Supplemental Information

Supplementary Results

Group differences in regional amyloid deposition in regions with lower gray matter in LLD

We extracted standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) from three lower gray matter volume (GMV) regions identified in the whole-brain GMV analysis (See Table 1 and Figure 1 in the main text), and we compared them between patients with late-life depression (LLD) and healthy controls (HC). Mann-Whitney U-tests showed no significant differences in SUVRs in the left temporal region (p = 0.18), left parietal region (p = 0.88), and right occipital region (p = 0.59) between patients with LLD and HC (Figure 1 in the main text). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) controlling for age and ApoE ε 4 carrier status also did not show any differences in SUVRs in the left temporal region (p = 0.60), left parietal region (p = 0.92), and right occipital region (p = 0.46) between groups.

Associations of clinical factors with amyloid deposition in LLD

There were no main effects of the episodic memory scores ($F_{3, 34} = 1.2$, p = 0.32), GDS ($F_{3, 33} = 0.50$, p = 0.68), age at onset of depression ($F_{3, 41} = 1.5$, p = 0.22), or presence of psychosis ($F_{3, 41} = 2.6$, p = 0.07) on the regional amyloid deposition.

We also conducted additional whole-brain regression analyses to identify any potential associations between regional amyloid deposition and clinical scores (i.e., episodic memory scores and GDS), including age, ApoE ε 4 status, and diagnosis as covariates. In these analyses, we did not find any significant correlations between clinical scores and amyloid deposition with significance threshold at a cluster-level FWE-corrected p <0.05 with an individual voxel threshold of p = 0.001.

Associations between GMV and amyloid deposition

There were no correlations between GMV and SUVRs in the left temporal region ($\rho = -0.02$, p = 0.91), left parietal region ($\rho = 0.26$, p = 0.09), and right occipital region ($\rho = 0.05$, p = 0.73) (Supplementary Figure S1).

Supplementary Figure S1. Associations between gray matter volume (GMV) and amyloid deposition in the lower GMV regions in patients with late-life depression compared with healthy controls. There were no correlations between GMV and SUVR in the (A) left temporal region; (B) left parietal region; and (C) right occipital region. Data from healthy controls are also overlaid as references.

GMV, gray matter volume; LLD, late-life depression; HC, healthy controls



Effects of smoothing parameters on whole-brain GMV and amyloid analyses

To investigate the potential effects of smoothing parameters on the main results, we re-analyzed our data with different smoothing parameters: i.e. a Gaussian kernel of 6mm or 10mm full-width at half maximum (FWHM). In the whole-brain GMV analyses, the left temporoparietal region was detected with both smoothing parameters (Supplementary Table S1; Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S3). The whole-brain analysis of GMV images with a 6 mm

FWHM Gaussian kernel, the left medial temporal region, right thalamus, and left cerebellum were also detected. Whole-brain group comparisons in amyloid deposition with any smoothing parameters did not show any differences between patients with LLD and healthy controls.

Supplementary Table S1. Results of whole-brain analysis using GMV images with a 10 mm

Cluster Size		Peak MNI coordinates				Cluster-level p	Voxel-level p
(voxels)	Brain Regions	x	У	z	T values	(FWE-corrected)	(FWE-corrected)
7925	Left temporoparietal cluster					< 0.001	
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-51	-50	22	5.53		0.002
		-66	-50	6	5.37		0.004
		-58	-57	-3	4.59		0.068
		-66	-28	-3	3.46		0.866
	Left inferior temporal gyrus	-50	-62	-8	4.82		0.032
		-51	-60	-21	4.12		0.273
	Left fusiform gyrus	-22	-45	-12	4.62		0.062
		-36	-50	-12	4.18		0.233
		-34	-64	-8	4.08		0.298
	Left superior temporal gyrus	-52	-32	14	3.97		0.394
	Left Inferior parietal lobule	-56	-36	40	3.55		0.79
2604	Right occipital cluster					0.003	
	Right inferior occipital lobe	24	-93	-6	4.1		0.287
		42	-75	-15	3.8		0.554
		30	-86	-12	3.77		0.58
	Right lingual gyrus	14	-86	-10	3.85		0.5
	Right fusiform gyrus	46	-58	-20	3.7		0.649
		34	-66	_4	3.45		0.872

FWHM Gaussian kernel.

Supplementary Table S2. Results of whole-brain analysis using GMV images with a 6 mm FWHM

Gaussian kernel.

Cluster Size		Peak MNI coordinates			Cluster-level p	Voxel-level p	
(voxels)	Brain Regions	х	У	z	T values	(FWE-corrected)	(FWE-corrected)
1335	Left temporal cluster					< 0.001	
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-63	-50	6	5.42		0.012
		-48	-69	0	4.5		0.257
		-68	-46	-9	3.33		1
	Left inferior temporal gyrus	-50	-62	-8	4.79		0.109
		-60	-56	-6	4.6		0.195
		-52	-58	-22	3.85		0.888
		-51	-51	-6	3.65		0.977
	Left fusiform gyrus	-40	-64	-14	3.54		0.994
813	Left temporoparietal cluster					0.003	
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-52	-51	21	4.83		0.096
	Left supramarginal gyrus	-57	-45	30	4.31		0.428
		-57	-57	30	3.69		0.966
	Left inferior parietal lobule	-52	-50	39	3.93		0.824
	Left angular gyrus	-44	-57	27	3.7		0.966
450	Left occipitotemporal cluster					0.044	
	Left fusiform gyrus	-22	-42	-15	4.5		0.261
		-27	-51	-12	4.27		0.468
501	Cerebellum cluster					0.029	
	Left cerebellum	-36	-68	-60	4.43		0.316
		-46	-69	-46	3.38		1
		-21	-64	-56	3.31		1
531	Left occipitotemporal cluster					0.023	
	Left fusiform gyrus	-34	-10	-33	4.33		0.406
		-27	-4	-40	3.73		0.953
	Left inferior temporal gyrus	-33	3	-36	3.59		0.989
658	Thalamic cluster					0.009	
	Right thalamus (VPL)	15	-18	-2	4.17		0.571
	Right thalamus (MD)	3	-18	6	3.7		0.964
	Right thalamus (Pu)	10	-28	6	3.36		1

VPL, ventral posterolateral; MD, mediodorsal nucleus; Pu, pulvinar nucleus

Supplementary Figure S2. Results of whole-brain analysis using GMV images with a 10 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. With this larger smoothing kernel, left temporoparietal region was identified as one big cluster.



Supplementary Figure S3. Results of whole-brain analysis using GMV images with a 6 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. With this smaller smoothing kernel, the left medial temporal region, right thalamus, and left cerebellum were also identified as lower GMV regions in LLD. The right thalamus and left cerebellum were not shown in the figure.



Effect of dartel template on the results of GMV analyses

In the main analysis, we created a study-specific dartel template from all participants' data in this study because our cohort included only elderly subjects making the CAT12 default template based on healthy young volunteers suboptimal for our specific population. To investigate the potential effect of the dartel template in our GMV analysis, we conducted a whole-brain GMV analysis by using a default dartel template which is already implemented in CAT12. Even in this analysis, the left temporal and parietal regions were detected as lower GMV regions in patients with LLD (Supplementary Table 3; Supplementary Figure 4).

Supplementary Table S3. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis using default dartel template in CAT12.

Cluster Size	D . D .	Peak MNI coordinates			T 1	Cluster-level p	Voxel-level p
(voxels)	Brain Regions	х	у	Z	Tvalues	(FWE-corrected)	(FWE-corrected)
2942	Left temporal cluster					< 0.001	
	Left inferior occipital lobe	-46	-74	-4	4.86		0.044
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-64	-48	6	4.51		0.139
	Left lingual gyrus	-22	-48	-10	4.43		0.178
	Left inferior temporal gyrus	-51	-57	-8	4		0.522
		-48	-48	-28	3.93		0.599
		-51	-57	-22	3.82		0.709
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-62	-50	-10	3.65		0.864
	Left fusiform gyrus	-36	-45	-14	3.53		0.935
975	Left parietal cluster					0.003	
	Left supramarginal gyrus	-54	-45	24	4.32		0.244
	Left superior temporal gyrus	-57	-32	16	3.99		0.531
	Left angular gyrus	-52	-56	26	3.98		0.542

Supplementary Figure S4. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis using the default dartel template

in CAT12.



Effects of different scanners on the results of GMV analysis

In the main analyses, we accounted for the potential MRI scanner effect on the GMV analysis by including scanner as a covariate in the statistical model. We also conducted a whole-brain GMV analysis in a subpopulation excluding 19 subjects who received a different scanner with identical sequence parameters. Although the left temporal and parietal regions were not separated, the results were similar to the main results (Supplementary Table S4; Supplementary Figure 5).

Supplementary Table S4. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis excluding subjects who received a

Cluster Size		Peak MNI coordinates				Cluster-level p	Voxel-level p
(voxels)	Brain Regions	x	У	z	T values	(FWE-corrected)	(FWE-corrected)
5526	Left temporoparietal cluster					< 0.001	
	Left middle temporal gyrus	-51	-50	22	5.55		0.006
		-63	-50	6	5.44		0.008
		-64	-33	-2	3.83		0.753
	Left inferior temporal gyrus	-60	-56	-6	4.71		0.098
		-50	-62	-8	4.69		0.102
		-50	-51	-8	4.22		0.374
		-38	-46	-14	4.18		0.405
		-51	-58	-22	3.97		0.612
		-46	-50	-27	3.54		0.951
	Left superior temporal gyrus	-50	-30	12	4.1		0.489
	Left fusiform gyrus	-22	-45	-12	4.67		0.11
		-34	-62	-9	4.14		0.449
	Left supramarginal gyrus	-56	-46	32	4.85		0.063
		-58	-57	30	3.48		0.969
	Left angular gyrus	-42	-57	27	3.88		0.712
1847	Right occipital cluster					0.001	
	Right lingual gyrus	14	-86	-10	4.42		0.226
	Right inferior occipital lobe	24	-93	-6	4.22		0.373
		44	-76	-14	3.87		0.714
		30	-86	-10	3.68		0.879
	Right middle occipital lobe	32	-100	-3	4.1		0.482
	Right fusiform gyrus	46	-58	-20	4.31		0.303
		30	-75	-16	3.91		0.674

different scanner with identical sequence parameters.

Supplementary Figure S5. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis excluding subjects who received a

different scanner with identical sequence parameters.



Regional GMV difference in a subgroup excluding amyloid positive subjects

Although there were no significant differences in the proportion of amyloid positive subjects between groups, we conducted the whole-brain GMV analysis in a subpopulation excluding 10 LLD subjects with amyloid positive and 12 healthy controls with amyloid positive. In addition to the left temporal, left parietal, and right occipital region, the right thalamus and left cerebellum were also detected as lower GMV regions in patients with LLD (Supplementary Table S5; Supplementary Figure 6).

Supplementary Table S5. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis excluding amyloid positive

subjects.

Cluster Size		Peak MNI coordinates				Cluster-level p	Voxel-level p
(voxels)	Brain Regions	x	у	z	T values	(FWE-corrected)	(FWE-corrected)
1191	Left parietal cluster					0.009	
	Left supramarginal gyrus	-51	-50	22	5.41		0.011
		-56	-48	32	4.76		0.088
2354	Right occipital cluster						
	Right lingual gyrus	14	-86	-12	5.06	< 0.001	0.034
	Right inferior occipital lobe	30	-86	-10	4.38		0.259
		22	-93	-6	4.19		0.413
		42	-66	-16	3.89		0.708
	Right middle occipital lobe	32	-100	-2	4.22		0.382
		39	-93	-2	3.71		0.862
	Right calcarine fissure and surrounding cortex	8	-90	0	3.81		0.78
		14	-80	2	3.54		0.952
		16	-104	-2	3.46		0.976
		12	-72	9	3.32		0.994
1562	Thalamic cluster					0.002	
	Right thalamus (VPL)	15	-18	-2	4.95		0.049
910	Left temporal cluster					0.026	
	left inferior temporal gyrus	-51	-62	-8	4.34		0.287
		-51	-62	-22	4.04		0.562
959	Cerebellum cluster					0.022	
	Left cerebellum	-28	-57	-46	4.24		0.366
		-36	-70	-58	4.03		0.568
		-18	-54	-50	3.36		0.991

VPL, ventral posterolateral

Supplementary Figure S6. Results of whole-brain GMV analysis excluding amyloid positive

subjects.



Region of interest (ROI) analysis

Since we reported hippocampal volume reduction in LLD in a previous paper (1), we also conducted a ROI analysis to confirm the previous finding by using another method (manual tracing vs. atlasbased automated calculation). In the current study, hippocampal volume was calculated based on automated anatomical labeling (AAL) atlas. Left hippocampal GMV was significantly lower in LLD compared to healthy controls, even after controlling for TIV and scanner type (p = 0.018). Right hippocampal GMV did not differ between groups (p = 0.06). Although the whole-brain analysis with a stringent statistical criteria did not detect hippocampal volume reduction in our main analysis, *a priori* ROI analysis with a different analysis method also detected left hippocampal volume reduction in LLD similar to our previous paper.

Effect of age on GMV

In general, age shows a negative correlation with GMV and cortical thickness (2–4). However, a previous study reported that there was no correlation between age and cortical thickness in patients with late-life depression (5). In the current study, as an additional analysis, we also found significant age-by-group interaction effects in the left temporal GMV (p = 0.0058), the left parietal GMV (p < 0.001), and the right occipital GMV (p = 0.002) (Figure S5A–C). Although this is based on cross-sectional data, our results might indicate an accelerating brain aging in patients with LLD (e.g., one potential interpretation is that patients with LLD in their 60s had similar GMV to healthy subjects in their 80s).

Figure S5. Differences in slopes of the age vs gray matter volume correlation between LLD and healthy controls. Additional analyses revealed significant age-by-group interaction effects in (A) the left temporal region, (B) the left parietal region, and (C) the right occipital region. Red lines represent LLD and turquoise lines represent healthy controls.



Supplementary References

- De Winter FL, Emsell L, Bouckaert F, Claes L, Jain S, Farrar G, et al. No association of lower Hippocampal volume with Alzheimer's disease pathology in late-life depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2017; 174: 237–245.
- Long X, Liao W, Jiang C, Liang D, Qiu B, Zhang L. Healthy Aging. An Automatic Analysis of Global and Regional Morphological Alterations of Human Brain. Acad Radiol. 2012; 19: 785– 793.
- Jiang J, Sachdev P, Lipnicki DM, Zhang H, Liu T, Zhu W, et al. A longitudinal study of brain atrophy over two years in community-dwelling older individuals. Neuroimage. 2014; 86: 203– 211.
- Luo N, Sui J, Abrol A, Lin D, Chen J, Vergara VM, et al. Age-related structural and functional variations in 5,967 individuals across the adult lifespan. Hum Brain Mapp. 2020; 41: 1725– 1737.
- Lebedeva A, Borza T, Håberg AK, Idland AV, Dalaker TO, Aarsland D, et al. Neuroanatomical correlates of late-life depression and associated cognitive changes. Neurobiol Aging. 2015; 36: 3090–3099.