HAS COUNTRYWIDE LOCKDOWN WORKED AS A FEASIBLE MEASURE IN BENDING THE COVID-19 CURVE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES?

Khondoker Nazmoon Nabi^{a,*}, Md. Robiul Islam^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology (BUET), Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh. ^bDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering, Green University of Bangladesh, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

Abstract

In the absence of any effective vaccine and clinically proven treatment, experts thought that strict lockdown measures could be an effective way to slow down the spread of novel coronavirus. Despite the strict lockdown measures in several developing countries, the number of newly infected cases is getting unbridled as time progresses. This anomaly ignites questions about the effectiveness of the prolonged strict confinement measures. In light of the above view, with an aim to find the answer to this question, trends of four epidemiological parameters: growth factor of daily reported COVID-19 cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in five developing countries named Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, Pakistan and South Africa have been analysed meticulously considering the different phases of their national lockdowns. Any compelling evidence has not been found in favor of countrywide lockdown effectiveness in the above-mentioned countries. Numerical results illustrate that stringent nationwide lockdown measures have failed bringing the epidemic threshold (R_e) of COVID-19 under unity. In addition, citizens of the aforementioned countries have been struggling with catastrophic socio-economic consequences due to prolonged confinement measures. Our study suggests that a new policy should be proposed for developing countries to battle against future disease outbreaks ensuring a perfect balance between saving lives and confirming livelihoods.

Keywords: COVID-19; growth factor; effective reproduction number; disease generation interval; disease incidence; daily cumulative index; lockdown effectiveness

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: nabinil@yahoo.com (Khondoker Nazmoon Nabi)

1 1. Introduction

On the eve of January, 2020, Chinese scientists identified a new respiratory virus 2 named the novel coronavirus which has a virulent nature. With an intention to rein 3 in the spread of the virus, an unprecedented aggressive lockdown has been imposed 4 in Wuhan and in other cities in Hubei without any delay. Though this massive scale 5 confinement strategy was discouraged by many experts in the primitive stage, as time 6 progresses this policy has been applauded as an effective model of lockdown Lau et al., 7 (2020). Meanwhile, the World Health Organisation (WHO) announced a global health 8 emergency considering the severe transmissibility of COVID-19 on January 30, 2020 9 Nabi (2020). 10

In the wake of the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, numerous individuals who are 11 living abroad mainly for work or travel purpose started returning back to their native 12 countries promptly. This uncontrollable influx of arriving passengers from COVID-19 13 affected countries played a significant role in spreading COVID-19 transmission into 14 the respective local population comprehensively Guilherme et al., (2020). The scenario 15 started to exacerbate gradually because of inadequate screening of on-arrival suspected 16 cases. Due to under-resourced and fragile health care systems in several cases, most 17 of the developing countries failed to launch emergency plan initially to battle against 18 this pandemic. Owing to underreporting on the early stage of the disease outbreak and 19 scarcity of medical equipment and diagnostic kits to identify the potential spreaders, 20 these countries failed to halt the initial outbreak of the virus Nabi (2020). 21

In the absence of any effective vaccine and clinically established treatment, any 22 affected country could think of only two possible strategies to bring a control over the 23 pandemic at an early stage of the outbreak Gollier (2020). Firstly, the entire population 24 could be exposed to the virus with an aim to develop herd immunity in the community 25 in a progressive way. However, in this way a targeted population (often elderly people) 26 could die which would be highly unethical. Another strategy could be to enforce non-27 pharmaceutical measures like strict lockdown measures, social distancing, mandatory 28 self or home quarantine, wearing masks and so on. Most of the countries even devel-29 oping countries are no exceptions, opted for the second option without planning much 30 about future economic shockwaves of the pandemic. With an eye towards stanching the 31 spread of the virus, stay-at-home orders, prohibiting large gatherings of individuals and 32 closures of all types of educational institutions and non-essential business have been 33 imposed comprehensively. As a time-tested strategy for battling against COVID-19, 34 restrictions on traveling have been imposed by the respective governments in devel-35 oping countries. Maximum countries issued centralized quarantine orders for fourteen 36 days to the inhabitants who have been tested positive or have had contact history with 37 infected individuals. Stringent mask-wearing and strict physical distancing have been 38 promoted as mass awareness program to curtail the risk of COVID-19 transmission. 39 With an aim to ensure smooth supply chains for essential services, most of the gov-40 ernments allowed transportation of essential goods. Importantly, government officials 41 continued allowing restrictive connectivity between country's capital city and other 42 cities amid the coronavirus outbreak as capital city always plays a remarkable role in a 43 developing country's economy Kamruzzaman (2020, May 28); Rivers & Gallón (2020, 44 June 3); Sajid & Latif (2020, March 24). As a consequence, community transmission 45 began to show up in a large scale in the developing countries. 46

To date, most of the developing countries are struggling hard to cope with the 47 unforeseen socio-economic aftermaths of harsh confinement policies compared to the 48 developed countries. Developing countries could face a net income loss of US 220 49 billion according to a report of the UN Development Programme (UNDP) United 50 Nations (2020). Daily wage workers who are considered as the most vulnerable section 51 of developing countries, have been struggling to meet up their daily income since the 52 beginning of the outbreak. In addition, such massive income losses could severely affect 53 their lifestyle as they do not possess any basic food security at all. For instance, some 54 recent studies have enlightened the fact that the agro-based industries and different 55 service sectors in Bangladesh are incurring a loss of about US 0.338 billion on daily 56 basis. Importantly, a loss of about US 2.51 billion had been incurred by the ready-made 57 garments sector, which is the key driving force of the country's entire export earnings 58 Ahmed (2020, June 10). Moreover, small businesses and startups being the worst hit 59 are expected to incur a loss of around US 582 billion amid this pandemic Mahmood 60 (2020, May 30). According to another research findings, the economic fallouts from 61 the global pandemic could increase global poverty by affecting as much as half a billion 62 people, or 8% of the global population. As a consequence, it would be really difficult 63 to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 2030 on no poverty and zero 64 hunger Mahmood (2020, May 30). At present, with an aim to revive country's nearly 65 crippled economy, governments of several developing countries have been compelled 66 to lift lockdown restrictions amid continuous spikes in both new COVID-19 cases and 67 deaths. This seemingly ignites a fact that something went wrong in these developing 68 countries which requires broad-ranging research. 69

In light of the above view, the main objective of this study is to quantify the impact and effectiveness of widespread lockdowns in five developing countries named Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, Pakistan and South Africa ICQI (2020) using four insightful epidemiological parameters: growth factor of daily infected cases, daily disease incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number.

75 2. Materials and methods

In this study, trends of four significant epidemiological metrics: growth factor (GF), 76 daily incidence proportion (DIP), daily cumulative index (DCI) and effective reproduc-77 tion number have been analysed to take a deeper look into the transmission dynamics 78 of COVID-19 in five developing countries in the world: Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, Pak-79 istan, and South Africa. Daily updates of confirmed COVID-19 cases for the above-80 mentioned countries have been collected from a trusted online repository Our World in 81 Data COVID-19 Dataset (2020). The main reason for selecting the above-mentioned 82 developing countries is governments of these countries have been trying earnestly to 83 slow down the spread of the novel coronavirus by imposing strict lockdown measures 84 from the early stage of the outbreak. However, these countries have been witnessing 85 new records of infections and deaths with the passage of time in spite of imposing strin-86 gent confinement measures by sacrificing all kinds of economic activities. Henceforth, 87 the effectiveness of countrywide lockdown in developing countries for a prolonged pe-88 riod has become a burning question for research communities as well as policymakers 89 Meunier (2020). 90

Growth factor (GF) of the daily infected cases of COVID-19 has been used in this study as an insightful metric to understand the progression of a outbreak in any country Tang & Wang, (2020). A 5-day moving average of the daily infected cases of COVID-19 has been applied to calculate the growth factor of daily infected cases. This can be estimated by taking the average of the values of the observing day data, the previous two days data and the future two days data. It has been found that the number daily reported COVID-19 cases is somewhat noisy and volatile due to inconsistent daily testing numbers. To generate a better realistic scenario in any developing country, the 5-day moving average of the daily confirmed cases has been used Ganyani et al., (2020). The daily growth factor of COVID-19 new infections has been calculated using the following expression with an aim to understand the progression of the novel coronavirus in any country.

Growth Factor
$$(r) = \frac{\text{Today's reported cases of COVID-19}}{\text{Yesterday's reported cases of COVID-19}}$$

For any country, r > 1 depicts that the trend curve of new infections blows up expo-91 nentially, whereas r < 1 represents that the same curve decays to zero. 92

Daily incidence proportion (DIP) is the next epidemiological risk measure which has been used to estimate the probability of developing disease in any country Lai et al., (2020a) and Lai et al., (2020b). In an outbreak setting, from this estimation we can get a deeper understanding of disease progression as well as frequency of daily occurrence of new COVID-19 cases within a population as time progresses. It can also be referred as an attack rate or risk of developing any disease. As discussed earlier, a 5-day moving average of daily new infected cases has been used to calculate the DIP (per 1,000,000 per day) using the following expression:

Incidence proportion =
$$\frac{\text{No. of daily new infected cases}}{\text{No. of individuals at risk of getting infected}} \times 1000000$$

The denominator represents susceptible population in any country i.e. individuals who 93 have the probability of getting infected with the disease. A high DIP depicts that the 94 disease is transmitting into the population at an excessive rate. On the other hand, 95 a low DIP indicates a minimal disease transmission in any community. In addition, 96 disease incidence is positively correlated with mortality according to a recent study Lai 97 et al., (2020b). Henceforth, to understand the severity of any disease outbreak, disease 98 incidence proportion is an insightful epidemiological parameter. 99

Daily cumulative index (DCI) is used as one of the most significant indicators to understand the evolution dynamics of any epidemic. Recent studies Lai et al., (2020a) and Lai et al., (2020b) have enlightened the fact that this indicator could give robust understanding about the average daily number of new infected cases in a country. It has been observed in recent studies that DCI is significantly correlated with mortality compared to disease incidence Lai et al., (2020b). DCI can be defined as follows:

 $DCI = \frac{No. \text{ of cumulative cases on the observing day}}{No. \text{ of days between the first reported case and the observing day}}$

A continuous uptrend of DCI indicates that the average number of infected individuals 100 is increasing sharply on a daily basis, whereas a constant declining trend of DCI depicts 101

the fact that the mean value of daily infections is declining. A reduction in DCI can be achieved by imposing successful infection control measures. Henceforth, trend analysis of DCI plays a noticeable role in understanding the effectiveness of various disease control measures.

To quantify the transmissibility of an epidemic, effective reproduction number (R_e) can be regraded as one of the most insightful epidemiological parameters. This significant metric represents the average number of secondary infections caused by an individual who has the capability to transmit any disease in an entirely susceptible population Nabi (2020). To date, sophisticated statistical methods have been employed to estimate the value of R_e precisely from the confirmed number of daily infected cases. Nevertheless, it is often really challenging to determine reliable estimates of R_e from available information. In our study, disease generation interval which refers to the duration between symptom onset of the primary case and the symptom onset of the secondary case has been used to estimate the effective reproduction number (R_e) of COVID-19 Kohlberg & Abraham, (2020). In several recent studies Ganyani et al., (2020); Nishiura et al., (2020); Du et al., (2020), it has been found that the mean generation interval of COVID-19 is approximately 4 days. By using the generation interval of COVID-19, R_e can easily be calculated from daily new infections using the following expression Kohlberg & Abraham, (2020):

Today's number of newly infected cases $= R_e \times$ Number of infected cases 4 days ago

Consequently, R_e can be expressed as follows:

 $R_e = \frac{\text{Today's number of newly infected cases}}{\text{Number of infected cases 4 days ago}}$

Generally, the true number of infected cases is relatively higher than the confirmed 106 number of cases. Our estimation would not be affected for such discrepancies. For 107 instance, if the actual number of cases is three times higher than the reported number 108 of cases, then the multiplying factor will cancel out in the division. To smooth out 109 the fluctuations in number of testing, a 5-day moving average of daily reported cases 110 has been used in this study. A simple overview of disease burden can be gleaned using 111 this basic approximation of the effective reproduction number in terms of two simple 112 empirical parameters. 113

114 3. Numerical results and discussions

115 3.1. Bangladesh

On March 8, 2020, the very first case of COVID-19 was confirmed by the Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research (IEDCR) of Bangladesh Paul (2020, March 8). As the country witnessed the first death case due to the COVID-19, the government officials reacted swiftly and announced closures of all educational institutions from March 18 onwards. Afterwards, when there were 27 active COVID-19 cases out of 39 total cases, the government decided to enforce a countrywide lockdown to slow down the transmission of the virus with an exemption for the emergency services

from March 26 Mithu & Ahmed (2020, March 24). This lockdown can be regarded as 123 the most strict lockdown until the decision of reopening shops and malls maintaining 124 health guidelines for limited time period came into force from May 10. This decision of 125 strict lockdown was taken by the officials under immense pressure regarding the biggest 126 Muslim festival (Eid-Ul-Fitr). It has been found in our study that the growth factor of 127 new infections followed a downward trend and reached 0.3 before the lockdown could 128 have been responsible for such decline (Fig. 1b). Disease incubation period (around 5 129 days Nabi (2020)) should be considered in this regard to determine the earliest effect 130 of the imposed lockdown. Nevertheless, after five days of the lockdown a noticeable 131 upswing of growth factor has been developed and hovered between 1.66 and 2 for one 132 week (Fig. 1b). On the last day of this lockdown phase, the number of cumulative 133 cases surpassed 14657. In addition, an average growth factor of 1.165 (95% confidence 134 interval (CI): 1.08-1.25) in daily confirmed cases was conspicuous in this entire period 135 (Table 1). In the second lockdown phase, it has been observed that the growth fac-136 tor maintained a incessant trend (Mean: 1.05; SD: 0.05) even though the lockdown 137 intensity has been lowered. Moreover, daily incidence proportion and daily cumulative 138 index both got doubled in this period (Figs. 1d and 1e). After a 66-day countrywide 139 lockdown period, government officials have been compelled to lift the lockdown with 140 some health guidelines from June 1 considering the catastrophic economic recession 141 Kamruzzaman (2020, May 28). The strict lockdown policy has been unsuccessful to 142 force down the epidemic threshold below 1 (Fig. 1f). When a strict two-month long 143 lockdown policy has failed to flatten the contagion curve, then the effectiveness of the 144 most optimistic lockdown theories remains as an enigma. 145

Table 1: Growth factor of daily infected cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in **Bangladesh** considering different lockdown phases from March 8 to June 12.

Epidemiological Parameter	Before lockdown		Most strict lockdown ^{a}		Strict lockdown ^b		$Unlockdown^{c}$	
_r·····8····	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$
Growth Factor (GF)	$1.31 \ [1, \ 1.62]$	0.5	$1.165 \ [1.08, \ 1.25]$	0.305	$1.05 \ [1.03, \ 1.076]$	0.054	$1.03 \ [1.02, \ 1.04]$	0.02
Daily Incidence Proportion (DIP)	$0.039\ [0.023,\ 0.054]$	0.033	$5.4 \ [4.1, \ 6.74]$	4.64	$26.1 \ [23.2, \ 29.1]$	6.89	$48.1 \ [45.4, \ 50.8]$	4.8
Daily Cumulative Index (DCI)	$1.255 \ [0.9, \ 1.61]$	0.765	73.8 [52.3, 95.3]	74.45	$384.8 \ [343.1, \ 426.4]$	97.4	$705.9 \ [657.9, \ 754]$	84.9
Effective Reproduction Number	2.78 $[1.54, 4.01]$	2	2.244 [1.59, 2.89]	2.25	$1.22 \ [1.16, \ 1.27]$	0.13	$1.15 \ [1.1, \ 1.2]$	0.09

 a The most strict countrywide lockdown was effective from 26 March to 9 May.

^b Strict lockdown was effective from May 10 to May 31.

 c Lockdown has been lifted from June 1 onwards.

146 3.2. Brazil

Brazil is currently the second-worst COVID-19 affected countries in the world and 147 has strong possibility to outnumber the tally of infected cases reported in the United 148 States which is holding the leading position as of June 14, 2020. On February 25, a 149 61-year-old man who returned from Italy was reported as the first COVID-19 case in 150 Brazil Siciliano et al., (2020). In response, Brazilian Health Ministry recommended at 151 least 7-day isolation for both Brazilians and travellers arriving from outside. On March 152 23, officials of Rio de Janeiro imposed a strict lockdown in the state which is followed 153 by Sao Paulo from the next day Mello & Gaier (2020, April 9). A downtrend in growth 154



Figure 1: (a) Daily confirmed cases and 5-day moving average of daily cases, (b) growth factor, (c) percentage change in growth factor, (d) daily incidence proportion, (e) daily cumulative index and (f) effective reproduction number considering different lockdown phases in **Bangladesh** from March 8 to June 12. The intensities of different lockdown phases have been indicated in different colors: before lockdown (8/03/2020 - 25/03/2020); most strict lockdown (26/03/2020 - 09/05/2020); strict lockdown (10/05/2020 - 31/05/2020); unlockdown (1/06/2020 - 12/06/2020).

factor (GF) was evident before the enforcement of the strict sublockdown in Brazil 155 (Fig. 2b). During the sublockdown phase, GF maintained a constant mean value of 156 1.08 (95% CI: 1.04-1.11) with standard deviation (SD) around 0.1 (Table 2). Brazil 157 eased its lockdown from June 2 with a view to mitigating the deeper economic recession 158 in the country Rivers & Gallón (2020, June 3). Despite the prolonged lockdown, the 159 rate of growth of new infections was almost identical with negligible deviation from 160 the mean value (Table 2). Galloping growths in both DIP and DCI were evident in 161 different lockdown periods (Figs. 2d and 2e). Importantly, the effective reproduction 162 number could not be brought under unity (Fig. 2f) in spite of having more than two 163 months of lockdown (Table 2). 164

Table 2: Growth factor of daily infected cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in **Brazil** considering different lockdown phases from February 25 to June 11.

Epidemiological Parameter	Before lockdown		Strict sublockdown ^{a}		More strict sublockdown ^{b}		Easing of lockdown ^{c}	
1	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean $[95\% \text{ CI}]$	$^{\rm SD}$	Mean $[95\% \text{ CI}]$	$^{\rm SD}$	Mean [95% CI]	SD
Growth Factor (GF)	$1.44 \ [1.24, \ 1.65]$	0.49	$1.08 \ [1.04, \ 1.11]$	0.1	$1.06 \ [1.03, \ 1.09]$	0.08	$1.03 \ [0.98, \ 1.088]$	0.09
Daily Incidence Proportion (DIP)	$0.78\ [0.27,\ 1.31]$	1.36	23 [18.28, 27.7]	13.4	$192.4 \ [159.6, \ 225.3]$	104.7	$440.7 \ [413, \ 468.5]$	46.9
Daily Cumulative Index (DCI)	7.33 [2.9, 11.8]	11.5	338.2 [259.8, 416.6]	222.7	2477 [2070, 2880]	1288	$6240 \ [5860, \ 6630]$	649.1
Effective Reproduction Number	4.27 [2.96, 5.59]	3.08	$1.42 \ [1.29, \ 1.55]$	0.36	$1.27 \ [1.21, \ 1.34]$	0.22	$1.07 \ [0.99, \ 1.15]$	0.14

^a First strict sublockdown was enforced on 23 March.

^b More strict sublockdown was effective between 24 April and 1 June.

^c Lockdown has been eased on 2 June.



Figure 2: (a) Daily confirmed cases and 5-day moving average of daily cases, (b) growth factor, (c) percentage change in growth factor, (d) daily incidence proportion, (e) daily cumulative index and (f) effective reproduction number considering different lockdown phases in **Brazil** from February 25 to June 11. The intensities of different lockdown phases have been indicated in different colors: before lockdown (25/02/2020-22/03/2020); strict sublockdown (23/03/2020-23/04/2020); more strict lockdown (24/04/2020-1/06/2020); easing of lockdown (2/06/2020-11/06/2020).

165 3.3. Chile

The very first case of COVID-19 who had fresh travel history to Italy, was reported 166 in Chile on March 3, 2020 Ministry of Health, Chile (2020). Afterwards, a faster growth 167 rate of new infections (reached up to 2.3) was evident (Fig. 3b) and within 20 days the 168 South American nation surpassed 632 confirmed COVID-19 cases Ministry of Health. 169 Chile (2020). With an aim to stem the spread of coronavirus, a nationwide night-time 170 2200-0500 curfew was announced by the Chile's government on March 22 Sherwood 171 (2020, March 22). Fig. 3a illustrates that the daily reported infected cases and its 5-day 172 moving average between March 3 and June 13. Before the nightly curfew, the mean 173 growth factor of daily infections was estimated to be around 1.09 (95% CI: 1.05-1.13) 174 (Table 3). Nevertheless, the number of daily infected cases continued to creep upwards 175 incessantly and community transmission could not be checked in this curfew period. In 176 consequence, Chile's government ratcheted up the restrictive measures by introducing 177 general mandatory quarantine for the citizens in an effort to force down the contagion 178 rate. In this phase, growth factor maintained a continuous upward trend and reached 179 a maximum value of 1.26 on May 1 (Fig. 3b). In addition, a sharp daily increase of 180 about 22% in growth factor was noticeable in this curfew period (Fig. 3b). As of May 181 15, a complete shutdown of country's capital Santiago was imposed as 80% of infected 182 cases were identified in the capital Aljazeera (2020, May 14). Despite the strict curfew 183 across the country, the daily cases per 1,000,000 individuals (daily incidence) soared up 184 from 28.3 to 104.1 and the daily cumulative index shot up from 429 to 1580 within just 185 32 days (Figs. 3d and 3e). This continuous upswing in new infections raised questions 186 about the efficacy of the most optimistic theories of lockdown. Importantly, Fig. 3f 187 depicts that this strict confinement measures have failed to bring down the epidemic 188 threshold below 1. The mean value of this insightful epidemiological parameter was 1.19 189 (95% CI: 1.14-1.26) in this above-mentioned period and according to our estimation 190 this metric is going to maintain an upward trajectory in the upcoming days. As of 191 June 13, Chileans are facing continuous spikes in daily cases and health care services 192 are struggling to cope with this unforeseen crisis in spite of ongoing stringent curfew. 193

Table 3: Growth factor of daily infected cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in **Chile** considering different lockdown phases from March 3 to June 13.

Epidemiological Parameter	Before lockdown		Nationwide nightly $curfew^a$		More strict $curfew^b$		Shutdown of country's capital c	
1	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean [95% CI]	SD
Growth Factor (GF)	$1.24 \ [1.02, \ 1.46]$	0.48	$1.09 \ [1.05, \ 1.13]$	0.08	$1.04 \ [1.02, \ 1.07]$	0.07	$1.05 \ [1.03, \ 1.07]$	0.06
Daily Incidence Proportion (DIP)	$0.41 \ [0.18, \ 0.64]$	0.49	4.35 [3.64, 5.05]	1.48	$11.87 \ [9.69, \ 14.06]$	6.5	$67.67 \ [60.87, \ 74.47]$	19.9
Daily Cumulative Index (DCI)	$6.44 \ [3.13, \ 9.76]$	7.16	$80.2 \ [62.8, \ 97.5]$	36.5	248.7 [222.9, 274.5]	76.7	$962.9 \ [841.2, \ 1084]$	356.4
Effective Reproduction Number	$2.64 \ [1.78, \ 3.5]$	1.86	$1.49 \ [1.33, \ 1.64]$	0.33	$1.2 \ [1.11, \ 1.29]$	0.27	$1.19 \ [1.14, \ 1.26]$	0.18

^a First nationwide night-time curfew was enforced on 22 March.

^b More strict nationwide night-time curfew with mandatory quarantine restrictions was effective from 9 April and 14 May.

 c Santiago which is the capital of Chile has been locked down on 15 May.



Figure 3: (a) Daily confirmed cases and 5-day moving average of daily cases, (b) growth factor, (c) percentage change in growth factor, (d) daily incidence proportion, (e) daily cumulative index and (f) effective reproduction number considering different lockdown phases in **Chile** from March 3 to June 13. The intensities of different lockdown phases have been indicated in different colors: before curfew (03/03/2020-21/03/2020); nationwide nightly curfew (22/03/2020-8/04/2020); more strict curfew (9/04/2020-14/05/2020); shutdown of country's capital (15/05/2020-13/06/2020).

194 3.4. Pakistan

In Pakistan, a battle against the novel coronavirus has been started on February 26, 195 with the identification of two COVID-19 positive cases who returned from Iran which 196 was the worst-hit country at that time in Asian region Shahid (2020, February 27). 197 With an aim to stanch the pandemic outbreak, a strict nationwide lockdown was forced 198 by the officials of Pakistan in the middle of March Donaldson (2020, March 26). Growth 199 factor had already begun to decline and reached 0.994 before the forced lockdown could 200 have brought it under control (Fig. 4b). On March 31, government officials decided to 201 lessen the intensity of the lockdown considering the economic recession and announced 202 to open all kinds of transportation across the country Sajid & Latif (2020, March 24). 203 During this partial lockdown period, daily incidence of COVID-19 ticked up from 1 to 204 28.3 and daily cumulative index also surpassed 376 following an upward trend (Figs. 205 4d and 4e). In this period, impoverished people started venturing out from their house 206

in search of work as a significant number of people are dependent on daily wages. 207 As the pandemic began to cripple Pakistan's economy drastically, the government was 208 compelled to take the decision of unlockdown with effect from May 9 Gulf Today (2020, 209 May 9). Notably of all, in this unlockdown phase, it has been found in our analysis 210 that the growth factor maintain an incessant trend with the mean value of around 1.04 211 (95% CI: 1.02-1.06, SD: 0.06) which is much lower than the average values of both 212 the lockdown periods (Table 4). This enlightens that the highly debated unlockdown 213 policy has not brought any significant change in the growth factor of new infections 214 (Fig. 4c). Moreover, Fig. 4f illustrates that the effective reproduction number also 215 plateaued during this unlockdown period (Mean: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.12-1.27) (Table 4). 216 Social distancing measures and stringent mask wearing guidelines may have been the 217 actual trigger for forcing down this crucial parameter. 218

Table 4: Growth factor of daily infected cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in **Pakistan** considering different lockdown phases from February 26 to June 12.

Epidemiological Parameter	Before lockdown		Forced lockdown ^{a}		Partial lockdown ^{b}		$Unlockdown^{c}$	
1	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\rm SD}$
Growth Factor (GF)	$1.52 \ [0.34, \ 2.7]$	2.48	$1.76 \ [0.53, \ 3]$	2.5	$1.07 \ [1.02, \ 1.12]$	0.16	$1.04 \ [1.02, \ 1.06]$	0.06
Daily Incidence Proportion (DIP)	$0.02 \ [0.015, \ 0.033]$	0.02	$1.62 \ [1.34, \ 1.89]$	0.57	10.5 [8.46, 12.56]	6.53	$48.3 \ [40.2, \ 56.5]$	24.6
Daily Cumulative Index (DCI)	$0.94 \ [0.75, \ 1.13]$	0.4	$25.4 \ [18.1, \ 32.65]$	14.8	$169.7 \ [141.96, \ 197.4]$	88.3	$688.7 \ [613.7, \ 763.7]$	226.4
Effective Reproduction Number	$2.68 \ [0.58, \ 4.77]$	4.4	$5.63 \ [1.5, \ 9.76]$	8.4	$1.34 \ [1.22, \ 1.46]$	0.39	$1.19 \ [1.12, \ 1.27]$	0.22

^a First lockdown was enacted from 15 March, 2020.

^b Partial lockdown was effective from 31 March to 8 May.

 c Lockdown has been lifted on 9 May.

219 3.5. South Africa

In South Africa, the National institute for communicable diseases (NICD) first con-220 firmed COVID-19 patient who returned back in South Africa from Italy on March 1, 221 2020 South African Ministry of Health (2020). To quell the progression of the out-222 break, South Africa's government launched a master plan of five-tier lockdown system 223 which came into effect on March 26, 2020 Business Tech (2020, March 23). Before 224 the imposition of the most strict (level-5) lockdown, the growth factor of new infec-225 tions started to decline and reached its minimum value of 0.493 (Fig. 5b). Disease 226 incubation period (around 5 days Nabi (2020)) should be considered in this regard 227 to understand the earliest impact of the strict confinement measures. However, the 228 growth rate began to soar and raised above 1 during this lockdown period (Table 5). 229 After around one month, the entire country moved into a less strict lockdown (level-4) 230 with stringent health protocols Voice of America (2020, March 14). Despite imposing 231 harsh confinement measures, daily incidence continued to increase from 4.73 to 28.4 232 and daily cumulative index surged from 95.5 to 355 (Figs. 5d and 5e). As the economic 233 pressure reached boiling point and several million people were struggling with hunger 234 and managing their livelihoods, government have been compelled to ease the intensity 235 of the lockdown from June 1 onwards Aljazeera (2020, May 25). In this new lockdown 236 (level-3) period, epidemic threshold maintained an average value of 1.22 (95% CI: 1.11-237 1.32) with a small standard deviation of 0.17 (Table 5). In a nutshell, a two-month long 238



Figure 4: (a) Daily confirmed cases and 5-day moving average of daily cases, (b) growth factor, (c) percentage change in growth factor, (d) daily incidence proportion, (e) daily cumulative index and (f) effective reproduction number considering different lockdown phases in **Pakistan** from February 26 to June 12. The intensities of different lockdown phases have been indicated in different colors: before lockdown (26/02/2020-14/03/2020); forced lockdown (15/03/2020-30/03/2020); partial lockdown (31/03/2020-08/05/2020); unlockdown (09/05/2020-12/06/2020).

lockdown has failed to bring the effective reproduction number under unity and bend
the daily incidence curve as well (Figs. 5f and 5d). Despite the enforcement of stringent confinement policies, the outbreak situation is exacerbating as time progresses
with new records in both infected and death cases.

Table 5: Growth factor of daily infected cases, daily incidence proportion, daily cumulative index and effective reproduction number in **South Africa** considering different lockdown phases from March 1 to June 10.

Epidemiological Parameter	Before lockdown		Most strict lockdown ^{a}		More strict lockdown ^b		Strict lockdown ^{c}	
1	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Mean $[95\% \text{ CI}]$	SD	Mean [95% CI]	$^{\rm SD}$	Mean $[95\% \text{ CI}]$	SD
Growth Factor (GF)	$1.32 \ [1.11, \ 1.532]$	0.494	$1.03 \ [0.97, \ 1.08]$	0.16	$1.06 \ [1.04, \ 1.08]$	0.06	1.036 [0.988, 1.086]	0.08
Daily Incidence Proportion (DIP)	$0.6 \ [0.25, \ 0.96]$	0.84	$2.21 \ [1.83, \ 2.596]$	1.15	$13.9 \ [11.6, \ 16.23]$	6.58	$37.22 \ [33.66, \ 40.78]$	5.74
Daily Cumulative Index (DCI)	8.29 [4.23, 12.35]	9.49	62.23 $[57.43, 67.04]$	14.5	$201.4 \ [174.2, \ 228.6]$	77.2	455.2 [416.5, 493.9]	62.4
Effective Reproduction Number	$2.86 \ [2.08, \ 3.652]$	1.84	$1.17 \ [1.05, \ 1.29]$	0.36	$1.28 \ [1.23, \ 1.32]$	0.15	$1.22 \ [1.11, \ 1.32]$	0.174

^a The most strict national lockdown (Level 5) was imposed on 26 March.

^b Stricter lockdown (Level 4) was effective from 1 May to 31 May.

 c National restrictions has been eased (Level 3) on 1 June.



Figure 5: (a) Daily confirmed cases and 5-day moving average of daily cases, (b) growth factor, (c) percentage change in growth factor, (d) daily incidence proportion, (e) daily cumulative index and (f) effective reproduction number considering different lockdown phases in **South Africa** from March 1 to June 10. The intensities of different lockdown phases have been indicated in different colors: before lockdown (1/03/2020-25/03/2020); strictest lockdown (26/03/2020-30/04/2020); stricter lockdown (1/05/2020-31/05/2020); strict lockdown (1/06/2020-10/06/2020).

243 4. Concluding remarks

As the panic of the COVID-19 pandemic started unfolding to different parts of 244 the world, developing countries hurriedly launched strict lockdown policies considering 245 lockdown of Wuhan as a perfect model with an aim to quell the outbreak of the novel 246 coronavirus. Unfortunately, such draconian measures could not be implemented suc-247 cessfully in developing countries compared to China because of unsuccessful isolation 248 of country's capital from other cities and strict confinement measures have also been 249 unsuccessful owing to irresponsible behavior of the citizens in maintaining social dis-250 tancing guidelines. It is also worth mentioning that the national economies of these 251 developing countries comprehensively rely on their respective capital cities. For this 252 reason, governments were unable to cut off the connectivity between country's capital 253 and other cities. After analyzing various trends of different epidemiological parameters 254 in different lockdown phases, it has been unearthed that the impact of strict country-255 wide lockdowns for prolonged period is paper-thin in developing countries which might 256 ignite a firestorm of controversy in the research community. Nevertheless, a rigorous 257 real-time data analysis of five developing countries reveals the fact that those imposed 258 lockdowns were not as effective to curb the spread of the virus as those were expected 259 to be. On the other hand, inhabitants of these countries are facing unprecedented 260 crises due to immense disruption of their respective national economies. As prolonged 261 lockdown cannot be a pragmatic solution for developing countries due to its catas-262 trophic socio-economic consequences, most of the respective governments have been 263 compelled to ease the lockdown measures eventually. Our study suggests that a re-264 finement of policy-making is indispensable for developing countries to ensure a perfect 265 balance between saving lives and confirming livelihoods. 266

267 **References**

Ahmed, Z., Coronavirus: Economy down, poverty up in Bangladesh, *Deutsche Welle*, June 10, 2020, Available Form: https://www.dw.com/en/coronavirus-economy-

down-poverty-up-in-bangladesh/a-53759686.

Т., Pakistan lockdown idles factories—where orders had shriv-Donaldson, 271 2020. Available Sourcing Journal, March 26,eled up, from: https: 272 //sourcingjournal.com/topics/sourcing/pakistan-coronavirus-lockdown-273 garment-factories-closed-synergies-worldwide-levis-202444. 274

Du, Z., Xu, X., Wu, Y., Wang, L., Cowling, B. J., & Meyers, L. (2020). Serial Interval of COVID-19 among Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26(6), 1341-1343.

Ganyani, T., Kremer, C., Chen, D., Torneri, A., Christel, F., Wallinga, J., Niel, H.
(2020). Estimating the generation interval for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) based
on symptom onset data, *Euro Surveillance*, 25(17).

Gollier, C. (2020). If the objective is herd immunity, on whom should it be built? *EconPol Europe Policy Brief.* Available Form: https://www.econpol.eu/ publications/policy_brief_29. Guilherme, D., Bruno, S., Bruno, B. F., Cleyton, M. S., Graciela, A. (2020). The impact
of COVID-19 partial lockdown on the air quality of the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, *Science of The Total Environment*, **729**, 139085.

ICQI (2020), List of developing countries, International Congress of Qualitative Inquiry
 (Accessed on June 10, 2020), Available Form: https://icqi.org/developing countries-list.

²⁹⁰ Kamruzzaman, M., Bangladesh to partly ease lockdown amid virus concerns, May 28,

201 2020, Available from: https://www.aa.com.tr/en/asia-pacific/bangladesh-

to-partly-ease-lockdown-amid-virus-concerns/1855714.

Kohlberg, E., and Abraham, N. (2020). Demystifying the Math of the Coronavirus.
emphHarvard Business School Working Paper, No. 20-112.

Lai, C., Wang, C., Wang, Y., Hsueh, S., Ko, W., & Hsueh, P. (2020). Global epidemiology of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): disease incidence, daily cumulative
index, mortality, and their association with country healthcare resources and economic status. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 55(4), 105946.

Lai, C., Wang, C., Wang, Y., & Hsueh, P. (2020). Global coronavirus disease 2019:
What has daily cumulative index taught us? *International Journal of Antimicrobial* Agents, 55(6), 106001.

Lau, H., Khosrawipour, V., Kocbach, P., Mikolajczyk, A., Schubert, J., Bania, J.,
Khosrawipour, T. (2020). The positive impact of lockdown in Wuhan on containing
the COVID-19 outbreak in China, *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 27(3).

Mahmood, M., COVID-19 and the developing world, The Financial Express, May 30,

2020, Available Form: https://thefinancialexpress.com.bd/views/reviews/ covid-19-and-the-developing-world-1590852422.

Mello, G., & Gaier, R. V., "Brazil lockdowns, attacked by Bolsonaro, begin to slip",

April 9, 2020, *Reuters*, Available from: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-

- health-coronavirus-brazil-lockdown/brazil-lockdowns-attacked-by-
- 311 bolsonaro-begin-to-slip-idUSKCN21R30B.

- Ministerio de Salud de Chile (Spanish), "Informe Epidemiológico COVID-19" (Accessed on June 10, 2020). Available from: https://www.minsal.cl.
- Mithu, A. I., & Ahmed, M. F., "Bangladesh prepares for lockdown", March 24, 2020, Available from:https://tbsnews.net/coronavirus-chronicle/ coronavirus-bangladesh/bangladesh-prepares-lockdown-60595.
- Nabi, K. N. (2020). Forecasting COVID-19 pandemic: A data-driven analysis. Chaos,

321 Solitons & Fractals. Available from: https://authors.elsevier.com/tracking/

article/details.doaid=110046&jid=CHAOS&surname=Khondoker.

Meunier, T. A. J. (2020). Full lockdown policies in Western Europe countries have no evident impacts on the COVID-19 epidemic, *MedRxiv*, Available Form: https: //doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.24.20078717.

Nishiura, H., Linton, N. M., Akhmetzhanov, A. R. (2020). Serial interval of novel
 coronavirus (COVID-19) infections. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 93,
 284-286.

Our World in Data (2020), "Coronavirus Source Data", Available Form: https:// ourworldindata.org/coronavirus-source-data.

Pakistan lifts lockdown amid jump in coronavirus cases, *Gulf Today*, May 9, 2020.
 Available from: https://www.gulftoday.ae/news/2020/05/09/pakistan-lifts lockdown-amid-jump-in-coronavirus-cases.

Paul, R., Bangladesh confirms its first three cases of coronavirus, *Reuters*,
 March 8, 2020. Available from: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health coronavirus-bangladesh-idUSKBN20V0FS.

Ramaphosa: South Africa coronavirus lockdown to ease from June 1, *Aljazeera*, May 25,
 2020. Available from: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/05/ramaphosa south-africa-coronavirus-lockdown-ease-june-1-200525070634938.html.

Ramaphosa announces 21 day coronavirus lockdown for South Africa, Business
 Tech, March 23, 2020. Available from: https://businesstech.co.za/news/
 government/383927/ramaphosa-announces-21-day-coronavirus-lockdown for-south-africa.

Rivers, M., & Gallón, N., Mexico and parts of Brazil reopen after lockdown despite surging coronavirus cases, CNN June 3, 2020. Available from: https:
 //edition.cnn.com/2020/06/02/americas/latin-america-coronavirus reopening-intl/index.html.

Sajid, I. & Latif, A., Pakistan stays under lockdown amid coronavirus
outbreak, Anadolu Agency, March 24, 2020. Available from: https:
//www.aa.com.tr/en/asia-pacific/pakistan-stays-under-lockdown-amidcoronavirus-outbreak/1777394.

Shahid, A., Two coronavirus cases confirmed in Pakistan, *Pakistan Today*, February 27,
 2020. Available from: https://www.pakistantoday.com.pk/2020/02/26/sindh health-two-coronavirus-cases-confirmed-in-pakistan-confirms-first coronavirus-case-in-karachi.

Sherwood, D., Chile announces nationwide nightly curfew, coronavirus
 cases hit 632, National post, March 22, 2020. Available from: https:
 //nationalpost.com/pmn/health-pmn/chile-announces-nationwide-nightly curfew-coronavirus-cases-hit-632.

South African Ministry of Health, "Health updates on Coronavirus" (Accessed on June 10, 2020). Available from: https://www.gov.za/speeches/health-updates coronavirus-10-mar-2020-0000.

³⁶⁰ South Africa set to move toward easing lockdown restrictions, Voice of America News,

March 14, 2020. Available from: https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/

 $_{362}$ south-africa-set-move-toward-easing-lockdown-restrictions.

Tang, Y., and Wang, S. (2020). Mathematic modeling of COVID-19 in the United
 States. emphEmerging Microbes & Infections, 9(1), 827-829.

³⁶⁵ Total lockdown for Chile capital after surge in virus cases, *Aljazeera*, May 14, 2020.

- Available from: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/05/total-lockdown-
- chile-capital-surge-virus-cases-200513163148849.
- ³⁶⁸ UN COVID-19 response. Available from: https://www.un.org/
 ³⁶⁹ sustainabledevelopment/poverty.
- ³⁷⁰ Siciliano, B., Dantas, G., Silva, C. M., Arbilla, G. (2020). Increased ozone levels during
- the COVID-19 lockdown: Analysis for the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. emphScience
- ³⁷² of the Total Environment, **737**, 139765.