

1
2 **Phenotypical predictors of Restless Legs Syndrome in pregnancy and their association with basal**
3 **ganglia and the limbic circuits**
4

5
6 Natalia Chechko^{1,2,6*}, Jeremy Lefort-Besnard¹, Tamme W. Goecke^{7,8}, Markus Frensch⁹, Patricia
7 Schnakenberg^{1,2}, Susanne Stickel^{1,2}, Danilo Bzdok^{3,4,5}
8

- 9
10 1. Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics, RWTH Aachen University,
11 Pauwelsstrasse 30, 52070 Aachen, Germany
12 2. Jülich Aachen Research Alliance (JARA) — Translational Brain Medicine, Pauwelsstrasse 52070
13 Aachen, Germany
14 3. Parietal Team, INRIA, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, 3 rue Joliot-Curie 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette,
15 France
16 4. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Montreal Neurological Institute, Faculty of Medicine,
17 McGill University, 845 Sherbrooke St W, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
18 5. Mila - Quebec Artificial Intelligence Institute, 6666 St Urbain St, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
19 6. Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Brain & Behaviour (INM-7), Research Centre Jülich,
20 Wilhelm-Johnen-Strasse, 52425 Jülich, Germany
21 7. RoMed Hospital Rosenheim, Department of Obstetrics, Pettenkoferstraße 10, 83022 Rosenheim,
22 Germany
23 8. Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, RWTH Aachen University, Pauwelsstrasse 30, 52070
24 Aachen, Germany
25 9. Klinikum Mutterhaus der Borromäerinnen gGmbH, Abteilung für Gynäkologie u. Geburtshilfe,
26 Feldstraße 16, 54290 Trier, Germany
27

28 * Corresponding author: Natalia Chechko (nchechko@ukaachen.de)
29
30
31
32
33

34 Keywords: Pregnancy, restless legs syndrome, structural MRI, machine learning, pattern learning
35

1 **ABSTRACT**

2
3 The pregnancy-related restless legs syndrome (RLS) is thought to have a multifactorial etiology. However, the
4 reason behind the manifestation of RLS during pregnancy remains largely elusive.

5 A neurological and obstetrical cohort of 308 postpartum women was screened for RLS symptoms twice: 1 to
6 6 days (T0) and 12 weeks postpartum (T1). 57 participants were identified as affected by pregnancy-associated
7 RLS. The clinical and anamnestic indicators of the condition were assessed by a pattern-learning classifier
8 trained to predict the RLS status. Structural MRI was obtained from 25 of the 57 participants with RLS history
9 in pregnancy. In this sample, a multivariate two-window algorithm was employed to systematically chart the
10 relationship between brain structures and phenotypical predictors.

11 The RLS prevalence rate in our sample was 19% (n=57), with the women suffering from RLS being older,
12 more often unmarried, affected by gestational diabetes and having been more exposed to stressful life events.
13 A history of RLS and the severity and frequency of repetitive compulsive movements were found to be the
14 strongest predictors of RLS manifestation. In the RLS group, high cortisol levels, being married and receiving
15 iron supplements were found to be associated with increased volumes in the bilateral striatum.

16 Investigating pregnancy-related RLS in a frame of brain phenotype modes may help shed light on the
17 heterogeneity of the condition.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a common sensorimotor disorder with a 5-10% prevalence in the adult
3 population (Ohayon et al., 2012). Clinically, this condition is characterized by 1) an urge, triggered by
4 unpleasant sensations, to move the legs, 2) momentary relief following movement, 3) worsening of the
5 sensations with rest, and 4) a tendency for the sensations to occur in the evening or at night.

6 With two recognized forms, primary (idiopathic) and secondary, RLS (in both of its forms) is considered to be
7 a continuous spectrum with a genetic contribution at one end and an environmental or comorbid disease
8 contribution at the other (Trenkwalder et al., 2016). While idiopathic (primary) RLS is thought to be strongly
9 influenced by genetic predisposition (Winkelmann et al., 2007), the secondary form of the condition is linked
10 to disorders such as neurodegenerative disorder, peripheral neuronal diseases, metabolic disorders, iron
11 deficiency, anemia and diabetes (Trenkwalder et al., 2016). Another common condition associated with RLS
12 is pregnancy.

13 Largely a transitory condition, pregnancy-related RLS tends to increase from 0% before pregnancy to 23% in
14 the third trimester (Gupta et al., 2016; Srivanitchapoom et al., 2014). Experience of RLS in a previous
15 pregnancy and family history of RLS are strong predictors of the manifestation of pregnancy-related RLS
16 symptoms during the ongoing pregnancy (Cesnik et al., 2010). Along with the genetic predisposition,
17 physiological adaptations during pregnancy contribute crucially to the manifestation of RLS (Srivanitchapoom
18 et al., 2014). Changes such as the dramatic increases in estrogen and progesterone levels (Duarte-Guterman et
19 al., 2019), insulin resistance (Sonagra et al., 2014), hypervolemia (Duarte-Guterman et al., 2019), weight gain
20 (Gao et al., 2009) and increased iron use (Haider et al., 2013) can render pregnant women particularly
21 susceptible to the development of RLS symptoms.

22 The diagnosis of RLS in pregnancy (analogous to other forms of RLS) is based on the criteria developed by
23 the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG), according to which RLS is characterized
24 by a number of neurological and other clinical indicators including the severity and frequency of repetitive
25 compulsive movements as well as sleep and mood disturbances (Horiguchi et al., 2003). Pregnancy-related
26 RLS is not only a neurological condition but also an obstetrical one. In particular, pregnancy-related RLS is
27 linked to gestational diabetes mellitus (Trenkwalder et al., 2016) or pregnancy-induced hypertension (Ma et
28 al., 2015). Thus, the manifestation of RLS in pregnancy may herald the risk of cardiovascular and metabolic
29 diseases. In addition, multiparity (Berger et al., 2004), anemia and age (RLS is seen more frequently in older
30 women) (Chen et al., 2012; Ohayon and Roth, 2002; Tunç et al., 2007) have been suggested to be further
31 phenotypical predictors of pregnancy-related RLS. Finally, RLS frequently co-occurs with psychiatric
32 disorders, particularly with affective disorders (Kallweit et al., 2016). In the context of pregnancy and
33 childbirth, pre-pregnancy RLS has been found to be linked to perinatal depression (Wesström et al., 2014).

34 In sum, RLS in pregnancy is a complex sensorimotor neurological disturbance with major obstetrical and
35 environmental contributions, causing not only physical but also substantial psychological distress (Castillo et

1 al., 2014). To understand this condition, the complex interaction of clinical, environmental and anamnestic
2 aspects needs to be taken into account.

3 In a large neurological and obstetrical sample of 308 early-postpartum women, we sought to investigate a
4 number of possible neurological, obstetrical and anamnestic phenotypical predictors of RLS in pregnancy (e.g.
5 history of RLS, clinical character of symptoms, age, gestational diabetes or hypertension, stressful life events
6 (SLE), socioeconomic characteristics, multiparity, duration of pregnancy and child's weight). Adding a brain
7 structure analysis, we assessed the phenotypical predictors of RLS in pregnancy in a brain phenotype model.
8 Here, an innovative multivariate pattern-learning algorithm (involving canonical correlation analysis or CCA)
9 was employed to conjointly characterize patterns of brain structure and the phenotypical predictors of RLS,
10 facilitating an objective assessment of their complex interplay.

11

12 **METHODS**

13 **Study participants and clinical and neuropsychological assessments**

14 A total of 308 women were recruited in the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics at the University
15 Hospital Aachen within 1 to 6 days of childbirth (T0) (Figure 1) between January 2016 and January 2018. The
16 exclusion criteria were alcoholic or psychotropic substance dependency or use during pregnancy, anti-
17 depressive or anti-psychotic medication during pregnancy, history of psychosis or manic episodes, depressive
18 episode during the current pregnancy, and inadequate proficiency in German or English. To control for the
19 exclusion criteria, a short non standardized clinical interview was conducted according to the DSM V criteria
20 by an experienced psychiatrist (NC).

21 Following receipt of the participants' informed consent, all participants were screened for RLS symptoms in
22 pregnancy based on the criteria of the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) (Allen et
23 al., 2014) and by means of the Restless Legs Syndrome Rating Scale (IRLSS) (Allen et al., 2014). A detailed
24 description of the study population is given in Tables 1A, 1B and 1C. In addition, voxel-based morphometric
25 (VBM) measurements were collected from 25 participants with RLS history in pregnancy, aged 23-42 years,
26 the remainder of the RLS group (32) not being eligible for the MRI. A detailed description of the sample that
27 participated in the MRI experiment is given in Table 2 (please refer also to Figure 1 with the flowchart of
28 inclusion into the study).

29 In addition to the IRLSS, the following questionnaires were used at T0: the Stressful Life Events Screening
30 Questionnaire (SLESQ) (Goodman et al., 1998) was used as a self-report instrument to help assess the
31 participants' encounter with 13 particularly traumatic experiences, and the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression
32 Scale (EPDS) (Cox et al., 1987) was used as a self-report instrument for the screening of postpartum
33 depression. Furthermore, the participants filled out a standardized questionnaire to help obtain anamnestic and

1 pregnancy-related information, e.g. family history of psychiatric conditions, previous psychiatric history,
2 income, marital status, complications during pregnancy or birth mode.

3 The second screening session for postpartum RLS symptoms took place 12 weeks after delivery (T1) when
4 the IRLSS and EPDS were applied for the second time.

5 Hair samples were collected shortly after delivery (T0) and 12 weeks postpartum (T1) to assess the cumulative
6 cortisol exposure over the last trimester of pregnancy and three months postpartum, respectively.

7 The study was conducted in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the local ethics
8 committee of the Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Germany.

9

10 **Hair sample collection and preparation**

11 Hair cortisol and cortisone are widely regarded as biomarkers of chronic stress (Stalder et al., 2017). Hair
12 samples were obtained from the posterior vertex of the head, stored in aluminum foil, and treated as described
13 in Stalder and Kirschbaum [24]. 3 cm of hair was cut at each time point and approximately 50 mg was weighed
14 in a polypropylene sampling tube using a microbalance. Hair samples were washed with 2-propanol, extracted
15 with a fourfold deuterium isotope-labeled internal standard of cortisol and methanol for 24 h and then analyzed
16 with liquid chromatography triple quadrupole mass spectrometry using an ion trap (Agilent Technologies 1200
17 infinity series -QTRAP 5500 ABSciex). The limits of quantification were 0.05 ng/mL or 2 pg/mg hair,
18 respectively.

19

20 **MRI procedure and Voxel-based morphometry**

21 The structural image was acquired with an anatomical 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence (176 slices, TR =
22 2300 ms, TE = 1.99 ms, FoV = 256 × 256 mm², flip angle = 9°, voxel resolution = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³). The brain
23 tissue was segmented into gray matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid, the resultant adjusted volume
24 measurements representing the amount of gray matter corrected for individual brain size. Structural MRI data
25 were preprocessed using the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12) and SPM12 toolbox implemented in
26 Matlab 2015b (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) to derive voxel-wise gray matter volumes for each subject. The
27 default settings of CAT12 were applied for spatial registration, normalization and segmentation of the T1-
28 weighted structural brain images. For a precise spatial normalization into standard (MNI), the Diffeomorphic
29 Anatomic Registration Through Exponentiated Linear algebra algorithm (DARTEL) (Klein et al., 2009) was
30 performed. The images were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid, and modulated
31 with Jacobian determinants. Finally, the modulated gray matter images were smoothed with an 8 mm isotropic

1 FWHM Gaussian kernel. Subsequently, the association between brain structure and clinical indicators of
2 patients experiencing RLS in pregnancy was systematically charted.

3

4 **Assessing the clinical and anamnestic indicators in predicting RLS**

5 Independent samples t-tests (for continuous variables) and chi-square tests (for categorical variables) were
6 used to assess differences between the RLS and control groups. Within-group differences were analyzed by
7 means of paired samples t-tests, with IBM Statistics 25 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) being used for the analysis.

8 The relative importance of the clinical and anamnestic indicators for predicting RLS was analyzed using a L2-
9 penalized logistic regression based on the information of 57 RLS patients and 26 matched healthy controls.
10 The L2 regularization was used to reduce the chances of overfitting, which can render the models' prediction
11 of future observation unreliable (Okser et al., 2014). The L2-penalized logistic regression estimated the
12 separating hyperplane (i.e., a linear function), distinguishing between patients with RLS and healthy
13 participants. The outcome to be predicted was defined by being healthy (0) or being a patient with RLS (1).
14 The model parameters were then fit to optimally predict RLS based on all the standardized clinical and
15 anamnestic data.

16

17 **Brain-phenotype association across the whole-brain gray matter**

18 The relationship between brain structure and clinical and anamnestic indicators of patients experiencing
19 restless legs syndrome (RLS) in pregnancy was systematically charted based on the structural magnetic
20 resonance imaging (T1-MRI) data from RLS patients in pregnancy.

21

22 **Signal extraction**

23 Using the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) ROI atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002), quantitative
24 measures of gray matter volume were extracted within the 116 macroscopic brain structures labeled in this
25 atlas in every patient. Widely used in neuroimaging (Fransson and Marrelec, 2008; Rubinov, 2013; Yang et al.,
26 2013), the AAL atlas is the result of an automated anatomical parcellation of the spatially normalized single-
27 subject high-resolution scan of the brain.

28 For the extraction of relevant signal from the structural brain data, the total of 116 regions served as topographic
29 masks to average the volume information across the voxels belonging to a given region. Each AAL region
30 was represented by the average gray matter volume across all AAL region voxels. This way of engineering the

1 morphological brain features yielded as many volumetric brain variables per patient as the total number of
2 AAL regions (i.e., 116). All region-wise structural volumes were transformed into z-scores by mean centering
3 and unit-variance scaling (Gelman and Hill, 2006).

4

5 **Joint multivariate decomposition across the whole brain**

6 A multivariate pattern analysis technique, namely the canonical correlation analysis (CCA), was employed
7 (Wang et al., 2020). This approach relates multiple blocks of data (with structural imaging features on the one
8 hand, and anamnestic and clinical indicators on the other) through a latent factor model. Projections of the first
9 10 principal components of the standardized volumetric brain measures (i.e., 25 * 10 matrix) as well as the
10 first 10 principal component projections of the standardized anamnestic/clinical data (i.e., 25 * 10 matrix) were
11 fed into a CCA algorithm, the number 25 indicating the number of subjects included in the analysis. This
12 multivariate and multimodality approach enabled us to objectively assess the relationship between patient
13 differences in brain volume and anamnestic/clinical indicators. The CCA determines the canonical vectors u
14 and v that maximize the symmetric relationship between a linear combination of brain volumes (X) and a linear
15 combination of anamnestic and clinical data (Y), thereby identifying the two projections, Xu and Yv , that yield
16 maximal linear co-occurrence between sets of regions with volumetric changes and sets of patients' clinical
17 changes. In concrete terms, the positive (negative) modulation weights revealed increased (decreased)
18 strengths of brain-behavior association relative to the baseline volumetric changes.

19 In sum, using the CCA, which finds linear combinations to optimize correlations between brain region volumes
20 and an array of anamnestic/clinical measures, we estimated pairs of canonical variates along which sets of
21 anamnestic/clinical measures and patterns of brain volume correlated coherently across subjects. Henceforth,
22 we refer to each pair of such variates as a 'mode' of coherent brain-phenotype co-variation.

23 **Testing for statistically significant brain-behavior associations between brain volume and phenotypical** 24 **indicators**

25 Following the CCA of RLS patients, the statistical robustness of the ensuing brain-phenotype relationship was
26 assessed through a non-parametric permutation approach (Efron, 2012; Nichols and Holmes, 2002) using the
27 canonical correlation as the test statistic. Relying on minimal modeling assumptions, a valid null distribution
28 was derived for the achieved correlation between the canonical variates resulting from the CCA analysis. In
29 1,000 permutation iterations, the brain volume matrix was held constant, while the anamnestic and clinical
30 data matrix underwent patient-wise random shuffling. While the constructed surrogate data preserved the
31 statistical structure idiosyncratic to the MRI-derived signals, they were permitted to selectively destroy the
32 signal property related to the CCA statistic to be tested (Wang et al., 2020). The empirical distribution thus
33 generated reflected the null hypothesis of random association between volume and anamnestic and clinical
34 indicators across patients. The Pearson correlation r between the perturbed canonical variates were recorded

1 in each iteration, with the P-values being obtained from the number of correlations r from the null CCA model.
2 This analysis revealed a single significant CCA mode that relates brain volume to subjects' clinical and
3 anamnestic measures ($p < 0.05$).

4

5 **Post-hoc brain-phenotype association of the basal ganglia**

6 With the whole-brain results showing the basal ganglia to be the site of the most important and inter-
7 hemispheric variations across brain volumes, we further investigated patterns of changes in the basal ganglia
8 specifically related to the anamnestic and clinical indicators. Quantitative measures of gray matter volume
9 were extracted within the basal ganglia brain structures, the left and right caudate and putamen, as labeled in
10 AAL in every participant. This way of engineering morphological brain features yielded as many volumetric
11 brain variables per patient as the total number of voxels included in these AAL regions (i.e., 10881). All region-
12 wise structural volumes were transformed into z-scores by mean centering and unit-variance scaling. The first
13 10 principal components of the standardized basal ganglia volumetric brain measures as well as the first 10
14 principal components of the standardized clinical and anamnestic data were fed into a CCA. Following the
15 preceding whole-brain CCA (cf. previous paragraph), only the first mode was automatically computed.

16

17 **Code availability**

18 Python was selected as the scientific computing engine, the open-source ecosystem of which helps enhance
19 replicability, reusability and provenance tracking. Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) provided efficient, unit-
20 tested implementations of state-of-the-art statistical learning algorithms (<http://scikit-learn.org>). All analysis
21 scripts of the present study are readily accessible to the reader online
22 (https://github.com/JLefortBesnard/RLS_2019).

23

24

25

1 RESULTS

2 Course of RLS in pregnancy

3 57 (19%) of the 308 women reported to experience RLS symptoms during pregnancy. While for 22 (39%) of
4 them this was the first pregnancy, for 35 (61%), it was a second or third pregnancy. Of these 35 women, 20
5 (57%) had experienced RLS symptoms during a previous pregnancy. 19 (33%) of the 57 women continued to
6 experience RLS symptoms 12 weeks after delivery. However, the severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks after
7 delivery (T1) (M = 15.68, SD = 7.22) was significantly lower compared to the severity of RLS symptoms at
8 T0 (M = 18.95, SD = 5.54), $t(18) = 3.314$, $p = 0.04$. For 2 (4%) women, no information was available with
9 respect to RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum. 38 women (67%) reported the RLS symptoms to have been
10 most severe during the third trimester of pregnancy (for details, see Table 1A).

11 Differences between RLS and control groups

12 Participants with RLS symptoms during pregnancy were older (Mdn = 35) compared to their counterparts
13 without RLS (Mdn = 31), $U = 8.688$, $p = 0.11$. Also, women in the RLS group had more often gestational
14 diabetes ($n = 10$, 17.5%) compared to those in the control group without RLS ($n = 29$, 11.6%), $\chi^2(1, 308) =$
15 6.040 , $p = .049$. In addition, a significantly larger number of women in the RLS group ($n = 35$, 61.4%)
16 experienced SLE compared to the control group ($n = 103$, 41.4%), $\chi^2(1, 306) = 7.522$, $p = .006$ and were not
17 married, $\chi^2(1, 307) = 3.941$, $p = .047$ (see Table 1B). On the other hand, the groups with and without RLS did
18 not differ with respect to depressivity (EPDS) and HCC at any time point and Hemoglobin in g/dL at T0, and
19 also in terms of severity of baby blues, birth mode, multiparity and psychiatric history.

20 Detecting behavioral descriptors that distinguish patients with RLS

21 In a group of 57 RLS patients and 26 matched healthy controls, we explored the contribution of each
22 anamnestic and clinical indicator to the detection of patients with RLS. Eight out of 28 variables were highly
23 weighted for detecting patients with RLS. In addition to the total and IRLSS, further features indicative of RLS
24 included experience of RLS in a previous pregnancy, and a high score with respect to the questions 1, 2, 3, 6,
25 7 and 8 (Fig. 1, Tab.1C). Here, the items describing the degree of RLS symptom relief by exercise (Q3), the
26 urge to move the legs (Q2) and the average severity of the unpleasant sensations in the legs (Q8) were most
27 indicative of patients with RLS. On the other hand, items describing the degree of sleep disturbance (Q4, Q5)
28 as well as being affected in the daily activities (Q9) or mood disturbances (Q10) were the least indicative. Age,
29 number of children, duration of pregnancy, birthweight or mode of birth also did not contribute to the detection
30 of participants affected by pregnancy-related RLS.

31

32 First CCA analysis: coherent morphological patterns across a whole brain atlas

1 The main objective of the whole-brain study was to identify components of the relationship between brain
2 structures and clinical traits that described RLS patients in pregnancy. A single statistically significant CCA
3 mode emerged in the first analysis ($r = 0.993$, $p < 0.05$), exhibiting a strong covariation of brain volume
4 measures and diverse anamnestic and clinical indicators (Figure 2, Table 2). The other 9 of the 10 estimated
5 modes were not significantly robust. The most important CCA mode comprised measures that varied along a
6 positive-negative axis. As regards the anamnestic and clinical indicators, a high cortisol level, being married,
7 and receiving iron supplements were located at the positive end of the mode. The brain regions at the positive
8 end of the mode included the left superior temporal pole, the left inferior frontal gyrus, the basal ganglia (right
9 and left caudate nucleus and putamen), and the right lobule 6 of the cerebellar hemisphere. Note that only the
10 basal ganglia changes were located in both hemispheres. The most significant anamnestic and clinical changes
11 located at the negative end of the mode included positive history of RLS in previous pregnancy, not being
12 married and not receiving any iron supplement. Further anamnestic and clinical changes located at the negative
13 end of the mode included complaining about the severity of RLS-related sensations (Q1), the degree of the
14 urge to move the legs (Q2), and the number of SLE. Brain regions with high negative loadings included the
15 right inferior parietal lobule, the left posterior cingulate gyrus, the right lobule 9, VIIIb, crus II and the left
16 lobule 10 of the cerebellar hemisphere, the left olfactory cortex, the left superior frontal gyrus, the right
17 postcentral gyrus, the left and right calcarine sulci and the right medial orbitofrontal cortex. In sum, our results
18 identified several inter-hemispheric zones of activity, especially in the basal ganglia, contributing to coherent
19 anamnestic and clinical changes. Based on this observation, we focused on this particular region in a second
20 analysis.

21 **Second CCA analysis: exploratory voxel-level investigation within the basal ganglia**

22 As a logical follow-up, we studied the relationship between changes in the phenotypical predictors and specific
23 changes within the basal ganglia in RLS patients in pregnancy (Figure 3, Table 2). To that end, the first 10
24 principal components of standardized brain volume of the basal ganglia regions and the first 10 principal
25 components of standardized anamnestic and clinical data were fed into the CCA, with only one CCA mode
26 being computed given the previous results. The anamnestic and clinical changes located at the positive end of
27 the mode included having a heavier baby and having the unpleasant sensations in the legs or arms alleviated
28 by exercise (Q3), while the basal ganglia changes at the positive end of the mode were the left and right body
29 and head of the caudate nucleus and the left and right inferior putamen. The amnestic and clinical changes at
30 the negative end of the mode were being young and not being so affected by RLS on a daily basis (Q9), while
31 the corresponding brain volume changes included the superior part of the putamen.

32 In short, major changes were found in the basal ganglia, with an inter-hemispheric increase in the size of the
33 caudate and the inferior part of the putamen as the size of the baby and RLS-related sleep deprivation increased.

34

35

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 In a large neurological-obstetrical sample of 308 postpartum women, participants with pregnancy-related RLS
3 were identified and compared with the rest of the sample. Furthermore, a number of clinical and anamnestic
4 indicators of RLS in pregnancy were assessed by leveraging state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms.
5 Within the group of women with pregnancy-related RLS, the phenotypical predictors of the condition were
6 assessed by means of a brain structure analysis of the brain phenotype model.

7 The RLS prevalence rate in our sample was found to be 19%. While in 30% of the cases the symptoms were
8 still reported 12 weeks postpartum, 70% of the women experienced a complete recovery of RLS symptoms
9 shortly after delivery. The majority of participants experienced the RLS symptoms as most severe and most
10 frequent in the last trimester of pregnancy. In line with previous findings (Innes et al., 2015; Ramirez et al.,
11 2013), those afflicted by RLS in our sample were older and more often affected by gestational diabetes than
12 their unaffected counterparts. We found also that women experiencing RLS in pregnancy reported to
13 experience SLE more often than those without RLS (Chandan et al., 2019). Additionally, there were group
14 differences in terms of marital status, with women in the RLS group being more often not married.

15 Examining the possible predictive factors of RLS in pregnancy, we found a history of RLS to be the strongest
16 predictor of the condition in current pregnancy, which is in line with previous reports (Cesnik et al., 2010). In
17 IRLSS, items describing the degree of urge to move the legs and the degree of RLS symptom relief afterward
18 were better predictors of pregnancy-related RLS symptoms compared to those describing daily activity, mood
19 or sleep.

20 As regards the relationship between whole-brain gray matter morphology and phenotypical predictors, high
21 HCC, being married and receiving iron supplements were found to be associated with increased volumes of
22 the left superior temporal pole, the left inferior frontal gyrus and the basal ganglia (the right and left caudate
23 nucleus and putamen). Previous studies have foreshadowed a possible physiological link between factors such
24 as the circadian rhythm of cortisol secretion or iron deficiencies in the central nervous system (CNS) and
25 manifestation of idiopathic RLS. In particular, an evening and early night hour RLS symptom increase has
26 been suggested to be moderated by low-dose hydrocortisone (Hornyak et al., 2008; Rizzo and Plazzi, 2018).
27 Apart from the circadian rhythm of cortisol secretion, reduction of brain iron is thought to be one of the risk
28 factors in the development of RLS symptoms (Rizzo and Plazzi, 2018), the iron deficiency being attributed to
29 dysregulations in the dopamine system (Earley et al., 2014). Also noteworthy is the relationship seen between
30 marital status and increased basal ganglia structures in RLS patients. Married individuals are suggested to be
31 healthier (Robards et al. 2012; Svetel et al. 2015) and therefore less likely to be exposed to stressors compared
32 to those who either never married or were previously married (Chin et al., 2017). As also suggested by previous
33 research, the positive relationship between brain volume in the striatum and frontal areas and such factors as
34 higher HCC, iron supplementation or being married are likely associated with more favorable prognoses with
35 respect to pregnancy-related RLS. At the same time, a history of RLS, not being married and not receiving any

1 iron supplement were found to be associated with a decreased volume size of the (right inferior) parietal lobule,
2 the posterior cingulate, and the right medial orbitofrontal and frontal (left superior frontal gyrus) cortices. The
3 same negative relationship of brain volume in the above-mentioned regions was seen with the severity of RLS-
4 related sensations, the intensity of the urge to move the legs and the number of SLE. Based on these
5 observations, we suggest that the association between reduced brain volume and factors such as history of
6 RLS, not being married, higher number of SLE or being more strongly affected by RLS symptoms is probably
7 indicative of a less favorable prognosis for pregnancy-related RLS. This assumption is supported by the fact
8 that a history of RLS and the intensity of the urge to move the legs were found to be the strongest predictors
9 of pregnancy-related RLS symptoms in our sample. Previous research has indicated links between SLE such
10 as child maltreatment and the development of a set of somatic and visceral central sensitivity syndromes like
11 chronic pain, irritable bowel syndrome as well as RLS (Chandan et al., 2019).

12 Taken together, our results suggest that pregnancy-related RLS (similarly to idiopathic RLS (Margariti et al.,
13 2012; Rizzo and Plazzi, 2018)) is linked to the striatal and frontoparietal structures. As the whole-brain results
14 of our study show, the most important and interhemispheric variations occur in the basal ganglia, with the
15 medial and lateral striata contributing the most to the clinical and anamnestic differences in the pregnancy-
16 related RLS group. Along with our results, the human lesion studies (Lee et al., 2009; Shiina et al., 2019; Woo
17 et al., 2017) as well as the recent RLS animal model studies (Guo et al., 2017) underscore the crucial role of
18 the basal ganglia in the manifestation of the RLS spectrum. Based on the brain phenotype model, we conclude
19 therefore that different factors such as stress response, history of SLE or life circumstances (e.g. marital status)
20 or iron deficiencies in the CNS contribute to the manifestation of RLS in pregnancy. Interconnected in a
21 complex way, these factors, along with genetic predisposition, likely lead to the dysfunction of the mesolimbic
22 and nigrostriatal dopaminergic pathways, triggering abnormalities in the limbic/nociceptive and sensorimotor
23 networks (Rizzo and Plazzi, 2018) and the development of RLS symptoms. The investigation of RLS in
24 pregnancy in a brain phenotype model has the potential of helping augment our understanding of the
25 heterogeneity of the spectrum.

26 **Acknowledgments**

27 This study was funded by the rotation program of the medical faculty of University Hospital RWTH Aachen
28 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, CH 1718/2-1) and was supported by the International
29 Research Training Group (IRTG 2150) of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

30 DB was supported by the Healthy Brains Healthy Lives initiative (Canada First Research Excellence fund),
31 Google (Research Award), and by the CIFAR Artificial Intelligence Chairs program (Canada Institute for
32 Advanced Research).

33 **Author Contribution**

34 Conceptualization, N.C., D.B. and T.G; Methodology, D.B.; Software, D.B.; Validation, D.B., N.C. and J.L.;
35 Formal Analysis, J.L. and N.C; Investigation, M.F., S.S, P.S, and T.G; Resources, N.C. and T.G; Data Curation,

1 S.S., and P.S.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, N.C.; Writing – Review & Editing, N.C., J.L., T.G., M.F.,
2 P.S., S.S., and DB; Visualization, J.L.; Supervision, D.B.; Project Administration, M.F.; Funding Acquisition,
3 N.C.

5 **Conflict of interest**

6 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

8 **Bibliography**

- 10 Allen, R.P., Picchietti, D.L., Garcia-Borreguero, D., Ondo, W.G., Walters, A.S., Winkelman, J.W., Zucconi,
11 M., Ferri, R., Trenkwalder, C., Lee, H.B., 2014. Restless legs syndrome/Willis-Ekbom disease
12 diagnostic criteria: Updated International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) consensus
13 criteria - history, rationale, description, and significance. *Sleep Med.* 15, 860–873.
14 doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2014.03.025
- 15 Berger, K., Luedemann, J., Trenkwalder, C., John, U., Kessler, C., 2004. Sex and the risk of restless legs
16 syndrome in the general population. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 164, 196. doi:10.1001/archinte.164.2.196
- 17 Castillo, P.R., Mera, R.M., Fredrickson, P.A., Zambrano, M., Del Brutto, V.J., Del Brutto, O.H., 2014.
18 Psychological distress in patients with restless legs syndrome (Willis-Ekbom disease): A population-
19 based door-to-door survey in rural Ecuador. *BMC Res. Notes* 7. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-911
- 20 Cesnik, E., Casetta, I., Turri, M., Govoni, V., Granieri, E., Ferini Strambi, L., Manconi, M., 2010. Transient
21 RLS during pregnancy is a risk factor for the chronic idiopathic form. *Neurology* 75, 2117–2120.
22 doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e318200d779
- 23 Chandan, J.S., Thomas, T., Raza, K., Bandyopadhyay, S., Nirantharakumar, K., Taylor, J., 2019. Association
24 between child maltreatment and central sensitivity syndromes: A systematic review protocol. *BMJ*
25 *Open*. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025436
- 26 Chen, P.-H., Liou, K.-C., Chen, C.-P., Cheng, S.-J., 2012. Risk factors and prevalence rate of restless legs
27 syndrome among pregnant women in Taiwan. *Sleep Med.* 13, 1153–1157.
- 28 Chin, B., Murphy, M.L.M., Janicki-Deverts, D., Cohen, S., 2017. Marital status as a predictor of diurnal
29 salivary cortisol levels and slopes in a community sample of healthy adults. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*
30 78, 68–75. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.01.016
- 31 Cox, J.L., Holden, J.M., Sagovsky, R., 1987. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item
32 Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br. J. psychiatry* 150, 782–786.
- 33 Duarte-Guterman, P., Leuner, B., Galea, L.A.M., 2019. The long and short term effects of motherhood on the
34 brain. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2019.02.004

- 1 Earley, C.J., Connor, J., Garcia-Borreguero, D., Jenner, P., Winkelman, J., Zee, P.C., Allen, R., 2014. Altered
2 Brain iron homeostasis and dopaminergic function in Restless Legs Syndrome (Willis-Ekbom Disease).
3 Sleep Med. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2014.05.009
- 4 Efron, B., 2012. Large-scale inference: Empirical Bayes methods for estimation, testing, and prediction,
5 Large-Scale Inference Empirical Bayes Methods for Estimation, Testing, and Prediction. Cambridge
6 University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511761362
- 7 Fransson, P., Marrelec, G., 2008. The precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex plays a pivotal role in the default
8 mode network: Evidence from a partial correlation network analysis. Neuroimage 42, 1178–1184.
9 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.059
- 10 Gao, X., Schwarzschild, M.A., Wang, H., Ascherio, A., 2009. Obesity and restless legs syndrome in men and
11 women. Neurology 72, 1255–1261. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000345673.35676.1c
- 12 Gelman, A., Hill, J., 2006. Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models, Data Analysis
13 Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models. Cambridge University Press.
14 doi:10.1017/cbo9780511790942
- 15 Goodman, L.A., Corcoran, C., Turner, K., Yuan, N., Green, B.L., 1998. Assessing traumatic event exposure:
16 General issues and preliminary findings for the Stressful Life Events Screening Questionnaire. J.
17 Trauma. Stress Off. Publ. Int. Soc. Trauma. Stress Stud. 11, 521–542.
- 18 Guo, C.-N., Yang, W.-J., Zhan, S.-Q., Yang, X.-F., Chen, M.C., Fuller, P.M., Lu, J., 2017. Targeted disruption
19 of supraspinal motor circuitry reveals a distributed network underlying restless legs syndrome (RLS)-
20 like movements in the rat. Sci. Rep. 7, 9905.
- 21 Gupta, R., Dhyani, M., Kendzerska, T., Pandi-Perumal, S.R., Bahammam, A.S., Srivanitchapoom, P.,
22 Pandey, S., Hallett, M., 2016. Restless legs syndrome and pregnancy: Prevalence, possible
23 pathophysiological mechanisms and treatment. Acta Neurol. Scand. doi:10.1111/ane.12520
- 24 Haider, B.A., Olofin, I., Wang, M., Spiegelman, D., Ezzati, M., Fawzi, W.W., Group, N.I.M.S., 2013.
25 Anaemia, prenatal iron use, and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and meta-
26 analysis.
- 27 Horiguchi, J., Hornyak, M., Voderholzer, U., Kryger, M., Skomrow, R., Lipinski, J.F., Masood, A., Phillips,
28 B., Oertel, W.H., Stiasny, K., O’Keeffe, S., Oldani, A., Zucconi, M., Ondo, W.G., Picchietti, D., Poceta,
29 J.S., Rich, G.B., Scrima, L., Shafor, R., Sharon, D., Silber, M., Smith, R., Trenkwalder, C., Wetter, T.C.,
30 Winkelmann, J., Vanek, Z., Wagner, M., Walters, A.S., 2003. Validation of the International Restless
31 Legs Syndrome Study Group rating scale for restless legs syndrome. Sleep Med. 4, 121–132.
32 doi:10.1016/S1389-9457(02)00258-7
- 33 Hornyak, M., Rupp, A., Riemann, D., Feige, B., Berger, M., Voderholzer, U., 2008. Low-dose hydrocortisone
34 in the evening modulates symptom severity in restless legs syndrome. Neurology 70, 1620–1622.
35 doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000310984.45538.89

- 1 Innes, K.E., Kandati, S., Flack, K.L., Agarwal, P., Selfe, T.K., 2015. The association of restless legs
2 syndrome to history of gestational diabetes in an appalachian primary care population. *J. Clin. Sleep*
3 *Med.* 11, 1121–1130. doi:10.5664/jcsm.5084
- 4 Kallweit, U., Werth, E., Seiz, A., Sefidan, S., Dahmen, N., Manconi, M., Ehlert, U., Bassetti, C.L.A., 2016.
5 Psychiatric comorbidities in restless legs syndrome. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 28, 239–242.
6 doi:10.1176/appi.neuropsych.15030055
- 7 Klein, A., Andersson, J., Ardekani, B.A., Ashburner, J., Avants, B., Chiang, M.-C., Christensen, G.E.,
8 Collins, D.L., Gee, J., Hellier, P., 2009. Evaluation of 14 nonlinear deformation algorithms applied to
9 human brain MRI registration. *Neuroimage* 46, 786–802.
- 10 Lee, S., Kim, J., Song, I., An, J., Kim, Y., Lee, K., 2009. Poststroke restless legs syndrome and lesion
11 location: anatomical considerations. *Mov. Disord.* 24, 77–84.
- 12 Ma, S., Shang, X., Guo, Y., Liu, G., Yang, J., Xue, R., 2015. Restless legs syndrome and hypertension in
13 Chinese pregnant women. *Neurol. Sci.* 36, 877–881. doi:10.1007/s10072-015-2094-4
- 14 Margariti, P.N., Astrakas, L.G., Tsouli, S.G., Hadjigeorgiou, G.M., Konitsiotis, S., Argyropoulou, M.I., 2012.
15 Investigation of unmedicated early onset restless legs syndrome by voxel-based morphometry, T2
16 relaxometry, and functional MR imaging during the night-time hours. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 33, 667–672.
17 doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2829
- 18 Nichols, T.E., Holmes, A.P., 2002. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: A primer
19 with examples. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 15, 1–25. doi:10.1002/hbm.1058
- 20 Ohayon, M.M., O’Hara, R., Vitiello, M. V., 2012. Epidemiology of restless legs syndrome: A synthesis of the
21 literature. *Sleep Med. Rev.* doi:10.1016/j.smr.2011.05.002
- 22 Ohayon, M.M., Roth, T., 2002. Prevalence of restless legs syndrome and periodic limb movement disorder in
23 the general population. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 53, 547–554. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00443-9
- 24 Okser, S., Pahikkala, T., Airola, A., Salakoski, T., Ripatti, S., Aittokallio, T., 2014. Regularized machine
25 learning in the genetic prediction of complex traits. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004754.
26 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004754
- 27 Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P.,
28 Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., 2011. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 12, 2825–
29 2830.
- 30 Quinete, N., Bertram, J., Reska, M., Lang, J., Kraus, T., 2015. Highly selective and automated online SPE
31 LC–MS3 method for determination of cortisol and cortisone in human hair as biomarker for stress
32 related diseases. *Talanta* 134, 310–316. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2014.11.034
- 33 Ramirez, J.O., Cabrera, S.A.S., Hidalgo, H., Cabrera, S.G., Linnebank, M., Bassetti, C.L., Kallweit, U.,
34 2013. Is preeclampsia associated with restless legs syndrome? *Sleep Med.* 14, 894–896.
35 doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2013.03.013

- 1 Rizzo, G., Plazzi, G., 2018. Neuroimaging applications in restless legs syndrome, in: *International Review of*
2 *Neurobiology*. Academic Press Inc., pp. 31–64. doi:10.1016/bs.irm.2018.09.012
- 3 Robards, J., Evandrou, M., Falkingham, J., Vlachantoni, A., 2012. Marital status, health and mortality.
4 *Maturitas*. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2012.08.007
- 5 Rubinov, M., 2013. Schizophrenia and abnormal brain network hubs. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 15, 339.
- 6 Shiina, T., Suzuki, K., Okamura, M., Matsubara, T., Hirata, K., 2019. Restless legs syndrome and its variants
7 in acute ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* 139, 260–268. doi:10.1111/ane.13055
- 8 Sonagra, A.D., Biradar, S.M., Dattatreya, K., DS, J.M., 2014. Normal pregnancy-a state of insulin resistance.
9 *J. Clin. diagnostic Res. JCDR* 8, CC01.
- 10 Srivanitchapoom, P., Pandey, S., Hallett, M., 2014. Restless legs syndrome and pregnancy: A review. *Park.*
11 *Relat. Disord.* doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.03.027
- 12 Stalder, T., Kirschbaum, C., 2012. Analysis of cortisol in hair—state of the art and future directions. *Brain.*
13 *Behav. Immun.* 26, 1019–1029.
- 14 Stalder, T., Steudte-Schmiedgen, S., Alexander, N., Klucken, T., Vater, A., Wichmann, S., Kirschbaum, C.,
15 Miller, R., 2017. Stress-related and basic determinants of hair cortisol in humans: A meta-analysis,
16 *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.12.017
- 17 Svetel, M. V., Jovic, J.S., Pekmezovic, T.D., Kostic, V.S., 2015. Quality of life in patients with primary
18 restless leg syndrome: community-based study. *Neurol. Sci.* 36, 1345–1351. doi:10.1007/s10072-015-
19 2103-7
- 20 Trenkwalder, C., Allen, R., Högl, B., Paulus, W., Winkelmann, J., 2016. Restless legs syndrome associated
21 with major diseases: a systematic review and new concept. *Neurology* 86, 1336–1343.
- 22 Tunç, T., Karadağ, Y.S., Doğulu, F., İnan, L.E., 2007. Predisposing factors of restless legs syndrome in
23 pregnancy. *Mov. Disord.* 22, 627–631.
- 24 Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B.,
25 Joliot, M., 2002. Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical
26 parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage* 15, 273–289.
- 27 Wang, H.T., Smallwood, J., Mourao-Miranda, J., Xia, C.H., Satterthwaite, T.D., Bassett, D.S., Bzdok, D.,
28 2020. Finding the needle in a high-dimensional haystack: Canonical correlation analysis for
29 neuroscientists. *Neuroimage* 216, 116745. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116745
- 30 Wesström, J., Skalkidou, A., Manconi, M., Fulda, S., Sundström-Poromaa, I., 2014. Pre-pregnancy restless
31 legs syndrome (Willis-Ekbom Disease) is associated with perinatal depression. *J. Clin. Sleep Med.* 10,
32 527–533. doi:10.5664/jcsm.3704
- 33 Winkelmann, J., Schormair, B., Lichtner, P., Ripke, S., Xiong, L., Jalilzadeh, S., Fulda, S., Pütz, B., Eckstein,
34 G., Hauk, S., 2007. Genome-wide association study of restless legs syndrome identifies common
35 variants in three genomic regions. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 1000.

- 1 Woo, H.G., Lee, D., Hwang, K.J., Ahn, T.-B., 2017. Post-stroke restless leg syndrome and periodic limb
2 movements in sleep. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* 135, 204–210. doi:10.1111/ane.12582
- 3 Yang, A.C., Huang, C.-C., Yeh, H.-L., Liu, M.-E., Hong, C.-J., Tu, P.-C., Chen, J.-F., Huang, N.E., Peng, C.-
4 K., Lin, C.-P., 2013. Complexity of spontaneous BOLD activity in default mode network is correlated
5 with cognitive function in normal male elderly: a multiscale entropy analysis. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34,
6 428–438.
- 7

FIGURES

Figure 1. Flowchart of inclusion into the study






Figure 2. Informative features for predicting RLS



* IRLSS score T0 = Severity of RLS symptoms in pregnancy; IRLSS score T1 = Severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum

A modern constrained version of logistic regression was deployed to explore the contribution of each anamnestic and clinical indicator to the prediction of RLS experience. The x-axis depicts the variables included in the analysis. The red square indicates the feature contributing to the detection of an RLS patient while the blue square indicates the feature responsible for detecting a healthy participant. For example, a high score in the feature “RLS in previous pregnancy” would tip the balance of the output toward being an RLS patient, while a high level of cortisone (HCC T0) would tip the balance toward being a healthy participant. In sum, the most informative features with respect to the prediction of RLS were RLS in a previous pregnancy, and a high score against the questions 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8.


Figure 3. CCA reveals multivariate patterns of link between phenotypical predictors of RLS and brain structure



* IRLSS score T0 = Severity of RLS symptoms in pregnancy; IRLSS score T1 = Severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum

The figure shows the first canonical model of brain-behavior co-variation that was statistically significant based on permutation testing ($p < 0.05$). In the top row, the brain structure loadings are plotted from the left, right, posterior, anterior and superior views. The blue regions indicate the negative CCA loading associated with volume in this specific region, while the red region volumes indicate a positive CCA loading for this specific region. The bottom row depicts the loadings of the patient's anamnestic and clinical indicators (blue for a negative loading and red for a positive one). A high cortisol level, being married, and receiving iron supplement were robustly linked to an increased size of the left superior temporal pole, the left inferior frontal gyrus, the basal ganglia (right and left caudal nucleus and putamen), and the right lobule 6 of the cerebellar hemisphere. On the other hand, RLS during previous pregnancy (positive history of RLS), not being married and not receiving any iron supplement were found to be linked to a decreased volume size of the right inferior parietal lobule, the left posterior cingulate gyrus, the right lobule 9, 8B, crus 2 and the left lobule 10 of the cerebellar hemisphere, the left olfactory cortex, the left superior frontal gyrus, the right postcentral gyrus, the left and right calcarine sulci and the right medial orbitofrontal cortex. Further anamnestic and clinical changes located at the negative end of the mode included complaining about the severity of RLS-related sensations (Q1), the degree of the urge to move the legs (Q2), and the number of SLE. That the most inter-hemispherically coherent brain-phenotype associations were found in the basal ganglia justified our targeted analysis (Fig. 2)

Figure 3. Specific patterns linking the basal ganglia to the phenotypical predictors of RLS



* IRLSS score T0 = Severity of RLS symptoms in pregnancy; IRLSS score T1 = Severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum

A targeted post-hoc CCA was applied to find coherent associations between the basal ganglia volume changes and changes in the patients' anamnestic and clinical indicators. While the above-mentioned analysis (Fig. 2) considered the whole brain as measured by volume estimates, the present analysis focused on the left and right basal ganglia at a more fine-grained voxel resolution. Green indicates the anatomy of the target regions as part of the basal ganglia. In the top row, the loadings of the basal ganglia voxels are plotted from the left, right, posterior, anterior and superior views. The green area contours expose the outer shape of the basal ganglia voxels, which cover the striatum (putamen and caudate nucleus) and the pallidum. Within the basal ganglia, the blue area indicates negative CCA loadings associated with those specific voxels while the red indicates positive CCA loadings for those specific voxels. The bottom row depicts the loadings of the patient's behavioral and clinical indicators (blue for negative and red for positive). While having a baby with higher birth weight and having the unpleasant sensations in the legs or arms alleviated by exercise (Q3) were strongly linked to an increased size of the body and head of the left and right caudate nuclei and the left and right inferior putamen, being young and not being so affected by RLS (Q9) were found to be associated with a decreased size of the superior part of the left and right putamen. In sum, our analysis revealed strong associations between anamnestic changes and changes in the medial and lateral striatum within the basal ganglia.

Table 1A Demographics of the whole sample

	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	<i>Md</i>	Measured study range	Scale/ Method range
Age	308 (100)	31.78 (4.66)	32	19-46	
Married	226 (73.4)				
Unmarried	81 (26.3)				
Single parent	8 (2.6)				
Number of children					
1	163 (52.9)				
2	107 (34.7)				
3	28 (9.1)				
4	6 (1.9)				
5	2 (0.6)				
Highest education					
No school graduation	3 (1.0)				
Secondary school degree	11 (3.6)				
Junior high school degree	43 (14.0)				
University entrance	146 (47.4)				
Diploma					
College degree	77 (25.0)				
Doctoral degree	20 (6.5)				
Birth mode					
Spontaneous	173 (56.2)				
Ventouse	19 (6.2)				
Planned C-section	78 (25.3)				
Emergency C-section	38 (12.3)				
Twin birth	7 (2.2)				
Pregnancy-related complications					
Pre-eclampsia/ Hypertension	18 (5.8)				
Gestational Diabetes	39 (12.7)				
Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS) T0	57 (18.5)				
Severity of RLS symptoms in pregnancy (IRLSS score T0)		18.95 (5.54)		5 - 36	0 - 40
Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS) T1	19 (6.0)				
Severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum (IRLSS score T1)		15.68 (7.22)		0 - 29	0 - 40
RLS in previous pregnancy	20 (6.5)				
Birth weight of child		3324.45 (559.68)	3350	840 - 5350	
Days of gestation		272.65	275		
Breastfeeding T0	270 (87.7)				
Stressful Life Events (SLESQ)	73 (44.2)	0.89 (0.33)	0	0 - 7	0 - 13

Psychiatric history	52 (16.8)			
Depression Score T0 (EPDS)	4.96 (3.62)	5	0 - 22	0 - 30
Depression Score T1 (EPDS)	3.96 (3.89)	3	0 - 22	0 - 30
HCC T0 (pg/mg)	9.75	5.31	0.71-91.55	2.2 - 72.2 ²
HCC T1 (pg/mg)	12.18 (86.09)		0.33-1468.22	2.2 - 72.2 ²
Hemoglobin T0	11.958	12	7.70-14.60	11.2-15.7

Notes: *n* = absolute frequency, % = relative frequency, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, Md = median,

IRLSS= International Restless Legs Syndrome Scale, SLESQ= Stressful Life Events Screening

Questionnaire; EPDS= Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, HCC = hair cortisol concentration, T0 =

baseline measure in childbed

¹ Reported range of the methodological validation study by Quinete et al. 2015.

Table 1B. Differences between women with and without RLS

	RLS (<i>n</i> = 57)	No RLS (<i>n</i> = 251)	<i>p</i>
	M (SD)	M (SD)	
Age	33.18 (4.66)	31.47 (4.608)	.012
Depression score EPDS T0	4.72 (4.02)	5.01 (3.53)	.583
Depression score EPDS T1	3.77 (3.23)	4.00 (4.03)	.690
Hair Cortisol Concentration (HCC) T0 (in pg/mg)	11.45 (16.14)	9.36 (11.54)	.260
Hair Cortisol Concentration (HCC) T1 (in pg/mg)	5.97 (5.95)	13.65 (95.70)	.549
Hemoglobin in g/dL T0	12.06 (1.13)	11.94 (1.18)	.483
Length of pregnancy in days	272.65 (9.96)	272.66 (13.50)	.997
Child's birth weight (in gram)	3315.69 (501.96)	3326.28 (571.94)	.902
	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	
Family Status			.047
Married	36 (63.2)	190 (76)	
Unmarried	21 (36.8)	60 (24)	
Number of children			.186
1	26 (45.6)	137 (55)	
2	21 (36.8)	86 (34.5)	
3	6 (10.5)	22 (8.8)	
4	3 (5.3)	3 (1.2)	
5	1 (1.8)	1 (0.4)	
Highest education			.511

No school graduation	1 (1.8)	2 (0.8)	
Secondary school degree	3 (5.3)	8 (3.3)	
Junior high school degree	5 (8.8)	38 (15.6)	
University entrance	26 (45.6)	120 (49.4)	
Diploma			
College degree	16 (28.1)	61 (25.1)	
Doctoral degree	6 (10.5)	14 (5.8)	
Birth mode			.808
Spontaneous	31 (54.4)	142 (56.6)	
Ventouse	4 (7)	15 (6)	
Section	13 (22.8)	65 (25.9)	
Emergency section	9 (15.8)	29 (11.6)	
Breastfeeding at T0	49 (86)	221 (88)	.666
Pregnancy-related complications			
Pre-eclampsia / Hypertension	4 (7.0)	13 (5.2)	.583
Gestational Diabetes	11 (19.3)	29 (11.6)	.049
Child relocation after birth	69 (27.6)	17 (29.8)	.603
Iron supplements	32 (58.2)	-	
RLS in previous pregnancy	20 (35.0)	-	
Most severe RLS symptoms			
First trimester	2 (3.51)	-	
Second trimester	7 (12.28)	-	
Third trimester	38 (66.67)	-	
Equally high over all trimesters	8 (14.04)	-	
Psychiatric history	11 (19.3)	41 (16.4)	.599
Baby blues	30 (52.6)	108 (43.7)	.223
Stressful life events (SLESQ)	35 (61.4)	103 (41.4)	.006

Notes. *n* = absolute frequency, M = mean, SD = standard deviation

Table 1C. Demographics of women with RLS and their matched counterparts, in accordance with Figure 1

	Details	RLS (<i>n</i> = 57)			Matched women without RLS (<i>n</i> = 26)		
		Min	Max	M (SD)	Min	Max	M (SD)
Age		23	42	33.18 (4.66)	24	40	32.12 (4.13)
Total number of children		1	5	1.80 (0.97)	1	3	1.54 (0.58)

Highest degree of education	None (0), secondary school (1), junior high school degree (2), university entrance (3), college degree (4), doctoral degree (5)	0	5	3.24 (1.07)	1	5	3.19 (0.849)
Income	In keuros/year; <10k (1), <20k (2), <50k (3), >50k (4)	1	4	3.42 (0.74)	2	4	3.54 (0.71)
Days of gestation		239	288	272.65 (9.96)	238	287	273 (12.39)
Birthweight of child	In gram	2130	4290	3315.69 (501.96)	2090	4395	3417.69 (518.13)
Number of stressful life events		0	7	1.44 (1.76)	0	2	0.31 (0.62)
Total IRLSS score T0	Severity of RLS in pregnancy	5	36	17.95 (7.28)	-	-	-
Total IRLSS score T1	Severity of RLS 12 weeks postpartum	0	29	5.42 (8.60)	-	-	-
HCC T0		1.33	77.84	11.45 (16.14)	1.52	73.95	11.59 (14.98)
EPDS score T0		0	19	4.72 (4.02)	0	9	4.27 (2.65)
		n (%)			n (%)		
RLS in previous pregnancy		20 (35.1)			0		
		Min	Max	M (SD)	Min	Max	M (SD)
Q1	How would you rate the RLS-related unpleasant sensations in your legs or arms?	1	4	2.24 (0.96)	-	-	-
Q2	How would you rate your urge to move because of the unpleasant sensations?	1	4	2.56 (0.96)	-	-	-
Q3	To what extent were the unpleasant sensations in your legs or arms alleviated by exercise?	0	3	1.75 (0.80)	-	-	-
Q4	How badly was your sleep affected by the unpleasant sensations?	0	4	1.87 (1.44)	-	-	-
Q5	How tired or sleepy were you during the day because of the unpleasant sensations?	0	4	1.36 (1.24)	-	-	-
Q6	Overall, how strong were the unpleasant sensations?	1	4	2 (0.82)	-	-	-
Q7	How often did the unpleasant sensations occur?	1	4	2.53 (0.94)	-	-	-
Q8	If you had unpleasant sensations, how severe were they on average?	1	4	2 (0.77)	-	-	-

Q9	To what extent did your unpleasant sensations affect your ability to carry out daily activities?	0	3	0.64 (0.83)	-	-	-
Q10	How badly was your mood affected by the unpleasant sensations (making you, for example, angry, depressed, sad, anxious or irritable)?	0	4	1 (1.25)	-	-	-
		n (%)			n (%)		
Spontaneous birth		31 (54.4)			19 (73.1)		
Ventouse birth		4 (7.0)			1 (3.8)		
Planned C-section		13 (22.8)			5 (19.2)		
Emergency C-section		9 (15.8)			1 (3.8)		
Twin birth		6 (10.5)			1 (3.8)		
Iron supplements		32 (56.1)			16 (61.5)		

Notes: *n* = absolute frequency, % = relative frequency, *M* = mean, *SD* = standard deviation, *Md* = median,

Min = Minimum, *Max* = Maximum, *IRLSS*= International Restless Legs Syndrome Scale, *SLESQ*= Stressful

Life Events Screening Questionnaire; *EPDS*= Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, *HCC* = hair cortisol

concentration, *T0* = baseline measure in childbed

Table 2. Demographics of women with RLS participated on MRI, in accordance with Figures 2 and 3

Variables	Descriptive statistics			Details
	Min	Max	Mean (SD)	
Age	23	42	33.08 (5.84)	
Total number of children	1	5	2.04 (1.23)	
	Min	Max	Mode	
Highest degree of education	0	5	3	None (0), secondary school (1), junior high school degree (2), university entrance (3), college degree (4), doctoral degree (5)
Income	1	4	3	In euros/year; <10k (1), <20k (2), <50k (3), >50k (4)
	Min	Max	Mean (SD)	
Days of gestation	239	288	274 (10.97)	
Birth weight of child	2700	6300	3704 (847.77)	In gram
Number of stressful life events	0	6	1.2 (1.56)	
IRLSS score T0	7	28	16.87 (6.26)	Severity of RLS symptoms in pregnancy
IRLSS score T1	0	29	7.2 (9.82)	Severity of RLS symptoms 12 weeks postpartum
HCC T0	1.32	77.84	9.2 (15.38)	
EPDS score T0	0	11	4.37 (3.46)	
RLS in previous pregnancy	0	2	1.12 (0.79)	
Q1. How would you rate the RLS-related unpleasant sensations in your legs or arms?	1	3	2.08 (0.77)	
Q2. How would you rate your urge to move because of the unpleasant sensations?	1	4	2.37 (0.92)	
Q3. To what extent were the unpleasant sensations in your legs or arms alleviated by exercise?	1	3	1.71 (0.75)	
Q4. How badly was your sleep affected by the unpleasant sensations?	0	4	1.96 (1.43)	
Q5. How tired or sleepy were you during the day because of the unpleasant sensations?	0	4	1.37 (1.13)	
Q6. Overall, how strong were the unpleasant sensations?	1	3	1.96 (0.69)	
Q7. How often did the unpleasant sensations occur?	0	4	2.42 (0.93)	

Q8. If you had unpleasant sensations, how severe were they on average?	0	2	0.46 (0.58)	
Q9. To what extent did your unpleasant sensations affect your ability to carry out daily activities?	0	2	0.46 (0.58)	
Q10. How badly was your mood affected by the unpleasant sensations (making you, for example, angry, depressed, sad, anxious or irritable)?	0	3	0.71 (0.91)	
	N (%)			
No birth-related complications	17 (68.00)			
Birth-related complications	8 (32.00)			
No pregnancy-related complications	11 (44.00)			
Pregnancy-related complications	14 (56.00)			
With partner, not married	12 (48.00)			
With partner, married	13 (52.00)			
No breastfeeding	6 (24.00)			
Breastfeeding	19 (76.00)			
No relocation of child to other ward	18 (72.00)			
Relocation of child to other ward	7 (28.00)			
Birth of a single child	21 (84.00)			
Twin birth	4 (16.00)			
No iron supplements	9 (36.00)			
Iron supplements	16 (64.00)			