1 Title

2 First SARS-CoV-2 detection in river water: implications in low sanitation countries

3

4 Author names and affiliations

- 5 Laura Guerrero-Latorre^{1,2}, Isabel Ballesteros¹, Irina M. Villacrés³, M. Genoveva
- 6 Granda³Byron P. Freire³ & Blanca Ríos-Touma^{1,*}
- 7
- 8 1. Grupo de Investigación en Biodiversidad, Medio Ambiente y Salud. Facultad de
- 9 Ingenierías y Ciencias Aplicadas. Universidad de Las Américas, Ecuador.
- 10 2. Department of Biology, Area of Microbiology, University of Girona, Spain.
- 11 3. Laboratorios de Investigación. Universidad de Las Américas, Ecuador.

12 *corresponding author: blanca.rios@udla.edu.ec

13

14 Abstract

Since the beginning of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic studies on viral shedding have reported 15 that this virus is excreted in feces in most patients. High viral loads are found at the 16 sewage pipeline or at the entrance of wastewater treatment plants from cities where 17 the number of COVID-19 cases are significant. In Quito (Ecuador) as in many other 18 cities worldwide, wastewater is directly discharged into natural waters. The aim of this 19 20 study was to evaluate SARS-CoV-2 presence in urban streams from a low sanitation 21 context. Three river locations along the urban rivers of Quito were sampled on the 5th of June during a peak of COVID-19 cases. River samples were evaluated for water 22 23 guality parameters and afterwards, concentrated for viral analysis using skimmed milk flocculation method. The viral concentrates were quantified for SARS-CoV-2 (N1 and 24 25 N2 genes) and Human Adenovirus as a human viral indicator. The results showed that SARS-CoV-2 was detected for both target genes in all samples analyzed in a range of 26 27 2,91E+05 to 3,19E+06 GC/L for N1 and from 2,07E+05 to 2,22E+06 GC/L for N2. The

- 28 high values detected in natural waters from a low sanitation region have several
- 29 implications in health and ecology that should be further assessed.
- 30
- 31 Keywords
- 32 COVID-19; Andean Rivers; wastewater; viral dissemination; Ecuador; HadV
- 33

34 **1. Introduction**

35

Since the first detected case of unknown pneumonia in Wuhan (China), in half a year, SARS-CoV-2 has caused almost 8 million confirmed cases and more than 400.000 deaths worldwide (WHO, 2020). Currently, Latin America is considered the epicenter of the pandemic (UN, 2020). Brazil reported Latin America's first case in late February 2020, and since then, COVID-19 cases and deaths have increased widely throughout nearly every country in the region. In Ecuador, official reports declare more than 45.000 cases and 3.800 deaths in the second week of june (SNGRE, 2020).

43 SARS-CoV-2 transmission in humans is mainly airborne (Zhang *et al.*, 2020) but recent 44 studies suggest that extended shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces is a potential health 45 risk (Lodder and Roda Husman, 2020). As most COVID-19 cases fecally excreted the 46 virus, SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in wastewater treatment plants from several 47 cities around the world and some countries have started to monitor the viral load in 48 sewage systems in an attempt to design early warnings for future outbreaks (Bivins *et* 49 *al.*, 2020).

50 However, the fecal shedding in wastewater could be especially relevant in low 51 sanitation countries where insufficient or nonexistent treatments of wastewater are 52 applied.

53 Studies analyzing SARS-CoV-2 in sewage have tested the efficiency after wastewater 54 treatments applied and in most cases effluent waters did not show the presence of 55 SARS-CoV-2 (Randazzo *et al.*, 2020a). Moreover, in Japan, Haramoto et al. 2020 56 looked for SARS-CoV-2 in rivers impacted by sewage, and did not detect its presence.

57 In most countries of Latin America an average of 30% of wastewaters are treated 58 before discharging in water bodies (Rodriguez et al., 2020). In particular, Ecuador has 59 less than 20% of treatment coverage for its wastewaters (Rodriguez et al., 2020) and 60 its capital city, Quito with almost 3 million inhabitants only treats 3% of its sewage 61 (EPMAPS, 2020). Therefore, the urban rivers of Quito, whose receive the fecal waters

62 from the whole city, are highly contaminated by human microorganisms and other 63 pollutants, disseminating them along the river basin and crossing from up 2800 masl to the Pacific coast (Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2018; Voloshenko et al 2015). Previous 64 65 studies have described the viral diversity of human pathogens present in the urban rivers of Quito and highlighting the health risk of populations that can use downstream 66 waters for irrigation or recreational purposes (Guerrero-Latorre et al 2018). In the 67 context of the COVID-19 pandemic, very little information has emerged from low 68 sanitation countries about the presence of SARS-Cov-2 in sewage and natural waters. 69 70 Therefore, this is the first study in a low sanitation country that attempted to analyze the 71 presence of SARS-CoV-2 in river waters impacted by urban wastewater.

72

73 2. Material and methods

74 2.1 Sampling

75

The 5th of June 2020 three locations from Quito's river were sampled. Sampling locations are representative from south-center (M1), north-center (M2) and north (M3) accumulated wastewater discharges into the natural streams passing through the city (Figure 1, EPMAPS, 2011). At each location, 2 L samples were collected for viral analysis and 2 L for other biological and chemical measurements:DBO₅, DQO, pH and conductivity. Samples were preserved at 4 °C and taken to the laboratory in less than 3 hours.

83

84 2.2 Water concentration and RNA extraction

85

86 Collected water was concentrated for viral analysis using an adapted Skimmed Milk 87 Flocculation method using 2 L of water (Fernandez-Cassi *et al.,* 2018). Briefly, sample 88 was preconditioned at pH 3.5 and a conductivity above 1.5 mS/cm2. Then, 10 ml of

89 pre-flocculated skimmed milk solution were added. After 8 h of stirring, flocks were 90 centrifuged at 8,000× g for 40 min, and the pellet was suspended in 10 mL of 91 phosphate buffer. Nucleic acids were extracted using AccuPrep® Universal RNA 92 Extraction Kit - Bioneer from 200 ul of the viral concentrates into 40 ul of eluted 93 solution.

94

95 2.3 qRT-PCR analysis

96 Nucleic acid extractions from viral concentrates were used to analyze by RT-qPCR
97 SARS-CoV-2 using N1 and N2 primer sets (CDC, 2020) and Human Adenovirus as a
98 microbial indicator of human fecal contamination (Allard *et al.*, 2001).

99 The volume of original water sample analyzed in each PCR reaction after concentration 100 and extraction steps is 3 μ L for SARS-CoV-2 using TaqMan Fast Virus 1-Step Master 101 Mix (ThermoFisher) and 10 μ L for HAdV using Environmental TaqMan MasterMix 102 (ThermoFisher). Quantitative PCRs were run in Bio-Rad CFX96 qPCR thermocycler. 103 The inhibition was analysed by testing the direct and 1/10 diluted extractions for each 104 qPCR or RT-qPCR.

105

106 2.4 Cases per sampling point

107

108 In order to relate SARS-CoV-2 viral load in river water samples with reported COVID-

109 19 cases in Quito, we gathered information from the sectors of Quito that discharge

110 sewage to the sampling points according to the Potable Water and Sanitation

111 Enterprise of Quito (EPMAPS, 2011). Since the city of Quito reports its cases by these

112 sectors we gather current and historical information from 14 days prior to the sampling

113 points (https://twitter.com/MunicipioQuito/). This information was validated with the

114 official government reports (SNGRE, 2020).

116 3. Results

117

118 Water quality parameters of samples analyzed show an important anthropogenic 119 impact in the rivers (Table 1). Sampling points M2 and M3 do not meet the national 120 requirement for aquatic life preservation for DQO and DBO₅ (Ministerio del Ambiente 121 de Ecuador, 2015). Human Adenovirus, a viral indicator used to evaluate the microbial 122 impact in river water present high quantities corresponding to strong impact (Rusiñol et 123 al. 2014) 124 SARS-CoV-2 genes (N1 and N2) were present in the three locations and show 125 concentrations ranging from 2.84E+05 to 3.19E+06 GC/L for N1 target gene and from

126 2,07E+05 to 2,23E+06 for N2 target gene (Table 2).

127 These values are clearly related to COVID-19 cases reported in the contributing areas 128 for each point, showing higher values where higher active cases were registered (Table 129 2). The collection of samples was during a peak of the outbreak, since the active cases 130 (considered the notified cases in the last 14 days prior to the sampling day) represent 131 25% of the total cases of COVID19 reported in the city since the beginning of the 132 outbreak.

133

134 4. Discussion

135

This is the first study that reports SARS-CoV2, and in important quantities, in rivers, however other studies have attempted to find it without success (Haramoto *et al.,* 2020). The urban river of Quito is impacted by the direct discharge of sewage water from a population of almost 3 million inhabitants. The water quality parameters described in Table 1 indicate the high levels of organic and inorganic contamination from those urban rivers. The water parameters measured in 2 of the 3 locations studied were above the national regulations for aquatic life preservation (Ministerio del

143 Ambiente de Ecuador, 2015). However, all environmental values are higher than those

144 registered for reference sites in this same basin (Ríos-Touma *et al.*, 2014)

145

146 Viral human contamination analyzed by HAdV indicator shows the great impact of 147 human excreta in the urban rivers of Quito. Values are very similar from the samples 148 collected in the same locations in 2017 (Guerrero-Latorre *et al.*, 2018). In that study, a 149 metagenomic analysis was carried out in the same locations and sequences from 26 150 human viral pathogens were obtained, revealing the microbial consequences of 151 sewage discharge without previous treatment into natural streams.

152

Nowadays, in the peak of COVID-19 pandemic in Ecuador, levels of SARS-CoV-2 found in urban rivers of Quito are similar as found in sewage of Valencia (Spain) with more than 5000 active cases (Randazzo *et al.* 2020b) and Paris in the epidemic peak of cases with more than 10000 hospitalized cases (Wurtzel *et al.*, 2020). However, at the sampling date in the urban area of Quito only 750 COVID-19 active cases were notified, suggesting an important underdiagnose as seen in many other regions worldwide.

160

161 The implications from our study can be extended to other cities where the sewage is 162 directly discharged into natural streams. Firstly, SARS-CoV-2 presence as other 163 microbial pathogens discharged in open river water might pose a risk of infection for 164 the population in contact with the water downstream. The risk has been recently approached in a QMRA study of WWTP workers exposed to a different concentration 165 166 of SARS-CoV-2 in sewage (Zaneti et al., 2020). However, it is important to remark that 167 only genomic material has been detected in waters and the viability of the virus is not 168 known for polluted waters. Secondly, the viral dissemination into the environment has an unknown impact into livestock and wildlife health, as the spillover events of zoonotic 169 170 links are frequent in the coronaviridae family (Franklin and Bevins, 2020).

171 Finally, the presence of the virus can be also used as a surveillance tool for an early 172 warning system using main sewage discharges along the city helping to control the 173 pandemic where diagnostic tools are limited (Bivins *et al.*, 2020). Also, the method 174 implemented in the present publication can be used in other cities where sewage is not 175 possible to sample and wastewaters are discharged to streams or rivers.

176

177 **5.** Conclusions

178

We have detected for the first time important viral loads of SARS-CoV-2 from rivers in urban streams of Quito. The loads found suggest that cases are probably a lot higher than the official data. Also, implications in dissemination of SARS-CoV-2 in low sanitation countries by polluted freshwaters should be further considered. The low degree of wastewater treatment coverage in the region, might be a factor of increased risk for COVID-19 pandemic.

185

186 Acknowledgements

187 Special thanks to Xavier Amigo and Nature Experience for its invaluable service during188 the sampling campaign.

189

190 Fuding

191 This work was supported by research funds from Universidad de Las Américas,

192 Ecuador.

193

194 6. References

- Allard, A., Albinsson, B., Wadell, G., Albinsson, B.O., 2001. Rapid Typing of
- 196 Human Adenoviruses by a General PCR Combined with Restriction Endonuclease
- 197 Analysis Rapid Typing of Human Adenoviruses by a General PCR Combined with

- 198 Restriction Endonuclease Analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39, 498–505.
- 199 <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.2.498</u>
- Bivins, A., North, D., Ahmad, A., Ahmed, W., Alm, E., Been, F., et al, 2020.
- 201 Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: Global Collaborative to Maximize Contributions
- in the Fight Against COVID-19. Environ. Sci. Technol.
- 203 doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c02388
- 204 CDC, 2020. 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic
- 205 Panel. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 206 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html
- 207 [Accessed 3 Mar 2020].
- 208 Empresa Pública Metropolitana de Agua Potable y Saneamiento. (2011). Estudios
- 209 de Actualización del Plan Maestro Integrado de Agua Potable y Alcantarillado
- 210 para el DMQ. Recuperado el 4 de febrero del 2018 de
- 211 https://www.aguaquito.gob.ec/sites/default/files/documentos/plan_ma
- 212 estro_agua_potable.pdf
- 213 Empresa Pública Metropolitana de Agua Potable y Saneamiento. (2020).
- 214 Programa para la descontaminación de los ríos de Quito.
- 215 <u>https://www.aguaquito.gob.ec/programa-para-la-descontaminacion-de-los-rios-de-</u>
- 216 <u>quito/</u>. [Accessed June 13th, 2020]
- 217 Fernandez-Cassi, X., Timoneda, N., Martínez-Puchol, S., Rusiñol, M., Rodriguez-
- 218 Manzano, J., Figuerola, N., et al. 2018. Metagenomics for the Study of Viruses in
- 219 Urban Sewage as a Tool for Public Health Surveillance. Sci Total Environ. Mar
- 220 15;618:870-880. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.249</u>

- 221 Franklin, A. B., & Bevins, S. N. (2020). Spillover of SARS-CoV-2 into novel wild
- hosts in North America: A conceptual model for perpetuation of the pathogen. *The*
- 223 Science of the total environment, 733, 139358. Advance online publication.
- 224 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139358</u>
- 225 Guerrero-Latorre, L., Romero, B., Bonifaz E., Timoneda, N., Rusiñol, M., Girones,
- 226 R. and Rios-Touma, B. 2018. Quito's virome: Metagenomic analysis of viral
- diversity in urban streams of Ecuador's capital city. Sci Total Environ. 645, pp.
- 228 1334 1343; doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.213</u>
- Haramoto, E., Malla, B., Thakali, O., Kitajima, M., 2020. First environmental
- 230 surveillance for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and river water
- 231 in Japan. medRxiv 2020.06.04.20122747; doi:
- 232 <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122747</u>
- 233 Lodder, W., de Roda Husman, A.M., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: potential
- health risk, but also data source. Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 5, 533–534.
- 235 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30087-X</u>
- 236 Ministerio del Ambiente de Ecuador. 2015. 097-A Refórmese el Texto Unificado
- 237 de Legislación Secundaria. Registro Oficial. Año III No 387. Quito, miércoles 4 de
- 238 noviembre de 2015. P 6 a 78.
- 239 Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simon, P., Allende, A. and
- 240 Sanchez, G. 2020a. SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19
- 241 occurrence in a low prevalence area. Water Research. Volume 181, 15 August
- 242 2020, 115942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115942</u>
- 243 Randazzo, W., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Sanjuan, R., Domingo-Calap, P., Sanchez,
- 244 G., 2020b. Metropolitan Wastewater Analysis for COVID-19 Epidemiological

- 245 Surveillance. medRxiv 2020.04.23.20076679.
- 246 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.23.20076679
- 247 Ríos-Touma, B., Acosta, R., Prat, N., 2014. The Andean biotic index (ABI):
- 248 Revised tolerance to pollution values for macroinvertebrate families and index
- 249 performance evaluation. Rev. Biol. Trop. 62, 249–273.
- 250 Rodriguez Hector, Alexander Delgado, Anna Nolasco, Daniel Saltiel, Gustavo, D.
- J. S. 2020. From Waste to Resource. Water Papers. World Bank.
- 252 <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1596/33436</u>
- 253 Rusiñol, M., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Hundesa, A., Vieira, C., Kern, A., Eriksson, I. et
- al. (2014). Application of human and animal viral microbial source tracking tools in
- fresh and marine waters from five different geographical areas. Water Research.
- 256 59, pp. 119-129. <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.04.013</u>
- 257 SNGRE 2020. Informes de Situación e Infografias COVID 19 desde el 29 de
- 258 Febrero del 2020. <u>https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/informes-de-situacion-</u>
- 259 <u>covid-19-desde-el-13-de-marzo-del-2020/</u>
- 260 UN 2020. Central and South America now 'intense zones' for COVID-19
- transmission. 1 June 2020 <u>https://news.un.org/en/story/2020/06/1065252</u>
- 262 Voloshenko-Rossin, A., Gasser, G., Cohen, K., Gun, J., Cumbal-Flores, L., Parra-
- 263 Morales, W., Sarabia, F., Ojeda, F., Lev, O., 2015. Emerging pollutants in the
- 264 Esmeraldas watershed in Ecuador: discharge and attenuation of emerging organic
- 265 pollutants along the San Pedro–Guayllabamba–Esmeraldas rivers. Environ. Sci.
- 266 Process. Impacts 17, 41–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EM00394B</u>
- 267 WHO. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. 2020.
- 268 https://covid19.who.int/
- 269

- 270 Wurtzer, S., Marechal, V., Mouchel, JM., Maday, Y., Teyssou, R., Richard, E., et
- al. 2020. Evaluation of lockdown impact on SARS-CoV-2 dynamics through viral
- genome quantification in Paris wastewaters. medRxiv 2020.04.12.20062679; doi:
- 273 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.20062679
- Zaneti, R.N., Girardi, V., Spilki, F.R., Mena, K., Campos-Westphalen, A.P., da
- 275 Costa Colares, W.R. et al. 2020. QMRA of SARS-CoV-2 for workers in
- wastewater treatment plants. medRxiv 2020.05.28.20116277; doi:
- 277 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116277
- Zhang, R., Li, Y., Zhang, A.L., Wang, Y., Molina, M.J., 2020. Identifying airborne
- transmission as the dominant route for the spread of COVID-19. Proc. Natl. Acad.
- 280 Sci. 202009637. doi:10.1073/pnas.2009637117
- 281
- 282
- 283
- 284

- 285 Figure 1. Left. Sampling locations in urban rivers from Quito (Guerrero-Latorre et al.
- 286 2018). M1 (0º,14'00.27"S; 78º30'42.43"O), M2 (0º11'52.47"S;78º28'06.46"O), M3
- 287 (0º00'42.98"S; 78º26'25.86"O). Right. Picture of direct discharge of wastewater into
- 288 Machángara River (sampling point M1).





Sampling	рН	Conductivity	DBO ₅ mg	DQO	HAdV
points			O ₂ /L	mg O₂/L	GC/L
M1	7,73	653,07	13	35.706	1,13E+04
M2	8,16	703,2	28*	63.353*	2,18E+04
М3	7,83	696,48	16	43.941*	2,60E+05

291 **Table 1.** Water quality parameters at sampling locations

292

- 293 *Parameters that do not comply with the Ecuadorian normative for aquatic life
- 294 preservation (Ministerio del Ambiente de Ecuador, 2015).

295

- 297 Table 2. Viral loads of SARS-CoV-2 per location and cumulative and active COVID-19
- 298 cases in the influence area.

299

Sampling	SARS-CoV-2 N1	SARS-CoV-2 N2	Cumulative cases	Active cases
points	GC/L	GC/L	(total COVID-19	(COVID-19
			cases until 5th	cases from the
			June)	prior 14 days)
M1	3,19E+06	2,23E+06	2077	579
M2	2,84E+05	2,07E+05	580	81
М3	2,91E+06	8,55E+05	358	90

300