

COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine after September 1, 2020

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
National Technical University of Ukraine “Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute”.
inesteruk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background. The threats of the COVID-19 pandemic require the mobilization of scientists, including mathematicians. To understand how the number of cases increases versus time, various models based on direct observations of a random number of new cases and differential equations can be used. Complex mathematical models contain many unknown parameters, the values of which must be determined using a limited number of observations of the disease over time. Even long-term monitoring of the epidemic may not provide reliable estimates of its parameters due to the constant change of testing conditions, isolation of infected and quarantine. Therefore, simpler approaches should also be used, for example, some smoothing of the dependence of the number of cases on time and the known SIR (susceptible-infected-removed) model. These approaches allowed to detect the waves of pandemic in different countries and regions and to make adequate predictions of the duration, hidden periods, reproduction numbers, and final sizes of its waves. In particular, seven waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine were investigated.

Objective. We will detect new epidemic waves in Ukraine that occurred after September 1, 2020 and estimate the epidemic characteristics with the use of generalized SIR model. Some predictions of the epidemic dynamics will be presented.

Methods. In this study we use the smoothing method for the dependence of the number of cases on time; the generalized SIR model for the dynamics of any epidemic wave, the exact solution of the linear differential equations and statistical approach developed before.

Results. Seventh and eighth epidemic waves in Ukraine were detected and the reasons of their appearance were discussed. The optimal values of the SIR model parameters were calculated. The prediction for the COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine is not very optimistic: new cases will not stop appearing until June 2021. Only mass vaccination and social distancing can change this trend.

Conclusions. New waves of COVID-19 pandemic can be detected, calculated and predicted with the use of rather simple mathematical simulations. The expected long duration of the pandemic forces us to be careful and in solidarity. The government and all Ukrainians must strictly adhere to quarantine measures in order to avoid fatal consequences.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, epidemic dynamics in Ukraine, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Introduction

The studies of the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine are presented in [1-14] and summarized in the book [15]. Different simulation and comparison methods together with the official WHO data sets, [16] were used. In particular the classical SIR model [17-19], connecting the number of susceptible S , infected and spreading the infection I and removed R persons, was applied in [2-5, 8-10] to simulate the first pandemic wave in Ukraine. The unknown parameters of this model were be estimated with the use of the cumulative number of cases $V=I+R$ and the statistics-based method of parameter identification developed in [20, 21].

The weakening of quarantine restrictions, changes in the social behavior and probably in the coronavirus activity causes change in SIR characteristics and the epidemic dynamics. To detect these changes, a simple method of numerical differentiations of accumulated number of cases was proposed in [11, 15]. To simulate these new pandemic waves, the SIR model was generalized in [12, 15]. In [12, 14, 15] the results of simulation of the first six epidemic waves in Ukraine are presented with the use of a procedure for sequentially determining the parameters of the model for each epidemic wave, starting with the first one.

This method requires considerable effort and time. The book [15] introduced a new algorithm for determining the optimal parameter values for a particular epidemic wave without calculating the dynamics of previous waves and presented calculations for the seventh epidemic wave in Ukraine. In this paper, we will analyze the dynamics of the epidemic in Ukraine in the period from September 1 to December 20, 2020, calculate the parameters of the eighth wave and make some predictions.

Materials and Methods

Data

The official information regarding the accumulated numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases V_j in Ukraine according to the official sources [22, 23] is shown in Table 1. Unfortunately, WHO stopped to present the daily number of new cases in August 2020. The corresponding moments of time t_j (measured in days, zero point is January 20, 2020) are also shown in this table. To calculate the SIR characteristics for the seventh epidemic wave in Ukraine, the data set for the period October 1-14 was used in [15]. We will use the period November 21 – December 4, 2020 to calculate the characteristics of the eighth epidemic wave. Other values presented in Table 1 were used only for comparisons and verifications of calculations.

Day in September and October 2020	Time in days t_j	Number of cases in Ukraine V_j	Day in October and November 2020	Time in days t_j	Number of cases in Ukraine V_j	Day in November and December 2020	Time in days t_j	Number of cases in Ukraine V_j
1	225	125798	8	262	250538	14	299	535857
2	226	128228	9	263	256266	15	300	545689
3	227	130951	10	264	261034	16	301	557657
4	228	133787	11	265	265454	17	302	570153
5	229	135894	12	266	270587	18	303	583510
6	230	138068	13	267	276177	19	304	598085
7	231	140479	14	268	281239	20	305	612665
8	232	143030	15	269	287231	21	306	624744
9	233	145612	16	270	293641	22	307	635689
10	234	148756	17	271	298872	23	308	647976
11	235	151859	18	272	303638	24	309	661858
12	236	154335	19	273	309107	25	310	677189
13	237	156797	20	274	315826	26	311	693407
14	238	159702	21	275	322879	27	312	709701
15	239	162660	22	276	330396	28	313	722679
16	240	166244	23	277	337410	29	314	732625
17	241	169472	24	278	343498	30	315	745123
18	242	172712	25	279	348924	1	316	758264
19	243	175678	26	280	355601	2	317	772760
20	244	178353	27	281	363075	3	318	787891
21	245	181237	28	282	370417	4	319	801716
22	246	184734	29	283	378729	5	320	813306
23	247	188106	30	284	387481	6	321	821947
24	248	191671	31	285	395440	7	322	832758
25	249	195504	1	286	402194	8	323	845343
26	250	198634	2	287	411093	9	324	858714
27	251	201305	3	288	420617	10	325	872228
28	252	204932	4	289	430467	11	326	885039
29	253	208959	5	290	440188	12	327	894215
30	254	213028	6	291	450934	13	328	900666
1	255	217661	7	292	460331	14	329	909082
2	256	222322	8	293	469018	15	330	919704
3	257	226462	9	294	479197	16	331	931751
4	258	230236	10	295	489808	17	332	944381
5	259	234584	11	296	500865	18	333	956123
6	260	239337	12	297	512652	19	334	964448
7	261	244734	13	298	525176	20	335	970993

Table 1. Official cumulative numbers of confirmed Covid-19 cases in Ukraine , [22, 23].

It must be noted that the data presented in Table 1 does not show all the COVID-19 cases in Ukraine. Many infected persons are not identified, since they have no symptoms. Many people know that they are ill, since they have similar symptoms as other members of families, but avoid to make tests. Unfortunately, one laboratory confirmed case can correspond to several other cases which are not confirmed and displayed in the official statistics. This fact reduces the accuracy of mathematical simulations.

Detection of epidemic waves

To control the changes of epidemic parameters, we can use daily numbers of new cases and their derivatives. Since these values are random, we need some smoothing. For example, we can use the smoothed daily number of accumulated cases proposed in [11, 12, 14, 15]:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j, \quad (1)$$

The first and second derivatives can be estimated with the use of following formulas:

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{V}}{dt} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}) \quad (2)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^2\bar{V}}{dt^2} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \bar{V}_{i+1} - 2\bar{V}_i + \bar{V}_{i-1} \quad (3)$$

Generalized SIR model

The classical SIR model for an infectious disease [17-19] was generalized in [12, 15] in order to simulate different epidemic waves. We suppose that the SIR model parameters are constant for every epidemic wave, i.e. for the time periods: $t_i^* \leq t \leq t_{i+1}^*$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Than for every wave we can use the equations, similar to [17-19]:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\alpha_i SI, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \alpha_i SI - \rho_i I, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \rho_i I \quad (6)$$

Here S is the number of susceptible persons (who are sensitive to the pathogen and **not protected**); I is the number of infected persons (who are sick and **spread the infection**; please don't confuse with the number of still ill persons, so known active cases) and R is the number of removed persons (who **no longer spread the infection**; this number is the sum of isolated, recovered, dead, and infected people who left the region). Parameters α_i and ρ_i are supposed to be constant for every epidemic wave.

Parameters α_i show how quick the susceptible persons become infected (see (4)). Large values of this parameter correspond to severe epidemics with many victims. These parameters accumulate many characteristics. First they show how strong (virulent) is the pathogen and what is the way of its spreading. Parameters α_i accumulate also the frequency of contacts and the way of contacting. In order to decrease the values of α_i , we have to minimize the number of our contacts and change our contacting habits. For example, we have to avoid the public places and use masks there, minimize or cancel traveling. We have to change our contact habits: to avoid handshakes and kisses. First, all these simple things are very useful to protect yourself. In addition, if most people follow these recommendations, we have chance to diminish the values of parameters α_i and reduce the negative effects of the pandemic.

The parameters ρ_i characterize the patient removal rates, since eq. (6) demonstrates the increase rate of R . The inverse values $1/\rho_i$ are the estimations for time of spreading infection τ_i during i -th epidemic wave. So, we are interested in increasing the values of parameters ρ_i and decreasing $1/\rho_i$. People and public authorities should work on this and organize immediate isolation of suspicious cases.

Since the derivative $d(S + I + R)/dt$ is equal to zero (it follows from summarizing Eqs. (4)-(6)), the sum

$$N_i = S + I + R \quad (7)$$

must be constant for every wave and is not the volume of population.

To determine the initial conditions for the set of equations (4)–(6), let us suppose that at the beginning of every epidemic wave t_i^* :

$$I(t_i^*) = I_i, \quad R(t_i^*) = R_i, \quad S(t_i^*) = N_i - I_i - R_i \quad (8)$$

It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\frac{dI}{dS} = \frac{\nu_i}{S} - 1, \quad \nu_i = \frac{\rho_i}{\alpha_i} \quad (9)$$

Integration of (9) with the initial conditions (8) yields:

$$I = \nu_i \ln S - S + N_i - R_i - \nu_i \ln(N_i - I - R_i) \quad (10)$$

It follows from (9) that function I has a maximum at $S = \nu_i$ and tends to zero at infinity. The corresponding number of susceptible persons at infinity $S_{i\infty} > 0$ can be calculated from a non-linear equation

$$S_{i\infty} = (N_i - I_i - R_i) e^{\frac{S_{i\infty} - N_i - R_i}{\nu_i}} \quad (11)$$

Formula (11) follows from (10) at $I=0$.

In [12, 15] the set of differential equations (4)-(7) was solved by introducing the function

$$V(t) = I(t) + R(t), \quad (12)$$

corresponding to the number of victims or the cumulative confirmed number of cases. For many epidemics (including the COVID-9 pandemic) we cannot observe dependencies $S(t), I(t)$ and $R(t)$ but observations of the accumulated number of cases V_j corresponding to the moments of time t_j provide information for direct assessments of the dependence $V(t)$.

It follows from (5) and (6) that:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI \quad (13)$$

Eqs. (7), (10) and (13) yield:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i (N_i - V) [\nu_i \ln(N_i - V) + V - R_i - \nu_i \ln(N_i - R_i - I_i)] \quad (14)$$

Integration of (14) provides an analytical solution for the set of equations (4)–(6):

$$F_i^*(V, N_i, I_i, R_i, v_i) = \alpha_i(t - t_i^*), \quad (15)$$

$$F_i^* = \int_{R_i+I_i}^V \frac{dU}{(N-U)[v_i \ln(N_i - U) + U - R_i - v_i \ln(N_i - R_i - I_i)]}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, for every set of parameters $N_i, I_i, R_i, v_i, \alpha_i$ and a fixed value of V , integral (16) can be calculated and the corresponding moment of time can be determined from (15). Then functions $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ can be easily calculated with the use of formulas (10) and:

$$S = N_i - V, \quad R = V - I \quad (17)$$

The final numbers of victims (final accumulated number of cases corresponding to the i -th epidemic wave) can be calculated from:

$$V_{i\infty} = N_i - S_{i\infty}. \quad (18)$$

To estimate the final day of the i -th epidemic wave, we can use the condition:

$$I(t_{if}) = 1. \quad (19)$$

which means that at $t > t_{if}$ less than one person still spreads the infection.

Parameter identification procedure

In the case of a new epidemic, the values of its parameters are unknown and must be identified with the use of limited data sets. For the first wave of an epidemic starting with one infected person, the number of unknown parameters is only four, since $I_1 = 1$ and $R_1 = 0$. The corresponding statistical approach was proposed in [20, 21] and used [2-5, 8-10] to simulate the first COVID-19 pandemic wave in Ukraine.

For the next epidemic waves ($i > 1$), the moments of time t_i^* corresponding to their beginning are known. Therefore the exact solution (15)-(16) depend only on five parameters - $N_i, I_i, R_i, v_i, \alpha_i$. Then the registered number of victims V_j corresponding to the moments of time t_j can be used in eq. (16) in order to calculate $F_{i,j} = F_i^*(V_j, N_i, v_i, I_i, R_i)$ for every fixed values of N_i, v_i, I_i, R_i and then to check how the registered points fit the straight line (15).

Eq. (15) can be rewritten as follows:

$$y \equiv F_i^*(V, N_i, I_i, R_i, v_i) = \alpha_i t - \alpha_i t_i^* \quad (20)$$

Assuming

$$\gamma = \alpha_i, \beta = -\alpha_i t_i^* \quad (21)$$

we can estimate the values of parameters γ and β , by treating the values $y_j \equiv F_i^*(V_i, N_i, I_i, R_i, v_i)$ and corresponding time moments t_j as random variables. Then we can use the observations of the accumulated number of cases and the linear regression in order to calculate the coefficients $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ of the regression line

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\gamma}t + \hat{\beta} \quad (22)$$

using the standard formulas from, e.g., [24]. Values $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ can be treated as statistics-based estimations of parameters γ and β from relationships (21).

The reliability of the method can be checked by calculating the correlation coefficients r_i (see e.g., [24]) for every epidemic wave checking how close its value is to unity. We can use also the F-test for the null hypothesis that says that the proposed linear relationship (20) fits the data set. The experimental values of the Fisher function can be calculated for every epidemic wave with the use of the formula:

$$F_i = \frac{r_i^2 (n_i - m)}{(1 - r_i^2)(m - 1)}, \quad (23)$$

where n_i is the number of observations for the i -th epidemic wave, $m = 2$ is the number of parameters in the regression equation. The corresponding experimental value F_i has to be compared with the critical value $F_C(k_1, k_2)$ of the Fisher function at a desired significance or confidence level ($k_1 = m - 1$, $k_2 = n_i - m$). When the values n_i and m are fixed, the maximum of the Fisher function coincides with the maximum of the correlation coefficient. Therefore, to find the optimal values of parameters N_i, v_i, I_i, R_i , we have to find the maximum of the correlation coefficient for the linear dependence (20). To compare the reliability of different predictions (with different values of n_i) it is useful to use the ratio $F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ at fixed significance level. We will use the level 0.001; corresponding values of $F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ can be taken from [25]. The most reliable prediction yields the highest $F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ ratio.

The exact solution (15)-(16) allows avoiding numerical solutions of differential equations (4)-(6) and significantly reduce the time spent on calculations. In the case of sequential calculation of

epidemic waves $i = 1, 2, 3 \dots$, it is possible to avoid determining the four optimal unknown parameters N_i, ν_i, I_i, R_i , thereby reducing the amount of calculations and difficulties in isolation a maximum of the correlation coefficient. For parameters I_i, R_i it is possible to use the numbers of I and R calculated for the previous wave of epidemic at the moment of time when the following wave began. Then we need to calculate values $F_i^*(V_i, N_i, \nu_i)$, linear regression coefficients (22), correlation coefficient r_i , $F_i/F_c(1, n-2)$ and to isolate the values of parameters N_i and ν_i corresponding to the maximum of r_i . Knowing the optimal values of five parameters $N_i, I_i, R_i, \nu_i, \alpha_i$, the SIR curves and other characteristics of the corresponding epidemic wave can be calculated with the use of formulas (10)-(17). This approach has been successfully used in [12, 14, 15]. In particular, six waves of the Covid-19 epidemic in Ukraine and four pandemic waves in the world were calculated.

Segmentation of epidemic waves and their sequential SIR simulations need a lot of efforts. To avoid this, a new method of obtaining the optimal values of SIR parameters was proposed in [15]. First of all we can use the relationship

$$V_i = I_i + R_i \quad (24)$$

which follows from (12). To estimate the value V_i , we can use the smoothed accumulated number of cases (e.g., formula (1)). Then

$$V_i \approx \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j \quad (25)$$

where i corresponds to the moment of time t_i^* . To obtain one more relationship, let us use (7) and (13)

$$I_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i(N_i - V_i)} \frac{dV}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_i^*} \quad (26)$$

To estimate the average number of new cases dV/dt at the moment of time t_i^* , we can use (2). Thus we have only two independent parameters N_i and ν_i . To calculate the value of parameter α_i , some iterations can be used (see details in [15]).

Results and discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic characteristics for Ukraine in autumn 2020 are shown in Fig. 1. Differentiation of the smoothed number of accumulated cases (eq. (1), line) with the use of

formulas (2) (“triangles”) and (3) (stars) allow us to detect the changes in epidemic dynamics. It can be seen that after November 15 the average daily number of new cases (“triangles”) started to decrease. Similar short periods of the epidemic stabilization occurred in May, June and August, 2020 (see [11, 12, 14, 15]). Let us hope that the Christmas and New Year celebrations will not significantly worsen the existing positive dynamics.




Fig. 1. Pandemic dynamics in Ukraine after September 1, 2020. Accumulated number of cases (V_j -“cicrles”, Table 1; smoothed values - line, eq. (1)). “Triangles” show the first derivative (eq. (2)) multiplied by 100, “stars” - the second derivative (eq. (3)) multiplied by 1000.

The values of the second derivative (3) allows to detect the changes in the epidemic characteristics and to separate its different waves. The jump in d^2V/dt^2 values corresponding to September 11 (see “stars” in Fig. 1) can be explained by the beginning of classes in schools and universities (on September 1). Children and young people are often asymptomatic carriers of the infection and bring it to their families. For example, employees of two kindergartens and two schools in the Ukrainian city of Chmelnytskii were tested for antibodies to COVID-19, [26]. In total 292 people work in the surveyed institutions. Some of the staff had already fallen ill with COVID-19 or were hospitalized. Therefore, they were not tested accordingly. Of the 241 educators tested, antibodies were detected in 148, or 61.4%. These results indicate the important role of children in the spread of COVID-19 infection and the fact that in Ukraine those people who have become ill and have antibodies to coronavirus infection, obviously, are much more than in the official recovery statistics presented in Table 1.

The severe jumps in d^2V/dt^2 values occurred also in October and November, 2020 (see “stars” in Fig. 1). Probably, this is due to the local elections and a presidential poll, which were held throughout Ukraine on October 25, 2020 and involved hundreds of thousands of people to campaign and work in election commissions (their number was about 30 thousand). This obviously increased the number of contacts and the likelihood of additional infections. The corresponding

seventh epidemic wave in Ukraine was considered in [15] (the results for the first six waves can be found in [12, 14, 15]). The optimal values of SIR parameters; predictions of the epidemic wave duration t_{if} and its final size $V_{i\infty}$ are shown in Table 2. The characteristics of the eighth wave (occurred after November 21, 2020) were calculated and presented in Table 2. It can be seen that the predictions for Ukraine are very pessimistic. The number of cases will exceed 1.07 million and new cases will appear even in June 2021.

Characteristics	Sixth wave, I=6, n=14, [14, 15]	Seventh wave, i=7, n=14, [15]	Eighth wave, i=8, n=14
Period taken for calculations T_c	August 9-22	October 1-14	Nov 21-Dec 4
I_i	7525	17605.4705407746	74507.6732607497
R_i	73424	200051.672316368	548996.183882108
N_i	336210.688000000	566602.33216	1454508.4416
V_i	166678.304458998	271873.891638209	667978.033362204
α_i	6.47063569e-07	6.81143662034146e-07	2.13865037324172e-07
t_i^*	201	255	306
ρ_i	0.107851458558717	0.185185178161924	0.142857147036735
$1/\rho_i$	9.27201183334553	5.40000020479830	6.99999979520000
r_i	0.999591012582638	0.999637260478932	0.999333327224955
F_i , eq. (23)	14661.3785892930	16531.7953887678	8990.91853799112
$F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$	788.246160714677	888.806203697193	483.382717096297
$S_{i\infty}$, eq. (11)	91157	168 285	376 290
$V_{i\infty}$, eq. (18)	245054	398 317	1 078 218
t_{if} , eq. (19)	443.5	432	520
Final day of the epidemic wave	April 8, 2021	March 27, 2021	June 23, 2021

Table 2. Calculated optimal values of SIR parameters for the sixth, seventh and eighth waves of the COVID-19 epidemic in Ukraine

Fig. 2 illustrates the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine calculated with the use of the optimal values of SIR parameters presented in Table 2 and formulas (10)-(17). Solid lines correspond to the numbers of victims $V=I+R$, dashed lines show the numbers of persons spreading the infection I multiplied by 10, dotted lines illustrate the theoretical estimations of the daily numbers of new cases dV/dt calculated with the use of eq. (14) and multiplied by 10. The red, black and blue colors correspond to sixth, seventh and eighth epidemic waves respectively. The

accumulated number of cases V_j taken for calculations are shown by circles; “triangles” and “stars” correspond the cases taken only for comparisons and verifications of the predictions.




Fig. 2. Sixth (red), seventh (black) and eighth (blue) COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine in autumn 2020. Solid lines - the numbers of victims $V=I+R$, dashed lines - numbers of persons spreading the infection I multiplied by 10 infected, dotted lines - the theoretical estimations of the daily numbers of new cases dV/dt multiplied by 10. The accumulated number of cases V_j taken for calculations are shown by circles; “triangles” and “stars” correspond the cases taken only for comparisons and verifications of the predictions.

Fig. 2 illustrates rather good accuracy of SIR simulations. In particular, the V_j values (“triangles”) started to deviate from the solid red line only after September 16. This fact can be explained by the beginning classes in schools and universities (as mentioned above). This curve was calculated with the use of data set from the period August 9-22. The increased number of contacts in schools and universities caused rapid increase of the number of cases. This increase in the number of diseases intensified in October and November 2020 through elections and a presidential poll. The very irregular nature of the epidemic dynamics (particularly the large values of the second derivative (see “stars” in Fig. 1)) led to the fact that $V=I+R$ curve for the seventh wave very quickly began to deviate from the recorded number of cases V_j (compare solid black line and “stars” in Fig.2).

The absence of sharp jumps of the second derivative after November 21, 2020 (see “stars” in Fig. 1), allowed us to predict quite accurately the further epidemic dynamics (compare solid blue line and “stars” in Fig.2). Blue dashed line in Fig.2 show that the number of persons spreading the infection diminished in December 2020. Let us hope that the Christmas and New Year celebrations will not significantly worsen this positive dynamics.

Conclusions

Constant changes in the Covid-19 pandemic conditions (i.e., in the peculiarities of quarantine and its violation, in situations with testing and isolation of patients, in coronavirus activity due to its mutations etc.) lead to new pandemic waves and cause the changes in the values of parameters of the mathematical models. To identify these changes, a simple method was proposed based on the numerical differentiation of smoothed dependences of the accumulated number of cases. The results show that smoothing dependence of the accumulated number of cases and its differentiation can provide fairly accurate and useful information about the course of the epidemic, identify important changes in its dynamics and provide timely recommendations for quarantine measures or control of social distancing.

To simulate different pandemic waves (periods with more or less constant values of its dynamics parameters) a new generalized SIR model and its exact solution have been proposed. Procedure of its parameters identification were developed and successfully applied to calculate the characteristics of several pandemic waves in Ukraine.

The pandemic duration projections are unfortunately not optimistic. We hope that effective quarantine measures and successful vaccination will be able to reverse this trend. Very long duration of the pandemic requires correction of our behavior, we can not live as before it occurred. Decreased feelings of insecurity and non-compliance with social distancing may further increase the pandemic duration and the number of the coronavirus victims. Total closure of settlements or regions can be recommended only in the event of a sharp increase in the number of cases. There are many things that can be done without loss to the economy and our daily lives:

- 1. Minimize the number of contacts and trips, not visit crowded places. Work and study remotely where possible.*
- 2. Refrain from shaking hands and kisses during meetings. Use masks in transport and crowded areas.*
- 3. If you (or others) have any suspicious symptoms, do your best to avoid the spread of the infection.*

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Professors Dirk Langemann (Technische Universitaet Braunschweig) and Juergen Prestin (Universitaet zu Luebeck) for their support in developing the used optimization approach. I would like to thank also Professors Alberto Redaelli, Giuseppe Passoni and Gianfranco Fiore (Politecnico di Milano), S. Pereverzyev (RICAM, Linz, Ausria) for involving me in very interesting biomedical investigations in frames of EU-financed Horizon-2020 projects EUMLS (Grant agreement PIRSES-GA-2011-295164-EUMLS) and AMMODIT (Grant Number MSCA-RISE 645672).

I would also like to thank Academician Viktor Grinchenko, Professors Volodymyr Tymofeyev, Alexander Galkin, Pavlo Maslianko; my friends Liudmyla Trotsenko, Maia Troian, Nina Basiuk, Anna Voronka, Daria Cusitcaia, Damien Berezenko, Anatolii Podkur, Volodymyr Borysenko and Oleksii Rodionov for their support and help in collecting and processing data.

References

1. Nesteruk, I. "Comparison of the coronavirus pandemic dynamics in Ukraine and neighboring countries" [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 Mar. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448)
2. Nesteruk I. Long-term predictions for COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine, Austria and Italy [Preprint.] *MEDRXIV*, 2020 Apr. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448)
3. Nesteruk I. Як довго українці сидітимуть на карантині? How long will the Ukrainians stay in quarantine? (in Ukrainian) [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 Apr. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.15732.71046](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15732.71046)
4. Nesteruk I. SIR-simulation of Corona pandemic dynamics in Europe. [Preprint.] *MEDRXIV*, 2020 Apr. DOI: <https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.04.22.20075135v1>
5. Nesteruk I. Динаміка COVID-19 епідемії в Україні та Києві після покращання тестування. COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine and Kyiv after testing has improved (In Ukrainian). [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 Apr. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.36705.86885](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36705.86885)
6. Nesteruk, I., Kydybyn, I., Demelmair, G. Corona pandemic global stabilization? [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 April. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.21570.32961](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21570.32961)
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340917471_Corona_pandemic_global_stabilization
7. Nesteruk, I., Kydybyn, I., Demelmair, G. GLOBAL STABILIZATION TRENDS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC. *KPI Science News*, 2020, No. 2, pp. 55-62. DOI: [10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.2.205124](https://doi.org/10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.2.205124)
8. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, 110–121, doi: [10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274](https://doi.org/10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274).
<http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274>
9. Nesteruk I. Hidden periods, duration and final size of COVID-19 pandemic. Preprint. *MedRxiv*. May 10, 2020. <https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.05.10.20097147v1>
10. Nesteruk I. Статистика пандемії COVID-19 в Україні та світі COVID-19 pandemic statistics in Ukraine and world. (In Ukrainian). *ResearchGate*. 2020 May doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.30999.39842](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30999.39842)
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341542317_Statistika_pandemii_COVID-19_v_Ukraini_ta_sviti_COVID-19_pandemic_statistics_in_Ukraine_and_world
11. Nesteruk I. Coronasummer in Ukraine and Austria. [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 June. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.32738.56002](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32738.56002)
12. Nesteruk I. Waves of COVID-19 pandemic. Detection and SIR simulations. *MedRxiv*. 2020 Aug. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.03.20167098>
<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.03.20167098v1>
13. Nesteruk I, Nikitin A, Shepetyuk B. Study of the COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine and its regions by methods of probabilistic and statistical analysis of evolutionary models. [Preprint.] *ResearchGate*. 2020 Aug. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.30998.98884](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30998.98884)

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343651320_Study_of_the_COVID-19_epidemic_dynamics_in_Ukraine_and_its_regions_by_methods_of_probabilistic_and_statistical_analysis_of_evolutionary_models

14. Nesteruk I. Нові хвилі пандемії COVID-19 в Україні. New waves of COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine. (In Ukrainian). [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Aug. DOI:[10.13140/RG.2.2.26172.26244](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26172.26244)
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343979510_Novi_hvili_pandemii_COVID-19_v_Ukraini_New_waves_of_COVID-19_pandemic_in_Ukraine

15. I. Nesteruk. *COVID19 pandemic dynamics - Mathematical simulations*. Springer Nature, to be published in March 2021. DOI [10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5)
<https://www.springer.com/us/book/9789813364158>

16. World Health Organization. “Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports”.
<https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>.

17. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. J Royal Stat Soc Ser A. 1927;115:700-21.

18. Murray JD. *Mathematical Biology I/II*. New York: Springer; 2002.

19. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. *Mathematics in Computers and Simulation*. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: [10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003)

20. Nesteruk I. Statistics based models for the dynamics of Chernivtsi children disease. *Naukovi Visti NTUU KPI*. 2017;5:26-34. DOI: [10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577](https://doi.org/10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577)

21. Nesteruk I. Statistics-based predictions of coronavirus epidemic spreading in mainland China. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*. 2020; 4(1):13–18. DOI: [10.20535/ibb.2020.4.1.195074](https://doi.org/10.20535/ibb.2020.4.1.195074).

22. <https://index.minfin.com.ua/ua/reference/coronavirus/ukraine/>

23. <https://www.kmu.gov.ua/>

24. Draper NR, Smith H. *Applied regression analysis*. 3rd ed. John Wiley; 1998.

25. <https://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/cd-22/manual/v2appendixc.pdf>

26. <https://podillyanews.com/2020/12/17/u-shkolah-hmelnytskogo-provely-eksperyment-z-testuvannyam-na-covid-19/>