Cerebrospinal fluid A beta 1-40 peptides increase in Alzheimer's disease and are highly correlated with phospho-tau in control individuals

Authors:

Sylvain Lehmann¹, Julien Dumurgier², Xavier Ayrignac³, Cecilia Marelli³, Daniel Alcolea⁴, Juan Fortea Ormaechea⁴, Eric Thouvenot⁵, Constance Delaby¹, Christophe Hirtz¹, Jérôme Vialaret¹, Nelly Ginestet¹, Elodie Bouaziz-Amar², Jean-Louis Laplanche², Pierre Labauge³, Claire Paquet², Alberto Lleo⁴, Audrey Gabelle⁶ for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) *

- (1) CHU de Montpellier, INSERM, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- (2) Centre de Neurologie Cognitive et Service de Biochimie et de Biologie Moléculaire, Groupe Hospitalier Lariboisière Fernand-Widal, INSERMU942, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France.
- (3) CHU de Montpellier, Département de Neurologie, INSERM, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- (4) Sant Pau Memory Unit, Department of Neurology, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- (5) CHU de Nîmes, Département de Neurologie, INSERM, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- (6) Centre Mémoire de Ressources et de Recherche Languedoc-Roussillon, Montpellier, France ; CHU de Montpellier, Hôpital Gui de Chauliac, Montpellier, France.

Corresponding author: Sylvain Lehmann

Key words: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid peptides, tau proteins, Biomarkers, Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF).

Word count in the manuscript: 3230

^{*} Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: at:http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

ABSTRACT

Background:

Amyloid pathology, which is one of the characteristics of Alzheimer's disease (AD), results from altered metabolism of the beta-amyloid peptide (A β) in terms of synthesis, clearance or aggregation. A decrease in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) level A β 1-42 is evident in AD, and the CSF ratio A β 40 /A β 40 has recently been identified as one of the most reliable diagnostic biomarkers of amyloid pathology. Variations in inter-individual levels of A β 1-40 in the CSF have been observed in the past, but their origins remain unclear. In addition, the variation of A β 40 in the context of AD studied in several studies has yielded conflicting results.

Methods:

Here, we analyzed the levels of $A\beta1$ -40 using multicenter data obtained on 2466 samples from six different cohorts in which CSF was collected under standardized protocols, centrifugation and storage conditions. Tau and p-tau(181) concentrations were measured using commercially available in vitro diagnostic immunoassays. Concentrations of CSF $A\beta1$ -42 and $A\beta1$ -40 were measured by ELISA, xMAP technology, chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) and mass spectrometry. Statistical analyses were calculated for parametric and non-parametric comparisons, linear regression, correlation and odds ratios. The statistical tests were adjusted for the effects of covariates (age, in particular).

Results:

Regardless of the analysis method used and the cohorts, a slight but significant age-independent increase in the levels of A β 40 in CSF was observed in AD. We also found a strong positive correlation between the levels of A β 40 and p-tau(181) in CSF, particularly in control patients.

Conclusions:

These results indicate that an increase in the baseline level of amyloid peptides, which are associated with an increase in p-tau(181), may be a biological characteristic of AD. This confirms the potential therapeutic value of lowering the baseline levels of A β 40 which, being

3

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid peptides, cerebrospinal fluid.

elevated, can be considered a risk factor for the disease.

Background

Alzheimer's disease (AD) neuropathological brain lesions consist of aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins, which have also been called neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), and extracellular deposits of amyloid precursor protein (APP) derived amyloid-beta peptides (A β), which are known as amyloid plaques. Much research has been focusing recently on the molecular mechanisms underlying these pathological events as it has become essential to develop preventive and therapeutic strategies for AD. For a long time, the main explanation for the pathogenesis of AD was that amyloidogenesis was the primum movens of the affection, which led to the concept of the amyloid cascade [1]. According to this picture of the disease, the alteration of APP metabolism (increasing amyloid production, decreasing clearance rates..), the aggregation of A β peptides and the formation of amyloid plaques, might result in microglial and astrocyte activation, local inflammatory responses, oxidative stress and eventually in the hyperphosphorylation of tau proteins and secondarily, in the formation of NFTs [2]. The idea that amyloid peptides contribute importantly to the etiology of AD is supported by cases of AD who carry presenilins (1 or 2) or APP mutations [3]. These gene mutations trigger the overproduction of A β peptides or the preferential production of A β 42, which is the most amyloidogenic of all the peptides. An APP gene dose effect triggering AD development, as occurs in Down syndrome [4] and in gene duplication processes [5], is a further/an additional potential factor contributing to amyloid pathogenesis. Other genetic factors have been described, such as apolipoprotein E4 allele, in particular [6]. Studies on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers in AD have greatly improved our understanding of the pathophysiology of this disease. The production of amyloid peptides following the neuronal processing of APP has been involved in the response to physiological challenge with neurotrophic, anti-microbial, tumor suppression or synaptic function regulation activities [7].

Regarding tau proteins which are associated to microtubules, their physiological secretion by neuronal cells is a recent discovery which physiological relevance and benefit is still matter of debate [8]. A decrease in CSF Aβ42 is especially indicative of an amyloidogenic process, while an increase in tau proteins (total tau and its phosphorylated form p-tau(181)) is known to be associated with axonal loss and tau pathology in AD [9, 10]. Tests on these two biomarkers are being included nowadays in the international clinical research guidelines [11, 12], and many centers [11-15], and ourselves [13-15] have integrated them into daily clinical practice. Importantly, these biochemical CSF measurements are concordant with the results of the PET imaging approaches which were initially developed to determine the brain amyloid load [16], and now also serve to measure tau accumulation [17]. These data are in line with hypotheses put forward by Jack et al, [18] about the chronology of the evolution of biomarkers during the pathophysiological process, and the relevance of amyloid markers in particular at a very early stage, probably as early as 10 to 15 years before the onset of clinical symptoms. Under non-pathological conditions, A β 40 is highly correlated with A β 42 [19]. The computation of the ratio $A\beta 42/40$ is now being used in routine clinical practice on AD patients in some centers [20-22]. This is a useful approach for reducing pre-analytical Aβ42 biases [23-25] and improving the diagnostic performances of CSF biomarkers [26], especially in discordant cases [27]. This ratio can also be used to account for interindividual amyloid variations in the baseline CSF level [28]. Low CSF Aβ40 levels might also be indicative of frontotemporal dementia (FTD) [29, 30], cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) [31], HIV [32], multiple sclerosis [33] or normal pressure hydrocephalus [34].

In their meta-analysis of AD biomarkers, Olsson et al. [35] observed the existence of a negligible difference in CSF Aβ40 between AD and control patients. Most of the 32 studies considered had a limited number of patients included in each group (the median number of subjects per group

was less than 30, and the maximum number of subjects was 137 and 328 in AD and non-AD groups, respectively). The focus of these studies were also quite different, looking at the diagnostic interest of $A\beta42/40$ in AD, of $A\beta$ peptides in other neurodegenerative diseases, or being more interested in pathophysiological mechanisms. In the present study, we revisited the issue of the $A\beta40$ levels using large series of multicentre data. The results show the occurrence of a significant age-independent increase in CSF $A\beta40$ in AD. Another noteworthy finding was the existence of a strong positive correlation between CSF $A\beta40$ and the p-tau(181) concentration, even in patients without Alzheimer's disease (NAD). These findings suggest that the baseline amyloid peptide level may constitute a risk factor contributing to sporadic AD, which is associated with p-tau(181) production.

Methods

Study design and subjects

Patients with cognitive impairments were recruited and followed at the Montpellier and Paris Memory Resources Center (CMRR). The Montpellier participants were subdivided into two cohorts which were recruited during different periods: Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1) (recruited from 07/2015 to 05/2017) and Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2) (recruited from 09/2009 to 06/2015). These two periods corresponded to the use of different ELISA kits (SupTable 1). The cohort Mtp-1 consisted of 400 patients (126 AD, 274 NAD), the cohort Mtp-2 consisted of 504 patients (220 AD, 284 NAD). The Paris cohort consisted of 624 patients (299 AD, 325 NAD) from the Centre de Neurologie Cognitive, Groupe Hospitalier Lariboisière Fernand-Widal (recruited from 03/2012 to 05/2017). The Barcelona SPIN (Sant Pau Initiative on Neurodegeneration) cohort (79 AD, 148 NAD) consisted of patients who had undergone lumbar puncture for CSF AD biomarkers at the Sant Pau Memory Unit [36, 37] (recruited from 05/2009 to 12/2017). All the

patients underwent a thorough clinical examination including biological lab tests, neuropsychological assessments and brain imaging. The same diagnostic procedure [27] and AD diagnostic criteria [38] were used at all the clinical centres which participated. The NAD diagnosis included FTD based on relevant criteria [39], dementia with Lewy bodies based on the McKeith criteria [40]), corticobasal degeneration (based on the criteria defined by Boeve et al [41]), progressive supranuclear palsy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, chronic hydrocephalus, vascular dementia and psychiatric disorders (based on the usual consensus diagnostic criteria). All the patients at each clinical centre gave their written informed consent to participating in clinical research on CSF biomarkers, which was approved by the respective Ethics Committees. The committee responsible in Montpellier was the regional Ethics Committee of the Montpellier University Hospital and Montpellier CSF-Neurobank #DC-2008-417 at the certified NFS 96-900 CHU resource center BB-0033-00031, www.biobanques.eu. Authorization to handle personal data was granted by the French Data Protection Authority (CNIL) under the number 1709743 v0. Two sets of data originating from the analysis of CSF samples at the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI) were used after the agreement of the scientific committee. ADNI UPEN-RESULTS, UPEN-ELYCYS (n=311) and MS UPENNMSMSABETA (n=400) data were also used. In the ADNI cohorts, which included many patients with mild cognitive impairments (MCI), we had to rely on the biological PLM (Paris-Lille-Montpellier) scale [42] to define populations with a low (ADNI(-)) and high (ADNI(+)) prevalence of AD. This scale combines the concentration of the three CSF biomarkers [Aβ42, tau, ptau(181)] into a probability scale for AD. The score ranges from 0 to 3 based on the number of abnormal CSF biomarkers. ADNI(-) population corresponded to PLM scale of 0 or 1 with less than 25% of AD, while ADNI(+) corresponded to PLM scale of 2 and 3 with more than 75% of AD. Importantly the PLM score used was not based on the Aβ40 values

so as to prevent circular reasoning. This way of stratifying patients in the ADNI cohort represents anyway a limitation of our study.

CSF samples and assays

CSF was collected using standard conditions of collection, centrifugation and storage [43, 44]. CSF tau and p-tau(181) concentrations were measured using the standardized commercially available INNOTEST_R sandwich ELISA, Luminex® xMAP technology (x = analyte, MAP = Multi-Analyte Profiling) assays in line with the manufacturer's instructions (Fujirebio-Europe). The consistency of the p-tau(181) detection using the ELISA assays is ensured by its comparison with the mass spectrometry detection performed in this fluid [45]. In the Mtp-1 cohort, CSF A β 1-42 and A β 1-40 (denoted here by A β 42 and A β 40) were measured with Euroimmun kits (EQ-6511-9601 (A β 1-40); EQ-6521-9601 (A β 1-42)). In the Mtp-2 and Paris cohorts, CSF A β 42 and A β 40 were measured using INNOTEST_R sandwich ELISA from IBL and Fujirebio, respectively, as recommended by the manufacturer. Roche Elecsys automated chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) and mass spectrometry were used on the ADNI cohorts to measure CSF A β 1-42 and A β 1-40 as previously described [46, 47]. Detection limits of these kits are compatible with CSF clinical ranges. Average concentration of analytes may differs between kits in relation with standard value assignments by the vendors in the absence of certified reference materials.

The pre-analytical procedure was standardized [44] but differed, depending on the type of collection tubes used [36, 48]). This explains the differences observed between cohorts in the mean $A\beta40$ and $A\beta42$ values measured with the same detection kit (SupTable 1). The quality of the results was ensured by using validated standard operating procedures and internal quality controls (QCs). The QC coefficient of variation obtained on the CSF analytes in each batch and between batches ranged consistently below 15%. In addition, external QC procedures were used

to confirm the quality/accuracy of the results [43]. In the case of the ADNI cohorts, CSF samples were deep frozen after the lumbar puncture without performing any centrifugation or aliquoting, and shipped to the UPENN ADNI Biomarker Laboratory in Philadelphia on dry ice, where they were thawed, aliquoted, and re-frozen.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were computed with the MedCalc software program (18.11.3). Data tested for normality are expressed as means \pm SDs, and differences between groups were taken to be significant in the Student's t-tests at P < 0.05. Linear regression was computed between continuous biomarkers, and the corresponding Pearson correlation coefficients and statistical significance have been specified in the tables. When indicated, statistical tests were adjusted to account for the effects of covariates (age, in particular). Odds ratios corresponded to the presence of AD in the various percentile groups, based on the distribution of A β 40. The 95% confidence odds ratio intervals were computed along with the z-statistics and the associated P-values.

Results

CSF Aβ42 and Aβ40 in AD and NAD populations

CSF data on 2466 samples originating from six different cohorts were included in the present study. The AD and NAD populations were defined based on clinical criteria in the Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1), Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2), Paris and Barcelona cohorts, and on the PLM score for the ADNI cohorts. Differences were observed in terms of age and CSF biomarker profiles in the overall population, as well as in each clinical cohort (Table 1). As was to be expected, AD patients obtained lower MMSE scores and showed higher CSF tau and p-tau(181) levels than NAD patients/participants. A significant decrease in the CSF Aβ42 concentrations was observed

in the AD population (Figure 1A), regardless of the cohort tested. Noteworthy differences were also observed in the A β 40 levels between all the cohorts (Figure 1B): the values recorded in the AD population were significantly higher than in the NAD group regardless of analytical method, the sex or the age as covariate.

In the cohorts that combined AD, MCI, FTD, Control (subjective cognitive impairment) and other neurological diseases (OND) patients, the difference between AD and the other clinical groups was eventually confirmed (Figure 2A). The influence of *APOE* status, which was available in the case of 983 samples (524 NAD with 36.6% E4+; 459 AD with 58.4% E4+), was also assessed with respect to the Aβ levels (SupFigure 1A-F). As previously reported [49, 50], the presence of ApoE4 was significantly associated with lower Aβ42 levels, as well as lower Aβ40 levels. The difference in Aβ40 values between NAD and AD patients was also observed in both ApoE4 positive and negative populations (SupFigure 1G-I).

To investigate more closely the relationship between A β 40 and AD diagnosis, the total population was sorted into four percentile classes based on the value of this biomarker in the CSF (<25th, 25th-50th, 50th-75th and >75th percentiles). The percentage of AD patients in each cohort clearly increased along with the A β 40 percentiles (SupTable2). To account for the differences in AD prevalence between the cohorts, the odds ratios for AD were plotted in the case of increasing A β 40 percentile classes, and a significant increase ranging from 0.4 to 1.8 was observed (Figure 2B). To establish whether the difference in age observed between NAD and AD patients (SupTable 1) might be a significant determinant here, the age distribution between percentile classes was also plotted (Figure 2B). A significant difference in age distribution was observed only between the 50th/75th and the >75th A β 40 percentile classes (Figure 2C). Age cannot therefore account for the association between A β 40 levels and AD prevalence. Nevertheless further statistical test were adjusted using age as covariate.

Correlations between AB40 and the other CSF biomarkers

The correlation between Aβ40 and the other CSF biomarkers was computed in global, NAD and AD populations, for each cohort, and in the overall population (Table 2). As was to be expected [19], a correlation was found to exist between A\(\beta\)40 and A\(\beta\)42, especially in the NAD group. Aβ40 was also correlated with the tau levels, and it was striking that the highest correlation coefficients were obtained with p-tau (181) rather than with t-tau, especially in the Mtp-1 cohort (a significant difference was observed between the correlation coefficients at P = 0.02). The correlation was clearly visible when the mean-centred p-tau(181) values were plotted in the various Aβ40 percentile classes (Figure 2D), showing significant differences between classes. This correlation had to be put in perspective with the fact that both analytes increased in AD with the patients' age (SupTable 1), which justifies the adjustments made for age in our statistical analysis. We illustrated graphically the correlations in the NAD and the AD populations which had much higher and more widely distributed p-tau(181) values (Figure 3AB). Interestingly, the correlation coefficients were maintained and became even higher in the NAD population. To document the relationship between Aβ40 and p-tau(181) outside the context of AD, these correlation coefficients were tested in a series of clinically defined patients with multiple sclerosis [51] and FTD [52] (Figure 3CD). The corresponding correlation coefficients were both significantly higher in these groups than in the AD population (P<0.001).

Discussion

The decrease in A β 42 observed in the CSF of AD patients has attracted considerable attention in clinical and research communities. This decrease is attributable to the accumulation of A β 42 in the brain parenchyma, along with a decrease in the rates of CSF clearance and an increase in the

production of oligomeric/multimeric forms. Since determining the CSF Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio provides a useful means of improving AD diagnosis, many groups are now also measuring Aβ40 in their patients. A meta-analysis was however not conclusive regarding its differential levels in AD [35]. Looking back in detail at various studies, Aβ40 was either lower or showed no significant changes [21, 29, 30, 53], or apparently increased in AD in comparison with other forms of dementia [54, 55]. In a recent report, the increase in Aβ40 was clearly identified as one of the reasons for the good performances of the $A\beta42/A\beta40$ ratio as an index [20], while another study on PET amyloid findings also established that CSF A β 40 increased in the PIB+ population [56]. These discrepancies might be linked to differences in cohort composition, since the Aβ40 levels may be affected by various pathological conditions [29-31]. The stage of AD, corresponding to various levels of cerebral atrophy probably reducing amyloid production [57], may also account for differences between studies. This is coherent with a recent study confirming the increase of Aβ40 in prodromal AD [58]. Differences in the precision of the analytical methods used, combined with the size of the cohorts, might also explain why only a small, nonsignificant difference between AD and NAD patients has been observed in some cases. The present study on A β 40, which included the largest number of samples studied so far to our knowledge, gave us a sufficiently statistical power to identify small differences. We therefore confirmed the occurrence of an age- and ApoE- independent increase in CSF Aβ40 in AD in comparison with control cohorts consisting mostly of controls and patients with other neurodegenerative diseases and dementia. This observation is valid in different analytical contexts despite differences in the threshold or range for biomarker measurement. It is worth mentioning that in the blood, where the amyloid peptide 42/40 ratio could well indicate the presence of brain amyloidosis [59, 60], it has been established that high A β 40 levels are associated with greater mortality rate in the elderly [61]. The fact that the CSF and blood amyloid

levels are poorly correlated, however, makes it difficult at this stage to extend the present conclusions to this fluid.

The overlap in the CSF A β 40 values between the AD and NAD populations is worth noting, and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) for AD diagnosis was under 0.8 in all the cohorts tested (SupFigure2). CSF A β 40 cannot therefore be used as a diagnostic biomarker but could be taken to be a feature "risk factor" in view of the odds ratio of almost 2 recorded on the population having the highest CSF A β 40 concentration. The increased A β 40 might be a consequences of a reduced clearance of amyloid peptides in sporadic cases and/or a higher production-lower degradation. This matches the fact that in autosomal dominant forms of AD linked to APP or presenilin mutations [3, 5] and in Down syndrome [4], an overproduction of amyloid peptides is thought to trigger the AD process, along with all its consequences, including tau protein hyperphosphorylation, in particular.

In this context, baseline A β 40 concentration could indicate subjects with risk of early AD development. The positive correlation found to exist in the present study between A β 40 and p-tau(181) in AD is an additional argument supporting this pathophysiological model. Tau has many phosphorylated isoforms [62, 63], some of them believed to be more specific for AD than p-tau(181), highlighting the pathophysiological role and therapeutic interest of kinases like PKA, CAMkII or Cdk5. This isoform is however one of the best indicator of AD pathology in the CSF where it begins to increase as two decades before the development of aggregated tau pathology [45]. In this work, we had to rely only on the correlation with p-tau(181) because it is the only isoform with in vitro diagnostic (IVD) certification and has been measured in large clinical cohorts. The fact that this correlation was also present in a control population including a subgroup of well-defined FTD [52] and multiple sclerosis patients [51] raises many questions, however. It is tempting to take this relationship to confirm that A β peptides may induce the

phosphorylation of tau, as observed both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [64]. Since the present study was based on a cross-sectional design, and without neuropathological confirmation, further studies involving a longitudinal design are now required to confirm the idea that high baseline CSF levels of $A\beta$ peptides may have prejudicial effects, leading to AD. The results obtained here are certainly consistent with the idea that approaches making it possible to reduce amyloid beta production rates and levels in the CSF in particular $A\beta40$, will create valuable new opportunities for developing new curative and/or preventive interventions in AD.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All the patients at each clinical centre gave their written informed consent to participating in clinical research on CSF biomarkers, which was approved by the respective Ethics Committees. The committee responsible in Montpellier was the regional Ethics Committee of the Montpellier University Hospital and Montpellier CSF-Neurobank #DC-2008-417 at the certified NFS 96-900 CHU resource center BB-0033-00031, www.biobanques.eu. Authorization to handle personal data was granted by the French Data Protection Authority (CNIL) under the number 1709743 v0.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used for the analyses are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors report no conflict of interest to disclose.

Funding

This work was supported by "France Alzheimer" and via the French National Alzheimer effort ("Le Plan Alzheimer"). Data collection and sharing for this project was also funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica,

Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan

Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its

affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer

Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research

& Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.;

NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer

Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics.

The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in

Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes

of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for

Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research

Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory

for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

Authors' contributions

Lehmann, Dumurgier, Lleo, Gabelle had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for their presentation and the accuracy of the analysis.

Concept and design: Dumurgier, Gabelle, Lehmann.

Acquisition of the data (biological and clinical): Ayrignac, Marelli, Alcolea, Fortea, Thouvenot, Delaby, Hirtz, Vialaret, Ginestet, Bouaziz-Amar, Laplanche, Labaug, Paquet.

Drafting of the manuscript: Dumurgier, Gabelle, Lehmann.

Administrative, technical, and material support: Hirtz, Delaby.

Abbreviations

AD: Alzheimer's disease; ADNI: Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; APP: amyloid precursor protein; AUC: Area under the curve; Aβ: Amyloid β; CAA: cerebral amyloid

angiopathy; CI: Confidence interval; CLIA: chemiluminescence immunoassay; CMRR: Centre Mémoire de Ressources et de Recherche; CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid; FTD: Frontotemporal degeneration; FTLD: Frontotemporal lobar degeneration; LBD: Lewy Body dementia; MCI: Mild cognitive impairment; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; MRI: magnetic-resonance brain imaging; NAD: Non Alzheimer disease; NFT: neurofibrillary tangles; PET: Positron-Emission Tomography; PiB: Pittsburgh compound B; PLM: Paris-Lille-Montpellier; PSP: Progressive Supra-nuclear Palsy; QC: Quality control; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; SD: Standard deviation.

Figures

Figure 1: CSF Aβ42 and Aβ40 in Non-AD and AD populations

CSF concentration of A β 42 (panel A) and A β 40 (panel B) in six independent cohorts (Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1), Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2), Paris, SPIN-Barcelona, ADNI-MA, ADNI-Elecsys) confirmed the significant difference between NAD and AD patients for both analytes (test). Note that A β has been assess using five different detection methods (supTable 1).

Figure 2: $A\beta 40$ in different diagnosis; Representation in percentile; AD odd ratio, age and p-

tau(181) distribution

The SPIN-Barcelona, Mtp-2 and Paris cohorts displayed a large range of pathological samples from patients with AD, Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), FTD, Control (subjective cognitive impairment) and other neurological diseases (OND). Mean-centred Aβ40 values in these cohorts were combined and compared in the different clinical groups confirming the significant increase of the peptides in AD (Panel A). The four cohorts (Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1), Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2), Paris, SPIN-Barcelona) have been sorted in four classes based on their Aβ40 percentile values as follows; p25: <25th percentile, p25-50: 25th-50th percentile; p50-75: 50th-75th percentile; p75: >75th. The odd ratio for AD (panel B), the age of the patients (panel C) and the concentration of CSF p-tau(181) (panel D) were then plotted in each percentile class. Significant differences between classes are indicated.

Figure 3: Correlation between A β 40 and p-tau(181) in different clinical populations

To illustrate the correlation between A β 40 and p-tau(181) (table 2), the mean-centered concentrations of the two analytes in the total study population were plotted in NAD (panel A)

18

and AD populations (panel B). A β 40 and p-tau(181) concentration were also plotted in a selection of multiple sclerosis (panel C) and FTD patients (panel D).

Table 1

Demographical and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers characteristics of the six cohorts, Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1), Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2), Paris, SPIN-Barcelona, ADNI-MS and ADNI-Elecsys. Results are expressed as the mean +/- standard deviation (SD). Abbreviation: MMSE = mini mental state examination; AD=Alzheimer's disease; NAD= Non Alzheimer's disease; ADNI(-)= cognitive patients with non Alzheimer's disease PLM profile; ADNI(+)= cognitive patients with Alzheimer's disease PLM profile; P significance level of the Student's t-test and * Chi-squared test for the comparison of two proportions. Values of A β 40, A β 42, tau and p-

tau(181) are in pg/mL.

Cohort / analyte	_		AD			٦	Cohort / analyte	NAD		AD		
Mtp-1	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	П	SPIN-Barcelona	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
Aß40	7035	2800	8579	3274	<0.0001		Aß40	6799	3633	7985	2891	0.0128
Aß42	909	399	561	237	<0.0001	Н	Aß42	793	288	440	81	<0.0001
TAU	343	279	739	267	<0.0001	Н	TAU	284	223	727	392	<0.0001
PTAU	42	16	96	36	<0.0001	Н	PTAU	47	22	103	47	<0.0001
Age	67.0	11.4	70.7	9.2	0.0015	Н	Age	66.1	10.1	70.8	8.3	0.0004
MMSE	22.1	6.2	19.8	7.5	0.1392	Н	MMSE	26.6	6.2	23.5	4.6	0.0097
Sex (%M)	53.6%	/	38.1%	/	0.0040*	Н	Sex (%M)	57.4%	/	38.0%	/	0.0055*
ApoE (% E4)	NA						ApoE (% E4)	63.3%	/	49.4%	/	0.1910*
Cohort / analyte	NAD		AD				Cohort / analyte	ADNI(-)		ADNI(+)		
Mtp-2	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	П	ADNI-MS	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
Aß40	12720	6046	16802	6473	<0.0001	Н	Aß40	7387	2517	8101	2167	0.0032
Aß42	821	369	700	334	0.0002	Н	Aß42	1076	547	620	231	<0.0001
TAU	321	248	655	302	<0.0001	Н	TAU	66	24	140	58	<0.0001
PTAU	42	18	89	37	<0.0001	Н	PTAU	23	8	50	18	<0.0001
Age	66.0	12.7	70.0	9.3	0.0001	Н	Age	75.4	6.7	74.0	7.5	0.0430
MMSE	21.9	7.3	19.6	5.4	0.0031	Н	MMSE	27.3	2.4	25.9	2.6	<0.0001
Sex (%M)	51.4%	/	50.9%	/	0.9114*	Н	Sex (%M)	61.0%	/	58.6%	/	0.6349*
ApoE (% E4)	ND					Ш	ApoE (% E4)	53.8%	/	89.3%	/	<0.001*
Cohort / analyte	NAD		AD				Cohort / analyte	ADNI(-)		ADNI(+)		
Paris	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	П	ADNI-Elecsys	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
Aß40	10767	4468	13149	5850	<0.0001	Н	Aß40	15865	5265	19417	5224	<0.0001
Aß42	925	277	574	200	<0.0001	Н	Aß42	1039	610	673	269	<0.0001
TAU	236	134	609	280	<0.0001	Н	TAU	241	68	433	148	<0.0001
PTAU	42	16	90	37	<0.0001	Н	PTAU	21	6	45	18	<0.0001
Age	65.2	9.4	70.7	8.1	<0.0001	H	Age	72.9	7.3	72.8	6.3	0.9155
MMSE	23.0	5.2	21.8	5.8	0.0069	H	MMSE	27.1	2.4	25.4	3.6	<0.0001
Sex (%M)	51.9%	/	38.5%	/	0.0008*	H	Sex (%M)	59.8%	/	49.7%	/	0.0816*
ApoE (% E4)	NA					H	ApoE (% E4)	49.7%	/	38.6%	/	0.1538*

Table 2

Age adjusted Pearson correlation between A β 40 and A β 42, tau or p-tau(181) values in the six cohorts (Montpellier 1 (Mtp-1), Montpellier 2 (Mtp-2), Paris, SPIN-Barcelona, ADNI-MS, ADNI-Elecsys), in the overall population using mean-centred values to account for level differences between analytical methods. Computation has been done in the All population and in the NAD, AD, ADNI(-), ADNI(+) groups. Correlation coefficient statistical value P<0.001 for all but * P<0.01.

Aß40	All			NAD or ADNI(-)				AD or ADNI(+)			
correlation	pTau	Tau	Aß42	pTau	Tau	Aß42	pTau	Tau	Aß42		
Mtp-1	0.501	0.377	0.520	0.557	0.235*	0.764	0.504	0.443	0.613		
Mtp-2	0.514	0.443	0.407	0.430	0.244	0.533	0.304	0.213*	0.469		
Paris	0.419	0.36	0.188	0.421	0.145*	0.571	0.288	0.245	0.247		
SPIN-Barcelona	0.468	0.396	0.161	0.483	0.348	0.419	0.355	0.256*	0.308*		
ADNI_MS	0.254	0.413	0.505	0.257	0.496	0.705	0.223*	0.470	0.574		
ADNI_Elecsys	0.536	0.567	0.449	0.487	0.624	0.782	0.502	0.496	0.580		
Overall	0.445	0.418	0.368	0.455	0.318	0.615	0.339	0.318	0.426		

Reference

- 1. Blennow K, de Leon MJ, Zetterberg H: **Alzheimer's disease.** *The Lancet* 2006, **368:**387-403.
- 2. Bloom GS: Amyloid-beta and tau: the trigger and bullet in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis. *JAMA Neurol* 2014, **71:**505-508.
- 3. Bertram L, Lill CM, Tanzi RE: **The genetics of Alzheimer disease: back to the future.**Neuron 2010, **68:**270-281.
- Wiseman FK, Al-Janabi T, Hardy J, Karmiloff-Smith A, Nizetic D, Tybulewicz VL, et al:
 A genetic cause of Alzheimer disease: mechanistic insights from Down syndrome.

 Nat Rev Neurosci 2015, 16:564-574.
- 5. Rovelet-Lecrux A, Hannequin D, Raux G, Le Meur N, Laquerriere A, Vital A, et al: **APP** locus duplication causes autosomal dominant early-onset Alzheimer disease with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Nat Genet* 2006, **38:**24-26.
- 6. Strittmatter WJ, Weisgraber KH, Huang DY, Dong LM, Salvesen GS, Pericak-Vance M, et al: Binding of human apolipoprotein E to synthetic amyloid beta peptide: isoform-specific effects and implications for late-onset Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1993, 90:8098-8102.
- Brothers HM, Gosztyla ML, Robinson SR: The Physiological Roles of Amyloid-beta
 Peptide Hint at New Ways to Treat Alzheimer's Disease. Front Aging Neurosci 2018,
 10:118.
- 8. Pernegre C, Duquette A, Leclerc N: **Tau Secretion: Good and Bad for Neurons.** *Front Neurosci* 2019, **13:**649.
- 9. Andreasen N, Blennow K: **CSF biomarkers for mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease.** Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2005, **107:**165-173.

- 10. Blennow K, Hampel H: **CSF markers for incipient Alzheimer's disease.** *Lancet Neurol* 2003, **2:**605-613.
- 11. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR, Jr., Kawas CH, et al:

 The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the

 National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic

 guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011, 7:263-269.
- 12. Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Hampel H, Molinuevo JL, Blennow K, et al: Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. Lancet Neurol 2014, 13:614-629.
- 13. Gabelle A, Dumurgier J, Vercruysse O, Paquet C, Bombois S, Laplanche JL, et al: Impact of the 2008-2012 French Alzheimer Plan on the use of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in research memory center: the PLM Study. J Alzheimers Dis 2013, 34:297-305.
- 14. Blennow K, Dubois B, Fagan AM, Lewczuk P, de Leon MJ, Hampel H: Clinical utility of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in the diagnosis of early Alzheimer's disease.

 *Alzheimers Dement 2014.
- 15. Lewczuk P, Kornhuber J, Toledo JB, Trojanowski JQ, Knapik-Czajka M, Peters O, et al: Validation of the Erlangen Score Algorithm for the Prediction of the Development of Dementia due to Alzheimer's Disease in Pre-Dementia Subjects. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 2015, 48:433-441.
- Nordberg A: PET imaging of amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. Lancet Neurol 2004,
 3:519-527.
- 17. Villemagne VL, Fodero-Tavoletti MT, Masters CL, Rowe CC: **Tau imaging: early** progress and future directions. *Lancet Neurol* 2015, **14:**114-124.

- 18. Jack CR, Jr., Wiste HJ, Vemuri P, Weigand SD, Senjem ML, Zeng G, et al: Brain beta-amyloid measures and magnetic resonance imaging atrophy both predict time-to-progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. Brain 2010, 133:3336-3348.
- 19. Gabelle A, Roche S, Geny C, Bennys K, Labauge P, Tholance Y, et al: Correlations between soluble alpha/beta forms of amyloid precursor protein and Abeta38, 40, and 42 in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Brain Res* 2010, 1357:175-183.
- 20. Dorey A, Perret-Liaudet A, Tholance Y, Fourier A, Quadrio I: Cerebrospinal Fluid Abeta40 Improves the Interpretation of Abeta42 Concentration for Diagnosing Alzheimer's Disease. Front Neurol 2015, 6:247.
- 21. Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Otto M, Maler JM, Henkel AW, Henkel MK, et al:

 Neurochemical diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia by CSF Aβ42, Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio

 and total tau. Neurobiology of Aging 2004, 25:273-281.
- 22. Lehmann S, Delaby C, Boursier G, Catteau C, Ginestet N, Tiers L, et al: Relevance of Abeta42/40 Ratio for Detection of Alzheimer Disease Pathology in Clinical Routine: The PLMR Scale. Front Aging Neurosci 2018, 10:138.
- 23. Gervaise-Henry C, Watfa G, Albuisson E, Kolodziej A, Dousset B, Olivier JL, et al: Cerebrospinal Fluid Abeta42/Abeta40 as a Means to Limiting Tube- and Storage-Dependent Pre-Analytical Variability in Clinical Setting. J Alzheimers Dis 2017, 57:437-445.
- 24. Lewczuk P, Lelental N, Spitzer P, Maler JM, Kornhuber J: Amyloid-beta 42/40 cerebrospinal fluid concentration ratio in the diagnostics of Alzheimer's disease: validation of two novel assays. J Alzheimers Dis 2015, 43:183-191.

- 25. Willemse E, van Uffelen K, Brix B, Engelborghs S, Vanderstichele H, Teunissen C: How to handle adsorption of cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta (1-42) in laboratory practice? Identifying problematic handlings and resolving the issue by use of the Abeta42/Abeta40 ratio. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017, 13:885-892.
- 26. Hansson O, Lehmann S, Otto M, Zetterberg H, Lewczuk P: Advantages and disadvantages of the use of the CSF Amyloid beta (Abeta) 42/40 ratio in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2019, 11:34.
- 27. Dumurgier J, Schraen S, Gabelle A, Vercruysse O, Bombois S, Laplanche JL, et al: Cerebrospinal fluid amyloid-beta 42/40 ratio in clinical setting of memory centers: a multicentric study. Alzheimers Res Ther 2015, 7:30.
- 28. Wiltfang J, Esselmann H, Bibl M, Hull M, Hampel H, Kessler H, et al: Amyloid beta peptide ratio 42/40 but not A beta 42 correlates with phospho-Tau in patients with low- and high-CSF A beta 40 load. *J Neurochem* 2007, 101:1053-1059.
- 29. Bibl M, Mollenhauer B, Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Wolf S, Otto M, et al: Cerebrospinal fluid tau, p-tau 181 and amyloid-beta38/40/42 in frontotemporal dementias and primary progressive aphasias. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011, 31:37-44.
- 30. Gabelle A, Roche S, Geny C, Bennys K, Labauge P, Tholance Y, et al: **Decreased**sAbetaPPbeta, Abeta38, and Abeta40 cerebrospinal fluid levels in frontotemporal
 dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2011, 26:553-563.
- 31. Renard D, Castelnovo G, Wacongne A, Le Floch A, Thouvenot E, Mas J, et al: Interest of CSF biomarker analysis in possible cerebral amyloid angiopathy cases defined by the modified Boston criteria. *J Neurol* 2012.
- 32. Gisslen M, Krut J, Andreasson U, Blennow K, Cinque P, Brew BJ, et al: **Amyloid and tau cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in HIV infection.** *BMC Neurol* 2009, **9:**63.

- 33. Pietroboni AM, Caprioli M, Carandini T, Scarioni M, Ghezzi L, Arighi A, et al: CSF beta-amyloid predicts prognosis in patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2019, 25:1223-1231.
- 34. Chen Z, Liu C, Zhang J, Relkin N, Xing Y, Li Y: Cerebrospinal fluid Abeta42, t-tau, and p-tau levels in the differential diagnosis of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fluids Barriers CNS 2017, 14:13.
- 35. Olsson B, Lautner R, Andreasson U, Öhrfelt A, Portelius E, Bjerke M, et al: **CSF and blood biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *The Lancet Neurology* 2016, **15:**673-684.
- 36. Alcolea D, Martinez-Lage P, Sanchez-Juan P, Olazaran J, Antunez C, Izagirre A, et al: Amyloid precursor protein metabolism and inflammation markers in preclinical Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2015, 85:626-633.
- 37. Sala I, Illan-Gala I, Alcolea D, Sanchez-Saudinos MB, Salgado SA, Morenas-Rodriguez E, et al: Diagnostic and Prognostic Value of the Combination of Two Measures of Verbal Memory in Mild Cognitive Impairment due to Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017, **58:**909-918.
- 38. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM: Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1984, 34:939-944.
- 39. McKhann GM, Albert MS, Grossman M, Miller B, Dickson D, Trojanowski JQ: Clinical and pathological diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia: report of the Work Group on Frontotemporal Dementia and Pick's Disease. *Arch Neurol* 2001, **58:**1803-1809.

- 40. McKeith IG: Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): report of the Consortium on DLB International Workshop. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2006, **9:**417-423.
- 41. Boeve BF, Maraganore DM, Parisi JE, Ahlskog JE, Graff-Radford N, Caselli RJ, et al: Pathologic heterogeneity in clinically diagnosed corticobasal degeneration. Neurology 1999, 53:795-800.
- 42. Lehmann S, Schraen S, Paquet C, Bombois S, Delaby C, Dorey A, et al: A diagnostic scale for Alzheimer's disease based on cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profiles.

 Alzheimer's Research & Therapy 2014, 6:38
- 43. Dumurgier J, Vercruysse O, Paquet C, Bombois S, Chaulet C, Laplanche JL, et al:

 Intersite variability of CSF Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in clinical setting.

 Alzheimers Dement 2013, 9:406-413.
- 44. Del Campo M, Mollenhauer B, Bertolotto A, Engelborghs S, Hampel H, Simonsen AH, et al: Recommendations to standardize preanalytical confounding factors in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers: an update. Biomark Med 2012, 6:419-430.
- 45. Barthelemy NR, Li Y, Joseph-Mathurin N, Gordon BA, Hassenstab J, Benzinger TLS, et al: A soluble phosphorylated tau signature links tau, amyloid and the evolution of stages of dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med* 2020, **26:**398-407.
- 46. Hansson O, Seibyl J, Stomrud E, Zetterberg H, Trojanowski JQ, Bittner T, et al: CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease concord with amyloid-beta PET and predict clinical progression: A study of fully automated immunoassays in BioFINDER and ADNI cohorts. Alzheimers Dement 2018, 14:1470-1481.

- 47. Spellman DS, Wildsmith KR, Honigberg LA, Tuefferd M, Baker D, Raghavan N, et al: Development and evaluation of a multiplexed mass spectrometry based assay for measuring candidate peptide biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) CSF. Proteomics Clin Appl 2015, 9:715-731.
- 48. Perret-Liaudet A, Pelpel M, Tholance Y, Dumont B, Vanderstichele H, Zorzi W, et al:

 Risk of Alzheimer's disease biological misdiagnosis linked to cerebrospinal collection

 tubes. J Alzheimers Dis 2012, 31:13-20.
- 49. Peskind ER, Li G, Shofer J, Quinn JF, Kaye JA, Clark CM, et al: **Age and**apolipoprotein E*4 allele effects on cerebrospinal fluid beta-amyloid 42 in adults
 with normal cognition. *Arch Neurol* 2006, 63:936-939.
- 50. Sunderland T, Mirza N, Putnam KT, Linker G, Bhupali D, Durham R, et al:
 Cerebrospinal fluid beta-amyloid1-42 and tau in control subjects at risk for
 Alzheimer's disease: the effect of APOE epsilon4 allele. Biol Psychiatry 2004, 56:670-676.
- 51. Vukusic S, Casey R, Rollot F, Brochet B, Pelletier J, Laplaud DA, et al: Observatoire Francais de la Sclerose en Plaques (OFSEP): A unique multimodal nationwide MS registry in France. Mult Scler 2018:1352458518815602.
- 52. Marelli C, Gutierrez LA, Menjot de Champfleur N, Charroud C, De Verbizier D, Touchon J, et al: Late-onset behavioral variant of frontotemporal lobar degeneration versus Alzheimer's disease: Interest of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker ratios.

 Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2015, 1:371-379.
- 53. Janelidze S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, Palmqvist S, Vanderstichele H, Lindberg O, et al:
 CSF Abeta42/Abeta40 and Abeta42/Abeta38 ratios: better diagnostic markers of
 Alzheimer disease. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2016, 3:154-165.

- Welge V, Fiege O, Lewczuk P, Mollenhauer B, Esselmann H, Klafki HW, et al:
 Combined CSF tau, p-tau181 and amyloid-beta 38/40/42 for diagnosing Alzheimer's
 disease. J Neural Transm 2009, 116:203-212.
- 55. Sundelof J, Sundstrom J, Hansson O, Eriksdotter-Jonhagen M, Giedraitis V, Larsson A, et al: **Higher cathepsin B levels in plasma in Alzheimer's disease compared to**healthy controls. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010, **22:**1223-1230.
- 56. Lewczuk P, Matzen A, Blennow K, Parnetti L, Molinuevo JL, Eusebi P, et al:
 Cerebrospinal Fluid Abeta42/40 Corresponds Better than Abeta42 to Amyloid PET
 in Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017, 55:813-822.
- 57. Jack CR, Jr., Wiste HJ, Vemuri P, Weigand SD, Senjem ML, Zeng G, et al: **Brain beta-amyloid measures and magnetic resonance imaging atrophy both predict time-to-progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease.** *Brain* 2011, **133:**3336-3348.
- 58. Tijms BM, Vermunt L, Zwan MD, van Harten AC, van der Flier WM, Teunissen CE, et al: **Pre-amyloid stage of Alzheimer's disease in cognitively normal individuals.** *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2018, **5**:1037-1047.
- 59. Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, Kato T, Doecke J, Dore V, et al: High performance plasma amyloid-beta biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. Nature 2018, 554:249-254.
- 60. Hanon O, Vidal JS, Lehmann S, Bombois S, Allinquant B, Treluyer JM, et al: Plasma amyloid levels within the Alzheimer's process and correlations with central biomarkers. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018.

- 61. Gabelle A, Schraen S, Gutierrez LA, Pays C, Rouaud O, Buee L, et al: Plasma betaamyloid 40 levels are positively associated with mortality risks in the elderly.

 *Alzheimers Dement 2015, 11:672-680.
- 62. Simic G, Babic Leko M, Wray S, Harrington C, Delalle I, Jovanov-Milosevic N, et al:

 Tau Protein Hyperphosphorylation and Aggregation in Alzheimer's Disease and

 Other Tauopathies, and Possible Neuroprotective Strategies. *Biomolecules* 2016, 6:6.
- 63. Martin L, Latypova X, Terro F: **Post-translational modifications of tau protein:**implications for Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem Int* 2011, **58:**458-471.
- 64. Selenica ML, Brownlow M, Jimenez JP, Lee DC, Pena G, Dickey CA, et al: **Amyloid** oligomers exacerbate tau pathology in a mouse model of tauopathy. *Neurodegener Dis* 2013, **11:**165-181.

Figure 1 Panel A




Figure 1 Panel B







Figure 2










Figure 3

