

Supplementary Information for:

Public Preferences for Social Distancing Behaviors to Mitigate the Spread of COVID-19: A Discrete Choice Experiment

Authors: Ingrid Eshun Wilson, Aaloke Mody, Ginger McKay, Mati Hlatshwayo, Cory Bradley, Vetta Thompson, Dave V Glidden, Elvin H Geng

Corresponding author: Ingrid Eshun-Wilson
Email: i.eshun-wilsonova@wustl.edu

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary tables: S1 – S11


S1 Table: Comparison of survey completers and non-completers

Demographic factor		Completed survey (N=2428)	Not completed (N=617)	p-value
Age	18-24yrs	126 (6%)	17 (4%)	<0.001
	25-34yrs	424 (19%)	52 (13%)	
	35-49yrs	553 (25%)	65 (17%)	
	50-64yrs	647 (29%)	133 (34%)	
	65yrs+	469 (21%)	125 (32%)	
Gender	Male	667 (30%)	130 (34%)	0.390
	Female	1536 (69%)	248 (65%)	
	Non-conforming/other	12 (1%)	3 (1%)	
	No Answer	4 (<1%)	1 (<1%)	
Race	Black	127 (6%)	23 (6%)	0.610
	White	1973 (89%)	336 (89%)	
	other	92 (4%)	12 (3%)	
	No answer	27 (1%)	7 (2%)	
Comorbidities	No comorbidities	1535 (69%)	245 (69%)	0.84
	Respiratory comorbidities	320 (14%)	39 (7%)	<0.001
	Other comorbidities	431 (19%)	78 (14%)	0.005
	No answer	11 (<1%)	196 (36%)	<0.001
Income	< \$20,000	97 (4%)	23 (6%)	0.046
	\$20,000-\$49,000	383 (17%)	72 (20%)	
	50,000-\$99,000	871 (39%)	150 (41%)	
	\$100,000 +	868 (39%)	117 (32%)	
	No answer	209 (9%)	69 (16%)	

S2 Table: Main relative utilities (preferences) for social distancing policy features (N=2,428)


Attribute	Utilities	p-value	Low CI	High CI
Duration: 2 vs 1 months	0.00	0.949	-0.13	0.12
Duration: 3 vs 1 months	-0.16	0.031	-0.31	-0.02
Income loss: 15% vs 5%	-0.72	0.000	-0.86	-0.57
Income loss: 25% vs 5%	-1.49	0.000	-1.70	-1.29
Large gatherings permitted	-1.43	0.000	-1.67	-1.18
Social venues open	0.05	0.451	-0.08	0.17
Outdoor venues open	0.50	0.000	0.39	0.61
Schools open	0.18	0.005	0.05	0.30
Risk of infection 15% vs 5%	-1.02	0.000	-1.19	-0.84
Risk of infection 30% vs 5%	-2.89	0.000	-3.23	-2.54

S3 Table & Figure: Main utilities by age category




Attribute	18-24 yrs			25-34 yrs			35-49 yrs			50-64 yrs			65+ yrs		
	Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI	
Duration of policy (2 vs 1 months)	-0.64	-1.37	0.09	0.10	-0.21	0.40	0.20	-0.07	0.47	0.04	-0.26	0.35	0.06	-0.23	0.34
Duration of policy (3 vs 1 months)	-0.36	-1.07	0.34	-0.31	-0.61	-0.02	0.00	-0.29	0.30	-0.28	-0.62	0.06	0.03	-0.25	0.31
Percentage income lost (15% vs 5%)	-1.16	-1.90	-0.42	-0.65	-1.02	-0.27	-0.82	-1.12	-0.52	-0.85	-1.15	-0.56	-0.67	-0.97	-0.36
Percentage income lost (25% vs 5%)	-2.44	-3.40	-1.49	-1.79	-2.29	-1.28	-1.69	-2.05	-1.32	-1.59	-2.06	-1.12	-1.56	-1.95	-1.18
Large gatherings permitted	-1.92	-3.41	-0.42	-1.29	-1.84	-0.74	-1.41	-1.93	-0.88	-1.22	-1.80	-0.64	-2.24	-2.93	-1.56
Social venues open	-0.08	-0.64	0.47	-0.03	-0.29	0.24	0.00	-0.25	0.25	0.25	-0.05	0.55	0.01	-0.27	0.30
Outdoor venues open	0.69	0.15	1.23	0.56	0.31	0.82	0.49	0.26	0.73	0.66	0.38	0.94	0.56	0.33	0.79
Schools open	0.55	-0.10	1.21	0.10	-0.20	0.40	0.22	-0.06	0.49	0.18	-0.07	0.44	0.23	-0.03	0.49
Risk of infection (15% vs 5%)	-1.15	-1.87	-0.43	-1.34	-1.79	-0.89	-1.11	-1.48	-0.74	-1.14	-1.52	-0.76	-1.31	-1.70	-0.91
Risk of infection (30% vs 5%)	-3.96	-5.77	-2.14	-4.12	-5.16	-3.09	-3.15	-4.00	-2.30	-3.47	-4.32	-2.63	-3.38	-4.12	-2.64

S4 Table & Figure: Main utilities by gender




Attribute	Male			Female		
	Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI	
Duration of policy (2 vs 1 months)	0.01	-0.24	0.27	0.09	-0.05	0.24
Duration of policy (3 vs 1 months)	-0.34	-0.63	-0.05	0.01	-0.16	0.17
Percentage income lost (15% vs 5 %)	-0.88	-1.16	-0.60	-0.66	-0.81	-0.50
Percentage income lost (25% vs 5%	-1.70	-2.06	-1.33	-1.53	-1.74	-1.32
Large gatherings permitted	-1.31	-2.00	-0.62	-1.59	-1.85	-1.32
Social venues open	0.21	-0.06	0.47	-0.07	-0.22	0.08
Outdoor venues open	0.61	0.39	0.82	0.52	0.39	0.65
Schools open	0.18	-0.09	0.44	0.17	0.03	0.32
Risk of infection (15% vs 5%)	-1.06	-1.43	-0.70	-1.06	-1.25	-0.86
Risk of infection (30% vs 5%)	-3.29	-4.08	-2.50	-3.15	-3.59	-2.71

S5 Table & Figure: Main utilities by race group




Attribute	Black			White			Other		
	Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI	
Duration of policy (2 vs 1 months)	-0.85	-3.09	1.38	-0.02	-0.16	0.11	-0.62	-7.21	5.97
Duration of policy (3 vs 1 months)	-0.40	-2.13	1.32	-0.21	-0.36	-0.06	1.31	-1.17	3.79
Percentage income lost (15% vs 5 %)	-1.94	-4.36	0.49	-0.72	-0.86	-0.58	-3.83	-7.69	0.02
Percentage income lost (25% vs 5%	-3.39	-6.33	-0.45	-1.61	-1.79	-1.43	-4.44	-10.11	1.24
Large gatherings permitted	-5.25	-14.05	3.55	-1.35	-1.60	-1.10	-7.28	-18.98	4.42
Social venues open	-1.24	-2.92	0.44	0.10	-0.02	0.22	-2.13	-7.20	2.95
Outdoor venues open	0.27	-0.55	1.10	0.59	0.48	0.70	1.49	-2.37	5.36
Schools open	0.21	-0.94	1.36	0.22	0.10	0.35	-0.97	-5.85	3.91
Risk of infection (15% vs 5%)	-1.76	-3.62	0.09	-1.13	-1.30	-0.96	-4.06	-7.36	-0.75
Risk of infection (30% vs 5%)	-6.87	-15.34	1.60	-3.37	-3.76	-2.98	-14.46	-32.88	3.97

S6 Table & Figure: Main utilities by annual household income category



	< \$49,999			\$50,000-\$99,999			\$100,000 +		
Attribute	utility	95%CI		utility	95% CI		utility	95% CI	
Duration of policy (2 vs 1 months)	0.07	-0.28	0.42	0.00	-0.21	0.21	-0.04	-0.29	0.20
Duration of policy (3 vs 1 months)	-0.12	-0.51	0.26	-0.28	-0.54	-0.02	-0.21	-0.45	0.03
Percentage income lost (15% vs 5 %)	-0.68	-1.06	-0.30	-0.78	-1.04	-0.52	-0.84	-1.08	-0.61
Percentage income lost (25% vs 5%	-1.31	-1.94	-0.68	-1.78	-2.08	-1.48	-1.81	-2.13	-1.49
Large gatherings permitted	-1.49	-2.45	-0.52	-2.02	-2.53	-1.51	-1.22	-1.62	-0.82
Social venues open	0.09	-0.19	0.38	0.06	-0.18	0.30	0.10	-0.13	0.32
Outdoor venues open	0.54	0.25	0.84	0.54	0.32	0.77	0.69	0.51	0.87
Schools open	0.05	-0.30	0.40	0.14	-0.07	0.36	0.39	0.18	0.60
Risk of infection (15% vs 5%)	-1.19	-1.72	-0.67	-1.02	-1.34	-0.70	-1.23	-1.53	-0.93
Risk of infection (30% vs 5%)	-2.93	-4.05	-1.81	-2.93	-3.69	-2.17	-3.68	-4.33	-3.04

S7 Table & Figure: Main utilities by comorbid illness category



Attribute	Comorbidity			No comorbidity		
	Utility	95%CI		Utility	95%CI	
Duration of policy (2 vs 1 months)	0.12	-0.21	0.44	0.02	-0.13	0.17
Duration of policy (3 vs 1 months)	0.12	-0.21	0.44	-0.24	-0.42	-0.07
Percentage income lost (15% vs 5 %)	-0.92	-1.23	-0.62	-0.69	-0.85	-0.52
Percentage income lost (25% vs 5%)	-1.77	-2.21	-1.32	-1.67	-1.89	-1.45
Large gatherings permitted	-2.02	-2.64	-1.40	-1.33	-1.65	-1.02
Social venues open	-0.24	-0.49	0.02	0.08	-0.06	0.22
Outdoor venues open	0.48	0.23	0.72	0.65	0.51	0.79
Schools open	-0.05	-0.41	0.30	0.31	0.16	0.47
Risk of infection (15% vs 5%)	-1.16	-1.52	-0.79	-1.19	-1.41	-0.98
Risk of infection (30% vs 5%)	-3.44	-4.38	-2.51	-3.42	-3.84	-3.00

S8 Table: Utilities by latent class membership

Levels	Altruistic			Risk takers			Risk eliminators			Risk averse educators		
	Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI		Utility	95% CI	
Duration: 2 vs 1 months	1.26	0.68	1.84	-0.33	-0.66	0.01	0.08	-0.17	0.33	-0.07	-0.30	0.16
Duration: 3 vs 1 months	1.67	0.98	2.36	-0.90	-1.30	0.50	0.13	-0.12	0.39	-0.52	-0.80	-0.24
Income loss: 10% vs 5%	-1.04	-1.51	-0.56	-1.45	-1.90	1.00	-0.80	-1.08	-0.52	-0.75	-1.01	-0.49
Income loss: 25% vs 5%	-2.12	-2.84	-1.40	-2.45	-3.17	1.73	-1.99	-2.34	-1.65	-2.03	-2.43	-1.63
Large gatherings: permitted vs not	-2.83	-3.87	-1.80	2.19	1.50	2.87	-2.78	-3.30	-2.27	0.22	-0.17	0.61
Social venues open vs closed	-1.73	-2.31	-1.16	1.55	0.99	2.11	-0.69	-0.90	-0.47	0.46	0.26	0.66
Outdoor venues open vs closed	-0.10	-0.56	0.35	1.58	1.07	2.10	0.55	0.34	0.77	0.60	0.39	0.80
Schools open vs closed	-2.71	-3.39	-2.04	1.38	0.93	1.84	-0.43	-0.63	-0.23	1.33	1.06	1.59
Risk of infection 15% vs 5%	0.23	-0.41	0.87	-0.56	-1.07	0.05	-3.33	-3.87	-2.80	-0.68	-0.99	-0.36
Risk of infection 30% vs 5%	-0.53	-1.37	0.32	-0.69	-1.46	0.09	-7.77	-8.93	-6.62	-3.20	-3.84	-2.57

S9 Table: Predictors of latent class membership (multinomial logistic regression, baseline comparison group “risk eliminators”)

Characteristic		Risk averse educators (22.5%)				Altruistic (14.9%)				Risk-takers (13.7%)			
		RRR	95% CI		p-value	RRR	95% CI		p-value	RRR	95% CI		p-value
Gender	Female	1.00				1.00				1.00			
	Male	1.12	0.84	1.49	0.455	1.09	0.75	1.58	0.660	2.19	1.54	3.12	<0.001
Age	18-24yrs	1.00				1.00				1.00			
	25-34yrs	0.98	0.54	1.78		1.37	0.63	2.94		0.60	0.28	1.27	
	35-49yrs	0.68	0.37	1.25	0.317	1.60	0.75	3.40	0.674	0.84	0.40	1.77	0.057
	50-64yrs	0.87	0.48	1.56		1.58	0.69	3.58		1.23	0.57	2.65	
	65yrs +	0.71	0.38	1.33		1.23	0.57	2.65		0.65	0.29	1.43	
Income	< \$50,000	1.00				1.00				1.00			
	50,000 < 99,000	1.41	0.93	2.14	0.102	0.69	0.43	1.11	0.123	0.96	0.58	1.61	0.887
	\$100,000 +	1.49	0.99	2.25		0.70	0.44	1.10		0.93	0.56	1.55	
Race	White	1.00				1.00				1.00			
	Black	0.88	0.44	1.76	0.679	0.77	0.34	1.72	0.333	0.58	0.18	1.82	0.628
	Other	0.76	0.38	1.49		1.57	0.80	3.10		1.09	0.48	2.48	
Comorbidity	No	1.00				1.00				1.00			
	Yes	0.74	0.53	1.02	0.066	1.14	0.79	1.64	0.478	0.74	0.47	1.16	0.194

Footnotes: Baseline comparison class: risk eliminators (48.9% of population)

6/2	7/1	7/2	7/3						
1/1		1200	0	0	400	401	399		
600	600	600	600	599	601	601			
599	391	415	394						
1/2		0	1200	0	401	398	401		
600	600	599	601	600	600	601			
599	394	414	392						
1/3		0	0	1200	399	400	401		
599	601	601	599	601	599	598			
602	393	416	391						
2/1		400	401	399	1200	0	0		
598	602	599	601	600	600	602			
598	391	418	391						
2/2		401	398	400	0	1199	0		
600	599	600	599	600	599	598			
601	391	414	394						
2/3		399	401	401	0	0	1201		
601	600	601	600	600	601	600			
601	396	413	392						
3/1		600	600	599	598	600	601		
1799	0	899	900	898	901	899			
900	1178	621	0						
3/2		600	600	601	602	599	600		
0	1801	901	900	902	899	901			
900	0	624	1177						
4/1		600	599	601	599	600	601		
899	901	1800	0	900	900	897			
903	588	622	590						
4/2		600	601	599	601	599	600		
900	900	0	1800	900	900	903			
897	590	623	587						
5/1		599	600	601	600	600	600		
898	902	900	900	1800	0	901			
899	589	622	589						
5/2		601	600	599	600	599	601		
901	899	900	900	0	1800	899			
901	589	623	588						
6/1		601	601	598	602	598	600		
899	901	897	903	901	899	1800			
0	589	624	587						
6/2		599	599	602	598	601	601		
900	900	903	897	899	901	0			
1800	589	621	590						
7/1		391	394	393	391	391	396		
1178	0	588	590	589	589	589			
589	1178	0	0						
7/2		415	414	416	418	414	413		
621	624	622	623	622	623	624			
621	0	1245	0						
7/3		394	392	391	391	394	392		

0	1177	590	587	589	588	587
590	0	0	1177			

This counting test reports how balanced the design is in terms of frequencies. To assess how precisely this design can estimate utilities given your expected sample size, we recommend you refer to the Test Design report (the Logit Efficiency Test Using Simulated Data).

Logit Efficiency Test Using Simulated Data

Main Effects: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
 Build includes 600 respondents.

Total number of choices in each response category:

Category	Number	Percent
1	1817	50.47%
2	1783	49.53%

There are 3600 expanded tasks in total, or an average of 6.0 tasks per respondent.

```

Iter 1 Log-likelihood = -2490.30855 Chi Sq = 10.04259 RLH = 0.50070
Iter 2 Log-likelihood = -2490.10417 Chi Sq = 10.45136 RLH = 0.50073
Iter 3 Log-likelihood = -2490.09591 Chi Sq = 10.46788 RLH = 0.50073
Iter 4 Log-likelihood = -2490.09558 Chi Sq = 10.46854 RLH = 0.50073
Iter 5 Log-likelihood = -2490.09557 Chi Sq = 10.46857 RLH = 0.50073
Iter 6 Log-likelihood = -2490.09557 Chi Sq = 10.46857 RLH = 0.50073

```

*Converged

	Std Err	Attribute Level
1	0.03036	1 1 time_1
2	0.03020	1 2 time_2
3	0.03011	1 3 time_3
4	0.03028	2 1 five
5	0.03042	2 2 fifteen
6	0.03001	2 3 twenty five
7	0.03951	3 1 no_gather

8	0.03951	3 2	yes_gather
9	0.01950	4 1	socialvenue_yes
10	0.01950	4 2	socialvenue_no
11	0.01965	5 1	outdoor_no
12	0.01965	5 2	outdoor_yes
13	0.02013	6 1	open
14	0.02013	6 2	closed
15	0.05315	7 1	five
16	0.02992	7 2	fifteen
17	0.05339	7 3	thirty

A general guideline is to achieve standard errors of 0.05 or smaller for main effect utilities and 0.10 or smaller for interaction effects or alternative-specific effects.

The strength of design for this model is 1479.71421
 (The ratio of strengths of design for two designs reflects the D-Efficiency of one design relative to the other.)

S11 Table: Weighting strategy based on Missouri population in 2019

Weighting strata (race, gender, age category)	Missouri population proportion in strata	Number of DCE participants in strata	Proportion of DCE population in strata	Inverse probability weight applied to strata
black_fem_18_24	0.007	5	0.002	3.730
black_fem_25_34	0.010	14	0.006	1.580
black_fem_35_49	0.014	37	0.017	0.843
black_fem_50_64	0.015	34	0.013	1.194
black_fem_65	0.015	17	0.007	1.994
black_male_18_24	0.007	3	0.001	7.717
black_male_25_34	0.010	8	0.004	2.797
black_male_35_49	0.014	10	0.005	2.984
black_male_50_64	0.014	5	0.002	6.174
black_male_65	0.012	2	0.001	12.605
other_fem_18_24	0.005	12	0.005	1.046
other_fem_25_34	0.008	17	0.008	1.004
other_fem_35_49	0.011	18	0.008	1.377
other_fem_50_64	0.012	11	0.004	3.224
other_fem_65	0.011	6	0.002	6.150
other_male_18_24	0.005	8	0.003	1.700
other_male_25_34	0.008	9	0.004	1.918
other_male_35_49	0.011	9	0.004	2.557
other_male_50_64	0.011	6	0.003	3.968
other_male_65	0.009	5	0.001	6.480
white_fem_18_24	0.046	64	0.027	1.724
white_fem_25_34	0.068	267	0.120	0.566
white_fem_35_49	0.093	376	0.167	0.561
white_fem_50_64	0.103	462	0.191	0.539
white_fem_65	0.098	325	0.123	0.795
white_male_18_24	0.047	40	0.017	2.723
white_male_25_34	0.069	111	0.049	1.402
white_male_35_49	0.092	119	0.050	1.818
white_male_50_64	0.095	181	0.078	1.210
white_male_65	0.078	191	0.078	0.994