

Section & Topic	No	Item	Reported on page #
TITLE OR ABSTRACT			
	1	Identification as a study of diagnostic accuracy using at least one measure of accuracy (such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, or AUC)	2
ABSTRACT			
	2	Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance, see STARD for Abstracts)	2
INTRODUCTION			
	3	Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	3
	4	Study objectives and hypotheses	3
METHODS			
<i>Study design</i>	5	Whether data collection was planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)	4
<i>Participants</i>	6	Eligibility criteria	5
	7	On what basis potentially eligible participants were identified (such as symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion in registry)	5/6
	8	Where and when potentially eligible participants were identified (setting, location and dates)	5/6
	9	Whether participants formed a consecutive, random or convenience series	5
<i>Test methods</i>	10a	Index test, in sufficient detail to allow replication	7
	10b	Reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication	6
	11	Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist)	4
	12a	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the index test, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	7, supp table 1
	12b	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the reference standard, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Supp methods, supp table 1
	13a	Whether clinical information and reference standard results were available to the performers/readers of the index test	6
	13b	Whether clinical information and index test results were available to the assessors of the reference standard	Supp methods
<i>Analysis</i>	14	Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy	7/8
	15	How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled	7, Supp Fig1
	16	How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled	Supp Fig 1
	17	Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	7
	18	Intended sample size and how it was determined	7
RESULTS			
<i>Participants</i>	19	Flow of participants, using a diagram	Supp Fig 1
	20	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants	8/9
	21a	Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition	9
	21b	Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition	9
	22	Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard	5
<i>Test results</i>	23	Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard	Figure 4, Supp Fig 5
	24	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)	10/11
	25	Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard	5
DISCUSSION			
	26	Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability	14/15
	27	Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	15
OTHER INFORMATION			
	28	Registration number and name of registry	N/a
	29	Where the full study protocol can be accessed	Not yet available
	30	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders	14

