

## Supplementary results for

### **Linking microstructural integrity and motor cortex excitability in multiple sclerosis**

Angela Radetz<sup>1</sup>, Kalina Mladenova<sup>1</sup>, Dumitru Ciolac<sup>1,2</sup>, Gabriel Gonzalez-Escamilla<sup>1</sup>, Vinzenz Fleischer<sup>1</sup>, Erik Ellwardt<sup>1</sup>, Julia Krämer<sup>3</sup>, Stefan Bittner<sup>1</sup>, Sven G. Meuth<sup>3,4</sup>, Muthuraman Muthuraman<sup>1§</sup>, Sergiu Groppa<sup>1§\*</sup>

§ Muthuraman Muthuraman and Sergiu Groppa contributed equally to this manuscript.

\* Corresponding author: Sergiu Groppa

S1. Group differences in FA, NDI, ODI and IVF in left M1 GM

Table S1. FA, NDI, ODI and IVF in left M1 GM in MS compared to HC

Diffusion parameter	MS (mean [SD])	HC (mean [SD])	t-test	Levene's test
FA	m = 0.1539 [0.0204]	m = 0.1515 [0.098]	t(97) = -0.61; p > .05	F(1,97) = 0.14, p > .05
NDI	m = 0.3915 [0.0393]	m = 0.3916 [0.0321]	t(97) = 0.01; p > .05	F(1,97) = 4.25, p = .04
ODI	m = 0.5119 [0.0344]	m = 0.5195 [0.0330]	t(97) = 1.11; p > .05	F(1,97) = 2.3*e <sup>-4</sup> , p > .05
IVF	m = 0.2889 [0.1112]	m = 0.2820 [0.0825]	t(97) = -0.35; p > .05	F(1,97) = 2.78, p > .05

Note: T-test for comparison of group differences in each diffusion parameter, Levene's test for testing of variance inequality. Abbreviations: FA, fractional anisotropy, NDI, neurite density index, ODI, orientation dispersion index, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, M1, primary motor cortex, GM, grey matter, MS, multiple sclerosis, HC, healthy control; SD, standard deviation.

S2. Detailed results of hierarchical regression analysis of motor threshold in MS

Table S1. Results of hierarchical regression analysis of motor threshold in MS

	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Beta coefficient	t statistic	p value
<b>Model 1</b>					
Age	.006	.152	.007	.042	.967
Gender	4.577	3.431	.212	1.334	.189
M1 lesion load	4.110	3.042	.209	1.351	.184
ODI	-59.439	83.964	-.193	-.708	.483
IVF	15.148	23.349	.159	.649	.520
FA	-35.262	134.085	-.068	-.263	.794
NDI	-94.881	54.737	-.352	-1.733	.090
	F(7,42) = 1.563; p = .173; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .074				
<b>Model 2</b>					
Gender	4.608	3.308	.213	1.393	.171
M1 lesion load	4.135	2.948	.210	1.403	.168
ODI	-59.768	82.612	-.195	-.723	.473
IVF	15.564	20.860	.163	.746	.460
FA	-35.547	132.346	-.068	-.269	.790
NDI	-94.511	53.377	-.350	-1.771	.084
	F(6,43) = 1.866; p = .109; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .096				

<b>Model 3</b>					
Gender	3.647	2.958	.185	1.233	.224
ODI	-48.589	83.095	-.158	-.585	.562
IVF	6.536	20.039	.069	.326	.746
FA	-15.899	132.991	-.031	-.120	.905
NDI	-95.449	53.940	-.354	-1.770	.084
	F(5,44) = 1.812; $p = .130$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .077$				
<b>Model 4</b>					
ODI	-25.685	81.459	-.084	-.315	.754
IVF	7.612	20.135	.080	.378	.707
FA	31.527	128.041	.061	.246	.807
NDI	-111.908	52.564	-.415	-2.129	.039
	F(4,45) = 1.864; $p = .133$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .066$				
<b>Model 5</b>					
IVF	3.939	16.262	.041	.242	.810
FA	54.543	104.161	.105	.524	.603
NDI	-112.695	51.988	-.418	-2.168	.035
	F(3,46) = 2.501; $p = .071$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .084$				
<b>Model 6</b>					
FA	45.940	96.933	.088	.474	.638
NDI	-115.445	50.222	-.428	-2.299	.026
	F(2,47) = 3.798; $p = .030$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .103$				
<b>Model 7</b>					
NDI	-99.095	36.201	-.367	-2.737	.009
	F(1,48) = 7.493; $p = .009$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .117$				

*Note:* Abbreviations: MS, multiple sclerosis, M1, primary motor cortex, ODI, orientation dispersion index, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, FA, fractional anisotropy, NDI, neurite density index.

### S3. Detailed results of hierarchical regression analysis of motor threshold in HC

Table S3. Results of hierarchical regression analysis of motor threshold in HC

	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Beta coefficient	t statistic	p value
<b>Model 1</b>					
Age	.023	.157	.025	.149	.882

Gender	1.351	2.906	.079	.465	.644
FA	100.837	128.311	.231	.786	.436
IVF	1.212	22.554	.012	.054	.957
ODI	41.867	69.387	.160	.603	.549
NDI	-91.277	56.875	-.339	-1.605	.116
$F(6,42) = 0.592; p = .735; \text{adjusted } r^2 = -.054$					
<b>Model 2</b>					
Gender	1.277	2.830	.075	.451	.654
FA	101.521	126.762	.233	.801	.428
IVFI	2.584	20.350	.025	.127	.900
ODI	40.253	67.751	.154	.594	.556
NDI	-90.654	56.073	-.337	-1.617	.113
$F(5,43) = 0.723; p = .610; \text{adjusted } r^2 = -.030$					
<b>Model 3</b>					
FA	114.957	122.095	.263	.942	.352
IVFI	.846	19.800	.008	.043	.966
ODI	42.934	66.876	.164	.642	.524
NDI	-90.221	55.555	-.335	-1.624	.112
$F(4,44) = 0.868; p = .491; \text{adjusted } r^2 = -.011$					
<b>Model 4</b>					
IVF	1.526	19.762	.015	.077	.939
ODI	3.669	52.215	.014	.070	.944
NDI	-59.257	44.718	-.220	-1.325	.192
$F(3,45) = 0.864; p = .467; \text{adjusted } r^2 = -.009$					
<b>Model 5</b>					
ODI	5.935	42.718	.023	.139	.890
NDI	-59.598	44.016	-.221	-1.354	.182
$F(2,46) = 1.322; p = .277; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .013$					
<b>Model 6</b>					
NDI	-62.537	38.197	-.232	-1.637	.108
$F(1,47) = 2.681; p = .108; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .034$					

*Note:* Abbreviations: HC, healthy controls, FA, fractional anisotropy, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, ODI, orientation dispersion index, NDI, neurite density index.

#### *S4. Detailed results of neuropsychological analyses*




Figure S1. Correlation coefficients of the correlation between left M1 GM FA, NDI, ODI, IVF and (A) 9HPT, (B) TMT-A and (C) TMT-B in MS patients. \*  $p < .05$ . Abbreviations: M1, primary motor cortex, GM, grey matter, FA, fractional anisotropy, NDI, neurite density index, ODI, orientation dispersion index, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, 9HPT, Nine Hole Peg Test, TMT-A, Trail Making Test part A, TMT-B, Trail Making Test part B, MS, multiple sclerosis.

Table S4. Results of hierarchical regression analysis of 9HPT

	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Beta coefficient	t statistic	p value
<b>Model 1</b>					
Age	-.052	.025	-.424	-2.074	.049
Gender	.198	.643	.069	.307	.761
M1 lesion load	-.567	.482	-.265	-1.178	.251
ODI	24.673	17.248	.595	1.430	.166
IVF	.880	5.522	.062	.159	.875
FA	37.388	27.311	.516	1.369	.184
NDI	1.343	10.316	.033	.130	.898

	F(7,23) = 1.473; p = .226; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .099				
<b>Model 2</b>					
Age	-.051	.024	-.414	-2.091	.047
M1 lesion load	-.559	.472	-.261	-1.185	.248
ODI	23.522	16.516	.567	1.424	.167
IVF	.505	5.284	.036	.096	.925
FA	35.866	26.347	.495	1.361	.186
NDI	2.297	9.650	.056	.238	.814
	F(6,24) = 1.769; p = .148; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .133				
<b>Model 3</b>					
Age	-.053	.024	-.436	-2.196	.038
ODI	17.785	15.918	.429	1.117	.274
IVF	-.666	5.232	-.047	-.127	.900
FA	20.717	23.223	.286	.892	.381
NDI	7.536	8.648	.184	.871	.392
	F(5,25) = 1.813; p = .147; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .119				
<b>Model 4</b>					
Age	-.058	.024	-.476	-2.426	.022
IVF	3.217	3.930	.227	.818	.421
FA	8.332	20.505	.115	.406	.688
NDI	9.053	8.581	.221	1.055	.301
	F(4,26) = 1.935; p = .134; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .111				
<b>Model 5</b>					
Age	-.050	.022	-.409	-2.310	.029
FA	-2.550	15.514	-.035	-.164	.871
NDI	9.124	8.528	.223	1.070	.294
	F(3,27) = 2.386; p = .091; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .122				
<b>Model 6</b>					
Age	-.049	.021	-.401	-2.385	.024
NDI	8.324	6.879	.204	1.210	.236
	F(2,28) = 3.694; p = .038; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .152				
<b>Model 7</b>					
Age	-.050	.021	-.409	-2.415	.022
	F(1,29) = 5.831; p = .022; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .139				

Note: Abbreviations: 9HPT, Nine Hole Peg Test, M1, primary motor cortex, ODI, orientation dispersion index, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, FA, fractional anisotropy, NDI, neurite density index.

Table S5. Results of hierarchical regression analysis of TMT-A

	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Beta coefficient	t statistic	p value
<b>Model 1</b>					
Age	-.005	.019	-.046	-.272	.787
Gender	.179	.478	.072	.374	.711
M1 lesion load	-.469	.369	-.213	-1.272	.212
ODI	12.777	10.947	.328	1.167	.251
FA	1.106	16.458	.018	.067	.947
IVF	-3.705	3.227	-.292	-1.148	.258
NDI	12.037	7.484	.332	1.608	.117
F(7,34) = 1.923; p = .094; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .131					
<b>Model 2</b>					
Gender	.150	.460	.060	.325	.747
M1 lesion load	-.482	.360	-.219	-1.338	.189
ODI	13.119	10.738	.337	1.222	.230
FA	1.485	16.192	.024	.092	.927
IVF	-4.095	2.855	-.323	-1.434	.160
NDI	11.727	7.304	.324	1.606	.117
F(6,35) = 2.289; p = .056; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .152					
<b>Model 3</b>					
M1 lesion load	-.476	.356	-.216	-1.337	.189
ODI	12.656	10.517	.325	1.203	.236
FA	.996	15.931	.016	.062	.950
IVF	-4.427	2.635	-.349	-1.680	.101
NDI	12.373	6.945	.342	1.782	.083
F(5,36) = 2.791; p = .030; adjusted r <sup>2</sup> = .172					
<b>Model 4</b>					
ODI	9.095	10.276	.233	.885	.382
FA	-5.886	15.229	-.094	-.387	.701
IVF	-4.883	2.639	-.385	-1.851	.072

NDI	15.574	6.585	.430	2.365	.023
$F(4,37) = 2.982; p = .031; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .156$					
<b>Model 5</b>					
FA	-13.576	12.473	-.217	-1.088	.283
IVF	-3.464	2.090	-.273	-1.657	.105
NDI	16.101	6.540	.445	2.462	.018
$F(3,38) = 3.735; p = .019; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .160$					
<b>Model 6</b>					
IVF	-2.491	1.893	-.197	-1.316	.196
NDI	12.085	5.412	.334	2.233	.031
$F(2,39) = 4.987; p = .012; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .156$					
<b>Model 7</b>					
NDI	14.565	5.117	.402	2.846	.007
$F(1,40) = 8.102; p = .007; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .142$					

Note: Abbreviations: TMT-A, Trail Making Test part A, M1, primary motor cortex, ODI, orientation dispersion index, FA, fractional anisotropy, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, NDI, neurite density index.

Table S6. Results of hierarchical regression analysis of TMT-B

	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Beta coefficient	t statistic	p value
<b>Model 1</b>					
Age	-.018	.018	-.173	-.999	.324
Gender	.253	.446	.112	.566	.575
M1 lesion load	-.325	.344	-.163	-.944	.351
ODI	4.940	10.224	.140	.483	.632
FA	-1.758	15.370	-.031	-.114	.910
IVF	-.388	3.014	-.034	-.129	.898
NDI	11.692	6.990	.357	1.673	.103
$F(7,36) = 1.485; p = .204; \text{adjusted } r^2 = .073$					
<b>Model 2</b>					
Age	-.016	.017	-.151	-.904	.372
M1 lesion load	-.320	.341	-.161	-.939	.354
ODI	4.345	10.075	.123	.431	.669
FA	-2.379	15.189	-.042	-.157	.876



IVF	-1.090	2.722	-.095	-.400	.691
NDI	12.595	6.742	.384	1.868	.070
F(6,37) = 1.711; $p = .146$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .090$					
<b>Model 3</b>					
M1 lesion load	-.365	.336	-.183	-1.085	.285
ODI	5.639	9.949	.160	.567	.574
FA	-.960	15.072	-.017	-.064	.950
IVF	-2.065	2.492	-.180	-.829	.412
NDI	11.289	6.570	.345	1.718	.094
F(5,38) = 1.899; $p = .117$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .095$					
<b>Model 4</b>					
ODI	2.907	9.647	.083	.301	.765
FA	-6.241	14.296	-.110	-.437	.665
IVF	-2.415	2.477	-.211	-.975	.335
NDI	13.745	6.182	.420	2.223	.032
F(4,39) = 2.070; $p = .103$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .091$					
<b>Model 5</b>					
FA	-8.699	11.607	-.154	-.749	.458
IVF	-1.962	1.945	-.171	-1.009	.319
NDI	13.913	6.086	.425	2.286	.028
F(3,40) = 2.794; $p = .053$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .111$					
<b>Model 6</b>					
IVF	-1.339	1.749	-.117	-.766	.448
NDI	11.340	4.998	.346	2.269	.029
F(2,41) = 3.952; $p = .027$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .121$					
<b>Model 7</b>					
NDI	12.672	4.662	.387	2.718	.009
F(1,42) = 7.390; $p = .009$ ; adjusted $r^2 = .129$					

*Note:* Abbreviations: TMT-B, Trail Making Test part B, M1, primary motor cortex, ODI, orientation dispersion index, FA, fractional anisotropy, IVF, isotropic volume fraction, NDI, neurite density index.

#### S5. TBSS analysis applied to JHU atlas

We computed the number and percentage of voxels showing group differences for each region of the JHU atlas for FA, NDI and ODI maps (Table S7). Fibers exhibiting group

differences in a large part of the region in either FA, NDI or ODI or combinations of them included fornix, thalamic radiation, internal and external capsule, corpus callosum, corona radiata and sagittal stratum.

Table S7. Number and percentage of significant voxels in JHU regions for FA, NDI and ODI. The last column contains the total number of voxels of the mean skeleton in each region. Contrast HC > MS for FA and NDI, and MS > HC for ODI, sorted decreasingly by the percentage of voxels showing significant group differences of each region, summed over FA, NDI and ODI

<b>JHU label</b>	<b>FA (%)</b>	<b>NDI (%)</b>	<b>ODI (%)</b>	<b>Total</b>
Left fornix (cres) / stria terminalis	323 (28.7)	268 (23.8)	297 (26.4)	1125
Right fornix (cres) / stria terminalis	296 (26.3)	187 (16.6)	294 (26.4)	1124
Left posterior thalamic radiation	1128 (28.4)	1144 (28.8)	401 (10.1)	3978
Left retrolenticular part of internal capsule	614 (24.9)	668 (27.1)	224 (9.1)	2469
Right posterior thalamic radiation	1136 (28.6)	1168 (29.4)		3972
Body of corpus callosum	3076 (22.3)	3003 (21.9)	1666 (12.2)	13711
Right sagittal stratum	530 (23.8)	586 (26.3)		2228
Genu of corpus callosum	1734 (19.6)	1733 (19.6)	860 (9.7)	8851
Left sagittal stratum	450 (10.2)	476 (21.3)	89 (4)	2231
Left external capsule	879 (15.7)	805 (14.4)	775 (13.9)	5587
Left anterior corona radiata	1145 (16.7)	1505 (22)	138 (2)	6852
Right retrolenticular part of internal capsule	445 (17.7)	577 (22.9)	1 (0.04)	2515
Right posterior corona radiata	690 (18.5)	759 (20.4)	20 (0.5)	3728
Splenium of corpus callosum	2295 (18)	2359 (18.5)	120 (0.9)	12729
Left superior longitudinal fasciculus	968 (14.7)	1402 (21.2)	3 (0.04)	6605
Fornix (column and body)	80 (12.1)	70 (10.6)	86 (13.1)	659
Right anterior corona radiata	714 (10.4)	1449 (21.2)	251 (3.7)	6849
Right superior longitudinal fasciculus	874 (13.2)	1411 (21.4)		6607
Left posterior corona radiata	573 (15.4)	684 (18.4)	21 (0.6)	3714
Left superior corona radiata	901 (12)	1226 (16.3)	202 (2.7)	7508
Right superior corona radiata	775 (10.3)	1345 (17.9)	198 (2.6)	7500
Right cerebral peduncle	359 (15.8)		282 (12.4)	2278
Left superior fronto-occipital fasciculus	3 (0.6)	106 (20.9)	29 (5.7)	507

Right anterior limb of internal capsule	216 (6.9)	88 (2.8)	532 (17)	3138
Right superior fronto-occipital fasciculus		73 (14.4)	45 (8.9)	507
Right cingulum (hippocampus)	103 (8)	175 (14.2)		1236
Left posterior limb of internal capsule	12 (0.3)	407 (10.8)	373 (9.9)	3752
Left uncinate fasciculus	33 (8.8)	22 (2.9)	23 (6.1)	376
Right cingulum (cingulate gyrus)	120 (5.1)	323 (13.8)		2342
Left cerebral peduncle		5 (0.2)	421 (18.5)	2278
Left anterior limb of internal capsule	2 (0.1)	95 (3.1)	438 (14.5)	3018
Left cingulum (hippocampus)		200 (17.3)		1155
Right external capsule	378 (6.7)	558 (9.9)		5611
Left cingulum (cingulate gyrus)	42 (1.5)	390 (14.2)		2751
Right posterior limb of internal capsule	307 (8.2)	89 (2.4)	160 (4.3)	3754
Right tapetum	36 (6)	36 (6)		596
Right corticospinal tract	29 (2.1)		133 (9.8)	1362
Right superior cerebellar peduncle			81 (8.2)	992
Right inferior cerebellar peduncle			79 (8.2)	968
Right medial lemniscus			28 (4.1)	690
Middle cerebellar peduncle			595 (3.8)	15644
Right uncinate fasciculus	2 (0.5)	8 (2.1)		380
Left tapetum	4 (0.7)	4 (0.7)		600
Left corticospinal tract			9 (0.7)	1370
Pontine crossing tract			7 (0.5)	1500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21272 (12.7)</b>	<b>25404 (15.2)</b>	<b>8881 (5.3)</b>	<b>167347</b>

*Note:* Abbreviations: FA, fractional anisotropy, NDI, neurite density index, ODI, orientation dispersion index, HC, healthy control, MS, multiple sclerosis.

Again, we disentangled if one of the parameters alone or if combinations of FA, NDI and ODI contributed to observed group differences. The percentage of all single parameters and their combinations are depicted for each JHU region is presented in Figure S4A, and averages over all regions is depicted in Figure S4B. Neurite density exclusively (49%) and in combination with FA (29%) accounted for the largest amount of differences, followed by a combination of NDI, ODI and FA (9%) and ODI alone (6%).

