	7
6A1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	7
6A2: Stratified by Maternal age	8
6A3: Stratified by Maternal education	9
6A4: Stratified by Child sex	10
6A5: Stratified by Child birth order	11
6A6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	12
6A7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	13
6A8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	14
6A9: Stratified by Child inflammation	15
Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio	16
6B1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	16
6B2: Stratified by Maternal age	17
6B3: Stratified by Maternal education	18
6B4: Stratified by Child sex	19
6B5: Stratified by Child birth order	20
6B6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	21
6B7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	22
6B8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	23
6B9: Stratified by Child inflammation	24
Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference	25
6C1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	25
6C2: Stratified by Maternal age	26
6C3: Stratified by Maternal education	27
6C4: Stratified by Child sex	28
6C5: Stratified by Child birth order	29
6C6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	30
6C7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	31
6C8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	32
6C9: Stratified by Child inflammation	33
Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio	34
6D1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	34
6D2: Stratified by Maternal age	35
6D3: Stratified by Maternal education	36
6D4: Stratified by Child sex	37
6D5: Stratified by Child birth order	38
6D6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	39
6b7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	40

6D8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	41
6D9: Stratified by Child inflammation	42
Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference	43
6E1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	43
6E2: Stratified by Maternal age	44
6E3: Stratified by Maternal education	45
6E4: Stratified by Child sex	46
6E5: Stratified by Child birth order	47
6E6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	48
6E7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	49
6E8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	50
6E9: Stratified by Child inflammation	51
Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration	52
6F1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	52
6F2: Stratified by Maternal age	53
6F3: Stratified by Maternal education	54
6F4: Stratified by Child sex	55
6F5: Stratified by Child birth order	56
6F6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	57
6F7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	58
6F8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	59
6F9: Stratified by Child inflammation	60
Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio	61
6G1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	61
6G2: Stratified by Maternal age	62
6G3: Stratified by Maternal education	63
6G4: Stratified by Child sex	64
6G5: Stratified by Child birth order	65
6G6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	66
6G7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	67
6G8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	68
6G9: Stratified by Child inflammation	69
Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference	70
6H1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	70
6H2: Stratified by Maternal age	71
6H3: Stratified by Maternal education	72
6H4: Stratified by Child sex	73
6H5: Stratified by Child birth order	74
6H6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	75
6H7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	76
6H8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	77
6H9: Stratified by Child inflammation	78
Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio	79
6I1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	79
6I2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	80
6I3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	81

6I4: Stratified by Child sex	82
6I5: Stratified by Child birth order	83
6I6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	84
6I7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	85
6I8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	86
6I9: Stratified by Child inflammation	87
Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference	88
6J1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	88
6J2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	89
6J3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	90
6J4: Stratified by Child sex	91
6J5: Stratified by Child birth order	92
6J6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	93
6J7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	94
6J8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	95
6J9: Stratified by Child inflammation	96
Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	97
6K1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	97
6K2: Stratified by Maternal age	98
6K3: Stratified by Maternal education	99
6K4: Stratified by Child sex	100
6K5: Stratified by Child birth order	101
6K6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	102
6K7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	103
6K8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	104
6K9: Stratified by Child inflammation	105
Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio	106
6L1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	106
6L2: Stratified by Maternal age	107
6L3: Stratified by Maternal education	108
6L4: Stratified by Child sex	109
6L5: Stratified by Child birth order	110
6L6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	111
6L7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	112
6L8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	113
6L9: Stratified by Child inflammation	114
Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference	115
6M1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	115
6M2: Stratified by Maternal age	116
6M3: Stratified by Maternal education	117
6M4: Stratified by Child sex	118
6M5: Stratified by Child birth order	119
6M6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	120
6M7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	121
6M8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	122
6M9: Stratified by Child inflammation	123

Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	124
6N1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	124
6N2: Stratified by Maternal age	125
6N3: Stratified by Maternal education	126
6N4: Stratified by Child sex	127
6N5: Stratified by Child birth order	128
6N6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	129
6N7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	130
6N8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	131
6N9: Stratified by Child inflammation	132
Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio	133
6O1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	133
6O2: Stratified by Maternal age	134
6O3: Stratified by Maternal education	135
6O4: Stratified by Child sex	136
6O5: Stratified by Child birth order	137
6O6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	138
6O7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	139
6O8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	140
6O9: Stratified by Child inflammation	141
Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference	142
6P1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	142
6P2: Stratified by Maternal age	143
6P3: Stratified by Maternal education	144
6P4: Stratified by Child sex	145
6P5: Stratified by Child birth order	146
6P6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	147
6P7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia	148
6P8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	149
6P9: Stratified by Child inflammation	150
Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration	151
6Q1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	151
6Q2: Stratified by Maternal age	152
6Q3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	153
6Q4: Stratified by Child sex	154
6Q5: Stratified by Child birth order	155
6Q6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	156
6Q7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	157
6Q8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	158
6Q9: Stratified by Child inflammation	159
Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration	160
6R1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	160
6R2: Stratified by Maternal age	161
6R3: Stratified by Maternal education	162
6R4: Stratified by Child sex	163
6R5: Stratified by Child birth order	164
6R6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition	165

6R7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	166
6R8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	167
6R9: Stratified by Child inflammation	168
Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	169
6S1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	169
6S2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	170
6S3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	171
6S4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)	172
6S5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)	173
6S6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	174
6S7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	175
6S8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	176
6S9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)	177
Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	178
6T1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	178
6T2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	179
6T3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	180
6T4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)	181
6T5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)	182
6T6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	183
6T7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	184
6T8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	185
6T9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)	186
Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	187
6U1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	187
6U2: Stratified by Maternal age	188
6U3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	189
6U4: Stratified by Child sex	190
6U5: Stratified by Child birth order	191
6U6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	192
6U7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	193
6U8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	194
6U9: Stratified by Child inflammation	195
Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	196
6V1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	196
6V2: Stratified by Maternal age	197
6V3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	198
6V4: Stratified by Child sex	199
6V5: Stratified by Child birth order	200
6V6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	201
6V7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	202
6V8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	203
6V9: Stratified by Child inflammation	204
Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration	205
6W1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	205
6W2: Stratified by Maternal age	206

6W3: Stratified by Maternal education	207
6W4: Stratified by Child sex	208
6W5: Stratified by Child birth order	209
6W6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	210
6W7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	211
6W8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation	212
6W9: Stratified by Child inflammation	213
Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	214
6X1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	214
6X2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	215
6X3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	216
6X4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)	217
6X5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)	218
6X6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	219
6X7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	220
6X8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	221
6X9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)	222
Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	223
6Y1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)	223
6Y2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)	224
6Y3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)	225
6Y4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)	226
6Y5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)	227
6Y6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	228
6Y7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	229
6Y8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	230
6Y9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)	231
Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	232
6Z1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	232
6Z2: Stratified by Maternal age	233
6Z3: Stratified by Maternal education	234
6Z4: Stratified by Child sex	235
6Z5: Stratified by Child birth order	236
6Z6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	237
6Z7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	238
6Z8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	239
6Z9: Stratified by Child inflammation	240
Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	241
6AA1: Stratified by Maternal BMI	241
6AA2: Stratified by Maternal age	242
6AA3: Stratified by Maternal education	243
6AA4: Stratified by Child sex	244
6AA5: Stratified by Child birth order	245
6AA6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)	246
6AA7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)	247
6AA8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)	248
6AA9: Stratified by Child inflammation	249

These figures are forest plots showing the individual-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure has the estimates of intervention effect stratified within study by individual-level effect modifier category. For continuous outcomes the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the far left correspond to trial level information. In the middle left and on the right the values indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates is shown by subgroup.

Not all trials were included in all individual-level effect modification analyses, either because they did not measure the biomarker outcome or the effect modifier of interest (e.g., baseline anemia or acute malnutrition, receipt of high-dose vitamin A supplement), or because the prevalence of the binary outcome or proportion of children within one of the effect modifier subgroups was too low to allow us to generate effect estimates.



RBP, retinol binding protein.

Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.320
Difference in MDs = -0.49 (-1.46, 0.48)

		At least 20 kg/m²						Less than 20 kg/m²					
Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Mean	MD (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W	LNS N	Control N	Control Mean	MD (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)												
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	227	102	111.1	5.00 (1.85, 8.15)	0.03	0.08	300	160	113.1	3.69 (0.90, 6.49)	0.11	0.11
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	102	102	118.0	4.54 (2.27, 6.81)	0.07	0.10	132	84	118.3	1.91 (-0.70, 4.51)	0.13	0.11
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	1217	390	88.5	8.29 (5.51, 11.07)	0.04	0.09	738	274	88.7	8.73 (5.13, 12.33)	0.07	0.09
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)	335	349	102.4	1.13 (-0.92, 3.19)	0.08	0.10	239	227	102.7	2.79 (-0.12, 5.69)	0.11	0.10
Ghana	GHANA (40)												
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	288	536	112.4	0.99 (-0.49, 2.48)	0.15	0.11	35	114	110.2	2.33 (-1.69, 6.35)	0.05	0.08
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	266	227	109.3	4.70 (2.53, 6.88)	0.07	0.10	72	60	113.0	0.17 (-3.87, 4.21)	0.05	0.08
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)												
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	124	258	107.8	1.99 (-1.35, 5.33)	0.03	0.07	85	171	108.0	0.42 (-3.59, 4.42)	0.06	0.08
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)	179	52	100.3	6.04 (1.31, 10.78)	0.02	0.05	61	29	100.6	-0.01 (-6.19, 6.16)	0.02	0.05
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)	702	685	95.9	6.19 (3.84, 8.54)	0.06	0.09	246	279	95.4	6.43 (4.14, 8.73)	0.17	0.12
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)	1222	1161	114.3	2.26 (1.34, 3.18)	0.40	0.12	213	220	114.8	1.10 (-1.04, 3.23)	0.20	0.12
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)	221	222	114.7	2.78 (-0.19, 5.76)	0.04	0.08	58	40	114.4	3.48 (-1.98, 8.94)	0.03	0.06
		4883	4084					2179	1658				
					I² = 0.76, Tau² = 3.84						I² = 0.63, Tau² = 4.11		
Fixed					2.97 (2.39, 3.55)						3.13 (2.18, 4.07)		
Random					3.79 (2.40, 5.17)						3.01 (1.42, 4.61)		




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.015

Difference in MDs = -1.03 (-1.86, -0.20)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.212

Difference in MDs = -0.80 (-2.05, 0.45)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.449

Difference in MDs = -0.30 (-1.08, 0.48)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.003

Difference in MDs = -1.46 (-2.42, -0.50)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.859

Difference in MDs = 0.15 (-1.54, 1.84)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.063

Difference in MDs = 1.84 (-0.10, 3.79)




Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.808


Difference in MDs = 0.15 (-1.03, 1.33)



Supplemental figure 6A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

6A9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.712
 Difference in MDs = -0.25 (-1.59, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.637

Ratio of PRs = 1.01 (0.97, 1.06)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.041

Ratio of PRs = 1.05 (1.00, 1.10)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.621

Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.93, 1.14)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.039

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.92, 1.00)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.156

Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.99, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.393

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.683

Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.91, 1.16)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.760

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.85, 1.12)




Supplemental figure 6B: Anemia prevalence ratio

6B9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.006

Ratio of PRs = 1.30 (1.08, 1.57)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.397


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.05)



Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.035
Difference in PDs = 0.03 (0.00, 0.06)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.307

Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.02, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.283


Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.04, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.024
Difference in PDs = 0.04 (0.01, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.595

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.06, 0.04)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.308

Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.10, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.549


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.06, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6C: Anemia prevalence difference

6C9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.068
Difference in PDs = 0.06 (0.00, 0.12)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.459

Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.96, 1.10)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.260

Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.96, 1.18)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.437


Ratio of PRs = 1.07 (0.90, 1.28)



Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.692
Ratio of PRs = 1.02 (0.93, 1.12)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.416

Ratio of PRs = 1.05 (0.94, 1.17)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6b7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.686
Ratio of PRs = 1.05 (0.82, 1.35)




Supplemental figure 6D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

6D8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.874


Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.75, 1.40)



Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E1: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.047
Difference in PDs = 0.03 (0.00, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.502
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.526


Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.04, 0.07)



Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.323
Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.058
Difference in PDs = 0.03 (0.00, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference


6E6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.251

Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.10, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

6E8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.589


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.04, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F1: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.221
Ratio of GMRs = 0.91 (0.79, 1.06)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F2: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.112
Ratio of GMRs = 0.92 (0.83, 1.02)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F3: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.928
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.89, 1.12)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.649
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.88, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F5: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.040
Ratio of GMRs = 0.88 (0.78, 0.99)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.023
Ratio of GMRs = 1.27 (1.03, 1.56)




Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.348
Ratio of GMRs = 1.08 (0.92, 1.27)



Supplemental figure 6F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

6F9: Stratified by Child inflammation


P-for-interaction = 0.089
Ratio of GMRs = 0.90 (0.81, 1.02)

		Not inflamed					
Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	185	46	23.4	1.53 (1.09, 2.14)	0.04	0.12
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	370	168	19.3	1.58 (1.42, 1.77)	0.39	0.18
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	165	136	13.3	1.85 (1.61, 2.14)	0.25	0.18
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	124	31	9.4	1.86 (1.47, 2.35)	0.09	0.15
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)						
Ghana	GHANA (40)	69	66	7.6	3.35 (1.87, 5.97)	0.01	0.07
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)						
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	157	135	10.1	1.56 (1.28, 1.89)	0.13	0.16
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	44	32	11.4	1.23 (0.97, 1.57)	0.08	0.15
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)						
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)						

Fixed
Random

1114 614

I² = 0.63, Tau² = 0.04
1.65 (1.53, 1.76)
1.68 (1.40, 2.01)




		High AGP or CRP					
Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	270	98	24.4	1.31 (1.08, 1.60)	0.16	0.18
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	180	104	21.9	1.41 (1.23, 1.61)	0.34	0.25
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	47	42	20.0	1.42 (1.04, 1.93)	0.07	0.10
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	191	65	9.7	1.40 (1.12, 1.76)	0.12	0.15
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)						
Ghana	GHANA (40)	14	16	33.0	0.79 (0.28, 2.22)	0.01	0.01
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)						
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	141	124	10.0	1.86 (1.58, 2.18)	0.24	0.22
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)	39	19	16.1	1.36 (0.98, 1.89)	0.06	0.09
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)						
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)						

Fixed
Random

882 468

I² = 0.48, Tau² = 0.01
1.48 (1.37, 1.60)
1.46 (1.31, 1.64)




Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.786

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.71, 1.30)




Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.131

Ratio of PRs = 1.19 (0.95, 1.48)




Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.783

Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.66, 1.37)




Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.771

Ratio of PRs = 1.04 (0.80, 1.36)




Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.101

Ratio of PRs = 1.22 (0.96, 1.56)



Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio


6G7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

6G9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.951

Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.74, 1.33)




Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.779

Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.06, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.963

Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.08, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.179

Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.02, 0.11)




Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.638

Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference


6H7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.999

Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10)




Supplemental figure 6H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

6H9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.698

Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.06, 0.09)




Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.521

Ratio of PRs = 1.16 (0.74, 1.81)



Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.208
Ratio of PRs = 0.78 (0.53, 1.15)



Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.904
Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.62, 1.53)



Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

6I9: Stratified by Child inflammation


P-for-interaction = 0.388
Ratio of PRs = 1.21 (0.79, 1.86)



Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.023
Difference in PDs = 0.09 (0.01, 0.16)



Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference


6J3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.555

Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.09, 0.05)




Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.745

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.09, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference


6J8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

6J9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.590


Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K1: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.955
Ratio of GMRs = 1.00 (0.94, 1.06)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K2: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.213
Ratio of GMRs = 0.96 (0.91, 1.02)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K3: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.576
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.92, 1.05)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.268
Ratio of GMRs = 1.03 (0.98, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.731
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.93, 1.05)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation


P-for-interaction = 0.373
Ratio of GMRs = 1.04 (0.96, 1.12)



Supplemental figure 6K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

6K9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.478
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.126

Ratio of PRs = 0.85 (0.69, 1.05)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.585

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.81, 1.13)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.227

Ratio of PRs = 1.12 (0.93, 1.35)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.787

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.83, 1.16)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.638

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.80, 1.15)



Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.344
Ratio of PRs = 1.14 (0.87, 1.51)




Supplemental figure 6L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

6L9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.761

Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.82, 1.16)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.187

Difference in PDs = -0.07 (-0.17, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.142

Difference in PDs = -0.06 (-0.14, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.157

Difference in PDs = 0.07 (-0.03, 0.16)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.322

Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.04, 0.11)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.285

Difference in PDs = -0.05 (-0.13, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference


6M7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.272

Difference in PDs = 0.08 (-0.06, 0.21)




Supplemental figure 6M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

6M9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.599


Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.10, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N1: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.792
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.85, 1.13)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N2: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.998
Ratio of GMRs = 1.00 (0.88, 1.14)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N3: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.117
Ratio of GMRs = 1.15 (0.97, 1.37)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.040
Ratio of GMRs = 1.13 (1.01, 1.27)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N5: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.311
Ratio of GMRs = 0.93 (0.80, 1.07)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition


P-for-interaction = 0.817
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.82, 1.16)



Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.243
Ratio of GMRs = 1.09 (0.95, 1.25)




Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

6N9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.777
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.86, 1.11)




Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.942

Ratio of PRs = 1.01 (0.78, 1.30)




Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.660

Ratio of PRs = 1.07 (0.80, 1.43)




Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.114


Ratio of PRs = 1.42 (0.92, 2.20)



Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.123
Ratio of PRs = 1.21 (0.95, 1.53)



Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio


6O6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.305

Ratio of PRs = 1.26 (0.81, 1.94)



Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio


6O8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

6O9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.980

Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.76, 1.30)




Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.612

Difference in PDs = 0.03 (-0.08, 0.14)




Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.080


Difference in PDs = 0.13 (-0.02, 0.27)



Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.276
Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.04, 0.15)




Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.462

Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.08, 0.18)



Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference


6P6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia

P-for-interaction = 0.663

Difference in PDs = 0.03 (-0.09, 0.14)



Supplemental figure 6P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

6P8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.003
Ratio of GMRs = 0.94 (0.90, 0.98)




Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.728
Ratio of GMRs = 1.01 (0.96, 1.06)



Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.131
Ratio of GMRs = 0.96 (0.91, 1.01)



Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

6Q9: Stratified by Child inflammation


P-for-interaction = 0.425
Ratio of GMRs = 1.02 (0.97, 1.06)

				Not inflamed			
Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	184	46	88.8	0.95 (0.89, 1.01)	0.24	0.26
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)						
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)						
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	124	31	68.5	0.99 (0.94, 1.03)	0.58	0.54
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)						
Ghana	GHANA (40)	48	54	59.5	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)	0.18	0.20
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)						
Kenya	WASH-B (42)						
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)						
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)						

Fixed
Random

356 131


I² = 0.12, Tau² = 0.00
0.98 (0.95, 1.02)
0.98 (0.95, 1.02)



				High AGP or CRP			
Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	268	98	88.1	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	0.29	0.35
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)	190	65	69.0	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)	0.66	0.56
Ghana	GHANA (40)	10	15	61.5	0.91 (0.78, 1.06)	0.05	0.09

468 178

I² = 0.30, Tau² = 0.00
1.00 (0.96, 1.03)
0.99 (0.94, 1.04)




Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R2: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.473
Ratio of GMRs = 0.97 (0.90, 1.05)



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R3: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.607
Ratio of GMRs = 0.97 (0.88, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.315
Ratio of GMRs = 1.04 (0.96, 1.12)



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R5: Stratified by Child birth order


P-for-interaction = 0.862
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.91, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition

P-for-interaction = 0.075
Ratio of GMRs = 1.11 (0.99, 1.24)



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration


6R7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.577
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.90, 1.06)


Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	Received Vita GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W	Not treated					
								LNS N	Control N	Control Median	GMR (95% CI)	Fixed W	Random W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)	136	36	1.4	1.10 (1.02, 1.19)	0.41	0.41	129	38	1.4	1.04 (0.93, 1.17)	0.28	0.28
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)												
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)												
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)												
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)												
Ghana	GHANA (40)	27	47	1.0	1.07 (0.90, 1.27)	0.08	0.08	36	24	1.2	1.00 (0.80, 1.25)	0.07	0.07
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)	71	143	1.0	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	0.43	0.43	25	53	1.1	1.02 (0.91, 1.15)	0.26	0.26
Kenya	WASH-B (42)												
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)												
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)	16	42	1.0	1.01 (0.84, 1.20)	0.08	0.08	54	119	1.1	1.00 (0.91, 1.10)	0.39	0.39
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)												
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)												
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)												
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)												
		250	268		I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00			244	234		I² = 0.00, Tau² = 0.00		
Fixed					1.05 (1.00, 1.10)						1.02 (0.96, 1.08)		
Random					1.05 (1.00, 1.10)						1.02 (0.96, 1.08)		



Supplemental figure 6R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

6R9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.481
Ratio of GMRs = 1.03 (0.95, 1.11)



Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6S9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6T1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6T2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6T3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6T4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6T5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6T6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6T7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6T8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6T9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio


6U1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.912

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.73, 1.32)



Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio


6U3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.838

Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.77, 1.38)




Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.132

Ratio of PRs = 1.27 (0.93, 1.74)



Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio


6U7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.520

Ratio of PRs = 0.90 (0.64, 1.25)




Supplemental figure 6U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6U9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.775

Ratio of PRs = 0.96 (0.70, 1.30)



Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference


6V1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6V2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.659

Difference in PDs = 0.03 (-0.09, 0.14)



Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference


6V3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

6V4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.578

Difference in PDs = -0.03 (-0.14, 0.08)




Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6V5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.432

Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.07, 0.17)



Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6V6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference


6V7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

6V8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.534

Difference in PDs = -0.04 (-0.17, 0.09)




Supplemental figure 6V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

6V9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.927


Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.13, 0.12)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W1: Stratified by Maternal BMI


P-for-interaction = 0.572
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.95, 1.03)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W2: Stratified by Maternal age


P-for-interaction = 0.657
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.95, 1.03)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W3: Stratified by Maternal education


P-for-interaction = 0.793
Ratio of GMRs = 1.01 (0.95, 1.07)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W4: Stratified by Child sex


P-for-interaction = 0.100
Ratio of GMRs = 1.03 (0.99, 1.07)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.353
Ratio of GMRs = 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration


6W7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration


6W8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation

P-for-interaction = 0.107
Ratio of GMRs = 0.94 (0.88, 1.01)

Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	Received VitA GMR (95% CI)	Fixed Random	
						W	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (35)						
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	444	223	1.2	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)	0.80	0.40
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	16	16	1.0	1.21 (1.07, 1.37)	0.11	0.31
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)						
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)						
Ghana	GHANA (40)						
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)						
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	26	31	0.9	1.24 (1.08, 1.42)	0.09	0.29
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)						
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)						
		486	270				
				I² = 0.85, Tau² = 0.01			
Fixed				1.05 (1.01, 1.10)			
Random				1.14 (1.00, 1.29)			




Country	Trial	LNS N	Control N	Control Median	Not treated GMR (95% CI)	Fixed Random	
						W	W
Bangladesh	RDNS (36)	105	49	1.2	1.04 (0.94, 1.14)	0.22	0.34
Bangladesh	WASH-B (37)	15	9	1.2	0.91 (0.79, 1.06)	0.09	0.24
Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (38)						
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (39)						
Ghana	GHANA (40)						
Ghana	iLiNS-DYADG (41)						
Kenya	WASH-B (42)	223	180	1.0	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	0.69	0.42
Madagascar	MAHAY (43)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DYADM (44)						
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (45)						
Mali	PROMIS CS (46)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (47)						
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (48)						
		343	238				
				I² = 0.69, Tau² = 0.01			
Fixed				1.07 (1.03, 1.12)			
Random				1.03 (0.93, 1.15)			



Supplemental figure 6W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

6W9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.088
Ratio of GMRs = 1.04 (0.99, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6X1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6X9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6Y1: Stratified by Maternal BMI (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y2: Stratified by Maternal age (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y3: Stratified by Maternal education (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y4: Stratified by Child sex (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y5: Stratified by Child birth order (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6Y8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference


6Y9: Stratified by Child inflammation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence ratio

6Z1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.327

Ratio of PRs = 1.13 (0.89, 1.43)




Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6Z2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.255


Ratio of PRs = 0.89 (0.72, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6Z4: Stratified by Child sex

P-for-interaction = 0.345
Ratio of PRs = 0.91 (0.74, 1.11)




Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

6Z5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.310

Ratio of PRs = 1.13 (0.89, 1.44)



Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6Z6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

6Z7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio


6Z8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence ratio

6Z9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.223

Ratio of PRs = 0.87 (0.69, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA1: Stratified by Maternal BMI

P-for-interaction = 0.234

Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.04, 0.14)




Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA2: Stratified by Maternal age

P-for-interaction = 0.217

Difference in PDs = -0.05 (-0.14, 0.03)




Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA3: Stratified by Maternal education

P-for-interaction = 0.974

Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.10, 0.10)




Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA5: Stratified by Child birth order

P-for-interaction = 0.410

Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.05, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA6: Stratified by Child baseline acute malnutrition (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

6AA7: Stratified by Child baseline anemia (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference


6AA8: Stratified by Child high-dose vitamin A supplementation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 6AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

6AA9: Stratified by Child inflammation

P-for-interaction = 0.080

Difference in PDs = -0.08 (-0.16, 0.01)



Supplemental figure 7: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes stratified by individual-level household effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 7A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration	5
7A1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	5
7A2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	6
7A3: Stratified by Household source water quality	7
7A4: Stratified by Household sanitation	8
7A5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	9
Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio	10
7B1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	10
7B2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	11
7B3: Stratified by Household source water quality	12
7B4: Stratified by Household sanitation	13
7B5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	14
Supplemental figure 7C: Anemia prevalence difference	15
7C1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	15
7C2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	16
7C3: Stratified by Household source water quality	17
7C4: Stratified by Household sanitation	18
7C5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	19
Supplemental figure 7D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio	20
7D1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	20
7D2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	21
7D3: Stratified by Household source water quality	22
7D4: Stratified by Household sanitation	23
7D5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	24
Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference	25
7E1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	25
7E2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	26
7E3: Stratified by Household source water quality	27
7E4: Stratified by Household sanitation	28
7E5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	29
Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration	30
7F1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	30
7F2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	31
7F3: Stratified by Household source water quality	32
7F4: Stratified by Household sanitation	33
7F5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	34

Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio	35
7G1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	35
7G2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	36
7G3: Stratified by Household source water quality	37
7G4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	38
7G5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	39
Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference	40
7H1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	40
7H2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	41
7H3: Stratified by Household source water quality	42
7H4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	43
7H5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	44
Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio	45
7I1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	45
7I2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	46
7I3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	47
7I4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	48
7I5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	49
Supplemental figure 7J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference	50
7J1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	50
7J2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	51
7J3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	52
7J4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	53
7J5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	54
Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	55
7K1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	55
7K2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	56
7K3: Stratified by Household source water quality	57
7K4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	58
7K5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	59
Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio	60
7L1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	60
7L2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	61
7L3: Stratified by Household source water quality	62
7L4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	63
7L5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	64
Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference	65
7M1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	65
7M2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	66
7M3: Stratified by Household source water quality	67
7M4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	68
7M5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	69
Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	70
7N1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	70
7N2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	71

7N3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	72
7N4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	73
7N5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	74
Supplemental figure 7O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio	75
7O1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	75
7O2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	76
7O3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	77
7O4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	78
7O5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	79
Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference	80
7P1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	80
7P2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	81
7P3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	82
7P4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	83
7P5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	84
Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration	85
7Q1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	85
7Q2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	86
7Q3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	87
7Q4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	88
7Q5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	89
Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration	90
7R1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	90
7R2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	91
7R3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	92
7R4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	93
7R5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	94
Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	95
7S1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)	95
7S2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	96
7S3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	97
7S4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	98
7S5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	99
Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	100
7T1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)	100
7T2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	101
7T3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	102
7T4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	103
7T5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	104
Supplemental figure 7U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	105
7U1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	105
7U2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	106
7U3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	107
7U4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	108
7U5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	109

Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	110
7V1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	110
7V2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	111
7V3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	112
7V4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	113
7V5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	114
Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration	115
7W1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	115
7W2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	116
7W3: Stratified by Household source water quality	117
7W4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	118
7W5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	119
Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	120
7X1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)	120
7X2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	121
7X3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	122
7X4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	123
7X5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	124
Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference	125
7Y1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)	125
7Y2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)	126
7Y3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)	127
7Y4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	128
7Y5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)	129
Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio	130
7Z1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	130
7Z2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	131
7Z3: Stratified by Household source water quality	132
7Z4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	133
7Z5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	134
Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference	135
7AA1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status	135
7AA2: Stratified by Household food insecurity	136
7AA3: Stratified by Household source water quality	137
7AA4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)	138
7AA5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment	139

These figures are forest plots showing the individual-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure has the estimates of intervention effect stratified within study by individual-level effect modifier category. For continuous outcomes the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the far left correspond to trial level information. In the middle left and on the right the values indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates is shown by subgroup.

Not all trials were included in all individual-level effect modification analyses, either because they did not measure the biomarker outcome or the effect modifier of interest (e.g., baseline anemia or acute malnutrition, receipt of high-dose vitamin A supplement), or because the prevalence of the binary outcome or proportion of children within one of the effect modifier subgroups was too low to allow us to generate effect estimates.


RBP, retinol binding protein.

Supplemental figure 7A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

7A1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.528

Difference in MDs = -0.26 (-1.07, 0.55)




Supplemental figure 7A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

7A2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.470

Difference in MDs = 0.40 (-0.68, 1.48)




Supplemental figure 7A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

7A4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.607

Difference in MDs = 0.32 (-0.89, 1.52)




Supplemental figure 7A: Mean difference in hemoglobin concentration

7A5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.190

Difference in MDs = -0.69 (-1.72, 0.34)




Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio

7B1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.762

Ratio of PRs = 0.99 (0.95, 1.04)




Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio

7B2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.949

Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.95, 1.05)




Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio

7B3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.009


Ratio of PRs = 1.08 (1.02, 1.14)



Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio

7B4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.092
Ratio of PRs = 0.92 (0.83, 1.01)




Supplemental figure 7B: Anemia prevalence ratio

7B5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.434

Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.96, 1.10)




Supplemental figure 7C: Anemia prevalence difference

7C1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.499


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.02, 0.04)



Supplemental figure 7C: Anemia prevalence difference

7C2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.857
Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.04, 0.03)



Supplemental figure 7C: Anemia prevalence difference

7C3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.005
Difference in PDs = 0.05 (0.02, 0.09)




Supplemental figure 7C: Anemia prevalence difference

7C5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.332

Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)




Supplemental figure 7D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

7D1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.244

Ratio of PRs = 0.95 (0.88, 1.03)




Supplemental figure 7D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

7D3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.952

Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.89, 1.14)




Supplemental figure 7D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

7D4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.004

Ratio of PRs = 0.74 (0.60, 0.91)




Supplemental figure 7D: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratio

7D5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.189

Ratio of PRs = 1.08 (0.96, 1.20)




Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

7E1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.490

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.03, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

7E2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.523

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.05, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

7E3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.407

Difference in PDs = -0.02 (-0.05, 0.02)




Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

7E4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.020

Difference in PDs = -0.05 (-0.10, -0.01)




Supplemental figure 7E: Moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence difference

7E5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.210


Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.01, 0.06)



Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

7F1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.204
Ratio of GMRs = 0.94 (0.86, 1.03)



Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

7F2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.245
Ratio of GMRs = 0.93 (0.82, 1.05)



Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

7F3: Stratified by Household source water quality


P-for-interaction = 0.408
Ratio of GMRs = 0.93 (0.78, 1.11)



Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

7F4: Stratified by Household sanitation

P-for-interaction = 0.512
Ratio of GMRs = 1.05 (0.90, 1.23)




Supplemental figure 7F: Geometric mean ratio of ferritin concentration

7F5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.012

Ratio of GMRs = 1.13 (1.03, 1.25)




Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

7G1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.847

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.78, 1.23)




Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

7G2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.859


Ratio of PRs = 1.03 (0.77, 1.38)



Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

7G3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.976
Ratio of PRs = 1.00 (0.78, 1.29)



Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio


7G4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7G: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratio

7G5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.002

Ratio of PRs = 0.68 (0.53, 0.87)




Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

7H1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.158

Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.02, 0.11)




Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

7H2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.908

Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.08, 0.07)




Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

7H3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.948

Difference in PDs = -0.01 (-0.16, 0.15)



Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference


7H4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7H: Iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence difference

7H5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.007

Difference in PDs = -0.09 (-0.16, -0.02)




Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

7I1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.751

Ratio of PRs = 1.06 (0.74, 1.52)



Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

7I2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

7I3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

7I4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7I: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratio

7I5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

7J2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

7J3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

7J4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 7J: Iron deficiency anemia prevalence difference

7J5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

7K1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.373
Ratio of GMRs = 1.03 (0.97, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

7K2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.189
Ratio of GMRs = 1.05 (0.98, 1.12)



Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

7K3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.039
Ratio of GMRs = 1.11 (1.01, 1.22)




Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

7K4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7K: Geometric mean ratio of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

7K5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.865
Ratio of GMRs = 1.01 (0.95, 1.07)




Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

7L1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.066

Ratio of PRs = 1.18 (0.99, 1.41)




Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

7L2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.366

Ratio of PRs = 1.10 (0.89, 1.36)




Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

7L3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.114

Ratio of PRs = 1.24 (0.95, 1.62)



Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio


7L4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7L: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratio

7L5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.154


Ratio of PRs = 1.14 (0.95, 1.35)



Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

7M1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.058
Difference in PDs = 0.07 (0.00, 0.15)




Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

7M2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.433

Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.07, 0.15)




Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

7M3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.231

Difference in PDs = 0.10 (-0.06, 0.25)



Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference


7M4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7M: Elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence difference

7M5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.684


Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.06, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

7N1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.157
Ratio of GMRs = 1.09 (0.97, 1.23)



Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

7N2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.193
Ratio of GMRs = 1.09 (0.96, 1.24)



Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

7N3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

7N4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7N: Geometric mean ratio of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

7N5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.079
Ratio of GMRs = 0.89 (0.77, 1.01)




Supplemental figure 7O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

7O1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.894

Ratio of PRs = 0.98 (0.78, 1.24)




Supplemental figure 7O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

7O2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.790

Ratio of PRs = 0.97 (0.76, 1.23)



Supplemental figure 7O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio

7O3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7O: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratio


7O4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

7P1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.191

Difference in PDs = 0.06 (-0.03, 0.16)




Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

7P2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.945

Difference in PDs = 0.00 (-0.11, 0.10)



Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

7P3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference


7P4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7P: Elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence difference

7P5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.874


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.09, 0.11)



Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

7Q1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.696
Ratio of GMRs = 0.99 (0.94, 1.04)



Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

7Q2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

7Q3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

7Q4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Q: Geometric mean ratio of plasma zinc concentration

7Q5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment


P-for-interaction = 0.044
Ratio of GMRs = 1.04 (1.00, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

7R1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.882
Ratio of GMRs = 1.01 (0.94, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

7R2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.084
Ratio of GMRs = 0.93 (0.85, 1.01)



Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

7R3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

7R4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7R: Geometric mean ratio of retinol concentration

7R5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.952
Ratio of GMRs = 1.00 (0.93, 1.08)



Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7S1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7S2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7S3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7S4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7S: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7S5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7T1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7T2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7T3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7T4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7T: Low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7T5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7U2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7U3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio


7U4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7U: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7U5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.615

Ratio of PRs = 1.09 (0.77, 1.54)




Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7V1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.712

Difference in PDs = 0.02 (-0.09, 0.13)



Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7V2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7V3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference


7V4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7V: Marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

7V5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.888


Difference in PDs = 0.01 (-0.10, 0.12)



Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

7W1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status


P-for-interaction = 0.108
Ratio of GMRs = 0.96 (0.92, 1.01)



Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

7W2: Stratified by Household food insecurity


P-for-interaction = 0.183
Ratio of GMRs = 1.04 (0.98, 1.09)



Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

7W3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.959
Ratio of GMRs = 1.00 (0.92, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

7W4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7W: Geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentration

7W5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.101
Ratio of GMRs = 0.96 (0.92, 1.01)



Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7X1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7X2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7X3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7X4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7X: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio

7X5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7Y1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7Y2: Stratified by Household food insecurity (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7Y3: Stratified by Household source water quality (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference

7Y4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Y: Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference


7Y5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence ratio

7Z1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.026

Ratio of PRs = 1.26 (1.03, 1.56)




Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7Z2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.208

Ratio of PRs = 0.85 (0.67, 1.09)




Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7Z3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.520

Ratio of PRs = 1.10 (0.82, 1.48)



Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratio


7Z4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7Z: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratio

7Z5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.552

Ratio of PRs = 1.08 (0.84, 1.37)




Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 μmol/L) prevalence difference

7AA1: Stratified by Household socio-economic status

P-for-interaction = 0.076

Difference in PDs = 0.07 (-0.01, 0.15)




Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7AA2: Stratified by Household food insecurity

P-for-interaction = 0.231

Difference in PDs = -0.06 (-0.15, 0.04)




Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7AA3: Stratified by Household source water quality

P-for-interaction = 0.499

Difference in PDs = 0.05 (-0.10, 0.21)



Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence difference


7AA4: Stratified by Household sanitation (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 7AA: Marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence difference

7AA5: Stratified by Season at the time of assessment

P-for-interaction = 0.397

Difference in PDs = 0.04 (-0.05, 0.12)



Supplemental figure 8: Sensitivity analyses of effect modification of SQ-LNS on biochemical outcomes by individual-level effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 8A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration	5
8A1: By maternal effect modifiers	5
8A2: By child effect modifiers	6
8A3: By household effect modifiers	7
Supplemental figure 8B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios	8
8B1: By maternal effect modifiers	8
8B2: By child effect modifiers	9
8B3: By household effect modifiers	10
Supplemental figure 8C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences	11
8C1: By maternal effect modifiers	11
8C2: By child effect modifiers	12
8C3: By household effect modifiers	13
Supplemental figure 8D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios	14
8D1: By maternal effect modifiers	14
8D2: By child effect modifiers	15
8D3: By household effect modifiers	16
Supplemental figure 8E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences	17
8E1: By maternal effect modifiers	17
8E2: By child effect modifiers	18
8E3: By household effect modifiers	19
Supplemental figure 8F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration	20
8F1: By maternal effect modifiers	20
8F2: By child effect modifiers	21
8F3: By household effect modifiers	22
Supplemental figure 8G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios	23

8G1: By maternal effect modifiers	23
8G2: By child effect modifiers	24
8G3: By household effect modifiers	25
Supplemental figure 8H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences	26
8H1: By maternal effect modifiers	26
8H2: By child effect modifiers	27
8H3: By household effect modifiers	28
Supplemental figure 8I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios	29
8I1: By maternal effect modifiers	29
8I2: By child effect modifiers	30
8I3: By household effect modifiers	31
Supplemental figure 8J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences	32
8J1: By maternal effect modifiers	32
8J2: By child effect modifiers	33
8J3: By household effect modifiers	34
Supplemental figure 8K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration	35
8K1: By maternal effect modifiers	35
8K2: By child effect modifiers	36
8K3: By household effect modifiers	37
Supplemental figure 8L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios	38
8L1: By maternal effect modifiers	38
8L2: By child effect modifiers	39
8L3: By household effect modifiers	40
Supplemental figure 8M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences	41
8M1: By maternal effect modifiers	41
8M2: By child effect modifiers	42
8M3: By household effect modifiers	43
Supplemental figure 8N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration	44
8N1: By maternal effect modifiers	44
8N2: By child effect modifiers	45
8N3: By household effect modifiers	46
Supplemental figure 8O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios	47
8O1: By maternal effect modifiers	47
8O2: By child effect modifiers	48
8O3: By household effect modifiers	49

Supplemental figure 8P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences	50
8P1: By maternal effect modifiers	50
8P2: By child effect modifiers	51
8P3: By household effect modifiers	52
Supplemental figure 8Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration	53
8Q1: By maternal effect modifiers	53
8Q2: By child effect modifiers	54
8Q3: By household effect modifiers	55
Supplemental figure 8R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration	56
8R1: By maternal effect modifiers	56
8R2: By child effect modifiers	57
8R3: By household effect modifiers	58
Supplemental figure 8S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios	59
8S1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	59
8S2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	60
8S3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	61
Supplemental figure 8T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences	62
8T1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	62
8T2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	63
8T3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	64
Supplemental figure 8U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios	65
8U1: By maternal effect modifiers	65
8U2: By child effect modifiers	66
8U3: By household effect modifiers	67
Supplemental figure 8V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences	68
8V1: By maternal effect modifiers	68
8V2: By child effect modifiers	69
8V3: By household effect modifiers	70
Supplemental figure 8W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations	71
8W1: By maternal effect modifiers	71
8W2: By child effect modifiers	72
8W3: By household effect modifiers	73
Supplemental figure 8X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios	74
8X1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	74
8X2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	75


8X3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	76
Supplemental figure 8Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 µmol/L) prevalence differences	77
8Y1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	77
8Y2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	78
8Y3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)	79
Supplemental figure 8Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios	80
8Z1: By maternal effect modifiers	80
8Z2: By child effect modifiers	81
8Z3: By household effect modifiers	82
Supplemental figure 8AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence differences	83
8AA1: By maternal effect modifiers	83
8AA2: By child effect modifiers	84
8AA3: By household effect modifiers	85

These figures show the pooled estimates of effect modification by different sensitivity analyses. For continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the difference in mean of the LNS group minus control. For log transformed continuous outcomes, the intervention effect is measured by the ratio of geometric means, the effect estimate is the geometric mean in the LNS group divided by the geometric mean in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence ratios, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group divided by the prevalence in the control group. For dichotomous outcomes analyzed via prevalence differences, the effect estimate is the prevalence in the LNS group minus the prevalence in the control group. The labels on the left y-axis indicate which outcome is assessed. The different columns correspond to sensitivity analyses in which intervention group categorization differs. All-trial analysis includes all trials; Child-LNS-only excludes trial arms that provided both maternal and child LNS; Multi-component analysis separates comparisons within trials that included multi-component interventions, so that the SQ-LNS vs. no SQ-LNS comparisons were conducted separately between pairs of arms that included the same non-nutrition components (e.g. SQ-LNS+WASH vs. WASH; SQ-LNS vs. Control); Passive arms excluded analysis excludes passive control arms. Depending on the sensitivity analysis, there may not have been enough comparisons available to generate a pooled estimate.

sTfR, soluble transferrin receptor; ZPP, zinc protoporphyrin; RBP, retinol binding protein.


Supplemental figure 8A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration

8A1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration

8A2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8A: Difference in mean differences in hemoglobin concentration

8A3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios

8B1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios

8B2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8B: Ratio of anemia prevalence ratios

8B3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences

8C1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences

8C2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8C: Difference in anemia prevalence differences

8C3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios
 8D1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios

8D2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8D: Ratio of moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence ratios

8D3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences

8E1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences

8E2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8E: Difference in moderate-to-severe anemia prevalence differences

8E3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration

8F1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration

8F2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8F: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of ferritin concentration

8F3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios
 8G1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios

8G2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8G: Ratio of iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence ratios

8G3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences
 8H1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences

8H2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8H: Difference in iron deficiency (ferritin < 12 µg/L) prevalence differences

8H3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios

8I1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios

8I2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8I: Ratio of iron deficiency anemia prevalence ratios

8I3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences

8J1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences

8J2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8J: Difference in iron deficiency anemia prevalence differences

8J3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

8K1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

8K2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8K: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of soluble transferrin receptor concentration

8K3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios

8L1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios

8L2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8L: Ratio of elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence ratios

8L3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences

8M1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences

8M2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8M: Difference in elevated soluble transferrin receptor prevalence differences

8M3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration
 8N1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

8N2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8N: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of zinc protoporphyrin concentration

8N3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios
8O1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios

8O2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8O: Ratio of elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence ratios

8O3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences

8P1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences

8P2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8P: Difference in elevated zinc protoporphyrin prevalence differences

8P3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration
8Q1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration

8Q2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8Q: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of plasma zinc concentration

8Q3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration
8R1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration

8R2: By child effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 8R: Ratio of geometric mean ratios of retinol concentration

8R3: By household effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 8S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 μmol/L) prevalence ratios
8S1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8S2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8S: Ratio of low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8S3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8T1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 8T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8T2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8T: Difference in low vitamin A (retinol < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences


8T3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios
8U1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios

8U2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8U: Ratio of marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 µmol/L) prevalence ratios

8U3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8V1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8V2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8V: Difference in marginal vitamin A (retinol < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8V3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations
 8W1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations

8W2: By child effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 8W: Ratio of geometric mean ratio of retinol binding protein concentrations

8W3: By household effect modifiers



**Supplemental figure 8X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios
8X1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)**

Supplemental figure 8X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8X2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8X: Ratio of Low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8X3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8Y1: By maternal effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences


8Y2: By child effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)

Supplemental figure 8Y: Difference in low vitamin A status (RBP < 0.70 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8Y3: By household effect modifiers (insufficient comparisons)


Supplemental figure 8Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8Z1: By maternal effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8Z2: By child effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8Z: Ratio of marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence ratios

8Z3: By household effect modifiers




Supplemental figure 8AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8AA1: By maternal effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 8AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8AA2: By child effect modifiers



Supplemental figure 8AA: Difference in marginal vitamin A status (RBP < 1.05 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) prevalence differences

8AA3: By household effect modifiers

