Efficacy of Corticosteroids in COVID-19 Patients: A Systematic Review and

Meta-Analysis

Haytham Tlayjeh¹, MD, Olaa H. Mhish^{2*}, MBBS, Mushira A. Enani^{3*}, MBBS, Alya Alruwaili^{4*}, PharmD, Rana Tleyjeh², Lukman Thalib⁵, PhD, Leslie Hassett⁶, MLS, Yaseen M. Arabi¹, MD, Tarek Kashour⁷, MBChB, Imad M. Tleyjeh^{2,3,8,9}, MD, MSc

- 1. Department of Intensive Care, King Abdulaziz Medical City, King Saud bin Abdulaziz for Health Sciences and King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
- 2. College of Medicine, Al Faisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
- 3. Infectious Diseases Section, Department of Medical Specialties, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
- 4. Clinical Pharmacy Department, Pharmacy Services Administration, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
- 5. Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar
- 6. Mayo Clinic Libraries, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
- 7. Department of Cardiac Sciences, King Fahad Cardiac Center, King Saud University Medical City, Riyadh Saudi Arabia
- 8. Division of Infectious Diseases, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, MN, USA
- 9. Department of Epidemiology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, MN, USA

*contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Imad M. Tleyjeh, MD, MSc, FACP, FIDSA Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology

Section of Infectious Diseases, King Fahd Medical City PO Box 59046, Riyadh 11525, Saudi Arabia Phone: 00966112889999 Tleyjeh.Imad@mayo.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, corticosteroids, efficacy, meta-analysis

Running Title: Role of corticosteroids in COVID-19

Authors' contribution

HT and IT designed the study. LH performed literature search. ME, AA, OM, LH and RT performed literature screening, study selection and data extraction. HT, IT, ME, AA, and OM assessed the risk of bias. LT and IT conducted the statistical analyses. HT, IT, YA, and TK led the writing of the manuscript. IT and YA performed data interpretation. All authors revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding

None

Conflicts of interest

IT reports personal fees from UpToDate, outside the submitted work.

YA reports that he is principal investigator on a clinical trial of lopinavir–ritonavir and interferon for Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and that he was a non-paid consultant on therapeutics for MERS-coronavirus (CoV) for Gilead Sciences and SAB Biotherapeutics. He is a co-investigator on the Randomized, Embedded, Multi-factorial Adaptive Platform Trial for Community-Acquired Pneumonia (REMAP-CAP) and a board member of the International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC).

The other authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval

No ethics approval was obtained because data from previous published studies were compiled and analyzed.

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data and materials generated during the current study are available from

the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Consent for publication

All authors approved the final version submitted for publication

<u>Abstract</u>

Background: To systematically review the literature about the effect of systemic corticosteroid therapy (CST) on outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

Methods: We searched Medline, Embase, EBM Reviews, Scopus, Web of Science, and preprints up to July 20, 2020. We included observational studies and randomized controlled trials (RCT) that assessed COVID-19 patients treated with CST. We pooled adjusted effect estimates of mortality and other outcomes using a random effect model, among studies at low or moderate risk for bias. We assessed the certainty of evidence for each outcome using the GRADE approach.

Results: Out of 1067 citations screened for eligibility, one RCT and 19 cohort studies were included (16,977 hospitalized patients). Ten studies (1 RCT and 9 cohorts) with 10,278 patients examined the effect of CST on short term mortality. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.92 (95% CI 0.69-1.22, I^2 =81.94 %). This effect was observed across all stages of disease severity. Four cohort studies examined the effect of CST on composite outcome of death, ICU admission and mechanical ventilation need. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.41(0.23-0.73, I^2 =78.69%). Six cohort studies examined the effect of CST on delayed viral clearance. The pooled adjusted RR was 1.47(95% CI 1.11-1.93, I^2 =43.38%).

Conclusion: Heterogeneous and low certainty cumulative evidence suggests that CST lacks efficacy in reducing short-term mortality while possibly delaying viral clearance in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Because of the discordant results between the single RCT and observational studies, more research should continue to identify the clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients' population that could benefit from CST.

Introduction:

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to be a major global challenge with the paucity of proven effective therapies. In the majority of SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals, the disease is mild. In a proportion of patients, the immune response becomes dysregulated leading to severe acute lung injury manifesting as adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and can also lead to multiorgan injury and failure [1, 2].

The hallmark of pulmonary pathology in COVID-19 disease is diffuse alveolar damage, often associated with thickening of alveolar walls with infiltration by inflammatory cells dominated by macrophages and mononuclear cells [3]. It has been also observed that COVID-19 patients develop significant pulmonary vascular endothelial cell injury and endothelialitis, which is associated with intravascular thrombosis and microangiopathy [4, 5].

COVID-19 disease is commonly associated with several elevated inflammatory biomarkers, cytokines and chemokines reaching very high levels in the severe form. Among these are, C-reactive protein (CRP), ferritin, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF), interleukins (IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10), Interferon-gamma, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF). Moreover, lymphocytopenia and neutrophilia are very common with a significant reduction in the CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, and natural killer (NK) cell populations [1, 2].

The mortality among hospitalized patients ranges between 15% and 20%; however, it exceeds 40% in patients requiring intensive care [3]. Because of high in-hospital mortality, evidence of intense inflammation associated with COVID-19 disease and paucity of specific effective therapy, clinicians were forced to explore potential therapeutic approaches that target inflammation with the rationale to mitigate acute inflammation, reduce tissue injury and improve outcomes. Among the drugs that received early attention were corticosteroids because of their well-known broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects.

Corticosteroids therapy (CST) has been used extensively in acute respiratory conditions, which share similar pathological features with COVID-19 disease like SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and H1N1 influenza, as well as in community acquired pneumonia (CAP), and ARDS. However, their effectiveness in reducing mortality and improving other outcomes in these conditions remain controversial [6-9]. Recent attempts to interpret these data led to more controversy

regarding the therapeutic potential of CST in severe COVID-19 disease where some authors support and others recommend against their use in this disease [10, 11].

Early experience with CST in severe COVID-19 disease from a small observational study showed promising results in terms of improving survival [12], but subsequent observational studies and limited data from a single randomized clinical trial revealed mixed results. Since the single large randomized trial (RECOVERY) observed that dexamethasone treatment improves survival in the subgroup of patients with severe and critical COVID-19, CST has been promoted worldwide by physicians and the media as the most important level-I evidence effective COVID-19 therapy. Although a randomized controlled trial (RCT) is considered the gold standard to test the efficacy of any intervention, observational studies help to improve the inference derived from a single RCT, by improving generalizability. Although, the RECOVERY trial was a pragmatic trial with wide eligibility criteria, it used an open label design and it enrolled only 15% of hospitalized patients in the UK during the study period and excluded 17% of eligible patients because of unavailability of dexamethasone or treating physician's decision. Data from observational studies help examine the generalizability of findings. In fact, empirical studies have shown that pooled estimates from meta-analysis of observational studies yield similar estimates to those pooled from RCTs [13, 14]. Moreover, there remain several questions that need to be addressed such as the timing of CST initiation, the dosing and the duration of treatment and disease phenotypes that could affect the efficacy of CST. Finally, observational studies may examine outcomes that are not assessed in RCTs. Therefore, we sought to perform a systematic review of randomized and observational studies addressing the role of CST in the treatment of COVID-19 disease and explore potential sources for heterogeneity of treatment effect in COVID-19 patients.

Methods:

We followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines for reporting systematic review [15].

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

We included 1) RCTs or 2) cohort or case-control studies reporting on the adjusted effect estimates of the association between CST use in COVID-19 patients and one of the following a-

priori outcomes: (1) in-hospital mortality, (2) mechanical ventilation, (3) ICU admission, (4) viral shedding and (5) composite outcomes if reported.

Data Sources and Search Strategies

A comprehensive search of several databases from 2019 to July 20, 2020, limited to English language and excluding animal studies, was conducted. The databases included Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily, Ovid Embase, Ovid Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Ovid Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Web of Science, and Scopus.

The search strategy was designed and conducted by an experienced librarian with input from the study's principal investigator. Controlled vocabulary supplemented with keywords was used to search for studies describing CST for the treatment of COVID-19. The actual strategy including search terms used and how they were combined is listed in the supplementary material (Supplement AI: Search Strategy). We also searched for unpublished manuscripts using the medRxiv services operated by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory and Research Square preprints. In addition, we searched Google Scholar and the references of eligible studies and review articles.

Data Extraction

Four reviewers independently identified eligible studies (ME, AA, OM, RT) and extracted the data into a pre-specified data collection form. A senior reviewer verified all data included in the analyses (IT).

Quality Assessment

Four reviewers (ME, AA, OM, HT) independently assessed the risk of bias for each study using the (RoB 2) of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials and the ROBINS-I ("Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies of Interventions") for observational studies [16]. We also assessed all included studies for risk of survivor bias (or immortal time bias). Survivor bias occurs because patients who live longer are more likely to receive treatment than those who die early [17]. We considered the following analytical approaches as acceptable tools to account for

survivor bias [8, 17, 18]: (1) CST use as a time-dependent variable in the regression analysis, (2) landmark analysis, (3) structural nested accelerated failure time model, (4) marginal structure models, and (5) matched cohort analysis in which each treated patient is followed up from the treatment start time with a matched control with the same disease duration prior to this time point. Studies that excluded patients who experienced the outcome within 24 hours of admission were considered at moderate risk for survivor bias. Reviewers judged each criterion for risk of bias and resolved any disagreements with a senior reviewer (IT). Finally, we assessed the certainty of evidence for each of our outcomes using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) approach [19, 20]. This method evaluates the certainty of evidence by assessing the following domains: Limitations, indirectness, inconsistency, imprecision, and publication bias.

Statistical analysis

We evaluated between-studies heterogeneity using the I^2 statistic which estimates the variability percentage in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than to chance—the larger the I^2 , the greater the heterogeneity [21]. Due to substantial heterogeneity, we pooled the adjusted effect estimates of included studies using the DerSimonian-Laird random-effects model and constructed corresponding forest plots [22]. Prior to pooling, the ORs were converted to RRs using the method by Zhang and Yu [23].

We conducted a priori determined subgroup analyses to assess the impact of (1) COVID-19 disease severity (critical group: patients admitted to intensive care unit (ICU). Severe group: patients requiring respiratory support outside ICU. Non-severe group: patients who did not require any respiratory support), (2) study design (RCT vs. cohort studies), (3) CST doses (low dose: methylprednisolone <= 1mg/kg/d or equivalence. High dose: methylprednisolone >1mg/kg/d or equivalence), (4) adjustment for survivor bias, on the overall estimate of effect. We also conducted meta-regression using study level baseline characteristics of patients' populations and constructed corresponding bubble plots [24]. Due to missing data, we were able to examine only a few variables. Finally, we constructed contour-enhanced funnel plots and performed an Egger precision-weighted linear regression test as a statistical test of funnel plot

asymmetry and publication bias [25]. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 16 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

<u>Results</u>

Included studies

A total of 24 studies (1 RCT, 23 cohorts) [26-49] with 16977 patients, including single center and multicenter studies from different countries, were included in our systematic review. Figure 1 shows the result of our search strategy (PRISMA flow diagram). Table 1 illustrates the general characteristics of the included studies. All studies reported on patients hospitalized with COVID-19 with varying degrees of disease severity.

The quality of the observational studies was assessed using ROBINS-I tool (Figure 2B). Four studies were excluded from analysis because of serious risk of bias [27, 29, 36, 38]. Among included studies, immortal time bias was addressed in the analysis in only 3 (Table 2). The pooled RRs and corresponding ARRs and GRADE certainty of evidence are summarized in Table 2.

Mortality

Ten studies (1 RCT, 9 cohorts) [26, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 42, 46, 49] examined the effect of CST on short-term mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.91 (95% CI 0.71-1.16, $I^2 = 82.23$ %) indicating no significant association between CST and mortality (Figure 2A). There was significant heterogeneity between the studies. Contoured enhanced funnel plot showed no evidence of publication bias (Supplement AII: Figure1). On univariate meta-regression analysis, DM and male sex were associated with RR of mortality. The higher the prevalence of DM or male sex in included studies, the lower the reported RR for mortality (Supplement AII: Figure 2).

Three studies examined CST effect in patients with critical COVID-19 [26, 33, 46]. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.80 (95% CI 0.26-2.46, I^2 = 84.45%). Seven studies examined the effect in patients with severe COVID-19 [26, 35, 37, 39, 42, 46, 49]. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.98

(95% CI 0.73-1.3, I^2 =82.19%). Two studies examined the effect in patients with non-severe COVID-19 [26, 31]. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.67 (95% CI 0.19-2.34, I^2 =87.21%). There was no significant association between CST and short-term mortality across all the disease severity groups (Figure 3A). There was also no association between CST and mortality regardless of CST dose (pooled adjusted RR 0.95 (95% CI 0.74-1.22, I^2 =78.59%) for low dose and RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.07-13.31, I^2 =92.98%) for high dose (Supplement AII: Figure 3).

Restricting the analysis to studies that adjusted for survivor bias showed no association between CST and mortality; pooled adjusted RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.75-1.42, $I^2 = 82.49\%$) [26, 46] (Supplement AII: Figure 4).

Composite outcome

Four cohort studies [30, 32, 37, 42] examined the effect of CST on composite outcome of death, ICU admission and mechanical ventilation need in patients with severe COVID-19. The pooled adjusted RR was $0.41(95\% \text{ CI } 0.23-0.73, \text{ I}^2=78.69\%)$ (Supplement AII: Figure 5).

Mechanical ventilation need

Three studies (1 RCT, 2 cohorts) [26, 37, 40] showed no association between treatment with CST and mechanical ventilation need. The pooled adjusted RR was 0.74 (95% CI 0.50-1.09, I^2 =74.15%) (Supplement AII: Figure 6).

Viral clearance

Six cohort studies examined the effect of CST on viral clearance [28, 41, 43, 45, 47, 48]. Viral clearance is defined as two consecutive negative RT-PCR swabs separated by at least 24 hours. Delayed viral clearance was not uniformly defined but most studies defined it as persistent positive RT-PCR swabs for more than 11 to 17 days from first positive test. The six included patients with COVID-19 with variable degree of disease severity. CST was associated with delayed viral clearance; pooled adjusted RR was 1.47 (95% CI 1.11-1.93, I^2 =43.38%) (Figure 3B). The RECOVERY trial did not assess the effect of CST on viral clearance.

<u>Discussion</u>

Main findings

This systematic review and meta-analysis included 19 cohorts and 1 RCT, with low to moderate risk of bias, which addressed the association between CST and mortality, disease progression, and viral clearance in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 disease. Although the single pragmatic RECOVERY randomized trial showed that CST was associated with lower mortality in severe and critical COVID-19, we could not observe the same effect in our large meta-analysis of 16977 patients. We found with very low level of certainty, that CST did not reduce short-term mortality among COVID-19 patients. However, in a smaller number of studies (4 cohorts) that reported on composite outcomes, we found with very low level of certainty (due to heterogeneity) an association between CST and a decreased risk of the composite outcome (death, ICU admission, and mechanical ventilation need). These observations did not change when we restricted our analysis to studies that adjusted for survivor bias. We also found similar results across all stages of disease severity, critical, severe, and non-severe. All meta-analyses were limited by significant between-studies heterogeneity that could not be explained by disease severity, high vs. low dose of corticosteroids. On the other hand, we found that CST could prolong viral shedding, and in a single study that examined the risk of secondary infections, CST was associated with an increased risk of acquired bloodstream infections [34].

Discordance between the RCT and observational studies

Although the cumulative evidence from our meta-analysis is discordant with the single RCT (RECOVERY), it should not be disregarded as inferior evidence in the presence of this RCT. First, the RECOVERY trial had an open label design which could make it susceptible to performance (co-intervention) bias that usually inflicts observational studies. Second, it was conducted in a single country and the baseline mortality for patients with mild and severe disease was higher relative to that reported from other countries which makes the results less generalizable to different patients' populations. Third, although the RECOVERY trial due to its pragmatic design was an exceptional achievement during the pandemic, only 15% of hospitalized patients in UK were enrolled and 17% of eligible patients were excluded from enrollment in this RCT because dexamethasone was either not available, or it was believed to be indicated or contraindicated by the treating physicians. Fourth, despite compelling evidence from

RCTs, observational studies if appropriately designed and analyzed provide important evidence from real-life data. Observational studies and meta-analyses of these studies may offer higher external validity than a single RCT owing to their potentially large size and the ability to include a patient sample that is representative of the average patient population. Fourth, there are additional important differences between RCTs and observational studies, such as standardized patients care with protocols and exclusion of certain patients' groups in RCTs [50].

Comparison to other pneumonia and lung injury syndromes

Our findings of lack of efficacy of CST in reducing mortality among COVID-19 patients is concordant with previous observations in other coronavirus infections associated acute lung injury, namely SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. In a systematic review of 29 studies of CST in SARS-CoV infection, the results of 25 studies were inconclusive while four studies showed possible harm [7]. Similarly, in a recent systematic review that included two large cohorts with 6129 SARS-CoV patients, CST did not show a significant reduction in mortality (HR 0.83, 95% CI 0.41-1.66) [9]. Lack of efficacy of CST in reducing mortality was also shown in MERS-CoV infection. In a multicenter study of 309, the crude mortality was higher in the corticosteroid treated group (74.2% vs. 57.6%, p=0.002) but the adjusted mortality was not different (adjusted OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.52-1.07, p=0.12) [8]. On the other hand, CST was associated with increased mortality among influenza A H1N1 patients. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 10 observational studies involving 6548 patients, CST was associated with increased (risk ratio [RR] 1.75, 0.95% CI 1.30-2.36, p=0.0002) [51]. Conversely, a systematic review of RCTs showed that CST improved survival in patients admitted with severe community-acquired pneumonia [52]. The discrepant response to CST between bacterial and viral pneumonias may be due differences other than the study design such as immuno-inflammatory phenotypic differences between viral and bacterial pneumonia. Moreover, effective antimicrobial therapy in the case of bacterial pneumonia may have influenced the findings.

Different disease phenotypes

Many studies have described different immune phenotypes among COVID-19 patients particularly after day 10 of the infection. Patients may exhibit different immune trajectories despite similar clinical severity at initial presentation. It was observed that one patient population exhibits low expression of proinflammatory cytokines and enrichment in tissue repair genes and in another COVID-19 group, patients showed persistent elevation of proinflammatory cytokines and progressed to develop cytokine release syndrome (CRS) [53].

In addition, autopsy series from USA and Italy identified different pathological phenotypes in patients who died from COVID-19 disease. Some patients had extensive alveolar inflammation with diffuse alveolar damage, while others had extensive endothelial damage with intravascular platelet-fibrin thrombi leading to organ dysfunction without alveolar inflammation and injury [54, 55].

Gattinoni et al also described different non-uniform clinical phenotypes of COVID-19 infections with different disease characteristics that necessitate different treatment modalities [56]. Many critically ill patients who are very hypoxemic have extensive alveolar inflammation and typical ARDS while others have no evidence of alveolar disease on chest imaging.

In the RECOVERY trial, patients on respiratory support benefited most from CST; however, there was possible harm in patients who were not on oxygen. In a large observational cohort study that adjusted for immortal time bias, Wu et al found increased mortality with CST in severe and critical COVID-19 patients. This highlights the heterogenous response of patients with COVID-19 to different immunomodulators, especially corticosteroids. The effect of phenotypic differences on response to immunomodulating therapies has been seen in ARDS and sepsis patients treated with simvastatin and anakinra respectively. In a post hoc analysis of the HARP-2 trial, ARDS patients with hyperinflammatory sub-phenotype but not those with hypo inflammatory sub-phenotype responded favorably to simvastatin therapy [57]. Likewise, in a post hoc analysis of an RCT of sepsis patients treated with anakinra (IL-1b receptor antagonist), only patients with evidence of hepatic dysfunction and disseminated intravascular coagulation had significant reduction in 28-day mortality (HR 0.28, 95% CI 0.11-0.71, p=0.007) [58].

The patients in our meta-analysis were very heterogeneous and that could explain the lack of efficacy of CST in improving outcomes in general but could be helpful in certain phenotypes as shown in the subgroup analysis of the RECOVERY trial.

We could not explore all possible causes of between-studies heterogeneity with the metaregression analysis such inflammatory markers levels (CRP, D-Dimer, IL-6) because of inadequate data reporting in included studies.

Dose of corticosteroids

The dose of corticosteroids used in the RECOVERY trial was dexamethasone 6 mg per day (methylprednisolone equivalence 32 mg, <0.5mg/kg/d for an average 70 kg person). All the cohort studies included in our systematic review used higher doses of steroids. High dose corticosteroids are associated with more serious adverse effects like serious hyperglycemia, gastrointestinal bleeding, neuropsychiatric events, and superinfection [9]. However, looking at subgroups of studies that used <=1 mg/kg/d vs >1 mg/kg/d of methylprednisolone equivalence showed no effect on mortality regardless of the dose used. Consistent with our observation, Lansbury et al conducted a meta-analysis on the effect of adjunctive corticosteroid therapy in influenza and showed no clear association between corticosteroid dose and mortality [59]. On the other hand, in a systematic review of 4 RCTs and 5 cohort studies of ARDS patients, low dose CST was associated with improved mortality [60]. Li et al showed higher mortality with high dose corticosteroids in severe COVID-19, adjusted RR 3.5 (1.79-6.85). This highlights the importance of the dose of steroids used in COVID-19 infection to attenuate the inflammatory response, avoiding serious adverse effects that can negate any potential benefit.

Timing of corticosteroids administration

SARS-CoV2 viral replication starts to decline after the first week of infection with the peak of interferon levels [61]. The COVID-19 immune signature starts to change in the second week with possible dysregulated immune system trajectory as described earlier.

In a priori subgroup analysis of the RECOVERY trial, the patients who benefited most from CST are patients who were commenced on CST more than 7 days from onset of symptoms which may correlate with the start of dysregulated immune system. We could not assess the effect of CST timing in our systematic review because of inadequate data. The timing of CST in the disease stage likely play a vital role in modulating the immune response and outcome.

Prolonged Viral Shedding

Lucas et al found that nasopharyngeal viral load correlates positively with plasma levels of interferon and cytokines. Patients with severe disease did not show any decline in viral load over the course of their disease [53]. We observed a significant association between CST and prolonged viral RNA shedding, pooled RR 1.47 (1.11-1.93) which is consistent with previous

data in other viral infections such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and influenza [7, 8, 62]. Prolonged viral RNA shedding is often used as a surrogate for viral replication but the correlation between prolonged viral RNA shedding and infectivity and other clinical outcomes is unknown.

Strengths and Limitations

Our meta-analysis has several strengths. Firstly, published, and unpublished studies were included, which reduces publication bias. We also employed rigorous methodologies. We excluded studies that were prone to significant confounding because they did not report adjusted odds or hazard ratios. We also examined mortality and other clinical outcomes separately and performed sensitivity analyses to explore sources of between studies heterogeneity. However, our study has several limitations; all our included studies except one were observational studies which are prone to different biases; including confounding by indication, survivor (immortal time) bias and residual confounding. Our group and others have shown that survivor bias, which occurs because patients who live longer are more likely to receive treatment than those who die early, could change associations from benefit to harm [8, 17, 63]. Only one observational study has adjusted for survivor bias [46] and in this single study, CST was associated with a higher mortality in both severe and critical subgroups. Moreover, as with all observational studies, residual confounding could inflict any observed association [64] even with appropriate adjustment or propensity score matching. Nevertheless, the direction of these different biases is supposed to be in favor of corticosteroids efficacy, which was not observed in our analysis.

Conclusions

We found in this systematic review and meta-analysis that heterogeneous and low certainty cumulative evidence suggests that CST lacks efficacy in reducing short-term mortality while possibly delaying viral clearance in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Because of the discordant results between the single RCT and observational studies, more research should continue to identify the clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients' population that could benefit from CST and the optimal dose and duration of treatment.

References

- 1. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, Wang T, Zhang X, Chen H, Yu H and others. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. J Clin Invest 2020;130(5):2620-2629.
- 2. Zhang W, Zhao Y, Zhang F, Wang Q, Li T, Liu Z, Wang J, Qin Y, Zhang X, Yan X and others. The use of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of people with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): The Perspectives of clinical immunologists from China. Clin Immunol 2020;214:108393.
- 3. Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A review. JAMA 2020.
- 4. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, Vanstapel A, Werlein C, Stark H, Tzankov A and others. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383(2):120-128.
- 5. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, Haberecker M, Andermatt R, Zinkernagel AS, Mehra MR, Schuepbach RA, Ruschitzka F, Moch H. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. The Lancet 2020;395(10234):1417-1418.
- 6. Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, Thomas CM, Smith AF. Pharmacological agents for adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019;7:CD004477.
- 7. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. PLoS Med 2006;3(9):e343.
- 8. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, Sindi AA, Almekhlafi GA, Hussein MA, Jose J, Pinto R, Al-Omari A, Kharaba A and others. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;197(6):757-767.
- 9. Ye Z, Wang Y, Colunga-Lozano LE, Prasad M, Tangamornsuksan W, Rochwerg B, Yao L, Motaghi S, Couban RJ, Ghadimi M and others. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids in COVID-19 based on evidence for COVID-19, other coronavirus infections, influenza, community-acquired pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. CMAJ 2020;192(27):E756-E767.
- 10. Shang L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Du R, Cao B. On the use of corticosteroids for 2019-nCoV pneumonia. Lancet 2020;395(10225):683-684.
- 11. Russell CD, Millar JE, Baillie JK. Clinical evidence does not support corticosteroid treatment for 2019-nCoV lung injury. Lancet 2020;395(10223):473-475.
- 12. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, Huang H, Zhang L, Du C, Zhang Y and others. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med 2020.
- 13. Anglemyer A, Horvath HT, Bero L. Healthcare outcomes assessed with observational study designs compared with those assessed in randomized trials. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014(4):MR000034.
- 14. Shrier I, Boivin JF, Steele RJ, Platt RW, Furlan A, Kakuma R, Brophy J, Rossignol M. Should meta-analyses of interventions include observational studies in addition to

randomized controlled trials? A critical examination of underlying principles. Am J Epidemiol 2007;166(10):1203-9.

- 15. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009;339:b2700.
- 16. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, Henry D, Altman DG, Ansari MT, Boutron I and others. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;355:i4919.
- 17. Tleyjeh IM, Ghomrawi HM, Steckelberg JM, Montori VM, Hoskin TL, Enders F, Huskins WC, Mookadam F, Wilson WR, Zimmerman V and others. Conclusion about the association between valve surgery and mortality in an infective endocarditis cohort changed after adjusting for survivor bias. J Clin Epidemiol 2010;63(2):130-5.
- Pazzagli L, Linder M, Zhang M, Vago E, Stang P, Myers D, Andersen M, Bahmanyar S. Methods for time-varying exposure related problems in pharmacoepidemiology: An overview. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2018;27(2):148-160.
- 19. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Glasziou P, deBeer H and others. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction—GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 2011;64(4):383-394.
- 20. Murad MH. Clinical Practice Guidelines: A Primer on Development and Dissemination. Mayo Clin Proc 2017;92(3):423-433.
- 21. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in metaanalyses. BMJ 2003;327(7414):557-60.
- 22. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986;7(3):177-88.
- 23. Zhang J, Yu KF. What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. JAMA 1998;280(19):1690-1.
- 24. Kiran A, Crespillo AP, Rahimi K. Graphics and statistics for cardiology: Data visualisation for meta-analysis. Heart 2017;103(1):19-23.
- 25. Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, Rushton L. Contour-enhanced metaanalysis funnel plots help distinguish publication bias from other causes of asymmetry. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61(10):991-6.
- 26. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson J, Mafham M, Bell J, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustianowski A, Elmahi E and others. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: Preliminary report. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.22.20137273.
- 27. Cao C, Chen M, Li Y, Yu L, Huang W, Qian G, Zhu C, Chu J, He L, Ma J and others. Clinical features and predictors for patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: a retrospective multicenter cohort study. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.01.20119032.
- 28. Chen X, Zhu B, Hong W, Zeng J, He X, Chen J, Zheng H, Qiu S, Deng Y, Chan JC and others. Associations of clinical characteristics and treatment regimens with viral RNA shedding duration in patients with COVID-19. Int J Infect Dis 2020.
- 29. Chroboczek T, Lacoste M, Wackenheim C, Challan-Belval T, Amar B, Boisson T, Hubac J, Leduc D, Masse C, Dechaene V and others. Corticosteroids in patients with COVID-19: what about the control group? Clin Infect Dis 2020.

- 30. Corral L, Bahamonde A, Arnaiz delas Revillas F, Gomez-Barquero J, Abadia-Otero J, Garcia-Ibarbia C, Mora V, cerezo-hernandez A, Hernandez JL, Lopez-Muniz G and others. GLUCOCOVID: A controlled trial of methylprednisolone in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.17.20133579.
- Crotty MP, Akins RL, Nguyen AT, Slika R, Rahmanzadeh K, Wilson MH, Dominguez EA. Investigation of subsequent and co-infections associated with SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in hospitalized patients. medRxiv 2020:2020.05.29.20117176.
- 32. Fadel R, Morrison AR, Vahia A, Smith ZR, Chaudhry Z, Bhargava P, Miller J, Kenney RM, Alangaden G, Ramesh MS and others. Early short course corticosteroids in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Clin Infect Dis 2020.
- 33. Fernández Cruz A, Ruiz-Antorán B, Muñoz Gómez A, Sancho López A, Mills Sánchez P, Centeno Soto GA, Blanco Alonso S, Javaloyes Garachana L, Galán Gómez A, Valencia Alijo Á and others. Impact of glucocorticoid treatment in SARS-CoV-2 infection mortality: A retrospective controlled cohort study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2020.
- 34. Giacobbe DR, Battaglini D, Ball L, Brunetti I, Bruzzone B, Codda G, Crea F, De Maria A, Dentone C, Di Biagio A and others. Bloodstream infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Eur J Clin Invest 2020:e13319.
- 35. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, Shi J, Zhou M, Wu B, Yang Z and others. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020.
- 36. Lu X, Chen T, Wang Y, Wang J, Yan F. Adjuvant corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with COVID-19. Crit Care 2020;24(1):241.
- 37. Majmundar M, Kansara T, Lenik JM, Park H, Ghosh K, Doshi R, Shah P, Kumar A, Amin H, Chaudhari S and others. Efficacy of corticosteroids in non-intensive care unit patients with COVID-19 pneumonia from the New York Metropolitan region. medRxiv 2020:2020.07.02.20145565.
- 38. Mengyuan Liang PC, Miao He et al. Corticosteroid treatment in critically ill patients with COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. 2020.
- 39. Narain S, Stefanov D, Chau AS, Weber AG, Marder GS, Kaplan B, Malhotra P, Bloom O, Liu A, Lesser M and others. Comparative survival analysis of immunomodulatory therapy for COVID-19 'cytokine storm': A retrospective observational cohort study. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.16.20126714.
- 40. Petrak R, Skorodin N, Van Hise N, Fliegelman R, Pinsky J, Didwania V, Anderson M, Diaz M, Shah K, Chundi V and others. Tocilizumab as a therapeutic agent for critically ill patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.05.20122622.
- 41. Qi L, Yang Y, Jiang D, Tu C, Wan L, Chen X, Li Z. Factors associated with duration of viral shedding in adults with COVID-19 outside of Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. Int J Infect Dis 2020.
- 42. Salton F, Confalonieri P, Santus P, Harari S, Scala R, Lanini S, Vertui V, Oggionni T, Caminati A, Patruno V and others. Prolonged low-dose methylprednisolone in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. medRxiv 2020:2020.06.17.20134031.
- 43. Shi D, Wu W, Wang Q, Xu K, Xie J, Wu J, Lv L, Sheng J, Guo J, Wang K and others. Clinical characteristics and factors associated with long-term viral excretion in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: a single center 28-day study. J Infect Dis 2020.

- 44. Wang D, Wang J, Jiang Q, Yang J, Li J, Gao C, Jiang H, Ge L, Liu Y. No clear benefit to the use of corticosteroid as treatment in adult patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 : A retrospective cohort study. medRxiv 2020:2020.04.21.20066258.
- 45. Wang K, Zhang X, Sun J, Ye J, Wang F, Hua J, Zhang H, Shi T, Li Q, Wu X. Differences of SARS-CoV-2 shedding duration in sputum and nasopharyngeal swab specimens among adult inpatients with COVID-19. Chest 2020.
- 46. Wu J, Huang J, Zhu G, Liu Y, Xiao H, Zhou Q, Si X, Yi H, Wang C, Yang D and others. Systemic corticosteroids show no benefit in severe and critical COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. medRxiv 2020:2020.05.11.20097709.
- 47. Xu K, Chen Y, Yuan J, Yi P, Ding C, Wu W, Li Y, Ni Q, Zou R, Li X and others. Factors Associated With Prolonged Viral RNA Shedding in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Clinical Infectious Diseases 2020.
- 48. Li T-Z, Cao Z-H, Chen Y, Cai M-T, Zhang L-Y, Xu H, Zhang J-Y, Ma C-H, Liu Y, Gao L-J and others. Duration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding and factors associated with prolonged viral shedding in patients with COVID-19. Journal of Medical Virology;n/a(n/a).
- 49. Albani F, Fusina F, Granato E, Capotosto C, Ceracchi C, Gargaruti R, Santangelo G, Schiavone L, Taranto MS, Tosati C and others. Effect of corticosteroid treatment on 1376 hospitalized COVID-19 patients. A cohort study. medRxiv 2020:2020.07.17.20155994.
- 50. Booth CM, Tannock IF. Randomised controlled trials and population-based observational research: partners in the evolution of medical evidence. Br J Cancer 2014;110(3):551-5.
- 51. Ni YN, Chen G, Sun J, Liang BM, Liang ZA. The effect of corticosteroids on mortality of patients with influenza pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2019;23(1):99.
- 52. Siemieniuk RA, Meade MO, Alonso-Coello P, Briel M, Evaniew N, Prasad M, Alexander PE, Fei Y, Vandvik PO, Loeb M and others. Corticosteroid Therapy for Patients Hospitalized With Community-Acquired Pneumonia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2015;163(7):519-28.
- 53. Lucas C, Wong P, Klein J, Castro TBR, Silva J, Sundaram M, Ellingson MK, Mao T, Oh JE, Israelow B and others. Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. Nature 2020.
- 54. Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi RS, Pellegrinelli A, Zerbi P, Rech R, Colombo R, Antinori S, Corbellino M and others. Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: a two-centre descriptive study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases.
- 55. Rapkiewicz AV, Mai X, Carsons SE, Pittaluga S, Kleiner DE, Berger JS, Thomas S, Adler NM, Charytan DM, Gasmi B and others. Megakaryocytes and platelet-fibrin thrombi characterize multi-organ thrombosis at autopsy in COVID-19: A case series. EClinicalMedicine 2020;24.
- 56. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, Busana M, Romitti F, Brazzi L, Camporota L. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes? Intensive Care Med 2020;46(6):1099-1102.
- 57. Calfee CS, Delucchi KL, Sinha P, Matthay MA, Hackett J, Shankar-Hari M, McDowell C, Laffey JG, O'Kane CM, McAuley DF. Acute respiratory distress syndrome subphenotypes and differential response to simvastatin: secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 2018;6(9):691-698.

- 58. Shakoory B, Carcillo JA, Chatham WW, Amdur RL, Zhao H, Dinarello CA, Cron RQ, Opal SM. Interleukin-1 receptor blockade is associated with reduced mortality in sepsis patients with features of macrophage activation syndrome: Reanalysis of a prior phase III trial. Crit Care Med 2016;44(2):275-81.
- 59. Lansbury LE, Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza: An updated Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2019.
- 60. Tang BM, Craig JC, Eslick GD, Seppelt I, McLean AS. Use of corticosteroids in acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2009;37(5):1594-603.
- 61. To KK, Tsang OT, Leung WS, Tam AR, Wu TC, Lung DC, Yip CC, Cai JP, Chan JM, Chik TS and others. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20(5):565-574.
- 62. Lee N, Chan PK, Hui DS, Rainer TH, Wong E, Choi KW, Lui GC, Wong BC, Wong RY, Lam WY and others. Viral loads and duration of viral shedding in adult patients hospitalized with influenza. J Infect Dis 2009;200(4):492-500.
- 63. Wolkewitz M, Schumacher M. Survival biases lead to flawed conclusions in observational treatment studies of influenza patients. J Clin Epidemiol 2017;84:121-129.
- 64. Fewell Z, Davey Smith G, Sterne JA. The impact of residual and unmeasured confounding in epidemiologic studies: a simulation study. Am J Epidemiol 2007;166(6):646-55.

Figures Legends:

Figure 1: Flow Diagram of Included Studies

Figure 2A: Association between corticosteroids use and short-term mortality in COVID-19 patients: (All cohorts and 1 RCT)

Figure 2B: ROBINS-I quality assessment of included studies

Figure 3A: Association between corticosteroids use and short-term mortality in COVID-19 patients: By disease severity subgroups

Figure 3B: Association between corticosteroids use and delayed viral clearance in COVID-19 patients

Figure 1: Flow Diagram of Included Studies



Study		exp(MortalityRR) with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
Horby et al, 2020(RCT) (a)	-	0.64 [0.51, 0.81]	11.50
Wu J et al, 2020		- 2.90 [1.17, 7.17]	4.62
Fernandez-Cruz A et al, 2020	_	0.24 [0.07, 0.82]	3.00
Wu J et al, 2020		1.55 [0.83, 2.88]	7.00
Narain S et al, 2020		0.70 [0.56, 0.87]	11.67
Majmundar et al,2020		0.53 [0.22, 1.29]	4.71
Albani et al, 2020	-	1.11 [0.93, 1.33]	11.98
Horby et al, 2020(RCT) (b)		0.82 [0.72, 0.94]	12.36
Li et al, 2020 (a)		1.26 [0.61, 2.61]	5.96
Li et al, 2020 (b)		3.50 [1.79, 6.85]	6.49
Saltaon eta al 2020	_	0.29 [0.12, 0.72]	4.64
Horby et al, 2020(RCT) (c)		1.19 [0.91, 1.55]	11.13
Crotty et al, 2020		0.33 [0.14, 0.78]	4.94
Overall	•	0.91 [0.71, 1.16]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.12$, $I^2 = 82.23\%$, $H^2 = 5.63$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(12) = 67.53, p = 0.00			
Test of θ = 0: z = -0.77, p = 0.44			
	1/8 1/4 1/2 1 2 4	-	
Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model			

Figure 2A: Association between corticosteroids use and short-term mortality in COVID-19 patients: (All cohorts and 1 RCT)

Figure 2B: ROBINS-I quality assessment of included studies



Study		exp(MortalityRR)	Weight
Critical			(70)
Horby et al. 2020(RCT) (a)		0.64[0.51_0.81]	11 50
Wullet al 2020		-2.90[1.17, 7.17]	4 62
Fernandez-Cruz A et al. 2020		0.24[0.07 0.82]	3 00
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.81$, $l^2 = 84.45\%$, $H^2 = 6.43$		0.80 [0.26, 2.46]	
Test of $\theta = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 12.87, p = 0.00			
severe	_	4 55 10 00 0 001	7.00
Wu J et al, 2020			7.00
Narain S et al. 2020		0.70[0.56, 0.87]	11.07
Majmundar et al. 2020		0.53 [0.22, 1.29]	4.71
Albani et al, 2020		1.11 [0.93, 1.33]	11.98
Horby et al, 2020(RCT) (b)		0.82[0.72, 0.94]	12.36
Li et al, 2020 (a)		1.26[0.61, 2.61]	5.96
Li et al, 2020 (b)		- 3.50 [1.79, 6.85]	6.49
Saltaon eta al 2020		0.29 [0.12, 0.72]	4.64
Heterogeneity: 1 ² = 0.11, 1 ^e = 82.19%, H ² = 5.62	•	0.98 [0.73, 1.30]	
Test of θ = θ _j : Q(7) = 39.31, p = 0.00			
unsevere			
Horby et al, 2020(RCT) (c)	-	1.19 [0.91, 1.55]	11.13
Crotty et al, 2020		0.33 [0.14, 0.78]	4.94
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.72$, $I^2 = 87.21\%$, $H^2 = 7.82$		0.67 [0.19, 2.34]	
Test of $\theta = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 7.82, p = 0.01			
Overall	•	0.91 [0.71, 1.16]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.12$, $I^2 = 82.23\%$, $H^2 = 5.63$	-		
Test of $\theta = \theta_j$. Q(12) = 67.53, p = 0.00			
Test of group differences: $Q_b(2) = 0.43$, p = 0.81			
	1/8 1/4 1/2 1 2 4		
Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model			

Figure 3A: Association between corticosteroids use and short-term mortality in COVID-19 patients: By disease severity subgroups

		exp(ES)	Weight
Study		with 95% CI	(%)
Xu et al.2020		1.14 [0.79, 1.65]	23.27
Chen et al,2020		- 1.66 [1.07, 2.58]	19.79
Wang k et al,2020		1.08 [0.52, 2.26]	10.27
Qi et al,2020	_	1.86 [0.86, 4.02]	9.66
Shi et al,2020		1.00 [0.53, 1.89]	12.76
Li TZ et al, 2020		2.14 [1.50, 3.04]	24.25
Overall	-	1.47 [1.11, 1.93]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.05$, $I^2 = 43.38\%$, $H^2 = 1.77$			
Test of $\theta = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 8.83, p = 0.12			
Test of θ = 0: z = 2.74, p = 0.01			
	1 2	4	

Figure 3B: Association between corticosteroids use and delayed viral clearance in COVID-19 patients

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies

Study/	Country	No. of	Study type	Analytical	Cohort	Exposure	Primary	Secondary	Variables adjusted for
Publication		pts		method	selection		outcome	outcomes	
year									
Albani et al, 2020	Italy	1403	Retrospective single center cohort	multivariable logistic regression with overlap weight PS	Hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19	Corticosteroids, dexamethasone equivalent dose	In-hospital Mortality	ICU admission	Age, sex, PaO2/FiO2, lactate, CRP, platelets, ICU admission, antivirals
Cao et al, 2020	China	58	Retrospective multicenter cohort	Multivariable Logistic regression	Hospitalized patients with mild to moderate COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone 1-2 mg/kg per day	Progression to severe COVID-19	NR	Age, gender, fever, comorbidities, CRP, lymphocytes count, Time from onset of illness to antiviral treatment
Chen et al, 2020	China	267	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariable Cox- regression model	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Corticosteroids	Prolonged viral shedding	NR	Age, time from symptom onset to admission, disease severity, ICU, albumin, oxygen therapy diarrhea, antivirals and antibiotic use
Chroboczek et al, 2020	France	70	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariate logistic regression with PSM	Hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19	Corticosteroids	Orotracheal intubation	NR	Age, sex, Charlson index, BMI, time from symptoms onset to hospitalization, CRP, HTN
Corral- Gudino et al, 2020	Spain	85	Partially randomized multi-center open-label, controlled two-arm group trial	Multivariate adjusted risk ratio	Hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone 80 mg for 3 days, then 40mg for 3 days	Composite endpoint: Mortality, ICU admission, NIV requirement	Laboratory biomarkers, individual composite endpoint	Age and baseline respiratory status

Crotty et al, 2020	USA	289	Multicenter observational cohort	Multivariable Cox regression	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	corticosteroids	Respiratory bacterial co- infections	In-hospital mortality, ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, LOS	Age, ICU admission, MV, steroids, treatments, comorbidities, bacterial respiratory co-infections
Fadel et al,2020	USA	213	Multicenter quasi- experiment	Multivariable logistic regression	Hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone 0.5- 1.0 mg/kg for 3-7 days	Composite endpoint: ICU admission, MV, in hospital mortality	Time to extubation, ARDS, shock, AKI, LOS	Age, gender, NEWS score
Fernandez- Cruz et al, 2020	Spain	463	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariable logistic regression with PSM	Hospitalized patients with critical COVID-19 (ARDS and cytokine storm)	Methyl prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day or 0.5-1 gm/day	ln-hospital mortality	NR	Age, comorbidities, ARDS severity, inflammatory markers
Giacobbe et al, 2020	Italy	78	Retrospective single center cohort	multivariable Cox regression	Hospitalized patients with critical COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone 1 mg/kg daily	ICU- acquired BSI	Clinical characteristics and Predictors of BSI	Age, gender, comorbidities, hospital stay before ICU admission, SOFA score
Horby et al, 2020	UK	6425	Randomized, controlled, open-label trial	Cox regression	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Dexamethasone 6mg daily for 10 days	28-day mortality	Composite endpoint of invasive MV/ death; Hospital discharge	Age
Li TZ. et al, 2020	China	66	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariable logistic regression	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Methyl prednisolone	Prolonged viral shedding (>11 days)	NR	Age, disease severity, Fever, treatments, time from disease onset to admission
Li X et al, 2020	China	548	Ambispective single center	Multivariable Cox	Hospitalized patients	Prednisone equivalence 50 mg	In-hospital mortality	NR	Age, sex, LDH, WBC, complications,

			cohort	proportional hazards regression	with moderate to severe COVID-19				antivirals
Liang et al, 2020	China	120/ 66	Retrospective single center cohort	Case-control Propensity score matching	Hospitalized patients with critical COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone	In-hospital Mortality	Duration of viral shedding, LOS	Age, gender, symptoms, inflammatory markers, comorbidities, LOS, MV
Lu et al, 2020	China	244	Retrospective single center cohort	Case-control Propensity score matching	Hospitalized patients with critical COVID-19	corticosteroids	28-day mortality	NR	Age, oxygenation, inflammatory markers
Majmundar et al, 2020	USA	205	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression	Hospitalized moderate COVID-19 patients who developed acute respiratory failure outside ICU	corticosteroids	Composite endpoint: ICU admission, intubation, in-hospital mortality	Individual outcomes	Age, gender, oxygenation, comorbidities, inflammatory markers, treatments
Narain et al, 2020	USA	2229	Retrospective multicenter cohort	Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression	Hospitalized critical COVID-19 with cytokine storm	corticosteroids	In-hospital mortality	NR	age, sex, race/ethnicity, insurance status, comorbidities, smoking, inflammatory markers, respiratory support
Petrak et al, 2020	USA	145	Ambispective multicenter cohort	Multivariable logistic regression with PSM	Hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19	corticosteroids	Mechanical ventilation need	In-hospital mortality	age, sex, race, comorbidities, inflammatory markers
Qi et al, 2020	China	147	Retrospective single center	Multivariate logistic	Hospitalized patients	corticosteroids	Prolonged viral	NR	Symptoms, inflammatory markers,

			cohort	regression	with COVID- 19		shedding (>17 days)		disease severity, treatments
Salton et al, 2020	Italy	173	Multicenter observational longitudinal cohort	Cox proportional hazards model	Hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19	Methyl prednisolone protocol	Composite endpoint: 28-day mortality, ICU admission, orotracheal Intubation	MV-free days. Inflammatory markers changes	Age, sex, SOFA score, oxygenation, CRP level
Shi et al, 2020	China	99	Retrospective single center cohort	time- dependent Cox proportional hazard model	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Corticosteroid 60 mg/d	Prolonged viral shedding	NR	Age, male sex, smoking, comorbidities, disease severity, Duration of illness, inflammatory markers
Wang D et al, 2020	China	115	Retrospective single center cohort	Multivariable logistic regression	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Methyl prednisolone pulse or 1-3 mg/kg/d for 3-7 days	Composite endpoint: In-hospital mortality or ICU admission	NR	Age, male sex, co- morbidities, inflammatory markers
Wang K et al, 2020	China	68	Prospective single center cohort	Cox proportional hazards model	Hospitalized patients with COVID- 19	Corticosteroids	Prolonged viral shedding	NR	Age, gender, comorbidities, duration of symptoms, respiratory support
Wu J et al, 2020	China	1763	Retrospective multicenter cohort	Multivariable Cox regression with time varying Propensity score match	Hospitalized patients with Severe & Critical Covid-19	Corticosteroids	28-d mortality	LOS, disease progression	Age, gender, inflammatory markers, oxygenation, comorbidities, smoking
Xu K et al, 2020	China	113	Retrospective multicenter	Multivariable logistic	Hospitalized patients	Methylprednisolone 0.5–1 mg/ kg/d	Prolonged viral	21-d mortality	Age, gender, comorbidities,

	cohort	regression	with COVID-	clearance	respiratory support,
			19	(>15 days)	symptom duration

Abbreviations: C-reactive protein, ICU: intensive care unit, NEWS: national early warning score, LOS: length of stay, MV: mechanical ventilation, SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, NR: not reported.

*Survivor Bias Adjustment: Wu and Shi studies performed time dependent cox regression. Fadel and Majmundar excluded patients with end points within <= 24hours. All others did not perform any survivor bias adjustment.

 Table 2 GRADE summary of findings: corticosteroids in patients with COVID-19, based on direct evidence from observational studies and one randomized controlled trial of patients with COVID-19

Outcomes	Population	Relative effects (95%Cl)	Baseline risk for control group	Absolute Risks Difference (95% Cl)	Quality of evidence	Summary
Mortality	COVID-19 with different disease severity	RR 0.92 (0.69 to 1.22) based on data from 10278 patients in 9 observational studies and 1 RCT	19.9%	-1.6% (-6.2% to 4.4%)	Very low (serious inconsistency and imprecision)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on short term mortality in patients with COVID-19
Mortality	Critical COVID-19	RR 0.80 (0.26 to 2.46) based on data from 1719 patients in 2 observational studies and 1 RCT	52.2%	-10.4% (-38.6% to 76.2%)	Very low (serious inconsistency)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on short term mortality in patients with critical COVID-19
Mortality	Severe COVID-19	RR 0.98 (0.73 to 1.30) from 9673 patients in 6 observational studies and 1 RCT	15.8%	-0.3% (-4.3% to 4.8%)	Very low (serious inconsistency)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on short term mortality in patients with severe COVID-19
Mortality	Non severe COVID-19	RR 0.67 (0.19 to 2.34) based on data from 1824 patients in 1 observational study and 1 RCT	14%	-4.6% (-11.4% to 18.8%)	Very low (serious inconsistency)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on short term mortality in patients with non-severe COVID-19
Composite outcome of death/ICU/MV	Severe COVID-19	RR 0.41 (0.23 to 0.73) based on data from 676 patients in 4 observational studies	44.1%	-26% (-33.9% to 11.9%)	Very low (serious inconsistency)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on composite outcome of death/ICU admission/MV in patients with severe COVID-19
Mechanical ventilation need	Severe COVID-19	RR 0.74 (0.50 to 1.09) based on data from 5768 patients in 2 observational studies and 1 RCT	9.3%	-2.4% (-4.7% to 0.8%)	Very low (serious inconsistency)	We are very uncertain of the effect of corticosteroids on mechanical ventilation need in patients with severe COVID-19
Delayed Viral clearance	COVID-19 with different disease severity	RR 1.47 (1.11 to 1.93) based on data from 760 patients in 6 observational studies	20.7%	9.7% (2.3% to 19.2%)	Low	Corticosteroids may delay viral clearance in patients with COVID-19
Acquired blood	COVID-19 with	HR 3.95 (1.20 to 13.03)	45.5%	45.4%	Very low	Corticosteroids probably do

stream infections	different disease	based on data from 78	(6.2% to 54.5%)	(serious	increase the risk of acquired
	severity	patients in 1		inconsistency)	blood stream infections in
		observational study			patients with COVID-19