

Extension and implementation of a system modelling the COVID-19 pandemic in Chile

Gastón Vergara-Hermosilla¹ & Andrés Navas²

Abstract

We modelling the dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic taking into account the role of the unreported cases. In a first section we extend the model recently introduced/implemented by Liu, Magal, Seydi and Webb, by considering different transmission rates for the infectious and unreported states, and we couple three new states related to hospitalized and fatalities. In addition, we introduce an operator that incorporates the effects of mitigation measures at the different rates considered in the system. Finally, we implemented the extended model in the Chilean context by considering variable the transmission rates and the fraction of unreported cases, the latter through an argument that uses mortality rates. We conclude with several conclusions and lines of future research.

1 Introduction

The mathematical models have played an important role in making decisions and controlling the current coronavirus epidemic. However, one of the main problems that researchers have had to face has been the lack of quality data. In particular, it is estimated that a high number of cases have been unreported, especially those of patients with low symptoms. One of the main causes of this is due to the strong demand for tests that requires a relatively complete repertorization of those infected. Indeed, the countries in which effective strategies have not been developed to increase the capacity to test the epidemic are out of control.

In general, the estimate of unreported infected has been extrapolated from the reported infected data, assuming that these numbers increase or decrease proportionally. However, it has been shown that the number of unreported cases has its own dynamics, whose role is crucial in the evolution of the epidemic [15].

In this work we address the dynamic variation of the proportions between the numbers of reported and unreported cases, incorporating them into the modelling itself.

¹Supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 765579.

²Supported by MICITEC Chile.

Various mathematical models has been proposed in the literature to deal with unreported cases and their role in the progression of the epidemic. In the second section of this work, we address one of them, with the acronym SIRU, recently proposed by Zhihua Liu, Pierre Magal, Ousmane Seydi and Glen Webb [12, 13, 14]. Although the study of the qualitative theory of the underlying differential equations of the SIRU model has not been completely closed, several analogies with the classical SIR model have been concluded. However, in a previous work we have found an important difference: in the SIRU model, the case curves do not have a single peak, furthermore, a simple method has been proposed to detect parameters that originate curves with at least two peaks.

The Liu, Magal, Seydi and Webb model has already been used to describe the evolution of the epidemic in various countries (China, South Korea, the United Kingdom, Italy, France and Spain), however, in this work we extend the SIRU model, by considering different transmission rates for the infectious and unreported states, and we couple three new states related to hospitalized and fatalities cases. In addition, to obtain realistic simulations, we introduce an operator that incorporates the effects of mitigation measures at the different rates considered in the system. In the last section of this work we implement this modelling in the Chilean global scenario using the official COVID-19 data provided by the Chilean government in [16]. Unlike the implementations made in [12, 13, 14], our implementation is novel in that it uses a variable rate for disease transmission and a variable fraction of unreported cases according to the epidemic progresses, which is more relevant according to the local epidemiological reality.

The work concludes with a section dedicated to global conclusions and which describes some future lines of research. The demonstrations of the formulas referring to the basic reproductive number, the initial conditions associated with the states of infection and unreported cases, and the transmission rates associated with these states, are presented in detail in the appendices of the work.

2 An extension of the SIRU model

Following the approach in [15], the key assumption in this section is that unreported patients have a greater dynamic role than those that are reported (since the former do not enter into quarantine), and therefore they contribute more importantly to the epidemic. However, in the traditional SIR model, both types of patients are part of the same compartment. In [12], Liu, Magal, Seydi and Webb solve this problem by separating them into two compartments. Denoting respectively by S , I , R and U the susceptible individuals, infected individuals who do not yet have symptoms (and are at incubation stage), reported infected individuals, and

unreported (either asymptomatic or low symptomatic) infected individuals, they consider the following diagram flux:




Figure 1: Diagram flux

While Liu et al. in [12] assume that the parameters τ_1 and τ_2 related to the transmission rates of infected and unreported, respectively, are the same, in this work we do not assume this assumption.

The differential equations attached to the diagram above and that govern the dynamics of the epidemic are the following:

$$\begin{cases} S'(t) = -S(t)[\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)] \\ I'(t) = S(t)[\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)] - \nu I(t) \\ R'(t) = \nu_1 I(t) - \eta R(t) \\ U'(t) = \nu_2 I(t) - \eta U(t), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $t \geq t_0$ corresponds to time, with t_0 being the starting date for the study (as in [12, 13, 14], in the implementation, we will consider the time t_0 corresponding to the beginning of the epidemic). Although this system of differential equations makes perfect sense when prescribing any initial condition, in epidemiological modeling one is naturally lead to use data of the following type:

$$S(t_0) = S_0 > 0, \quad I(t_0) = I_0 > 0, \quad R(t_0) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad U(t_0) = U_0 \geq 0.$$

The system (1) is coupled with the following equations

$$\begin{cases} H'(t) = \psi R(t) - \delta_1 H(t) \\ F'_R(t) = \delta_1 H(t) \\ F'_U(t) = \delta_2 U(t), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the state H denote the hospitalized cases, F_R denote the cumulated fatalities cases reported in the official numbers and F_U denote the cumulated fatalities cases unreported in the official numbers.

The parameters used in the model are described in the Table below. In particular, note that $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$. In addition, all the parameters that are considered $\tau_1, \tau_2, \nu, \nu_1, \nu_2, \eta, \psi, \delta_1, \delta_2$ are positive.

Symbol	Interpretation
t_0	Initial time.
S_0	Number of individuals susceptible to the disease at time t_0 .
I_0	Number of infected individuals (in incubation period) without symptoms at time t_0 .
R_0	Number of reported infected individuals at time t_0 .
U_0	Number of unreported infected individuals at time t_0 .
τ_1	Transmission rate of the disease.
τ_2	Transmission rate of the unreported infected.
$1/\nu$	Average time during which the infectious asymptomatic individuals remain in incubation.
f	Fraction of asymptomatic infected individuals that become reported infected.
$\nu_1 = f\nu$	Rate at which asymptomatic infected cases become reported.
$\nu_2 = (1-f)\nu$	Rate at which asymptomatic infected become unreported infected individuals (asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic).
$1/\eta$	Average time during which an infected individual presents symptoms.

Table 1: Parameters and initial conditions of the model (1).

The new parameters included in our model extended are described in the following Table:

Symbol	Interpretation
$1/\psi$	Average time during which an infected individual with symptoms is hospitalized
$1/\delta_1$	Average time during which an infected individual hospitalized dies
$1/\delta_2$	Average time during which an infected individual unreported dies

Table 2: Parameters of the extension of the model given by (2).

Note that in the first of the equations of (1), namely

$$S'(t) = -S(t) [\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)],$$

the role of I and U in the spread of the infection are different. This is justified, for instance, by that U includes individuals with low symptomatocity who can practice self-care.

3 Numerical results based on official numbers

3.1 General implementation of the SIRU model

The *cumulative number* of infectious cases reported at a time t , denoted by $CR(t)$, is given by

$$CR(t) := \nu_1 \int_{t_0}^t I(s) ds.$$

This is data that is openly available. Likewise, the cumulative number of unreported cases at a time t is

$$CU(t) := \nu_2 \int_{t_0}^t I(s) ds.$$

Following the scheme used by Liu, Magal, Seydi and Webb, we will assume that, at an early stage of the disease, $CD(t)$ has an (almost) exponential form:

$$CD(t) = \chi_1 \exp(\chi_2 t) - \chi_3. \tag{3}$$

For simplicity, we will assume that $\chi_3 = 1$. The values of χ_1 and χ_2 will then be adjusted to the accumulated data of cases reported in the early phase of the epidemic³ using a classical least squares method (after passing to logarithmic coordinates). According to the above (see [12] for details), for numerical simulations, the initial time for the beginning of the exponential growth phase is fitted at

$$t_0 := -\frac{1}{\chi_2} \cdot \log(\chi_1).$$

Again, for simplicity, we will identify the initial value S_0 to that of the total population of Chile (since there is no prior immunity against the virus). Once the values of ν , and f are set, the conditions at the beginning of the disease are naturally fitted as

$$\nu_1 = fv \quad \nu_2 = (1 - f)v.$$

Following the approach described in the appendix, we obtain

$$I_0 = \frac{\chi_1 \chi_2 e^{\chi_2 t_0}}{\nu_1} = \frac{\chi_2 \chi_3}{\nu_1}, \quad (4)$$

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\chi_2 + \eta}{2S_0}, \quad \tau_2 = \left(\frac{\eta + \chi_2}{\nu_2} \right) \left(\frac{\chi_2 + \eta}{2S_0} \right), \quad (5)$$

and

$$U_0 = \frac{\nu_2}{\eta + \chi_2} I_0. \quad (6)$$

In the same form, by using the methods described in the supplementary material, the basic reproductive number for model (1) is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau_1 S_0}{\nu} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_1 S_0}{\nu} \right)^2 + \frac{\tau_2 S_0}{\eta} \cdot \frac{\nu_2}{\nu}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Remark 3.1. According to the equation (5), the parameters τ_1 and τ_2 are proportional, for this reason in the following to obtain estimations on the transmission rates we just consider the parameter τ_1 .

³Specifically, to adjust χ_1 , χ_2 we consider the next 28 days since the detection of the first case in Chile (Talca).

3.2 On the fraction of unreported cases

To implement the SIRU system we still need to establish a good value for the parameter f (the fraction of symptomatic cases that are reported). Once this is fitted, we will have the values of

$$\nu_1 = f\nu \quad \text{y} \quad \nu_2 = (1 - f)\nu,$$

and we will just need to fit the value of τ .

Before continuing, it is worth pointing out that in [12, 13, 14] there is no major discussion on the criterion used to establish the value of f in the different scenarios. Actually, a value issued by the medical counterpart is assumed as valid. (For example, $f = 0.8$ is considered for China.) In our modeling, we will use the work of Baeza-Yates [5] and that of Castillo and Pastén [8], who use the case fatality rate of the disease (with the correct correction according to its duration; see [3, 10]) to give estimates for the right number of infected individuals.⁴ Since Baeza-Yates' argument is simpler and is not included in an academic publication, we borrow it below in a language closer to that of the SIRU model. As we will see, it yields a method to adjusting the value of f that can be used in almost all contexts.

As we propose in [15], the value of f according to the local reality of the pandemic can be recast by

$$f = \frac{L}{L_R} \quad \text{with} \quad L_R = \frac{M(n)}{R(n-d)}, \quad (8)$$

where d is the average time from diagnosis of infection to death, $M(n)$ the number of deaths in day n , and L is the case fatality rate.

In the Chilean context, deaths in the period studied occurred within 9.4 days after the disease was reported. Adjusting $d = 9$ for data between 15 April to 14 May and $d = 11$ for data between 15 May to 8 June the computation of L_R is made feasible from the data available in [16].

Regarding the natural case fatality ratio L of the disease, it is deduced from international studies that, after adjusting it to the age distribution of the Chilean population, it should vary between 0.2% and 1%, with a very high tendency to be around 0.6%. In summary, this gives a parameter f varying between 0.1 and 0.5, with a high tendency to be close to $\frac{1}{3.4} \sim 0.3$. In fact, the different values obtained by using the equation (8) are shown in the following figure

⁴They estimate this number between 60% and 70% higher than the one reported for the period studied.




Figure 2: Values of f obtained by using the formula described in equation (8) considering $L = 0.006$.

3.3 Variation in the rates

Given the heterogeneity of the safeguard measures taken by the Chilean government, instead of directly applying the SIRU model, it became more pertinent to us to consider variable (in time) the different rates involucrate in the modeling process. To this end, we observe how the percentage of the Chilean population subjected to confinement has been changing, which is illustrated below:




Figure 3: Variation of the percentages of the population in quarantine in Chile corresponding to the first 85 days from March 13, 2020.

We hence propose the following function that catches the effects of the mitigation measures taken by the government of the study area

Definition 3.2. The quarantine function related to the model (1) and the rate $i \in \{\tau_1, \psi, \delta_1\}$, denoted by $\text{Cuar}_i(t)$, is define by the following step function

$$\text{Cuar}_i(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha_0^i & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{I}_1 = [N_0^i, N_1^i], \\ \alpha_1^i(t) = \alpha_0^i \beta_1^i \exp(-\mu_1^i(t - N_1)) & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{I}_2 = (N_1^i, N_2^i], \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_r^i(t) = \alpha_{r-1}^i(t) \beta_r^i \exp(-\mu_{r-1}^i(t - N_{r-1})) & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{I}_r = (N_{r-1}^i, N_r^i], \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where the \mathcal{I}_j^i 's correspond to successive time intervals and the parameters μ_j^i 's are chosen in such a way that the reported cumulated cases in the numerical simulation align with the data of the reported cumulative number of infections at time t .

3.4 Model parameters estimation and implementations

The parameter τ_1 (and hence τ_2) referent to the rate of transmission is estimated in the first step of the epidemy by using equation (5), however, according to Definition 3.2, the

different parameters used to include the effects of the safeguard measures through the function $\text{Cuar}_{\tau_1}(t)$ on the rate of hospitalized ψ are shown in the following Table:

j	$\beta_j^{\tau_1}$	$\mu_j^{\tau_1}$	$\mathcal{I}_j^{\tau_1}$	$N_j^{\tau_1}$
0	—	—	[3 March, 22 march]	2 March
1	1	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	[23 March, 1 April]	22 March
2	1	$9.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[2 April, 11 April]	1 April
3	1	$7.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[12 April, 21 April]	11 April
4	1	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[22 April, 1 May]	21 April
5	1	$5.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[2 May, 11 May]	1 May
6	1	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[12 May, 21 May]	11 May
7	1	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	[22 may, 1 June]	21 May
8	1	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$	[2 June, 9 June]	1 June

Table 3: Parameters used in $\text{Cuar}_{\tau}(t)$ to include the effects of the safeguard measures on the rate of transmission τ_1 .

The parameter ψ referent to the rate of hospitalized is estimated as

$$\psi = \frac{1}{N_\psi}, \quad (10)$$

where N_ψ is the hospitalized rate and p_ψ is the case hospitalized rate (namely the fraction of hospitalized per reported infectious individuals). In the simulation we chose $N_\psi = 3$ days, however, according to Definition 3.2, the different parameters used to include the effects of the safeguard measures through the function $\text{Cuar}_\psi(t)$ on the rate of hospitalized ψ are shown in the following Table:

j	β_j^ψ	μ_j^ψ	\mathcal{I}_j^ψ	N_j^ψ
1	3	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[15 April, 21 April]	—
2	3	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[22 April, 1 May]	21 April
3	$3 \cdot 0.49$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	[2 May, 11 May]	1 May
4	$3 \cdot 0.49$	$-3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	[12 May, 21 May]	11 May
5	1.5	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[22 may, 1 June]	21 May
6	1.206	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[2 June, 9 June]	1 June

Table 4: Parameters used in $\text{Cuar}_\psi(t)$ to include the effects of the safeguard measures on the rate of hospitalized ψ .

In the case of the fatalities parameters δ_1 and δ_2 , these are estimated as

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{N_{\delta_1}}, \quad \delta_2 = \delta_1 \cdot p_U \quad (11)$$

where N_{δ_1} is the fatalities rate, and p_U is a parameter of uncertainty related to the increase of fatalities in the official civil register of the government of Chile not caused a priori by COVID-19 (for details see [17]). In the simulation we chose $p_U = 1/10$ and $N_{\delta_1} = d - 3$ days, where d is the average time from diagnosis of infection to death. Specifically, we consider $d = 9$ for data between 15 April to 14 May and $d = 11$ for data between 15 May to 8 June.

j	$\beta_j^{\delta_1}$	$\mu_j^{\delta_1}$	$\mathcal{I}_j^{\delta_1}$	$N_j^{\delta_1}$
1	24	$-6.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[15 April, 21 April]	—
2	42	$-1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[22 April, 1 May]	21 April
3	47.7	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[2 May, 11 May]	1 May
4	36	$-1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[12 May, 21 May]	11 May
5	50.8	$-2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[22 may, 1 June]	21 May
6	49.8	$-9.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	[2 June, 9 June]	1 June

Table 5: Parameters used in $\text{Cuar}_{\delta_1}(t)$ to include the effects of the safeguard measures on the rate of transmission δ_1 .

Using the data of the cumulated reported cases, hospitalized and fatalities available in [16], we can finally proceed to the simulations, which are shown below. They illustrate numerical estimates for the curves of $CR(t)$, $CU(t)$, $H(t)$, $F_R(t)$ and $F_U(t)$.






Figure 4: Plots of the numerical approximations of the functions $CR(t)$, $CU(t)$ and $H(t)$ obtained from the numerical solutions of the model (1) coupled with (2) applied to the Chilean context based on the data of reported cumulated cases and hospitalized up to 8 June 2020 [16]. The plots (A) and (B) were obtained by considering f variable in time, using the parameters $\eta = 1/7$ and $\nu = 1/7$.



(C)



(D)

Figure 5: Plots of the numerical approximations of the functions $F_R(t)$ and $F_U(t)$ obtained from the numerical solutions of the model (1) coupled with (2) applied to the Chilean context based on the data of reported cumulated cases up to 8 June 2020 [16]. The plots (C) and (D) were obtained by considering f variable in time, using the parameters $\eta = 1/7$ and $\nu = 1/7$.

4 Discussion and future work

The epidemic outbreak of the new coronavirus COVID-19 was first detected in Wuhan, China, in late 2019. In Chile, the first case was reported on March 3, 2020, in Talca. Since then, modeling the epidemic in the country has faced the problem of the low availability of disaggregated data [4].

The first part of the work naturally led us to model the dynamics of the epidemic incorporating a compartment for unreported cases so that we could deal with their active role in the evolution. To do this, we consider the SIRU model recently introduced/implemented by Liu, Magal, Seydi and Webb in [12, 13, 14], and we extend it considering different transmission rates for the infected and unreported states and coupling three new states, referents to hospitalized and fatalities.

In the second part of the work, we implemented the extension of the SIRU model to the case of Chile. The simulations carried out considering an extension of the model of Liu et al. are based on the data of cases reported in the official numbers from March 3 to June 8, 2020. Our extension of the system that includes estimates on the number of hospitalized and dead has been considered in a shorter time interval, this is mainly due to the fact that the data regarding the number of hospitalized people began to be published by the Chilean government in [16] from April 15. Regarding the method used to carry out our implementations, we consider variable transmissions rates for infected and unreported cases, which is more appropriate according to the local reality. Our rate is coupled to the official statistics provided by the government in [16], information that also allowed us to make the parametric adjustment. An important ingredient to launch the simulations was the value of the fraction f which now is considered variable and is obtained following the approach introduced by Navas and Vergara-Hermosilla in the preliminary work [15]. In the model extended the estimate of fatalities are divided in two disjoint groups: reported fatalities and unreported fatalities. The main reason for consider this assumption is the fact that in the last month the Chilean health system was collapsed, and in several cases the deads are not included in the officials numbers on COVID-19, increasing without apparent explanation the number of deceased in the official civil registries of the government of Chile. On the other hand, we incorporate the operator $Cuar_i(t)$ which is such includes the effects of the different mitigation measures taken for the Chilean government on the transmission, hospitalization, and death rates, providing a more realistic simulation of the epidemic.

Although the estimates above were obtained *a posteriori*, their complementarity puts us in a good position to use them for modeling the future evolution of the epidemic. We strongly believe that the basis for pursuing the implementation of the SIRU model are fulfilled,

and it would be very useful to advance in a more compartmentalized and georeferenced implementation of it.

Appendix 1: materials and methods

By using equation (3), we obtain

$$CR'(t) = v_1 I(t) \Leftrightarrow \chi_1 \chi_2 \exp(\chi_2 t) = v_1 I(t), \quad (12)$$

and hence, by evaluating the last equation at $t = t_0$, we deduce that

$$I_0 = \frac{\chi_1 \chi_2 e^{\chi_2 t_0}}{\nu_1} = \frac{\chi_2 \chi_3}{\nu_1}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, by consider equation (3), we obtain

$$\frac{e^{\chi_2 t}}{e^{\chi_2 t_0}} = \frac{I(t)}{I(t_0)}. \quad (14)$$

and then, we conclude that

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{\chi_2(t-t_0)}. \quad (15)$$

In order to evaluate the parameters of the model, we replace $S(t)$ by the total population in the zone considered on the right-hand side of (1) (which is equivalent to neglecting the variation of susceptibles due to the epidemic, which is consistent with the fact that $t \rightarrow CR(t)$ grows exponentially). Therefore, it remains to estimate the parameters τ_1 and τ_2 in the following linearized system:

$$\begin{cases} I'(t) = S_0[\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)] - vI(t) \\ U'(t) = v_2 I(t) - \eta U(t) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

By consider the first equation, we obtain

$$U(t) = \frac{1}{\tau_2 S_0} (I'(t) + vI(t) - \tau_1 S_0 I(t)),$$

and therefore, by using (15), we must have

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{\chi_2(t-t_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad U(t) = U_0 e^{\chi_2(t-t_0)}, \quad (17)$$

hence, by reemplacing these expressions into (16), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \chi_2 I_0 = S_0 [\tau_1 I_0 + \tau_2 U_0] - \nu I_0 \\ \chi_2 U_0 = \nu_2 I_0 - \eta U_0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

By dividing the first equation of (18) by I_0 we obtain

$$\chi_2 = S_0 \left(\tau_1 + \tau_2 \frac{U_0}{I_0} \right) - \nu,$$

and then

$$\frac{U_0}{I_0} = \frac{\chi_2 + \nu - \tau_1 S_0}{\tau_2 S_0}. \quad (19)$$

By using the second equation of (18), we obtain

$$\frac{U_0}{I_0} = \frac{\nu_2}{\eta + \chi_2}, \quad (20)$$

and then, we conclude that

$$U_0 = \frac{\nu_2}{\eta + \chi_2} I_0. \quad (21)$$

Now, by consider by linearizing the first equation of the model (1), we obtain

$$S_0(\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)) = 0, \quad (22)$$

and, by using (17) and (21) on (22), we deduce

$$\tau_1 - \frac{\nu_2}{\eta + \chi_2} \tau_2 = 0. \quad (23)$$

Moreover, by using (19) and (20), we obtain

$$\tau_1 + \frac{\nu_2}{\eta + \chi_2} \tau_2 = \frac{\chi_2 + \nu}{S_0}. \quad (24)$$

Then, by solve the equations (23) and (24) for τ_1 and τ_2 , we deduce

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\chi_2 + \eta}{2S_0}, \quad \tau_2 = \left(\frac{\eta + \chi_2}{\nu_2} \right) \left(\frac{\chi_2 + \eta}{2S_0} \right). \quad (25)$$

4.1 Computation of basic reproductive number \mathcal{R}_0

In this section we consider classic results on the basic reproductive number (see for instance, Chapter 7 on the book of Dieckmann, Heesterbeek and Britton [2]). The linearized equation of the infectious part of the model is given by

$$\begin{cases} I'(t) = S_0[\tau_1 I(t) + \tau_2 U(t)] - vI(t) \\ U'(t) = v_2 I(t) - \eta U(t) \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

The corresponding matrix is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 S_0 - v & \tau_2 S_0 \\ \nu_2 & -\eta \end{bmatrix}$$

which can be rewritten as $A = V - S$, where

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 S_0 & \tau_2 S_0 \\ \nu_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{bmatrix},$$

and then, the next generation matrix is given by

$$VS^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\tau_1 S_0}{v} & \frac{\tau_2 S_0}{\eta} \\ \frac{\nu_2}{v} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by denoting by $\rho(M)$ the spectral ratio of the matrix M , and by consider equation 7.18 of Chapter 7 in [2], the basic reproductive number is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho(VS^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau_1 S_0}{v} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_1 S_0}{v} \right)^2 + \frac{\tau_2 S_0}{\eta} \cdot \frac{\nu_2}{v}} \right). \quad (27)$$

References

- [1] VLADIMIR ARNOLD. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Nauka, Moscow (1971).
- [2] ODO DIEKMANN, HANS HEESTERBEEK, & TOM BRITTON. *Mathematical tools for understanding infectious disease dynamics*. Vol. 7. Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [3] AVNER BAN-HEN. Taux de mortalité du virus Ébola. *Images des Mathématiques*. <https://images.math.cnrs.fr/Taux-de-mortalite-du-virus-Ebola.html>

- [4] RICARDO BAEZA-YATES. Datos de calidad y el coronavirus. *Medium*, https://medium.com/@rbaeza_yates/datos-de-calidad-y-el-corona-virus-98893b7600e3
- [5] RICARDO BAEZA-YATES. <https://twitter.com/PolarBearby/status/1256673286022295553>
- [6] FRED BRAUER & CARLOS CASTILLO-CHAVEZ. *Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology*. Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer Verlag (2001).
- [7] MÓNICA CANDIA & GASTÓN VERGARA-HERMOSILLA. Estimación de casos no reportados de infectados de COVID-19 en Chile, el Maule y la Araucanía durante marzo de 2020. Preprint (April 2020), available at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02560526/>
- [8] JORGE CASTILLO SEPÚLVEDA & HÉCTOR PASTÉN. Información escondida en los datos inciertos sobre el COVID-19 en Chile. Preprint (April 2020), available at <http://www.mat.uc.cl/~hector.pasten/preprints/InfoEscondida.pdf>
- [9] FELIPE ELORRIETA, CLAUDIO VARGAS, FERNANDO A. CRESPO, VALENTINA NAVARRO, FRANCISCO OVIEDO Y CAMILA GUERRERO. Dudas sobre el incremento de contagios por coronavirus: ¿hay un rebrote o sólo se debe a que estamos haciendo más test? *CIPER Académico*, <https://ciperchile.cl/2020/05/06/dudas-sobre-el-incremento-de-contagios-por-coronavirus-hay-un-rebote-o-solo-se-debe-a-que-estamos-haciendo-mas-test/>
- [10] A. C. GHANI, C. A. DONNELLY, D. R. COX, J. T. GRIFFIN, C. FRASER, T. H. LAM, L. M. HO, W. S. CHAN, R. M. ANDERSON, A. J. HEDLEY & G. M. LEUNG. Methods for estimating the case fatality ratio for a novel, emerging infectious disease. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **162** (5) (2005), 479-486.
- [11] Q. GRIETTE, Z. LIU AND P. MAGAL. Unreported cases for Age Dependent COVID-19 Outbreak in Japan, Preprint (April 2020).
- [12] ZHIHUA LIU, PIERRE MAGAL, OUSMANE SEYDI & GLENN WEBB. Understanding unreported cases in the COVID-19 epidemic outbreak in Wuhan, China, and the importance of major public health interventions. *Biology*, vol. **9** (3) (2020).
- [13] ZHIHUA LIU, PIERRE MAGAL & GLENN WEBB. Predicting the number of reported and unreported cases for the COVID-19 epidemics in China, South Korea, Italy, France, Germany and United Kingdom. Preprint (April 2020).
- [14] ZHIHUA LIU, PIERRE MAGAL, OUSMANE SEYDI & GLENN WEBB. A model to predict COVID-19 epidemics with applications to South Korea, Italy, and Spain, *SIAM News* (to appear).

- [15] ANDRÉS NAVAS, & GASTÓN VERGARA-HERMOSILLA. On the dynamics of the Coronavirus epidemic and the unreported cases: the Chilean case. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.02632. (June 2020).
- [16] *Official data on COVID-19 from the Chilean government* (in Spanish).
<https://www.gob.cl/coronavirus/cifrasoficiales>
- [17] *Civil Registry and Identification Service of Chile* (in Spanish).
<https://www.registrocivil.cl>

Andrés Navas
Dpto. de Matemáticas y Ciencias de la Computación,
Universidad de Santiago de Chile
e-mail: andres.navas@usach.cl

Gastón Vergara-Hermosilla
Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux,
Université de Bordeaux, Francia
e-mail: gaston.vergara@u-bordeaux.fr