

1 **Benefits of natural habitat particularly woodland on** 2 **children's cognition and mental health**

3 Mikaël J. A. Maes^{1,2,3,4,*}, Monica Pirani³, Elizabeth R. Booth⁵, Chen Shen³, Ben
4 Milligan^{6,7}, Kate E. Jones^{2,*} and Mireille B. Toledano^{3,4,*}

5 ¹Department of Geography, University College London, Pearson Building, Gower
6 Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom.

7 ²Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of Genetics,
8 Evolution and Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London,
9 WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom.

10 ³MRC Centre for Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Faculty of
11 Medicine, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, United Kingdom

12 ⁴Mohn Centre for Children's Health and Wellbeing, School of Public Health, Faculty
13 of Medicine, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, United
14 Kingdom.

15 ⁵Centre for Educational Neuroscience, Department of Psychological Sciences,
16 Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London, WC1E 7HX, United Kingdom

17 ⁶Institute for Sustainable Resources, University College London, Central House,
18 14 Upper Woburn Place, London, WC1H 0NN, United Kingdom

19 ⁷University of New South Wales Law School, Law Building, UNSW Sydney, NSW
20 2052, Australia

21 *Correspondence should be addressed to M. J. A. M. (mikael.maes.16@ucl.ac.uk),
22 K. E. J. (kate.e.jones@ucl.ac.uk) or M. B. T. (m.toledano@imperial.ac.uk).

23 ABSTRACT

24 Life in urban areas is associated with adverse human health effects, including risks
25 of developing cognitive problems and mental health issues. Many epidemiological
26 studies have established associations between urban nature, cognitive development
27 and mental health, but why specifically we receive these health benefits remains
28 unclear, especially in children. Here, we used longitudinal data in a cohort of 3,568
29 children aged 9 to 15 years at 31 schools across London to develop a model and
30 examine the associations between natural habitat type, and children's cognitive
31 development and mental health. We show that, after adjusting for other
32 environmental, demographic and socioeconomic variables, higher daily exposure
33 rates to natural habitat and particularly woodland were associated with enhanced
34 cognitive development and mental health from late childhood to early adolescence.
35 Our results suggest that optimising ecosystem services linked to cognitive
36 development and mental health benefits should prioritise the type of natural habitat
37 for sustainable urban planning decisions.

38 INTRODUCTION

39 Over 55% of the human population is now living in cities, with an estimated 2.5 billion
40 more people to be added to the urban population by 2050¹. Although urban
41 populations often have better socioeconomic livelihoods, living in urban areas is
42 associated with a number of adverse human health effects². In particular,
43 urbanisation is associated with risks of developing cognitive problems and mental
44 health issues^{3,4}, and is linked with various demographic and socioeconomic
45 factors^{5,6}. The COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated mental health problems
46 such as stress, anxiety and depressive symptoms, amongst others^{7,8}. In London, for
47 example, an estimated 1 in 4 individuals will experience a diagnosable mental health
48 condition in any given year, costing £26 billion annually through poorer education,
49 employment and quality of life, affecting London's economy, population and
50 infrastructure⁹. The renewed focus on cognition and mental health due to the
51 negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic highlight the importance to understand
52 the mechanisms and dynamic interactions attributed to a higher risk of cognitive
53 problems and mental health issues in urban areas, which until now remain unclear.

54 Emerging evidence suggests that surrounding environments, particularly exposure to
55 natural areas plays an important role in cognitive development and mental health^{10–}
56 ¹², and are part of a range of ecosystem services (ES) characterised to impact
57 human health and well-being¹³. Relative risk estimates of the association between
58 natural areas and cognitive development and mental health have been comparable
59 in magnitude to family history and parental age, and higher than the degree of
60 urbanisation¹¹. However, these associations lack a mechanistic understanding¹⁴.

61 Sensory and non-sensory pathways have been suggested as potentially important to
62 deliver health benefits received from nature¹⁴. Although humans are multisensory,
63 previous studies have primarily focused on the visual sense in humans^{15,16}.
64 However, natural sounds and smells, for example, are preferred over anthropogenic
65 ones^{17,18}, suggesting that non-visual psychological pathways are also important.
66 Health benefits received from inhalation or ingestion of phytoncides, negative air ions
67 or microbes may well explain other non-sensory physiological pathways through
68 which health benefits are received from nature^{19,20}. Further research into sensory
69 and non-sensory pathways might prove fundamentally important to establish a
70 mechanistic pathway between nature and mental health.

71 One of the barriers to understanding the mechanistic relationships between nature,
72 cognitive development and mental health is the use of inconsistent exposure
73 definitions in previous studies. Nature exposure has been measured, amongst
74 others, as physical access to nature²¹, natural habitat type^{22,23}, nature dose²⁴ and
75 degree of urbanisation^{11,24}. Wider-scale epidemiological research studying the
76 association between nature and mental health has almost exclusively measured
77 'greenness' through vegetation indices such as the Normalized Difference
78 Vegetation Index (NDVI), a unit-less index of relative overall vegetation density and
79 quality^{10-12,25}. However, this tends to simplify 'greenness' without taking into account
80 the types of natural habitat that exist. For example, standing and flowing water
81 bodies such as lakes, rivers or reservoirs (hereinafter called blue space), are often
82 excluded from nature and mental health research^{10,11,26}, and when included, show
83 significant associations with mental health and cognitive development^{25,27}. In
84 addition, forest has been proposed to generate a more restorative effect both

85 psychologically^{23,26} and physiologically¹⁹. For example, studies have shown that
86 forests have a more restorative effect when compared with overall urban green
87 space, agricultural land or wetland, amongst others^{23,26}. Although many of these
88 measures to assess environmental exposure have found associations with mental
89 health or cognitive development, to date there is no comprehensive analysis or
90 agreement which measure of environmental exposure is more or less important. A
91 more nuanced understanding of these patterns would help to better understand the
92 mechanisms behind such associations.

93 Many previous studies have also often focused on adult assessment of a variety of
94 environmental exposures in relation to mental health and cognitive development²⁸.
95 However, there is growing recognition of the importance of children's cognitive
96 development and mental health, who are in the midst of their cognitive and mental
97 development, affecting the child, its family and the broader community²⁹. In fact, 1 in
98 10 of London's children (~111,600 children) between the ages of 5 and 16 suffer
99 from a clinical mental health illness, and excess costs of mental health problems in
100 children are estimated between £11,030 and £59,130 annually for each child²⁹. As
101 for adults, there is also evidence that surrounding nature plays an important role in
102 children's cognitive development and mental health into adulthood^{11,12,25}. However, it
103 remains unclear if the type of natural habitat influences the relationship with
104 children's cognitive development and mental health, nor what the relative impact of
105 surrounding nature is with regards to other risk factors.

106 In this study, we develop a set of models estimating the contribution of urban natural
107 habitat types to children's cognitive development and mental health and argue these

108 can be used to inform future urban planning decisions. Our models demonstrated
109 that urban natural habitat and particularly woodland habitat mitigate cognitive
110 problems and mental health issues in children from late childhood to early
111 adolescence. We focused our analysis on a longitudinal dataset of 3,568 children
112 from the Study of Cognition, Adolescents and Mobile Phones (SCAMP) across the
113 London metropolitan area in the United Kingdom (Fig. 1a) (see Methods). We
114 assessed cognitive development through a composite executive function (EF) score
115 using computerised tests (Fig. 1b), while we assessed mental health through self-
116 reported questionnaires on emotional and behavioural problems using the Strength
117 and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) total difficulties score (Fig. 1c), and overall well-
118 being using the KIDSCREEN-10 Questionnaire Health-Related Quality of Life
119 (HRQoL) score (Fig. 1d). We systematically mapped urban natural habitat to identify
120 each child's daily exposure rate (DER) around their home and school within 50 m,
121 100 m, 250 m and 500 m in a three-tier stepwise characterisation of urban natural
122 habitat: (1) natural space, (2) green and blue space, and (3) grassland and
123 woodland. Our models identified an important risk factor for children's cognitive
124 development and mental health and we suggest that this assists urban planners and
125 decision-makers to sustainably manage urban nature. Unless stated otherwise, our
126 results were based on fully adjusted models with natural habitat DERs with a
127 daytime weighting and measured in buffer areas of 250 m (see Methods).

128 RESULTS

129 **Influence of urban natural habitat types for cognition and mental health.** We
130 estimated the change in children's cognitive development and mental health for each

131 type of urban natural habitat by fitting our longitudinal models (Supplementary
132 Methods 1). We found that children's cognitive development did improve with higher
133 DER to natural space. A difference of one in natural space DER corresponded to an
134 expected positive difference of 0.03 (95% credible interval [CI]: 0.01, 0.06) points in
135 the child's cognitive development using the EF score when controlling for other
136 demographic, environmental and socio-economic fixed effects (Fig. 2a and
137 Supplementary Figure 1a). We also provide the results for our mental health
138 outcomes with natural space DER (Fig. 2b,c and Supplementary Figure 1b,c), where
139 we found no improvement of mental health with higher DER to natural space,
140 meaning the 95% CI included the null effect for both models. Our results for the tier 2
141 models, where both green and blue space was used for the natural habitat
142 characterisation were almost identical to our tier 1 models for natural space DER.
143 This is probably due to a high collinearity between our DER for natural space and
144 green space (Supplementary Table 1) as children's DER to blue space was low. This
145 also meant that our models did not find an improvement of children's cognitive
146 development and mental health with DER of blue space (Fig. 2 and Supplementary
147 Figure 2).

148 To further assess the role of different types of urban natural habitat to children's
149 cognitive development and mental health, we characterised green space into two
150 distinctive natural habitat types, i.e. grassland and woodland. We found that
151 children's cognitive development and mental health did improve with higher DER to
152 woodland. When all other risk factors were held constant, there was a beneficial
153 contribution to cognitive development by 0.42 (95% CI: 0.21, 0.57) points using the
154 EF score and a reduction in the risk of emotional and behavioural problems by -0.17

155 (95% CI: -0.32, -0.03) points using the SDQ total difficulties score (Fig. 2 and
156 Supplementary Figure 3). We found no improvement of overall well-being with higher
157 DER to woodland (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Figure 3c). When comparing those
158 children exposed to the highest level of woodland (~38%) to those exposed to the
159 lowest level of woodland (0%) in our study, we estimated a percent change in
160 cognitive development of 6.83% (95% CI: 3.41, 9.11) using the EF score, and a
161 percent change in the risk of emotional and behavioural problems of -16.36% (95%
162 CI: -27.49, -3.50) using the SDQ total difficulties score. We found no improvement of
163 children's cognitive development and mental health with a higher DER to grassland
164 with the exception of our outcome for overall well-being using the HRQoL score (Fig.
165 2 and Supplementary Figure 3).

166 **The role of other risk factors for cognition and mental health.** We fitted our
167 longitudinal models with a number of other risk factors to account for demographic,
168 environmental and socio-economic factors that are known to influence children's
169 cognitive development and mental health ^{5,6}. We found that our outcomes for
170 children's cognitive development and mental health were influenced by the child's
171 age, ethnic background, gender, parental occupation and type of school
172 (Supplementary Table 2,3,4). When compared to independent schools for example,
173 state schools were predicted to result in a negative contribution to children's
174 cognitive development and mental health by a percent change decrease of -5.10%
175 (95% CI: -6.05, -4.30) using the EF score, a 10% (95% CI: 5, 15) increase in the risk
176 of emotional and behavioural problems using the SDQ total difficulties score, and an
177 increase in odds of exhibiting low overall well-being by 57% using the HRQoL score
178 (95% CI: 19, 104). In addition to this, we found that air pollution influenced children's

179 cognitive development in most of our models (Supplementary Table 2). When
180 removing demographic, environmental, and socio-economic factors from our models,
181 we showed that modelled environmental variables were, in general, tenfold smaller
182 than the contribution of our demographic and socio-economic variables
183 (Supplementary Table 5). This stepwise exclusion of fixed effects from our models
184 highlights the relative importance of our demographic and socio-economic variables
185 to children's cognitive development and mental health.

186 To test the robustness of our findings, we did a series of sensitivity analyses to
187 assess which models perform best for evaluating the association between children's
188 cognitive development and mental health, and types of urban natural habitat. This
189 included testing each child's DER for (i) different buffer areas around their home and
190 school and (ii) a different weighting based on a full day (24 hours) instead of a
191 daytime (12 hours) weighting (See Methods). For our analyses of different buffer
192 areas, we found that our results were consistent across different buffer areas but
193 some models did suggest a weaker association with smaller buffer areas when
194 compared with larger buffer areas (Supplementary Figure 1,2,3). This suggests that
195 exposure to natural habitats further away from their home and school may play a
196 more important role for their cognitive development and mental health than
197 previously hypothesised by other studies²⁵. When using a different weighting for our
198 DER, we found that our models showed consistent patterns when we modelled with
199 a DER based on a daytime or full day weighting (Supplementary Table 2,3,4).

200 DISCUSSION

201 To our knowledge, this is the largest epidemiological study to report on the impact of
202 natural habitat type exposure on cognitive development and mental health in children
203 from late childhood to early adolescence. Our results showed a strong association
204 between woodland exposure, children's cognitive development and mental health.
205 We also found that exposure to natural space or green space was associated with a
206 beneficial contribution to cognitive development, while there was a weaker
207 association for our mental health outcomes. Finally, we did not find a consistent
208 association of blue space or grassland exposure with cognitive development and
209 mental health.

210 Overall, we observed that exposure to woodland was associated with a beneficial
211 contribution to cognitive development and a lower risk of emotional and behavioural
212 difficulties from late childhood to early adolescence. This is in line with previous
213 reports of positive impacts from woodland on physical and mental health^{19,23,30}, with
214 the exception of a study performed in central Scotland³¹. Forest bathing, for
215 example, is a relaxation therapy that has been associated with physiological
216 benefits, supporting the human immune function, reducing heart rate variability and
217 salivary cortisol, and psychological benefits such as reduced feelings of hostility and
218 depression^{19,30}. However, the hypothetical mechanisms why we experience these
219 psychological benefits from woodland remain unknown. Higher audio-visual
220 exposure through vegetation and animal abundance has been documented to
221 improve mental health, of which both features are expected in higher abundance in
222 woodland^{17,32}. Even though our results show that urban woodland is associated with

223 children's cognitive development and mental health, the mechanistic pathway to
224 explain this association in urban areas remains unknown.

225 Our results also showed that exposure to natural space or green space was
226 associated with a beneficial contribution to children's cognitive development, which
227 was consistent with previous studies^{12,33}. For the mental health outcomes, our
228 findings for weaker associations with exposure to natural space or green space is
229 consistent with the variability in these relationships found in previous studies^{10,11,21,34}.

230 One reason explaining this variability may be that most studies, including this study,
231 do not account for the quality of green space, which has been proposed as more
232 important than the quantity of green space³⁵. Nevertheless, systematic reviews
233 suggest that nature positively influences mental health; even though, evidence is
234 often limited to cross-sectional studies, and inadequate particularly for children²⁸.

235 We did not find a consistent association between blue space exposure, cognitive
236 development and mental health. However, we cannot dismiss that blue space may
237 be associated with cognitive development and mental health as other studies have
238 found associations^{25,36}. In our study of 3,568 children, 66.8% had no blue space
239 within a 250 m buffer area, showing that the amount of blue space surrounding
240 children's residence and school was low regardless. One explanation for this weak
241 association may be the difference of natural habitat composition from one city to the
242 other, potentially changing people's relationship with nature. Residents in coastal
243 cities, for example, are assumed to have a different relationship with blue space
244 compared to cities inland where blue space may be less abundant. Alternatively,
245 inconsistencies may be the result of different sampling techniques. For example,

246 other studies have used self-reported blue space visitation rates or blue space
247 visibility and found associations with behavioural difficulties and psychological
248 distress^{25,36}. Inconsistencies because of different sampling techniques make it
249 difficult to harmonize results into a consistent framework, but to date there has been
250 no comprehensive analysis allowing for harmonisation in green space mental health
251 research.

252 Urban nature may have multiple benefits for human health and well-being, including
253 changing air pollution, physical and mental health, and social well-being.
254 Optimisation of nature by decision-makers to maximise cognitive development and
255 mental health benefits from nature exposure may have synergies or trade-offs with
256 other ES, especially in urban areas where space is limited. For example, our results
257 found that higher levels of woodland were associated with enhanced cognitive
258 development and mental health, which can be synergistic with climate mitigation
259 efforts to sequester carbon dioxide³⁷, but can have trade-offs with children's physical
260 health when woodland were to replace open spaces specifically designated for
261 physical activity³⁸. The lack of a conceptual framework between nature, cognitive
262 development and mental health is also a key hindrance for the inclusion of these ES
263 in international standardised environmental frameworks such as the System of
264 Environmental-Economic Accounting Experimental Ecosystem Accounting, nor in the
265 revision which is currently taking place³⁹. The complexity of interactions and lack of
266 knowledge on ES related to cognitive and mental benefits has made it difficult to
267 identify which decisions should be made regarding management of urban nature, a
268 critical knowledge gap given the increase in the global urban population this
269 century¹.

270 The study has several strengths. It used a high-quality cohort dataset of
271 considerable size for children during late childhood and early adolescence, a subset
272 of the urban population which is often understudied. This large sample had
273 substantial spatio-temporal diversity on an urban scale for the Greater London
274 metropolitan area with sufficient statistical power to investigate interactions. The
275 study used clinically validated instruments to define children's cognitive development
276 and mental health. It also used geographical information of very high resolution to
277 develop metrics of natural habitat DER such as NDVI at 10 m resolution and LiDAR
278 at 2 m resolution. Previous studies have used conventional satellite remote-sensing
279 data for establishing associations between green space, cognitive development and
280 mental health. In this study however, we developed an objective measure of
281 exposure to natural habitat types as a proxy for characterising different natural
282 habitats. This study also adjusted for other potential confounders through objective
283 measures of exposure to air pollution, socioeconomic status and other individual-
284 level confounders.

285 Despite of our large sample size using a rigorous longitudinal study design, our
286 results could be influenced by a number of potentially confounding factors. For
287 example, we cannot necessarily assume that children's DER to natural space leads
288 to increased use of natural space, as the quality of natural space could also play a
289 role^{25,35}. Our data also did not provide information on when exactly children moved to
290 a new residence between baseline and follow-up, which may influence our measure
291 of DER. Additionally, although we adjusted for individual and area-level
292 socioeconomic status through parental occupation and the Carstairs deprivation
293 index, unmeasured risk factors such as higher crime rates, housing prices, or fewer

294 social advantages may also influence our results⁴⁰. Finally, although our study
295 importantly sheds light on the role of natural habitat types for cognitive development
296 and mental health, it also highlights the gap in understanding the mechanisms of the
297 benefits of woodland over other types of natural habitat.

298 CONCLUSION

299 Our study showed that higher levels of woodland were associated with a beneficial
300 contribution to cognitive development and a lower risk of emotional and behavioural
301 problems from late childhood to early adolescence. These findings contribute to our
302 understanding of urban natural habitats as an important risk factor for children's
303 cognitive development and mental health. Ensuring fair and equitable access to
304 woodland could be an important tool to manage and minimise cognitive development
305 and mental health problems, especially in children who are in the midst of their
306 development into adulthood. Lower access to woodland may also be an added risk
307 factor among more vulnerable groups in society. Our findings contribute to our
308 understanding of the physical and monetary valuation of cognitive and mental health
309 benefits received from urban nature, suggesting that not every type of natural space
310 may contribute equally to cognitive development and mental health benefits. As part
311 of the growing human health green space research, our study concludes that
312 understanding people's local relationship with nature may be a key component to
313 understand its association with cognitive development and mental health. This
314 should be considered as part of ongoing efforts to sustainably develop urban nature
315 and to standardise international measurement and environmental accounting
316 frameworks for ES connected to cognitive development and mental health benefits.

317 METHODS

318 **Study population.** We use data from SCAMP⁴¹, a longitudinal cohort study
319 established to investigate how the cognitive development and behaviour of children
320 across the London metropolitan area during late childhood and early adolescence
321 might be affected by use of mobile phones and other technologies that use radio
322 waves. A baseline and follow-up school visit were carried out between 2014 and
323 2018 with a time gap of approximately 2 years between the baseline and follow-up
324 visit for each school. Initially, 6,612 children participated to the baseline assessment,
325 and 5,208 children participated to the follow-up. A total of 3,791 children participated
326 to both the baseline and follow-up assessment, and for our analysis we used a
327 subset of 3,568 children who had a known home address during the baseline and
328 follow-up assessment (Fig. 1a). This subset excluded 8 schools due to low sampling
329 size (< 15 children per school). Included children were on average 12 and 14.2 years
330 old during the baseline and follow-up assessment respectively, and 57.9% of them
331 were female. The children ($n = 3,568$) were part of 31 schools across London, of
332 which 12 were independent schools and 19 were state schools. Of the 31
333 participating schools, 3 were located outside the Greater London Authority (GLA)
334 administrative area (Fig. 1a). During the assessments, information was gathered on
335 age, gender (two levels: female or male), ethnicity (five levels: White, Black, Asian,
336 mixed or other), school type (two levels: state or independent), parental occupation
337 (five levels: managerial/professional occupations, intermediate occupations, small
338 employers/own account workers, lower supervisory/technical occupations or semi-
339 routine/routine occupations)⁴², and area-level deprivation (divided in quintiles ranging
340 from category 1 'least deprived' to category 5 'most deprived'). Area-level deprivation

341 was based on the Carstairs deprivation index to identify socioeconomic
342 confounding⁴³. The scores were standardised to the area in which the child lived and
343 not to the child itself in order to reflect the material deprivation of the area in relation
344 to neighbouring areas. It is measured based on four variables from the United
345 kingdom (UK) Office of National Statistics 2011 Census: proportion of low social
346 class, lack of car ownership, household overcrowding and male unemployment⁴⁴.
347 Further characteristics of the study population are presented in Supplementary Table
348 6. All parents or guardians signed the informed consent and the study was approved
349 (REC reference: 14/NW/0347) by the Health Research Authority NKES Committee
350 North West - Haydock. Study population data are not publicly available for data
351 protection issues. To request access to the data, contact M. B. Toledano at
352 m.toledano@imperial.ac.uk.

353 **Outcomes.** Children's cognitive development was assessed through the EF, a
354 composite score of three computerised tests (i.e. Backward Digit Span [BDS],
355 Spatial Working Memory [SWM] and Trail Making Task [TMT])⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. EF composite
356 was only calculated for children who completed all three contributing tasks. We
357 derived the EF composite at baseline by taking an average of Z-scores for the key
358 performance measure for each EF task⁴⁸. The composite score at follow-up was
359 derived by taking an average of scores for the same EF tasks, equivalently adjusted
360 by the mean and SD from baseline performance. Z-scores and adjusted values were
361 calculated across the whole population at each time point. TMT and SWM values
362 were reverse coded prior to taking the average. EF values were continuous and
363 higher EF values indicated better cognitive performance (Fig. 1b).

364 We assessed children's mental health from the self-reported SDQ and the
365 KIDSCREEN-10 Questionnaire taken by each child⁴⁹. The SDQ total difficulties
366 score assesses the emotion and behaviour of children and was calculated by
367 summing the scores for the four difficulties subscales on emotional problems,
368 conduct, hyperactivity and peer problems. Each subscale comprised of five items
369 that can be scored 0, 1 or 2 and each subscale score can therefore range from 0 to
370 10. An SDQ total difficulties score was treated as count data where a higher value
371 represented more behavioural difficulties (Fig. 1c)⁴⁹.

372 The KIDSCREEN-10 HRQoL score consists of 10 self-reported items covering
373 physical, psychological and social dimensions of well-being, with children indicating
374 the frequency or severity of each item on a 5 point Likert scale (1 = never/not at all, 2
375 = almost never/slightly, 3 = sometimes/moderately, 4 = almost always/very and 5 =
376 always/extremely). Totals of these 10 items were summed with higher values
377 indicating better HRQoL. Rasch person parameters were assigned to each possible
378 total based on the Rasch model, a psychometric model commonly used for
379 measurements of categorical data⁵⁰. The Rasch-scaled single score of HRQoL was
380 then transformed into scores with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of
381 approximately 10, where a higher score indicates a better HRQoL (Fig. 1d)⁵⁰. In line
382 with previous studies, binary cut-offs were applied based on the lower 10% of the
383 sample distribution (i.e. baseline and follow-up mean below 39.28 and 36.51,
384 respectively) to identify children with noticeably low overall well-being (two levels: 0 -
385 high overall well-being and 1 - low overall well-being)⁵¹. All data on children's
386 cognitive development, mental health and overall well-being were gathered using
387 Psytools software (Delosis Ltd., London).

388 **Quantification of natural habitat composition.** Our exposure assessment of
389 natural habitat was based on a three-tier stepwise characterisation: (1) natural
390 space, (2) green and blue space, and (3) grassland and woodland. We used different
391 data sources to quantify the natural habitats surrounding the residential and school
392 area of each child. Firstly, we generated a NDVI spatial layer of our study area using
393 data from the Sentinel-2 satellite at 10 m spatial resolution⁵². NDVI is a unit-less
394 index of relative overall vegetation density and quality based on differential surface
395 reflectance in the red and near infrared regions with low values indicating sparse
396 vegetation, and high values indicating dense vegetation⁵³. We generated our NDVI
397 map by using Google Earth Engine to filter out satellite data between July 1st 2015
398 and July 1st 2017 for images with less severe cloud cover (<5%)⁵⁴. Images covering
399 the same area at different dates were then mosaicked into a single complete and
400 cloud-free image of NDVI (Supplementary Figure 4a). Secondly, we created a spatial
401 layer from surface and tidal water maps from the Ordnance Survey (OS) Open Map,
402 a large-scale digital map covering Great Britain (Supplementary Figure 4b)⁵⁵.

403 To further assess fine-scale habitat composition within green space, we used Light
404 Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data⁵⁶ from the Environment Agency (data.gov.uk,
405 accessed July 2nd 2018, licensed under the Open Government Licence 3.0)
406 (Supplementary Figure 4c). We used the LiDAR Composite Digital Surface Model
407 and Digital Terrain Model at 2 m spatial resolution to estimate object height across
408 our study area. Within green space, we split vegetation in two height strata: 0 - 1 m
409 and (>1 m) and we assumed that vegetation between 0 - 1 m was predominantly
410 grassland, and >1 m was woodland⁵⁶.

411 We calculated each child's proportionate DER to each natural habitat
412 characterisation in buffer areas of 50 m, 100 m, 250 m and 500 m around the
413 residential and school area:

$$414 \quad DER = \frac{\left(\frac{4RER + 8SER}{12}\right)5 + 2RER}{7} \quad (1)$$

415 where DER is the daily exposure rate, RER is the residential exposure rate and SER
416 is the school exposure rate. We assumed each child spent the weekend in their
417 residential area, while we weighted weekdays by the daytime (12 hours) children
418 were assumed to spend at home (4 hours) and at school (8 hours). We selected
419 different buffer areas to assess the consistency of our results in a comparable
420 manner with previous studies^{11,12,25}. Based on the above formula, we calculated
421 natural space DER by combining our NVDI and water maps. Then, we calculated
422 green and blue space DER by using our NDVI and water maps separately. Finally,
423 we calculated grassland and woodland DER by combining our NDVI and height
424 strata map. The different spatial resolutions of our NDVI and height strata map
425 resulted in classification errors where pixels were misclassified as grassland or
426 woodland when in fact it was part of the built environment. To correct for this, we
427 excluded buildings from these maps using the buildings feature from OS Open Map
428 (Supplementary Figure 4d)⁵⁵. It was difficult to use blue space DER of the 3,568
429 participants because 2,383 children (66.8%) had, for example, no blue space within
430 250 m. We therefore reclassified blue space into tertiles (three levels: level 1 - no
431 blue space, level 2 - blue space with a DER below the mean, and level 3 - blue
432 space with a DER above the mean).

433 **Quantification of outdoor air pollution.** Considering the ability of nature to mitigate
434 local air pollution⁵⁷, we hypothesised that exposure to air pollution could be an
435 underlying confounder between nature exposure and cognitive development⁵⁸. We
436 based our exposure assessment of air pollution on emission estimates of key air
437 pollutants using the London Atmospheric Emission Inventory (LAEI) 2016 from GLA
438 and Transport for London (data.london.gov.uk, accessed February 27th 2020,
439 licensed under the UK Open Government Licence 2.0). The LAEI estimated ground
440 level concentrations of four air pollutants (nitrogen dioxide [NO₂], nitrogen oxides
441 [NO_x] and particulate matter with a diameter of 10 microns [PM₁₀] or 2.5 microns or
442 less [PM_{2.5}]) using an atmospheric dispersion model, and covered Greater London,
443 as well as areas outside Greater London up to the M25 motorway. A total of 3,305
444 children (out of 3,568 children) were located within the M25 motorway and therefore
445 eligible to measure ambient air pollution. Similar to the quantification of natural
446 habitat composition, we calculated each child's average DER to each air pollutant in
447 buffer areas of 50 m, 100 m, 250 m and 500 m around the residential and school
448 area following equation 1. The Pearson's correlation coefficient among DERs ranged
449 from 0.95 (between NO₂ and PM₁₀) to 0.98 (between NO₂ and NO_x) (Supplementary
450 Table 7). To avoid multicollinearity, we used NO₂ DER as it is a commonly used
451 proxy for traffic-related air pollution.

452 **Statistical analyses.** Our modelling framework consisted of Bayesian longitudinal
453 regression models to examine the relationship between natural habitat DERs, and
454 our cognitive development and mental health outcomes. Inference was performed
455 using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA)⁵⁹. The Pearson's correlation
456 coefficient among natural habitat DERs ranged from 0.38 (between grassland and

457 woodland) to 0.99 (between natural space and green space) (Supplementary Table
458 1). The high Pearson's correlation coefficient was not considered a problem because
459 we performed separated analyses for the different DERs. In particular, we developed
460 three multilevel modelling structures including these as fixed effects, where Model I
461 (M I) included natural space DER, Model II (M II) included green and blue space
462 DER, and Model III (M III) included grassland and woodland DER. Our outcomes
463 consisted of two repeated measures per child, i.e. a baseline and follow-up measure.
464 We assumed a Gaussian, Poisson and Binomial distribution for the EF score, SDQ
465 total difficulties score and HRQoL score, respectively. We included a random effect
466 term for child identifier to allow for between-child variance, while we used a random
467 effect term for tests at the time of visit (two levels: baseline or follow-up) for each
468 child to introduce correlation among the repeated measurements. School was not
469 added as an additional random effect in our multilevel model because it did not
470 improve the model fit, and three different cross-validation techniques were used for
471 model comparison and selection (Supplementary Table 8,9,10). Fully adjusted
472 models included natural habitat DERs, age, area-level deprivation, ethnicity, gender,
473 parental occupation and school type, and models with EF score were additionally
474 adjusted for air pollution. Additionally, we did a stratified analysis to investigate
475 potential changes in point estimates and avoid potential bias from over adjustment
476 (four levels: unadjusted, adjusted for ethnicity and school type, adjusted for
477 socioeconomic factors and adjusted for all risk factors) (Supplementary Figure
478 1,2,3). A detailed description of the model structures is given in Supplementary
479 Methods 1. Prior to the longitudinal analysis, a cross-sectional analysis of the
480 baseline cohort was done which was qualitatively similar to the longitudinal results

481 and is therefore not further discussed (Supplementary Methods 2 and
482 Supplementary Figure 5).

483 We performed the following sensitivity analyses to determine the best models for
484 evaluating the association with natural habitat DER by fitting additional Bayesian
485 mixed-effect models for (i) the association with different buffer areas (Supplementary
486 Figure 1,2,3) and (ii) the association with a different weighting of natural habitat
487 DERs based on a full day (24 hours) instead of a daytime (12 hours) weighting
488 where we assumed children spend 16 hours at home and 8 hours at school during
489 the weekdays (Supplementary Table 2,3,4). In the main text, unless stated
490 otherwise, results were based on fully adjusted models with natural habitat DERs
491 with a daytime weighting and measured in buffer areas of 250 m as we found no
492 strong difference when measuring at different buffer areas, and between daytime or
493 full day weighting. We did all data processing and statistics in Python 3.7.3., ArcGIS
494 10.7 and R 4.0.0 via RStudio using the packages brinla, ggplot2, ggpubr, R-INLA,
495 MBA, raster, rgdal, sp and spdep⁶⁰.

496 DATA AVAILABILITY

497 Study population and environmental exposure data around each child's home and
498 school are not publicly available for data protection issues. To request access to the
499 data, contact M. B. Toledano at m.toledano@imperial.ac.uk. Environmental data that
500 are the basis for our environmental exposure data are available at
501 github.com/MikaelMaes/HumanExposure.git. This environmental data are based on
502 publicly available sources. Sentinel-2 satellite data are available using Google Earth
503 Engine at earthengine.google.com. Buildings, surface water and tidal water data

504 from the OS Open Map are available at ordnancesurvey.co.uk. LiDAR data from the
505 Environment Agency are available at data.gov.uk. Air pollution estimates using the
506 LAEI 2016 from GLA and Transport for London are available at data.london.gov.uk.
507 The full model outputs that support the findings of this study are available in the
508 Supplementary Information.

509 CODE AVAILABILITY

510 The source code to compute NDVI from satellite data using Google Earth Engine is
511 available at earthengine.google.com. The code for processing raw LiDAR data,
512 creating our environmental exposure variables and modelling our data is available at
513 github.com/MikaelMaes/HumanExposure.git.

514 REFERENCES

- 515 1. UN DESA. *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*
516 *(ST/ESA/SER.A/420)*. (2019).
- 517 2. Giles-Corti, B. *et al.* City planning and population health: a global challenge.
518 *Lancet* **388**, 2912–2924 (2016).
- 519 3. Okkels, N., Kristiansen, C. B., Munk-Jørgensen, P. & Sartorius, N. Urban
520 mental health. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* **31**, 258–264 (2018).
- 521 4. Robbins, R. N., Scott, T., Joska, J. A. & Gouse, H. Impact of urbanization on
522 cognitive disorders. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* **32**, 210–217 (2019).
- 523 5. Afifi, M. Gender differences in mental health. *Singapore Med. J.* **48**, 385–391
524 (2007).
- 525 6. Guhn, M., Emerson, S. D., Mahdavian, D. & Gadermann, A. M. Associations
526 of Birth Factors and Socio-Economic Status with Indicators of Early Emotional

- 527 Development and Mental Health in Childhood: A Population-Based Linkage
528 Study. *Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev.* **51**, 80–93 (2020).
- 529 7. Torales, J., O’Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M. & Ventriglio, A. The outbreak
530 of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *Int. J. Soc.*
531 *Psychiatry* **66**, 317–320 (2020).
- 532 8. Holmes, E. A. *et al.* Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19
533 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *The Lancet Psychiatry*
534 vol. 7 547–560 (2020).
- 535 9. GLA. *London Mental Health: The invisible costs of mental ill health.*
536 [https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/gla_migrate_files_destination/Men](https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/gla_migrate_files_destination/Mental%20health%20report.pdf)
537 [tal health report.pdf](https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/gla_migrate_files_destination/Mental%20health%20report.pdf) (2014).
- 538 10. Sarkar, C., Webster, C. & Gallacher, J. Residential greenness and prevalence
539 of major depressive disorders: a cross-sectional, observational, associational
540 study of 94 879 adult UK Biobank participants. *Lancet. Planet. Heal.* **2**, e162–
541 e173 (2018).
- 542 11. Engemann, K. *et al.* Residential green space in childhood is associated with
543 lower risk of psychiatric disorders from adolescence into adulthood. *Proc. Natl.*
544 *Acad. Sci.* 201807504 (2019) doi:10.1073/PNAS.1807504116.
- 545 12. Dadvand, P. *et al.* Green spaces and cognitive development in primary
546 schoolchildren. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 7937–42 (2015).
- 547 13. Haines-Young, R. & Potschin, M. *Common International Classification of*
548 *Ecosystem Services (CICES) V5.1.* (2018).
- 549 14. Franco, L. S., Shanahan, D. F. & Fuller, R. A. A Review of the Benefits of
550 Nature Experiences: More Than Meets the Eye. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public*

- 551 *Health* **14**, 864 (2017).
- 552 15. Cox, D. T. C. *et al.* Skewed contributions of individual trees to indirect nature
553 experiences. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **185**, 28–34 (2019).
- 554 16. Ulrich, R. View Through a Window May Influence Recovery from Surgery.
555 *Science (80-.)*. **224**, 224–225 (1984).
- 556 17. Irvine, K. N. *et al.* Green space, soundscape and urban sustainability: an
557 interdisciplinary, empirical study. *Local Environ.* **14**, 155–172 (2009).
- 558 18. Weber, S. T. & Heuberger, E. The Impact of Natural Odors on Affective States
559 in Humans. *Chem. Senses* **33**, 441–447 (2008).
- 560 19. Li, Q. Effect of forest bathing trips on human immune function. *Environ. Health*
561 *Prev. Med.* **15**, 9–17 (2010).
- 562 20. Rook, G. A., Raison, C. L. & Lowry, C. A. Can we vaccinate against
563 depression? *Drug Discov. Today* **17**, 451–458 (2012).
- 564 21. Markevych, I. *et al.* Access to urban green spaces and behavioural problems
565 in children: Results from the GINIplus and LISApplus studies. *Environ. Int.* **71**,
566 29–35 (2014).
- 567 22. Taylor, M. S., Wheeler, B. W., White, M. P., Economou, T. & Osborne, N. J.
568 *Research note: Urban street tree density and antidepressant prescription*
569 *rates—A cross-sectional study in London, UK. Landscape and Urban Planning*
570 vol. 136 (2015).
- 571 23. Akpınar, A., Barbosa-Leiker, C. & Brooks, K. R. Does green space matter?
572 Exploring relationships between green space type and health indicators. *Urban*
573 *For. Urban Green.* **20**, 407–418 (2016).
- 574 24. Cox, D. T. C., Shanahan, D. F., Hudson, H. L., Fuller, R. A. & Gaston, K. J.

- 575 The impact of urbanisation on nature dose and the implications for human
576 health. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **179**, 72–80 (2018).
- 577 25. Amoly, E. *et al.* Green and Blue Spaces and Behavioral Development in
578 Barcelona Schoolchildren: The BREATHE Project. *Environ. Health Perspect.*
579 **122**, 1351–1358 (2014).
- 580 26. Astell-Burt, T. & Feng, X. Association of Urban Green Space With Mental
581 Health and General Health Among Adults in Australia. *JAMA Netw. Open* **2**,
582 e198209 (2019).
- 583 27. Barton, J. & Pretty, J. What is the Best Dose of Nature and Green Exercise for
584 Improving Mental Health ? A Multi - Study Analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44**,
585 3947–3955 (2010).
- 586 28. Gascon, M. *et al.* Mental health benefits of long-term exposure to residential
587 green and blue spaces: A systematic review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public*
588 *Health* **12**, 4354–4379 (2015).
- 589 29. PHE. *The mental health of children and young people in London.* (2016).
- 590 30. Morita, E. *et al.* Psychological effects of forest environments on healthy adults:
591 Shinrin-yoku (forest-air bathing, walking) as a possible method of stress
592 reduction. *Public Health* **121**, 54–63 (2007).
- 593 31. Thompson, C. W. Woodland improvements in deprived urban communities:
594 how does this build resilience? *Eur. J. Public Health* **27**, (2017).
- 595 32. Hedblom, M., Heyman, E., Antonsson, H. & Gunnarsson, B. Bird song diversity
596 influences young people’s appreciation of urban landscapes. *Urban For. Urban*
597 *Green.* **13**, 469–474 (2014).
- 598 33. Liao, J. *et al.* Residential exposure to green space and early childhood

- 599 neurodevelopment. *Environ. Int.* **128**, 70–76 (2019).
- 600 34. Picavet, H. S. J. *et al.* Greener living environment healthier people? Exploring
601 green space, physical activity and health in the Doetinchem Cohort Study.
602 *Prev. Med. (Baltim)*. **89**, 7–14 (2016).
- 603 35. Francis, J., Wood, L. J., Knuiman, M. & Giles-Corti, B. Quality or quantity?
604 Exploring the relationship between Public Open Space attributes and mental
605 health in Perth, Western Australia. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **74**, 1570–1577 (2012).
- 606 36. Nutsford, D., Pearson, A. L., Kingham, S. & Reitsma, F. Residential exposure
607 to visible blue space (but not green space) associated with lower psychological
608 distress in a capital city. *Health Place* **39**, 70–78 (2016).
- 609 37. McPherson, E. G., Xiao, Q. & Aguaron, E. A new approach to quantify and
610 map carbon stored, sequestered and emissions avoided by urban forests.
611 *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **120**, 70–84 (2013).
- 612 38. Lachowycz, K., Jones, A. P., Page, A. S., Wheeler, B. W. & Cooper, A. R.
613 What can global positioning systems tell us about the contribution of different
614 types of urban greenspace to children’s physical activity? *Health Place* **18**,
615 586–94 (2012).
- 616 39. UN. *System of Environmental-Economic Accounting - Ecosystem Accounting:*
617 *Draft for the Global Consultation on the complete document.* (2020).
- 618 40. Tarling, R. & Roger, R. D. Socio-Economic Determinants of Crime Rates:
619 Modelling Local Area Police-Recorded Crime. *Howard J.* **55**, 207–225 (2016).
- 620 41. Toledano, M. B. *et al.* Cohort Profile: The Study of Cognition, Adolescents and
621 Mobile Phones (SCAMP). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **48**, 25-261 (2018).
- 622 42. Rose, D., Pevalin, D. J. & O’Reilly, K. *The National Statistics Socio-economic*

- 623 *Classification: Origins, Development and Use.*
- 624 <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312200977> (2005).
- 625 43. Carstairs, V. & Morris, R. Deprivation and health in Scotland. *Health Bull.*
626 (*Raleigh*). **48**, 162–75 (1990).
- 627 44. Office of National Statistics. 2011 Census aggregate data.
628 <https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/2011census> (2012).
- 629 45. Luciana, M. & Nelson, C. A. Assessment of neuropsychological function
630 through use of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Testing Automated Battery:
631 Performance in 4- to 12-year-old children. *Dev. Neuropsychol.* **22**, 595–624
632 (2002).
- 633 46. Dumontheil, I. & Klingberg, T. Brain Activity during a Visuospatial Working
634 Memory Task Predicts Arithmetical Performance 2 Years Later. *Cereb. Cortex*
635 **22**, 1078–1085 (2012).
- 636 47. Tombaugh, T. N. Trail Making Test A and B: Normative data stratified by age
637 and education. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* **19**, 203–214 (2004).
- 638 48. Burgess, P. W. Theory and methodology in executive function research. in
639 *Methodology of Frontal and Executive Function* 79–113 (Taylor and Francis,
640 2004). doi:10.4324/9780203344187-8.
- 641 49. Goodman, R., Meltzer, H. & Bailey, V. The Strengths and Difficulties
642 Questionnaire: a pilot study on the validity of the self-report version. *Int. Rev.*
643 *Psychiatry* **15**, 173–177 (2003).
- 644 50. The KIDSCREEN Group Europe. *The Kidscreen questionnaires—quality of life*
645 *questionnaires for children and adolescents*. (Pabst Science Publishers, 2006).
- 646 51. Berman, A. H., Liu, B., Ullman, S., Jadbäck, I. & Engström, K. Children’s

- 647 Quality of Life Based on the KIDSCREEN-27: Child Self-Report, Parent
648 Ratings and Child-Parent Agreement in a Swedish Random Population
649 Sample. *PLoS One* **11**, e0150545 (2016).
- 650 52. ESA. *Sentinel-2 User Handbook*. (2015).
- 651 53. Gascon, M. *et al.* Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) as a marker
652 of surrounding greenness in epidemiological studies: The case of Barcelona
653 city. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **19**, 88–94 (2016).
- 654 54. Gorelick, N. *et al.* Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for
655 everyone. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **202**, 18–27 (2017).
- 656 55. OS. *Open Map - Local*. <http://os.uk> (2019).
- 657 56. Miura, N. & Jones, S. D. Characterizing forest ecological structure using pulse
658 types and heights of airborne laser scanning. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **114**,
659 1069–1076 (2010).
- 660 57. Dadvand, P. *et al.* The association between greenness and traffic-related air
661 pollution at schools. *Sci. Total Environ.* **523**, 59–63 (2015).
- 662 58. Sunyer, J. *et al.* Association between Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Schools
663 and Cognitive Development in Primary School Children: A Prospective Cohort
664 Study. *PLOS Med.* **12**, e1001792 (2015).
- 665 59. Rue, H., Martino, S. & Chopin, N. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent
666 Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *J. R.*
667 *Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Statistical Methodol.* **71**, 319–392 (2009).
- 668 60. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio. (2015).
- 669 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

670 We thank Professor Marta Blangiardo (Imperial College London) and Dr Rory Gibb
671 (University College London) for feedback on the statistical analyses. This study is
672 supported by funding of the London Natural Environment Research Council Doctoral
673 Training Program (NE/L002485/1), the MRC Centre for Environment and Health
674 (MR/L01341X/1) based at Imperial College London and the NIHR Health Protection
675 Research Unit in the Health Impact of Environmental Hazards, based at King's
676 College London and Imperial College London, in partnership with Public Health
677 England (PHE) (HPRU-2012-10141). SCAMP is independent research funded by the
678 National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Policy Research Program (PRP)
679 (Secondary School Cohort Study of Mobile Phone Use and Neurocognitive and
680 Behavioural Outcomes/091/0212) via the Research Initiative on Health and Mobile
681 Telecommunications, a partnership between public funders and the mobile phone
682 industry. An extension to SCAMP is funded by NIHR PRP. The funders of the study
683 had no role in the design or conduct of the study nor the reporting of the SCAMP
684 study results. M.B.T. chair and the work in this paper is supported in part by a
685 donation from Marit Mohn to Imperial College London to support Population Child
686 Health. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not
687 necessarily those of the NIHR, DHSC, PHE or any other funder.


688 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

689 M.J.A.M., K.E.J. and M.T.B. conceived the study and analysed the results. E.B.
690 provided data on cognitive development. M.J.A.M. coded the models, performed the
691 simulations and wrote the manuscript with substantial contributions from all the
692 authors.

693 **COMPETING INTERESTS**


694 The authors declare no competing interests.

695 FIGURES



696

697 **Fig. 1. Geographic distribution of our longitudinal dataset and associated health variables for**
698 **cognitive development and mental health.** (a) Geolocation of the 3,568 children with a known
699 home address during the baseline and follow-up assessment of the Study of Cognition, Adolescents
700 and Mobile Phones and the 31 participating schools across the London metropolitan area, United
701 Kingdom. Histograms show our baseline (blue) and follow-up (red) outcome for cognitive
702 development: (b) Executive function score, and our outcomes for mental health: (c) Strengths and
703 Difficulties Questionnaire total difficulties score and (d) KIDSCREEN-10 Questionnaire Health-Related
704 Quality of Life score. A dashed line marks the median (Q₁-Q₃) for our baseline and follow-up
705 outcomes, i.e. for (b) baseline: 0.16 (-0.30, 0.56), follow-up: 0.33 (-0.10, 0.76), (c) baseline: 9 (6, 13),
706 follow-up: 10 (7, 14) and (d) baseline: 48.28 (43.34, 53.10), follow-up: 45.66 (41.23, 49.76).



707

708 **Fig. 2. The associations between our natural habitat daily exposure rates (DER), and cognitive**
 709 **development, mental health and overall well-being across London.** The association between (a)
 710 executive function (EF) score, (b) Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire total difficulties score and
 711 (c) KIDSCREEN-10 Questionnaire Health-Related Quality of Life score with the DER of natural space
 712 (◄), green space (◊), blue space level 2 (*), blue space level 3 (+), grassland (▲) and
 713 woodland (●). Fully adjusted model was plotted with posterior mean and 95% credible intervals (CI)
 714 and included age, area-level deprivation, ethnicity, gender, parental occupation and school type.
 715 Models with EF as the outcome were additionally adjusted for air pollution. The vertical line (grey) is
 716 the reference line and is set to zero or one depending on the model used for the outcome in analysis.
 717 Hollow plus or minus sign indicated whether the association had a positive or negative contribution to
 718 cognitive development or mental health.