

1 **Running Title:** Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Baton Rouge

2 **Keywords:** SARS Virus, COVID-19, Cross-Sectional Studies, Healthcare Disparities, Prevalence,
3 Seroepidemiologic Studies, Baton Rouge, Convalescence

4 **Title:** Racial and workplace disparities in seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Baton Rouge, Louisiana,
5 July 15-31, 2020

6 **Authors:** Amy K. Feehan, PhD, Cruz Velasco, PhD, Daniel Fort, PhD, Jeffrey H. Burton, PhD, Eboni
7 Price-Haywood, MD, Peter T. Katzmarzyk, PhD, Julia Garcia-Diaz, MD, Leonardo Seoane, MD

8 **Affiliations:**

9 Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA, USA (A. K. Feehan, C. Velasco, D. Fort, J. H. Burton, E.
10 Price-Haywood, J. Garcia-Diaz, L. Seoane)

11 The University of Queensland Faculty of Medicine, Ochsner Clinical School, New Orleans, LA, USA (A.
12 K. Feehan, J. Garcia-Diaz, E. Price-Haywood, L. Seoane)

13 Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA (P. T.
14 Katzmarzyk)

15 Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, USA (L. Seoane)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 Using paired molecular and antibody testing for SARS-CoV-2 infection, we determined point
24 prevalence and seroprevalence in a municipality in Louisiana, USA during the second phase of reopening.
25 Infections were highly variable by race, work environment, and ZIP code. Census-weighted
26 seroprevalence and point prevalence were 3.6% and 3.0%, respectively.

27 **Text**

28 Previously we reported results from a seroprevalence study conducted in New Orleans, Louisiana,
29 USA, hit hard early in the COVID-19 pandemic (1). Baton Rouge is a large metropolitan area roughly 80
30 miles northwest of New Orleans and at the time of this study was in the second phase of reopening
31 following a stay-at-home order. While the seroprevalence in New Orleans (6.9%)(1) was similar to
32 prevalence recorded in Spain (5%), Sao Paulo, Brazil (4.7%) and New York, USA (6.9%) (2,3,4), Baton
33 Rouge had only 3,427 more cases as of August 2, 2020 (17,093 cases) than New Orleans did by May 16,
34 2020 (13,666 cases) (5). This study was designed to estimate SARS-CoV-2 infections in the greater Baton
35 Rouge area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge, Livingston, and West Baton Rouge Parishes) with additional
36 information on potential workplace exposures.

37 The protocol was approved by the Ochsner IRB and intended to enroll and test up to 2,500
38 participants at 13 sites throughout Baton Rouge between July 15-31. Recruitment targeted a
39 representative sample in a method developed by Public Democracy (www.publicdemocracy.io) and
40 described elsewhere (1,6). In contrast to the New Orleans study, where people tested were under a “stay-
41 at-home” order, Baton Rouge was in phase two of reopening. A randomized subset of 500,000 Baton
42 Rouge residents was targeted with digital ads for recruitment. From those, 3,687 volunteers were
43 recruited and re-stratified by census designations: 2,309 were invited, 2,179 were enrolled and completed
44 testing, and 2,138 were included in the final analysis. Thirty-eight subjects were excluded for living in
45 ineligible ZIP codes and 3 withdrew consent (Supplemental Figure 1). All study materials were in

46 English, Spanish, and Vietnamese. Participants were offered free transportation. Research staff verbally
47 consented subjects and electronically documented consent and survey responses. Subjects then had a
48 blood draw and nasopharyngeal (NP) swab performed.

49 US Food and Drug Administration-Emergency Use Authorization approved tests were used.
50 Real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests of NP swabs were performed on
51 the Abbott m2000 RealTime system. Qualitative immunoglobulin G (IgG) blood tests were performed on
52 the ARCHITECT i2000SR. The IgG test meets criteria described by the CDC to yield high positive
53 predictive value, which was validated by Ochsner Health laboratory and by others (7,8). Study
54 participants with either or both positive tests were assessed as having been infected with SARS-CoV-2.
55 Point estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) for proportions of COVID-19 exposure
56 (PCR+ and/or IgG+ tests), point prevalence (PCR+, IgG-), and seroprevalence (IgG+ tests regardless of
57 PCR test) were estimated for the Baton Rouge area using raw and census-weighted counts. Unadjusted
58 odds ratios with Firth correction were calculated for all variables.

59 The sample was 63.6% female, 66.9% white, average age of 48.7 years, and average household
60 size of 2.84 people. The census-weighted SARS-CoV-2 infections in the sample is 6.6% (6.0%, raw) with
61 3.0% positive for active viral shedding without detectable antibody, which translates to 16,536 contagious
62 individuals. By race, seroprevalence was highest (7.5%) in Black subjects which was higher than any
63 other group: White non-Hispanic (1.8%), Asian non-Hispanic (1.7%), Hispanic of any race (1.6%) and
64 Other (2.7%). Sample breakdown and population estimates for adults over 18 years old are provided in
65 Table 1 along with raw and weighted exposure, weighted point prevalence, and weighted seroprevalence.

66 The point prevalence and any SARS-CoV-2 infection are listed and mapped by ZIP codes across
67 the greater Baton Rouge area (Supplemental Figure 2). Point prevalence and all infections were highly
68 variable by ZIP.

69 Marital status was associated with prevalence ($p=0.0005$). Single individuals were the most
70 infected (9.3%) compared with married or cohabitating subjects (5.0%) and were 1.9 times more likely to
71 test positive (Figure 1). Work environment was also associated with prevalence ($p=0.01$) with the lowest
72 prevalence in the group who worked from home (WFH) part time and went in to work part time (3.7%).
73 Those who were mostly going into work had the highest prevalence (8.2%) and were 2.3 times more
74 likely to test positive than those who WFH part time. Infections varied by type of job reported ($p=0.01$)
75 with the lowest positivity in office workers (3.0%) and increased odds of testing positive in public,
76 delivery, healthcare and other jobs. However, based on seroprevalence, which was also significantly
77 different by job type ($p=0.03$), healthcare workers and public-facing workers bore the brunt of early
78 infections shown by the higher odds of testing antibody-positive (Figure 1).

79 This study found the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Baton Rouge to be 6.6%, but was
80 heavily concentrated on new, contagious infections (3.0%). There is a chance that those infected very
81 early on no longer had antibodies. This differed from the New Orleans study performed after extensive
82 lockdowns with 0.9% new infections (1). Some populations had higher rates of infection than others,
83 including Black and Hispanic communities and public-facing workers or those who do not WFH.

84 **Acknowledgments**

85 The authors would like to especially thank the labs at the Ochsner Medical Center Jefferson
86 Highway Campus for testing and keeping track of research samples; Christy Reeves for liaising with
87 public leaders and sites; Eric Sapp and Dan Nichols from Public Democracy for their recruitment effort;
88 Susan Green, Charlene Ho, Lena Hooper, Patty Kline, and Candace Melancon for clinical site
89 management; Johanna Veal, Lyndsey Buckner-Baiamonte, Ansley Hammons, and Ashley LaRoche for
90 research site management; and countless research coordinators, clinical staff, marketing personnel,
91 medical students, and Epic and IT staff for making site testing possible. The Ochsner Health Market
92 Planning and Analysis team designed the maps in supplemental Figure 1. The authors thank Kathleen
93 McFadden for her thorough editing. We would like to thank the Ochsner Language Services Department

94 for helping to increase inclusivity. We would also like to acknowledge the East Baton Rouge Mayor-
95 President Sharon Weston Broome and Pennington Biomedical Research Center for their collaboration and
96 support of this project.

97 **Funding**

98 The study was funded by the Baton Rouge Area Foundation, Louisiana COVID-19 Health Equity Task
99 Force, and The Humana Foundation, with additional support from The Blue Cross and Blue Shield of
100 Louisiana Foundation, Healthy Blue, the Huey and Angelina Wilson Foundation, and the Irene W. and
101 C.B. Pennington Foundation.

102 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation
103 of the manuscript.

104 **References**

- 105 1. Feehan AK, Fort D, Garcia-Diaz J, Price-Haywood E, Velasco C, Sapp E, et al. Seroprevalence
106 of SARS-CoV-2 and infection fatality ratio, Orleans and Jefferson parishes, Louisiana, USA,
107 May 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020 Nov [Aug 2020]. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2611.203029>
- 108 2. Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-
109 COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study [published online ahead of
110 print, 2020 Jul 6]. *Lancet.* 2020;doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31483-5
- 111 3. Tess BH, Granato CFH, Alves MCGP, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in the municipality of
112 Sao Paulo, Brazil, ten weeks after the first reported case. *medRxiv.* 2020:2020.06.29.20142331.
113 doi:10.1101/2020.06.29.20142331

- 114 4. Havers FP, Reed C, Lim T, et al. Seroprevalence of Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites in the
115 United States, March 23-May 12, 2020. JAMA Intern Med. Jul 21
116 2020;doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4130
- 117 5. Louisiana Coronavirus (COVID-19) Information. Louisiana Department of Public Health; 2020.
118 <http://ldh.la.gov/Coronavirus/>
- 119 6. Feehan A, Fort D, Garcia-Diaz J, Price-Haywood E, Velasco C, Sapp E, et al. Frequency of
120 Symptoms and Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection in New Orleans, Louisiana after 7 Weeks
121 of a Stay-at-Home Order (6/18/2020). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3633166>
- 122 7. Interim Guidelines for COVID-19 Antibody Testing. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;
123 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/resources/antibody-tests-guidelines.html>
- 124 8. Bryan A, Pepper G, Wener MH, Fink SL, Morishima C, Chaudhary A, et al. Performance
125 characteristics of the Abbott Architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay and seroprevalence in Boise,
126 Idaho. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;JCM.00941-20. doi:10.1128/jcm.00941-20
- 127 Supplementary Figure 1. Flow diagram of recruitment to enrollment and analysis.
- 128 Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge,
129 West Baton Rouge, and Livingston parishes) showing (top) active infections and (bottom) any
130 infections by ZIP code. Number of participants, positives and percentages are listed by ZIP on the
131 right.
- 132

Table 1. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 past and present infections by race and ethnicity across Baton Rouge, LA after phased reopening.

	Positive, no./total no. (% of sample)	BR residents 18+,* no. (% of population)	Raw any infection,‡ % (95% CI)	Weighted, any infection,§ % (95% CI)	Weighted point prevalence,¶ % (95% CI)	Weighted seroprevalence,# % (95% CI)
Total	128/2138 (100%)	551,185 (100%)	6.0% (5.0,7.1)	6.6% (5.7,7.7)	3.0% (2.3,3.7)	3.6% (2.8,4.4)
White Alone	55/1431 (66.9%)	332,445 (60.3%)	3.8% (2.9,4.9)	4.2% (3.2,5.2)	2.4% (1.6,3.2)	1.8% (1.1,2.5)
Black or African American Alone	57/516 (24.1%)	177,950 (32.3%)	11.0% (8.5,14.1)	11.0% (8.5,14.1)	3.5% (1.9,5.1)	7.5% (5.2,9.8)
Asian Alone	2/59 (3.4%)	13,630 (2.5%)	3.4% (0.4,11.7)	3.5% (0.8,2)	1.7% (0.9,1)	1.7% (0.9,1)
Other^^:	1/28 (1.3%)	7,025 (1.3%)	3.6% (0.1,18.4)	2.7% (0.8,7)	0.0%	2.7% (0.8,7)
Hispanic or Latino (any race)	14/104 (4.9%)	20,125 (3.7%)	13.5% (7.6,21.6)	11.8% (5.6,18.0)	10.1% (4.3,15.9)	1.6% (0.4,0)

*Census 2018 population estimates. By August 2nd, a total of 17,093 state-aggregated, confirmed cases had been reported in Ascension, East Baton Rouge, Livingston, and West Baton Rouge parishes (5). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

‡Percentage of the sample with a PCR-positive test, an IgG-positive test, or both.

§Census-weighted percentage of a PCR-positive test, an IgG-positive test, or both calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by parish and then combined.


¶Census-weighted percentage of PCR-positive and IgG-negative tests calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by parish and then combined.

#Census-weighted percentage of IgG-positive tests calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by Parish and then combined.

**Number of residents multiplied by weighted point prevalence (PCR-positive tests).

^^Other includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, and multi-racial individuals.

Figure 1. Odds ratios of SARS-Cov-2 infections by marital status, work environment, and job type in Baton Rouge, LA, after phased reopening.



Odds ratios from unweighted logistic regression without covariates with Firth correction shown with 95% confidence intervals. Reference categories percent positivity are Married (5.0% any infection, 2.3% seroprevalence), WFH part time (3.7% any infection, 2.0% seroprevalence) and Office workers (3.0% any infection, 1.0% seroprevalence). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; WFH, work from home.

^a Percentage and odds ratios of any positive test (PCR+ and/or IgG+)

^b Percentage and odds ratios of late stage or past infections (IgG+, regardless of PCR status)

^c Odds of any infection ($p=0.0005$) and seroprevalence ($p=0.003$) differ by marital status.


^d Odds of any infection ($p=0.01$) differ by work environment.

^e Odds of any infection ($p=0.01$) and seroprevalence ($p=0.03$) differ by job type.



^f Six people did not give an answer for “Job Type” and none of them tested positive on any test.

Unemployed/retired people ($n=541$) are not included in this category.

Supplemental Figure 1. Flow diagram of recruitment to enrollment and analysis.



Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area



ZIP	N	Active Infection (%)	Any infection (%)
70808	228	6 (2.6%)	12 (5.3%)
70726	198	11 (5.6%)	18 (9.1%)
70810	178	5 (2.8%)	8 (4.5%)
70816	152	5 (3.3%)	11 (7.2%)
70817	152	1 (0.7%)	4 (2.6%)
70806	146	1 (0.7%)	4 (2.7%)
70769	130	1 (0.8%)	5 (3.8%)
70809	99	2 (2.0%)	4 (4.0%)
70737	89	3 (3.4%)	5 (5.6%)
70815	74	2 (2.7%)	6 (8.1%)
70706	62	2 (3.2%)	0 (0%)
70802	56	0 (0%)	5 (8.9%)
70791	52	2 (3.8%)	4 (7.7%)
70739	50	2 (4.0%)	3 (6.0%)
70820	49	1 (2.0%)	1 (2.0%)
70814	46	0 (0%)	1 (2.2%)
70805	44	1 (2.3%)	6 (13.6%)
70818	40	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70785	39	0 (0%)	1 (2.6%)
70714	38	1 (2.6%)	2 (5.3%)
70812	31	4 (12.9%)	9 (29.0%)
70734	24	1 (4.2%)	1 (4.2%)
70767	19	1 (5.3%)	1 (5.3%)
70811	18	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)
70819	18	7 (38.9%)	7 (38.9%)
70754	16	0 (0%)	1 (6.3%)
70807	14	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70719	11	1 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)
70774	10	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70710	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70733	8	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)
70836	7	0 (0%)	1 (14.3%)
70744	5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70346	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70725	4	0 (0%)	2 (50.0%)
70770	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70801	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70778	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70821	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70443	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70449	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70707	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
70727	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)

Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge, West Baton Rouge, and Livingston parishes) showing (top) active infections and (bottom) any infections by ZIP code. Number of participants, positives and percentages are listed by ZIP on the right.