Research Article

Manuscript Title: Connecting in COVID 19: Neurology tele-follow-up experience

Deepti Vibha^a, MV Padma Srivastava^a, Kameshwar Prasad^a, Manjari Tripathi^a, Achal Kumar

Srivastava^a, Rohit Bhatia^a, Mamta Bhushan Singh^a, Vishnu VY^a, Roopa Rajan^a, Rajesh

Kumar Singh^a, Anu Gupta^a, Animesh Das^a, Elavarasi A^a, Divya MR^a, Bhargavi Ramanujam

^a, A Shariff^b

^aDepartment of Neurology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

^bDepartment of Telemedicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Short Title: Tele-neurology in Covid-19

Corresponding Author:

Prof MV Padma Srivastava

Department of Neurology

All India Institute of Medical Sciences,

Ansari nagar, New Delhi, India

Tel: +911126594856

E-mail: vasanthapadma123@gmail.com

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Figures: 0

Word count:

Abstract: 244

Body text: 1510

Keywords: Tele-neurology; Covid-19; telemedicine

Abstract

Introduction: The lockdown due to COVID-19 pandemic led to temporary closure of routine hospital services. This prompted the initiation of teleconsult follow-up in our department. The study outlines the experience of tele-follow-up at a tertiary care teaching hospital in India, and the perspective of neurologists about this novel approach.

Methods: The tele-follow-up was started from 26th March 2020. Data of follow up appointments was provided by the medical record section. The faculty and senior residents conducted the tele-follow-up. Communication was made via voice calls. The data for initial ten days was analyzed to find the utility and experience of the new service.

Results: In the initial ten working days, data of 968 patients was provided for tele-follow-up. A successful communication was made in 50.3% patients (contact with patients: 27.7% and family members 22.6%). The phone numbers which were not contactable/invalid/not available constituted 36.8% of the data. A total of 35 faculty and residents conducted the tele-follow-up. The utility of tele-follow-up was perceived as good by 71.4% of neurologists. Majority of neurologists (71.4%) observed that >90% of patients were continuing medications. Patients outside the city constituted 50-75% of the list. The survey revealed that all neurologists felt the need to continue tele-follow-up for far off stable patients post lock down and resumption of regular outpatient services.

Conclusion: The survey established the feasibility and utility of teleconsult for follow up of patients with neurological diseases who were attending the regular outpatient services before the lock down.

Introduction

While telemedicine is being used in India¹, it has mainly focused on educational needs and reaching out to remote areas. The telemedicine services at our institute are largely providing educational services.² Teleconsultation and tele-follow up have been out of the realm of tertiary health care professionals and systems are overloaded with conventional outpatient services with footfalls of several hundred per day.

While the lockdown period was a challenge for patients with neurological diseases, it provided an opportunity for us to reach out to them. Given the nature of our institution as a tertiary care referral center, patients often visit us from faraway places across the length and breadth of India. With over 1200 million telephone subscribers in India and a tele density of 91.8%, teleconsultation was considered as an option to provide continuity of care³. The initial feasibility and administrative issues of doing tele-follow up were settled by discussion with the authorities. The aim was to examine the feasibility and applicability of tele-follow-up of Neurology patients.

Materials and Methods

The tele-follow-up services were started in the department of Neurology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India after a consensus by faculty members and with approval of the administration. The telemedicine guidelines by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare³ was the reference document for the conduct of the teleconsultation services. The Neurology outpatient department (OPD) services has an online appointment system for new as well as follow-up patients. In addition, once the patient is on follow up, there is a hardcopy file for each patient which is maintained by the record section. For the conduct of tele-follow-up, the record section provided the details of the follow up patients along with their files, a day prior to the OPD day. Dedicated smartphones and hospital numbers were

4

provided by the Institute authorities for conducting the tele-follow-up. The routine OPD timings were utilized to call up the patients who were already under our follow-up, as the initial run.

Each faculty/resident would call the patient and introduce himself/herself and confirm the number. After explaining the objectives of the call and obtaining a telephonic consent, the verbal consent was recorded in the patients file. The call would proceed based on a flexible approach about the details provided by the patient. The information about consent, the details about the progress and prescription was noted in the OPD file. In addition, the time spent during each consultation was noted. The occasions where the patient contact numbers being wrong; not answered after repeated attempts or no number listed were noted. Ethical clearance was taken from the Institute Ethics committee (IEC-301/17.04.2020).

Since the objective of initiating tele-follow-up services was to find out its utility, we also asked the faculty/residents conducting the teleconsultation services about their experience through a questionnaire.

Results

The data was collected from 26th March 2020 to 9th April 2020 (10 working days). A total of 968 patients were seen in 10 days (average of 96.8 patients per day). We could contact the patients (27.7%) or their families (22.6%) about half the times. An interesting observation amongst the group where we could contact the family members was that some of them were local relatives or relatives living in bigger cities while the patient was living distantly in a smaller town/village. Numbers where no one answered the call (24.3%) and incomplete information by faculty/resident (12.9%) constituted the most common causes of inability to acquire information. Non-availability of either the patient's phone numbers (2.4%) or OPD files (3.7%) constituted other reasons for our inability to contact patients. However, some

patients were contacted without OPD files as well (table 1). The patients' diagnosis, results of prior investigations and records of previous follow-up were obtained from the patients' files prior to making the call.

The study adopted the simplest method of telephonic conversation in the group of patients who had already been on follow up in the department. These patients had fairly clear diagnosis and the OPD files documented the last visit details. Confirmation of ongoing treatment and minor changes based on the feedback of patients was the most common intervention. Advice to seek in-person visit to a local practitioner in case of doubt was advised when indicated.

The senior residents who were posted in COVID 19 wards, intensive care units (ICUs) and rotatory off did not participate in tele-follow-up. However, some faculty members could come on the scheduled day and time. Thus, 13 of the 15 faculty members, 21 of the 37 senior residents, and one stroke fellow provided tele-follow-up services at different times. The median time for conversation was two minutes (IQR: 1.19;3.07).

After ten days, we sent a questionnaire to all 35 Neurology faculty and trainees about their experience (table 2). Most opined that the tele-follow-up was useful (good:71.4%, fair:14.3%), and they were able to fulfill the purpose of conversation (good:57.1%, fair: 37.1%). Although there was some difficulty in getting the refill medication due to lockdown (sometimes: 34.3%, frequently:31.4%), most of the patients were continuing medications (>90% of the time: 71.4%, 71-90% of the time: 17.1%). Medical expenses in India is usually borne out of pocket, and some poor patients who depended on free hospital dispensary did express their constrains, although the exact numbers were not collected in this study. When the perceived level of satisfaction of the patients was asked, majority of the faculty and residents (85.7%) felt that their patients would be fairly satisfied. While the primary purpose

6

of the tele-follow-up was to provide medical advice, a majority were involved in reassuring and allaying anxiety of the patients as well (77.1%). 62.9% of the respondents had 50-75% of their patients outside Delhi. Apart from the primary medical problem, replying to the concerns about pandemic, mental health, the prospects of resumption of OPD services were answered by 60.0% of Neurologists. Additional smartphone applications like images/videos of patients were not used by majority (74.3%). All the respondents felt that the tele-follow-up is feasible and useful for patients who are stable and stay far and should be continued beyond the lockdown period as well.

Discussion/Conclusion

Our study found that the tele-follow-up services were feasible and the level of satisfaction amongst Neurologists and trainees was good. The apprehension of tele-follow-up due to absence of conventional in-person meeting and examination was partly incorrect. While the lockdown left us with limited options to reach out to our patients, the availability of follow up online appointment list provided an opportunity to initiate and test this service. We could reach out to more than half of the scheduled appointments. Majority of the colleagues conducting the tele-follow-ups were satisfied with the utility and wanted to continue even after the lockdown for a select group of stable chronic neurological conditions where the patients were coming from distant locations.

The study showed how a crisis period proved to be a catalyst to initiate a neglected but useful service.⁴ It also provided information about the areas where we need to improve, including better documentation of phone numbers, streamlining of appointment system, addition of alternative methods of communication like emails, and even a prior notification of these calls to the patients, which was not done in this emergency situation.

Practice of telemedicine in specific areas of neurology like stroke⁵⁻⁷ and movement disorders⁸⁻¹⁰ have found reimbursement, legal considerations, and technological issues as the major barriers. The cost effectiveness of patients travels, stay and time spent on an in-person follow-up consultation needs to be weighed against both the physician and patient's perspective. The most common response of our patients contacted via tele-follow-up was delight and intense gratitude. The communication transcended beyond the usual approach of medical history and examination as it also does in the clinic visits. Patients and their families in India look up to a physician more than a medical provider- a counselor, advisor, and even decision maker. The similar sentiment resonated in the tele-follow-up. Conversations ranged from concerns about their illness, enquiry about the pandemic, cautions to be taken and their concern and prayers for us.

The limitations were that the patient's perceptions were not systematically recorded, as it could have contributed to the policy making for such facility in future. Another limitation could be a potential miscommunication but would only be known in subsequent visits. Making this a routine practice would require a mechanism of documentation of the conversation and prescription. It would additionally help in decongesting busy OPDs. Involvement of social workers and tele-rehabilitation will go a long way in improving services. A scheduled video interaction and email communication of prescription has barriers in a resource poor setting like India. Lack of literacy and smartphone use¹¹ might be the possible challenges while putting it in routine use. We also did not use the video communication, and a prior intimation would have facilitated this in a sub-group of patients. This would have required more preparation and personnel.

Conclusion:

Tele-follow-up was feasible and satisfactory. While about half the patients could not be contacted, all faculty and trainees felt that tele-follow-up had the potential to be used for clinically stable patients living in distant locations.

Statements

Acknowledgement

None

Statement of Ethics

Ethical clearance was taken from the Institute Ethics committee (IEC-301/17.04.2020).

Conflict of Interest Statement

"The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare."

Funding Sources

None

Author Contributions

This is to certify that ALL authors gave substantial contributions to the conception or design

of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND

Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND

Final approval of the version to be published; AND

Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to

the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

References [Numerical]

Chellaiyan VG, Nirupama AY, Taneja N. Telemedicine in India: Where do we stand?
J Fam Med Prim Care. 2019 Jun;8(6):1872–6.

2 Telemedicine Facility [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 8]. Available from: https://www.aiims.edu/aiims/telemedicine/Biointro_ch_23_10_17.htm

3 Telemedicine.pdf. [cited 2020 Apr 8]. Available from:

https://www.mohfw.gov.in/pdf/Telemedicine.pdf

4 Klein BC, Busis NA. COVID-19 is catalyzing the adoption of teleneurology. Neurology. 2020 Apr;10.1212/WNL.00000000009494.

5 George BP, Scoglio NJ, Reminick JI, Rajan B, Beck CA, Seidmann A, et al. Telemedicine in Leading US Neurology Departments. The Neurohospitalist. 2012 Oct;2(4):123–8.

6 Walsh Jessica, Markus Hugh S. Telemedicine for Follow-Up of Rare Neurological Disease. Stroke. 2019 Mar;50(3):750–3.

7 Patel UK, Malik P, DeMasi M, Lunagariya A, Jani VB. Multidisciplinary Approach and Outcomes of Tele-neurology: A Review. Cureus. 11(4). DOI: 10.7759/cureus.4410

8 Telemedicine Follow-up for Patients With Cervical Dystonia Treated With Neurotoxin Injections - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 12]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03969537

9 Schneider RB, Biglan KM. The promise of telemedicine for chronic neurological disorders: the example of Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol. 2017 Jul;16(7):541–51.

10 Hanson RE, Truesdell M, Stebbins GT, Weathers AL, Goetz CG. Telemedicine vs Office Visits in a Movement Disorders Clinic: Comparative Satisfaction of Physicians and Patients. Mov Disord Clin Pract. 2018 Dec;6(1):65–9.

11 Uttarwar P, Vibha D, Prasad K, Srivastava AK, Pandit AK, Dwivedi SN. Smartphone use and primary headache: A cross-sectional hospital-based study. Neurol Clin Pract. 2020

Mar DOI: 10.1212/CPJ.000000000000816

Table 1: Proportion of patients who could be contacted by tele-consult

	Number (n=968) (n(%))
Contacted	487 (50.3)
Communication with the patient	268 (27.7)
Communication with a family member or relative	219 (22.6)
Not contacted	481 (49.7)
No one picked up on the number provided	235 (24.3)
Incomplete data provided by faculty/trainees	125 (12.9)
Invalid number, could not be contacted	60 (6.2)
No hardcopy file available	34 (3.5)
No number available in the database	27 (2.8)

Table 2: Questionnaire for Neurology faculty and trainees who conducted the tele-

follow-up

1. Was tele-follow-up useful (%)?

Not at all	Fair	Good	Excelle	nt	Unsure	Missing		
2.9	14.3	71.4	5.7	1	0	5.7		
2. Were	2. Were you able to fulfill the purpose of the conversation?							
Not at all	Fair	(Good	Unsure	e	Missing		
0	37.1	5	57.1	0		5.7		
3. Did p	atients compla	in about diffi	culty in gettin	g medica	tions during	lockdown?		
No	Rarely	Sometime	es Freque	ently	Always	Missing		
8.6	17.1	34.3	31.4		2.9	5.7		
4. Were	your patients	continuing m	edications?					
<30%	31-50%	50-70%	71-90%	>90%	Don't	Missing		
					know			
0	0	5.7	17.1	71.4	0	5.7		
5. What do you think would be the satisfaction level of the patients with this								
teleconsultation?								
Unsatisfied	Little sa	ntisfied Fa	airly	Complet	tely	Missing		
		sa	ıtisfied	satisfied				

0	0	85.7	8.6	5.7			
6. What	6. What was your purpose of tele consult?						
To reassure	To give medical	advice To allay	anxiety All	Missing			
5.7	11.4	0	77.1	5.7			
7. How n	nuch of the above of	bjective achieved	at least in one asp	ect?			
Not at all	50%	75%	100%	Missing			
0	11.4	68.6	14.3	5.7			
8. How n	nany of your tele co	onsults connected	with patients outside	de Delhi?			
<25%	25-50%	50-75%	>75%	Missing			
0	22.9	54.3	14.3	8.6			
9. At the end of the call what was your level of satisfaction?							
<25%	25-50%	50-75%	>75%	Missing			
2.9	11.4	62.9	14.3	5.7			
10. Did you only discuss the primary medical problem or were you able to address any							
other related concerns?							
Not at all	To some	extent >5	0% of times	Missing			
5.7	60.0	28	.6	5.7			

11. How many times did you use WhatsApp images/video of the patient/prescription?

None	25-50%	50-75%	>75%	Missing		
74.3	8.6	11.4	0	5.7		
12. Do you think stable patients who stay far away can be continued on teleconsultation						
services?						
Yes	No		Missing			
94.3	0		5.7			