# The RoB 2.0 tool (individually randomized, parallel group trials)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Assessor name/initials** | MK |
| **Study ID and/or reference(s)** | Bretherton et. Al 2019 |

**Study design**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| þ | Randomized parallel group trial |
| £ | Cluster-randomized trial |
| £ | Randomized cross-over or other matched design |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Specify which outcome is being assessed for risk of bias** | Study 1 outcomes: baroreflex sensitivity and heart rate variability |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Specify the numerical result being assessed.** In case of multiple alternative analyses being presented, specify the numeric result (e.g. RR = 1.52 (95% CI 0.83 to 2.77) and/or a reference (e.g. to a table, figure or paragraph) that uniquely defines the result being assessed. | Study 1 results: Change in baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) between baseline and tVNS significantly differed between the tVNS and sham visits (p = 0.028): there was a significantly greater increase in BRS during the tVNS visit (3.28 ± 0.59 ms/mmHg) compared to the sham visit (0.81 ± 0.68 ms/mmHg). Baseline heart rate variability (HRV), measured as ratio of LF/HF power, significantly predicted response to tVNS (R2 = 0.772, p < 0.001, see Figure 1), where higher resting LF/HF ratio was associated with greater decreases during tVNS. |

**Is your aim for this study…?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| £ | to assess the effect of *assignment to intervention* |
| þ | to assess the effect of *starting and adhering to intervention* |

**Which of the following sources have you obtained to help inform your risk of bias judgements (tick as many as apply)?**

£ Journal article(s) with results of the trial

£ Trial protocol

£ Statistical analysis plan (SAP)

£ Non-commercial trial registry record (e.g. ClinicalTrials.gov record)

£ Company-owned trial registry record (e.g. GSK Clinical Study Register record)

£ “Grey literature” (e.g. unpublished thesis)

£ Conference abstract(s) about the trial

£ Regulatory document (e.g. Clinical Study Report, Drug Approval Package)

£ Research ethics application

£ Grant database summary (e.g. NIH RePORTER, Research Councils UK Gateway to Research)

£ Personal communication with trialist

£ Personal communication with the sponsor

## Risk of bias assessment for a parallel group trial with interest in the effect of starting and adhering to intervention

| **Domain** | **Signalling questions** | **Response options** | **Description/Support for judgement** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bias arising from the randomization process** | 1.1 Was the allocation sequence random? | PY | Study 1 was randomized |
| 1.2 Was the allocation sequence concealed until participants were recruited and assigned to interventions? | PY | The patients were blinded. |
| 1.3 Were there baseline imbalances that suggest a problem with the randomization process? | NI |  |
| **Risk of bias judgement** | Low |  |
| Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias arising from the randomization process? | NA |  |
| **Bias due to deviations from intended interventions** | 2.1. Were participants aware of their assigned intervention during the trial? | PN | The patients were blinded and told during control that the current would be below their sensory perception |
| 2.2. Were carers and trial personnel aware of participants' assigned intervention during the trial? | PY | It is likely that the trial personnel were aware since someone had to disconnect the TENS machine without the participant’s knowledge. |
| 2.3. If Y/PY/NI to 2.1 or 2.2: Were important co-interventions balanced across intervention groups? | PY | Participants were healthy and no cointerventions imbalances were explicit |
| 2.4. Was the intervention implemented successfully? | PY | No reason to suspect otherwise |
| 2.5. Did study participants adhere to the assigned intervention regimen? | PY | Yes, the study took place in a clinical setting |
| 2.6. If N/PN/NI to 2.3, 2.4 or 2.5: Was an appropriate analysis used to estimate the effect of starting and adhering to the intervention? | NA |  |
| **Risk of bias judgement** | Low | Sham had very small effect |
| Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to deviations from intended interventions? | NA |  |
| **Bias due to missing outcome data** | 3.1 Were outcome data available for all, or nearly all, participants randomized? | PY | No participants were excluded |
| 3.2 If N/PN/NI to 3.1: Are the proportions of missing outcome data and reasons for missing outcome data similar across intervention groups? | NA |  |
| 3.3 If N/PN/NI to 3.1: Is there evidence that results were robust to the presence of missing outcome data? | NA |  |
| **Risk of bias judgement** | Low |  |
| Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to missing outcome data? | NA |  |
| **Bias in measurement of the outcome** | 4.1 Were outcome assessors aware of the intervention received by study participants? | PY | It is likely that the trial personnel were aware since someone had to disconnect the TENS machine without the participant’s knowledge. |
| 4.2 If Y/PY/NI to 4.1: Was the assessment of the outcome likely to be influenced by knowledge of intervention received? | PN | Physiological equipment continuously recorded + the assessors were aware of the goal to blind patients |
| **Risk of bias judgement** | Low |  |
| Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to measurement of the outcome? | NA |  |
| **Bias in selection of the reported result** | Are the reported outcome data likely to have been selected, on the basis of the results, from... |  |  |
| 5.1. ... multiple outcome measurements (e.g. scales, definitions, time points) within the outcome domain? | PY | Not reporting baseline values |
| 5.2 ... multiple analyses of the data? | PN |  |
| **Risk of bias judgement** | Some concerns |  |
| Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to selection of the reported result? | NA |  |
| **Overall bias** | **Risk of bias judgement** | Some Concerns |  |
| Optional:  What is the overall predicted direction of bias for this outcome? | NA |  |