

1 **LOW BACK PAIN IN COMPENSATED AUSTRALIAN**
2 **WORKERS: A RETROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY**

3 Michael Di Donato^a, Rachelle Buchbinder^b, Ross Iles^a, Shannon Gray^a, Alex Collie^a

4

5 ^a: Insurance Work and Health Group, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine,

6 Monash University, 553 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia

7 ^b: Monash Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Cabrini Institute and Department of

8 Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, 4

9 Drysdale St, Malvern, Victoria 3144, Australia.

10

11 **Corresponding author:** Mr Michael Di Donato

12 michael.didonato@monash.edu; +61 03 9905 6417

13 Insurance Work and Health Group, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine,

14 Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

15 553 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

16

17 **Word count (excluding title page, abstract, references, and tables):** 3,244

18

19 **Keywords:** low back pain; workers compensation; work disability;

20

21

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 **Objectives**

24 To describe incidence, duration, and patterns of working time loss claims in compensated
25 Australian workers with low back pain (LBP), and compare this with other musculoskeletal
26 conditions.

27 **Methods**

28 The National Dataset for Compensation-based Statistics (NDS) was used for this study. Any
29 accepted workers' compensation time loss claims for LBP, limb fracture or limb soft tissue
30 disease occurring between July 2010 and June 2015 were included. Demographic information,
31 occupation, and total cumulative time loss data were extracted. Counts, rates per 10,000
32 covered workers, the relative risk and median duration of time loss were calculated.

33 Multivariate Cox and quantile regression models were used to determine factors affecting
34 time loss duration and patterns.

35 **Results**

36 There were 56,102 LBP claims, 42,957 limb fracture claims, and 18,249 limb soft tissue
37 disease claims. The relative risk of a claim for LBP was significantly greater than limb
38 fractures after adjustment for all covariates (RR 1.30, 95%CI 1.29 – 1.32, $p < 0.001$). LBP
39 claims had longer median time loss (9.39 weeks) than limb fracture claims (9.21 weeks).

40 Quantile regression demonstrated that LBP claims were more likely than limb fracture claims
41 to resolve within seven weeks, and to persist for periods beyond seven weeks.

42 **Conclusions**

43 There were differential patterns of time loss in LBP claims and limb fracture claims. The
44 interaction between conditions, and policies and practices may contribute to these patterns.

45 The findings should reiterate to workers' compensation stakeholders the importance of
46 returning a worker to work as soon as practicable, to avoid future delays or challenges.

47 **KEY MESSAGES**

48 **What is already known about this subject?**

- 49 • LBP is a prevalent musculoskeletal symptom the leading contributor to the burden of
50 disability for people of working age.
- 51 • Musculoskeletal conditions like LBP comprise the largest proportion of compensated
52 conditions in Australia.

53 **What are the new findings?**

- 54 • The incidence of claims for work-related LBP is higher than other work-related
55 musculoskeletal conditions.
- 56 • Median time loss associated with a claim for LBP is similar to time loss for a claim
57 for a limb fracture but less than for limb soft tissue disease claims.
- 58 • The likelihood of time loss duration is greater for workers with claims LBP than
59 claims for limb fracture in the short term (seven weeks), but lower than limb fracture
60 claims after this time.

61 **How might this impact on policy or clinical practice in the foreseeable future?**

- 62 • Workers' compensation stakeholders including clinicians, insurers, and workers'
63 compensation authorities should carefully consider the importance of returning a
64 worker to work as soon as practicable to avoid future challenges, particularly for a
65 condition as burdensome as LBP.

66 INTRODUCTION

67 Low back pain (LBP) is a prevalent musculoskeletal symptom and the leading contributor to
68 the burden of disease.¹⁻³ Non-specific LBP typically has a favourable prognosis, with the
69 majority of people with LBP recovering normal function within two weeks of pain onset.^{3,4}
70 However, LBP may be associated with activity limitation in the acute and even chronic
71 setting.^{3, 5-7} A large proportion of LBP sufferers are of working age.¹⁻³ A worker may seek
72 wage replacement from an injury compensation or benefit system such as workers'
73 compensation or social security (hereafter referred to as 'income support system') if they are
74 unable to work due to LBP.⁸

75 In Australia, if a condition such as LBP is determined to be work-related, then the worker
76 may be eligible for payment for lost wages from a workers' compensation scheme.^{9, 10} Injury
77 and musculoskeletal disorders constitute the majority of workers' compensation claims in
78 Australia; 89% of serious claims in the 2016-17 financial year were for injuries and
79 musculoskeletal disorders.^{11, 12} During the same period body stressing in the upper and lower
80 back were the most common mechanism and location of injury, respectively.

81 While there have been previous estimates of the incidence of compensated musculoskeletal
82 disorders in Australia,¹² there are fewer estimates examining cases of work-related LBP
83 specifically. Additionally, factors affecting compensated time loss have previously been
84 estimated for musculoskeletal disorders more broadly, but not specifically for LBP in
85 Australia.¹³⁻¹⁵ Finally, comparisons of claim incidence and time loss between claims for LBP
86 and other musculoskeletal conditions have rarely been made in published literature.

87 The objectives of this study were therefore to (1) Determine the incidence of compensated
88 work-related LBP in Australia and compare that with the incidence of two other common
89 compensated work-related musculoskeletal disorders - limb fracture and limb soft tissue

90 disease (muscle and tendon diseases); and (2) Determine and compare the duration of,
91 patterns and factors affecting working time loss in compensated Australian workers with
92 these three sets of conditions.

93 **METHODS**

94 **Data source**

95 This study used the National Dataset for Compensation-based Statistics (NDS). This
96 administrative dataset is compiled by Safe Work Australia.¹⁶ Each state and territory in
97 Australia has its own workers' compensation scheme, and there are three Commonwealth
98 (Federal) schemes.¹⁰ These systems are cause-based; wage replacement is only provided to
99 those where an injury or illness is "attributable to a specific employment-related cause".^{9, 10, 17,}
100 ¹⁸ Further description of the Australian workers' compensation setting is available
101 elsewhere.^{9, 10} These schemes provide data to Safe Work Australia to be collated in the NDS
102 annually. The NDS contains claim-level data including: worker age, sex, occupation, industry,
103 jurisdiction, socioeconomic status, remoteness, employment status, and cumulative time loss
104 (reported in weeks). Safe Work Australia also supply a denominator dataset that contains the
105 number of workers covered by workers' compensation insurance in a given year for each of
106 sex, age, occupation, and jurisdiction. The NDS and denominator data have been used in
107 several previous studies.^{19, 20}

108 **Sample**

109 All accepted claims involving at least one day of compensated time away from work (i.e.,
110 time loss claims) by workers who sustained work-related (1) low back pain, (2) limb fracture,
111 and (3) muscle and tendon diseases between 1 July 2010 and 30 June 2015 (i.e., five
112 Australian financial years) were included. Each of the three groups were defined using the
113 Type of Occurrence Classification System (TOOCS) version 3 revision 1 (see appendix 1).²¹

114 The comparator groups were chosen as they represent musculoskeletal conditions that were
115 hypothesised were likely to have different (limb fractures) and similar (muscle and tendon
116 diseases) patterns of functional recovery and occupational outcomes compared to LBP.^{22, 23}
117 The sample included workers aged > 15 years and < 80 years. Claims were excluded if they
118 contained unlikely weekly working hours prior to a claim (< 1 hour and > 100 hours). Claims
119 with time-loss less than two weeks or greater than 365 weeks were excluded, and censor
120 indicator marked the maximum duration of any one claim at 104 weeks' time loss (i.e., two
121 years).^{19, 20, 24} Filtering claims in this manner creates a standardised cohort across all
122 jurisdictions. For example, some jurisdictions require an employer to pay the first 10 business
123 days wage replacement (i.e., the two week filter), and each jurisdiction has a different
124 maximum wage replacement period (i.e., censoring at 104 weeks, or two years). These
125 eligibility criteria have been applied previously in studies using the NDS.^{19, 20, 24} Application
126 of these eligibility criteria is described in Figure 1.

127 **Outcome variables**

128 The primary outcome variables for this study were (1) the incidence of accepted workers'
129 compensation time loss claims per 10,000 covered workers, and (2) duration of time loss for
130 workers with accepted claims in weeks. These outcomes have been used in previous similar
131 studies.^{19, 20, 24}

132 **Independent variable**

133 Worker condition (i.e., LBP, limb fracture, or limb soft tissue disease) was the independent
134 variable in analyses.

135 **Covariates**

136 Covariates were chosen based on past association with study outcomes in groups of workers
137 with LBP and other musculoskeletal conditions and availability within the dataset.^{16, 19, 24} Age

138 at the time of injury was reported as age group (15-24 years, 25-34 years, 35-44 years, 45-55
139 years, and 55 > years), and sex reported in binary terms (male / female). Australian and New
140 Zealand Classification of Occupations (ANZSCO) major codes were used to define eight
141 major occupation groups.²⁵ Jurisdiction was defined as the workers' compensation
142 jurisdiction in which the claim was accepted. Socioeconomic status was defined by the Index
143 of Relative Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD).^{26,27} As per previous
144 analyses, the middle three quintiles were collapsed, with the most advantaged and most
145 disadvantaged quintiles at either extreme.²⁴ Accessibility / Remoteness Index of Australia
146 (ARIA) provided five categories of remoteness.^{26,28} Finally, workers were classified as full-
147 time if they worked ≥ 35 hours per week, or part-time otherwise.

148 Portions of the IRSAD (8.54%), ARIA (8.43%), and ANZSCO (0.39%) variables had
149 missing values. IRSAD and ARIA are both derived from postcode, likely leading to similar
150 proportion of missing values. Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE) was
151 used to impute the missing variables. MICE was performed for 10 imputations with 20
152 iterations of each imputation.²⁹

153 **Analysis**

154 Descriptive statistics were first used to determine the counts of time loss claims for LBP and
155 the comparator conditions for each covariate. Claim incidence was calculated for LBP and
156 the comparator conditions for sex, age group, occupation and jurisdiction. Poisson regression
157 was used to calculate the unadjusted relative risk of a claim for each condition. The
158 covariates sex, age group, occupation, and jurisdiction were then added to an adjusted model.
159 Other covariates were not included in Poisson regression as denominator data (i.e., total
160 covered workers) were not available. The log of the total number of covered workers was
161 used as an offset in Poisson regression.¹⁹ Results were expressed as unadjusted and adjusted
162 relative risk, with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

163 Descriptive statistics were used to determine the median and inter-quartile range (IQR) of
164 time loss for LBP and the comparator conditions for all covariates. A Schoenfeld residual test
165 indicated that the all conditions significantly violated the proportional hazards assumption.³⁰

166 ³¹ A Cox proportional hazards model could therefore not be used to compare time loss
167 between workers with LBP and the comparator conditions. However, to visualise the time
168 loss differences between conditions a multivariate Cox model was created with the conditions
169 as strata. This model was plotted as a survival curve, and demonstrated that workers with
170 LBP and limb fractures appeared to have a fluctuating likelihood of cessation of time loss
171 (i.e., a return to work) relative to one another (i.e., they were not proportional).

172 Quantile regression enabled a statistical comparison of time loss between LBP and the
173 comparator conditions. A commonly used statistical method in econometrics, quantile
174 regression performs regression within each of *tau* quantiles of the dependent variable, rather
175 than across the entire dependent variable.^{32, 33} This method is ideal for analyses where the
176 outcome variable is highly skewed and outliers may substantially affect the mean.^{32, 33} Both
177 this analysis and other analyses of workers' compensation data have consistently described
178 the skewed nature of time loss as an outcome.^{24, 34} Quantile regression enables determination
179 of how many weeks difference in time loss there are specific quantiles, and has also
180 previously been used with this type of data³⁴

181 Based on visualisation of time loss in the survival curves, the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th
182 quantiles were selected *a priori*. Analyses were performed with the entire imputed dataset,
183 with all covariates included, in R 3.5 (Vienna, Austria),³⁵ compiled in Microsoft Visual
184 Studio 2017 (Redmond, USA).³⁶

185 **Ethics**

186 This study received ethics approval from the Monash University Human Research Ethics
187 Committee (MUHREC) (Approval No. CF14/2995–2014001663, January 2019).

188 **RESULTS**

189 **Incidence**

190 There were a greater number of claims for LBP (n=56,102) than limb fracture (n=42,957) and
191 limb soft tissue disease (n=18,249) (see Table 1 and Figure 1). The incidence of claims for
192 LBP was also higher than both comparator conditions at 9.37 per 10,000 covered workers
193 (7.17 per 10,000 covered workers for limb fracture, and 3.05 per 10,000 covered workers
194 limb soft tissue disease). The relative risk of a claim for LBP was significantly greater than
195 for limb fractures (RR 1.31, 95% CI 1.29 – 1.32, $p < 0.001$), and remained significant after
196 adjustment for covariates (ARR 1.30, 95% CI 1.29 – 1.32, $p < 0.001$; ARR 0.43, 95% CI 0.42
197 – 0.44 for limb soft tissue disease).

198

199

200

201

202

203

204


205

206

207

208

209



226 *Figure 1 Sample selection process and number of included claims (NDS = National Dataset*
 227 *of Compensation-based Statistics)*

228

229 The incidence of claims was greater for males than females for LBP and limb fracture claims,

230 but there was a relatively small difference between sexes for limb soft tissue disease claims.

231 The incidence of claims in all three condition groups generally increased with age, but the

232 trend was most noticeable in LBP claims. More physically demanding occupations had a
233 significantly greater incidence of claims for all conditions, and increased relative risk in the
234 adjusted model. This was most notable for LBP claims, with *Machinery Operators and*
235 *Drivers and Labourers* claiming for LBP at a rate of 23.6 and 22.7 per 10,000 covered
236 workers, respectively.

237 The highest incidence of claims per jurisdiction was for LBP in Queensland (12.2 per 10,000
238 covered workers). However, other jurisdictions had relatively similar rates of LBP claims at
239 8.8 to 11.3 per 10,000 covered workers. The incidence of claims for limb fracture and limb
240 soft tissue disease were lower, with limb soft tissue disease claims as low as 0.6 per 10,000
241 covered workers in Western Australia (WA).

242 **Time loss**

243 Median time loss for LBP (9.39 weeks, IQR 3.95 – 30.2) and limb fractures (9.22 weeks, IQR
244 5.07 – 19.30) were similar, despite a more right-skewed 75th percentile for LBP (see Table 2).
245 The median time loss for limb soft tissue disease claims was greater at 14.40 weeks (IQR
246 5.92 – 40.4). A relatively large proportion of claims for LBP had a short duration of time loss
247 (see Figure 2A). However, numerous claims lasted for a greater duration, extending the 75th
248 percentile. The majority of limb fracture claim time loss appeared to cluster closer to the
249 median, with only a relatively small proportion of outliers. The distribution of time loss for
250 limb soft tissue disease claims did not appear to follow a specific trend.

251

Table 1 Incidence and relative risk of claims for included conditions between 01 July 2010 and 30 June 2015

Parameter		Low Back Pain		Limb Fracture		Limb Soft Tissue Disease		Covered Workers ²	Adjusted Relative Risk Model		
		n	Rate ¹	n	Rate	n	Rate		ARR ³	95%CI	p
Condition	Limb Fracture	-	-	42,957	7.17	-	-	59,888,198	1	1	Reference
	Low Back Pain	56,102	9.37					59,888,198	1.30	1.29 - 1.32	p < 0.001
	Limb Soft Tissue Disease	-		-		18,249	3.05	59,888,198	0.43	0.42 - 0.44	p < 0.001
Sex	Female	20,881	7.26	13,989	4.86	8,559	2.98	28,760,088	1	1	Reference
	Male	35,221	11.31	28,968	9.31	9,690	3.11	31,128,110	1.13	1.12 - 1.15	p < 0.001
Age Group	15-24 years	5,430	4.91	6,728	6.08	945	0.85	11,061,004	1	1	Reference
	25-34 years	12,378	8.80	8,808	6.26	2,325	1.65	14,069,862	1.76	1.72-1.79	p<0.001
	35-44 years	14,587	11.13	8,405	6.41	4,366	3.33	13,107,972	2.27	2.23-2.32	p<0.001
	45-55 years	14,740	11.80	9,591	7.68	6,256	5.01	12,488,294	2.57	2.52-2.63	p<0.001
	55+ years	8,967	9.79	9,425	10.29	4,357	4.76	9,161,066	2.53	2.48-2.59	p<0.001
Occupation (n=453 missing)	Managers	2,286	3.42	2,275	3.41	664	0.99	6,679,548	1	1	Reference
	Professionals	5,203	3.89	4,210	3.15	1,645	1.23	13,370,711	1.12	1.08-1.16	p<0.001
	Technicians and Trades Workers	9,114	11.35	8,912	11.10	2,859	3.56	8,028,117	3.66	3.55-3.78	p<0.001
	Community and Personal Service Workers	10,148	15.73	4,949	7.67	2,648	4.11	6,450,526	4.20	4.07-4.34	p<0.001
	Clerical and Administrative Workers	2,055	2.32	2,293	2.58	1,035	1.17	8,875,079	0.85	0.82-0.88	p<0.001
	Sales Workers	3,689	5.81	2,122	3.34	1,564	2.46	6,352,551	1.99	1.92-2.07	p<0.001
	Machinery Operators and Drivers	9,298	23.63	6,791	17.26	2,558	6.50	3,934,029	5.93	5.75-6.12	p<0.001
	Labourers	14,068	22.70	11,266	18.18	5,203	8.40	6,197,637	7.09	6.89-7.3	p<0.001
Jurisdiction	New South Wales	14,071	7.49	12,697	6.76	3,030	1.61	18,777,437	1	1	Reference
	Victoria	13,460	8.79	8,440	5.51	8,561	5.59	15,308,654	1.25	1.23-1.27	p<0.001
	Queensland	14,512	12.22	11,332	9.54	4,133	3.48	11,876,742	1.46	1.44-1.48	p<0.001
	South Australia	4,849	11.31	2,618	6.11	1,518	3.54	4,287,409	1.24	1.21-1.27	p<0.001
	Western Australia	6,790	9.76	5,707	8.20	429	0.62	6,956,567	1.10	1.08-1.13	p<0.001
	Tasmania	1,380	11.03	926	7.40	245	1.96	1,251,057	1.15	1.11-1.2	p<0.001
	Northern Territory	465	6.48	771	10.75	74	1.03	717,458	1.18	1.12-1.25	p<0.001
	Australian Capital Territory Private	575	8.07	466	6.54	259	3.63	712,874	1.36	1.29-1.44	p<0.001
SES (n=10,016 missing)	Middle three quintiles	8,887		6,560		2,845					
	Most advantaged quintile	33,706		25,484		10,292					
	Most disadvantaged quintile	8,800		8,025		2,693					
Remoteness	Major Cities of Australia	34,550		26,457		10,829					

(n=9,886 missing)	Inner Regional Australia	10,798	8,177	3,554
	Outer Regional Australia	5,316	4,297	1,329
	Remote Australia	571	769	90
	Very Remote Australia	211	428	46
Employment Status	Full-time	42,081	33,447	13,192
	Part-time	14,021	9,510	5,057

253 ¹: Rate per 10,000 covered workers; ²: Total covered workers during the study period (01 July 2010 – 30 June 2015); ³: ARR = Adjusted Relative Risk,
 254 adjusted for sex, age group, occupation, and jurisdiction.

255

256 Median time loss was higher for males (9.60 weeks) than females (9.00 weeks) only for LBP.
257 There did not appear to be an effect of age on time loss for LBP claims, nor were there
258 substantial differences in time loss between occupations (see Table 2). Median time loss for
259 LBP claims was highly varied between jurisdictions; LBP claims in NSW lasted a median of
260 6.77 weeks, compared to 18.80 weeks in Victoria. This same inter-jurisdictional variability
261 was apparent for limb soft tissue diseases, but less so for limb fractures. There did not appear
262 to be substantial differences in time loss for LBP claims between IRSAD. However, median
263 time loss was up to 3.40 weeks greater for cases with missing socioeconomic status. LBP
264 claims in major cities tended to have greater median time loss than in more remote areas.

Table 2 Time loss and factors affecting time loss


Variable	Low Back Pain		Limb Fracture		Limb Soft Tissue Disease		
	Median (weeks)	IQR	Median (weeks)	IQR	Median (weeks)	IQR	
Condition	Limb Fracture	-	-	9.21	5.07 - 19.30	-	-
	Low Back Pain	9.39	3.95 - 30.20	-	-	-	-
	Limb Soft Tissue Disease	-	-	-	-	14.40	5.92 - 40.40
Sex	Female	9.00	4.00 - 26.38	9.43	5.19 - 19.17	15.40	6.20 - 43.20
	Male	9.60	3.80 - 32.8	9.20	5.03 - 19.4	13.80	5.60 - 38.60
Age Group	15-24 years	7.00	3.39 - 19.14	7.30	4.40 - 13.20	8.80	4.00 - 23.81
	25-34 years	9.39	4.00 - 29.21	8.39	4.80 - 16.40	11.00	4.82 - 31.79
	35-44 years	10.00	4.00 - 34.00	9.59	5.00 - 20.59	13.79	5.71 - 40.60
	45-55 years	9.80	4.00 - 31.40	10.20	5.40 - 22.51	15.60	6.40 - 43.79
	55+ years	9.50	3.80 - 31.80	11.14	6.00 - 23.60	17.20	7.00 - 44.80
Occupation	Managers	10.60	4.39 - 37.55	8.82	4.94 - 18.47	14.70	6.77 - 45.58
	Professionals	9.00	4.00 - 24.00	8.20	4.59 - 16.00	11.85	5.00 - 30.00
	Technicians and Trades Workers	10.40	4.00 - 35.81	9.00	5.00 - 19.40	16.33	6.20 - 46.79
	Community and Personal Service Workers	7.70	3.55 - 21.94	9.39	5.12 - 18.50	12.00	5.00 - 31.60
	Clerical and Administrative Workers	8.75	3.60 - 25.95	8.80	4.61 - 17.00	12.40	5.45 - 29.40
	Sales Workers	10.4	4.00 - 38.40	9.00	4.81 - 19.00	20.83	7.80 - 76.80
	Machinery Operators and Drivers	10.31	4.00 - 35.80	10.2	5.60 - 22.00	15.20	6.19 - 42.21
	Labourers	9.60	4.00 - 32.20	9.79	5.40 - 20.40	14.79	5.80 - 41.46
	Missing (n=453)	9.40	4.00 - 17.80	7.00	4.20 - 15.20	8.83	5.60 - 24.92
Jurisdiction	New South Wales	6.77	3.20 - 21.00	8.60	4.60 - 17.60	9.80	4.04 - 25.00
	Victoria	18.8	6.39 - 76.80	10.79	6.19 - 23.40	22.39	8.60 - 66.40
	Queensland	7.80	3.60 - 19.00	8.88	5.00 - 18.00	10.25	4.53 - 23.00
	South Australia	8.86	4.00 - 33.60	8.80	5.00 - 17.40	12.20	5.00 - 37.65
	Western Australia	9.99	3.80 - 38.63	10.00	5.40 - 22.00	16.42	6.00 - 47.11
	Tasmania	6.83	3.20 - 17.91	8.40	4.99 - 16.55	6.33	3.70 - 14.30
	Northern Territory	11.33	4.20 - 34.60	9.80	4.80 - 21.27	16.90	5.85 - 32.55
	Australian Capital Territory Private	6.80	3.44 - 18.21	9.86	5.20 - 21.40	9.68	4.19 - 23.92
IRSAD	Middle three quintiles	9.60	4.00 - 31.80	9.61	5.20 - 20.60	14.20	5.39 - 41.21
	Most advantaged quintile	9.20	3.81 - 28.80	9.32	5.06 - 19.20	13.40	5.50 - 35.33
	Most disadvantaged quintile	8.40	3.79 - 24.80	8.80	5.00 - 17.39	12.21	5.00 - 32.50

	Missing (n=10,016)	13.00	4.60 - 55.35	10.00	5.23 - 23.00	30.40	10.24 - 100.00
Remoteness	Major Cities of Australia	9.32	3.95 - 29.10	9.20	5.00 - 19.20	13.60	5.60 - 36.39
	Inner Regional Australia	9.00	3.80 - 28.49	9.20	5.12 - 18.80	12.80	5.00 - 34.00
	Outer Regional Australia	8.39	3.76 - 26.00	9.30	5.17 - 19.60	13.00	5.00 - 36.20
	Remote Australia	6.45	3.20 - 18.63	9.40	5.06 - 18.93	12.59	5.65 - 31.66
	Very Remote Australia	8.00	3.49 - 27.22	9.47	4.80 - 17.40	11.00	3.89 - 30.03
	Missing (n=9,886)	13.00	4.60 - 55.45	10.00	5.20 - 23.13	30.89	10.40 - 100.00
Employment Status	Full-time	9.58	4.00 - 30.40	9.20	5.00 - 19.00	13.60	5.79 - 36.00
	Part-time	9.00	3.75 - 29.40	9.60	5.30 - 20.00	18.08	6.25 - 55.00

266

¹: Adjusted for sex, age group, occupation, jurisdiction, socioeconomic status (SES), remoteness, and employment status – performed using imputed data

267



268 *Figure 2 Visual representations of work-related time loss. (A) Violin and box plots*
269 *demonstrating the distribution of claims by time loss, and median and IQR or time loss, by*
270 *condition, and; (B) Cumulative survival curve of time loss (conditions are strata).*

271

272 Survival curves plotted based on the Cox model (see Figure 2B **Error! Reference source not**
 273 **found.**) demonstrated that a larger time loss for LBP claims were more likely to cease than
 274 limb fracture claims in approximately the six weeks. However, this trend reverses after
 275 approximately six weeks, with time loss for limb fracture claims more likely to cease after
 276 this time period. Limb soft tissue disease claims displayed the most shallow survival curve.
 277 Quantile regression confirmed the patterns observed in the Cox model (see Table 3). LBP
 278 claims had approximately one week less time loss than limb fracture claims in the 25th
 279 quantile of time loss (coef -1.12, 95%CI -1.20, -1.05). With all covariates set at reference
 280 values, claims for limb fracture at the 50th quantile of time loss were modelled to last 7.46
 281 weeks. There was no significant difference in time loss between LBP and limb fracture
 282 claims in the 50th quantile (coef -0.14, 95%CI -0.31, 0.03). At the 90th quantile time loss
 283 claims for LBP were 15.62 weeks greater than for limb fractures (95%CI 14.31, 16.94).

284 *Table 3 Quantile regression analysis*

Quantile	Limb Fracture	Low Back Pain ²			Limb Soft Tissue Disease		
	Weeks ¹	Coef (weeks) ³	95%CI	p	Coef (weeks)	95%CI	p
10th	2.75	-0.59	(-0.63, -0.55)	0.00	-0.19	(-0.28, -0.11)	0.00
25th	4.48	-1.12	(-1.2, -1.05)	0.00	0.22	(0.06, 0.38)	0.01
50th	7.46	-0.14	(-0.31, 0.03)	0.12	3.18	(2.8, 3.55)	0.00
75th	16.22	7.02	(6.42, 7.61)	0.00	9.63	(8.6, 10.65)	0.00
90th	48.53	15.62	(14.31, 16.94)	0.00	12.29	(10.87, 13.71)	0.00

285 ¹: Calculated with all covariates set at reference values; ²: Adjusted for sex, age group,
 286 occupation, jurisdiction, SES, remoteness, and employment status; ³: coefficient and
 287 confidence interval are interpreted as additional / less weeks' time loss.

288 DISCUSSION

289 This study aimed to determine the incidence and duration of working time loss due to work-
 290 related LBP in Australia compared with other common work-related musculoskeletal
 291 conditions. There was a greater incidence of LBP time loss claims compared to limb fracture
 292 and limb soft tissue disease claims. The relative risk of claiming for LBP was greater than

293 claiming for limb fracture even after adjustment for demographic, occupational and injury
294 characteristics. Time loss for LBP and limb fractures were relatively similar, with claims for
295 limb soft tissue disease lasting longer. Distinct patterns of fluctuating likelihood of time loss
296 emerged for LBP and limb fracture claims. Claims for LBP were significantly more likely to
297 be resolved in the early phase (10th and 25th quantiles) than claims for limb fracture, but
298 significantly less likely in the later phase (75th and 90th quantiles).

299 There were distinct patterns of time loss for each condition, despite similarities in median
300 time loss. With the distribution of time loss clustered around the median (9.21 weeks), time
301 loss for limb fracture claims appeared to follow what would be considered a typical clinical
302 timeline of recovery for fracture healing.²³ A large proportion of LBP claims appeared to
303 have minimal time loss. This is promising, in that work-related LBP might follow expected
304 recovery patterns for the majority of LBP cases – a typically positive prognosis and short-
305 term disability.³ Visual patterns of time loss were confirmed statistically in the quantile
306 regression model. LBP claims were more likely than limb fracture claims to resolve within
307 seven weeks, and to persist for periods beyond seven weeks. The shift in likelihood of time
308 loss duration may be a combination of factors from both conditions; workers with LBP may
309 be more likely to return to work (i.e., time loss has ceased) as their pain has resolved quickly,
310 with workers with limb fracture more likely to return to work after their fracture has healed
311 sufficiently to resume activities. However, without further information it can only be
312 hypothesised that this is the case.

313 The interaction between each condition and policies and practices may also contribute to the
314 fluctuating time loss duration patterns observed in this analysis. For example, a practice such
315 as diagnostic imaging may contribute to variable outcomes for each condition. Imaging has
316 minimal diagnostic merit in the acute phase of LBP, and may be detrimental to a return to
317 work for compensated workers.^{37, 38} In the case of limb fractures however, imaging is an

318 essential and useful tool for diagnosis, and may be used to indicate that a worker is capable of
319 returning to work. Other policy features may contribute to the observations made in this study.
320 For example, both LBP and limb soft tissue disease claims observed a notable cluster of
321 distribution of claims lasting approximately 100 weeks. This is unlikely to translate to
322 recovery of large portion of claimants at this point. Instead, it is more likely due to the
323 cessation of benefits in short-tail workers' compensation schemes, such as Victoria.¹⁰

324 The higher rate of LBP in older workers aligns with previous research.³⁹ However, the
325 incidence of claims for work-related LBP does not necessarily reflect the incidence of work-
326 related LBP. For example, lower claim rates in one jurisdiction do not necessarily mean that
327 it is safer, and may instead indicate differences in system eligibility criteria, cultural or local
328 norms, or health literacy.²⁴ The higher rate of claims for LBP in more physically demanding
329 occupations may indicate a link between physically demanding work and LBP. However, this
330 link has previously been debated elsewhere.⁴⁰ It may be that workers are more likely to lodge
331 a claim for LBP if they have a physically demanding occupation because LBP limits their
332 ability to perform their normal duties. Finally, the effect of policy differences on workers'
333 compensation claims rates between workers' compensation schemes across Australia on time
334 loss has previously been reported and is reflected in these findings.²⁴

335 This study benefited from a large, population-wide sample. The use of robust and novel
336 statistical methods provides new insights into time loss in this population. The analytic
337 techniques used provide new prognostic insight in the return to work setting, by
338 understanding the likelihood of time loss duration associated with each of the three included
339 conditions at different times, rather than simply the pooled likelihood of return to work.

340 There were also several limitations to this study. Firstly, there was missing data for some
341 variables, and denominator data for all variables was not available.

342 The NDS is a secondary dataset that, despite extensive data cleaning and quality assurance,
343 may contain errors. For example, it was possible that some conditions included in the data
344 were misclassified. Injury classification (such as nature or location) is performed by workers'
345 compensation schemes, and may be subject to data entry errors. Several implausible
346 conditions (e.g., tennis elbow in the hip) were identified and removed during data cleaning.
347 Higher-level TOOCS codes (e.g., soft tissue diseases) were used to absorb this possible
348 misclassification. However, this may have led to a cohort definition that was too clinically
349 broad; an upper limb fracture and lower limb fracture are likely to have different effects on a
350 person's mobility. However, we believe that even with such limitations the analyses captured
351 the overall important trends.

352 Finally, most statistical relationships were significant despite some relatively small effect
353 sizes. This was likely due to the large sample size available for this study, and while not
354 necessarily a limitation, future analyses may benefit from sub-sampling to ensure effects
355 remain significant at even smaller sample sizes.

356 Future research should consider that time loss should not be viewed a single fixed value, but
357 a fluctuating concept. Results presented here demonstrated that the likelihood of return to
358 work may change with time depending on condition, and other recent research has
359 demonstrated that gender has a similar time-dependent effect on working time loss outcomes.

360 As in the general population, LBP is a prevalent musculoskeletal symptom in compensated
361 Australian workers. Time loss due to claims for LBP is relatively similar to claims for limb
362 fractures. However, changes in the likelihood of time loss duration for LBP relative to limb
363 fracture claims varies depending on the duration of the claim. These findings should reiterate
364 to clinicians, claims managers, and workers' compensation schemes the importance of
365 returning a worker to work as soon as practicable, to avoid future delays and challenges.

366 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

367 **Source(s) of support:** Mr Di Donato receives a Research Training Program (RTP)
368 Scholarship Stipend from the Australian Government. Professor Buchbinder is supported by
369 an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Senior Principal
370 Research Fellowship. Professor Collie is supported by an Australian Research Council (ARC)
371 Discovery Project Grant (DP190102473) and Future Fellowship (FT190100218).

372 **Acknowledgements:** This paper uses data supplied by Safe Work Australia and has been
373 compiled in collaboration with state, territory and Commonwealth workers' compensation
374 regulators. The views expressed are the responsibility of the author(s) and are not necessarily
375 the views of Safe Work Australia or the state, territory and Commonwealth workers'
376 compensation regulators.

377 **REFERENCES**

- 378 1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). Australian Burden of Disease Study:
379 impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2015. Australian Burden of
380 Disease series no 19. Canberra: AIHW, 2019.
- 381 2. GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional,
382 and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases
383 and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the
384 Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet (London, England)*
385 2018;392(10159):1789-858. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32279-7 [published Online
386 First: 2018/11/30]
- 387 3. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to
388 pay attention. *The Lancet* 2018 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X

- 389 4. Foster NE, Anema JR, Cherkin D, et al. Prevention and treatment of low back pain:
390 evidence, challenges, and promising directions. *The Lancet* 2018 doi: 10.1016/S0140-
391 6736(18)30489-6
- 392 5. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). Back Problems - What role do GPs
393 play in treating back problems? Canberra: AIHW; 2017 [Available from:
394 [https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/arthritis-other-musculoskeletal-conditions/back-](https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/arthritis-other-musculoskeletal-conditions/back-problems/what-role-do-gps-play-in-treating-back-problems)
395 [problems/what-role-do-gps-play-in-treating-back-problems](https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/arthritis-other-musculoskeletal-conditions/back-problems/what-role-do-gps-play-in-treating-back-problems) accessed 15 May 2018.
- 396 6. Dunn KM, Hestbaek L, Cassidy JD. Low back pain across the life course. *Best practice &*
397 *research Clinical rheumatology* 2013;27(5):591-600. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2013.09.007
398 [published Online First: 2013/12/10]
- 399 7. Froud R, Patterson S, Eldridge S, et al. A systematic review and meta-synthesis of the
400 impact of low back pain on people's lives. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:50.
401 doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-15-50 [published Online First: 2014/02/25]
- 402 8. Anema JR, Schellart AJM, Cassidy JD, et al. Can cross country differences in return-to-
403 work after chronic occupational back pain be explained? An exploratory analysis on
404 disability policies in a six country cohort study. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*
405 2009;19(4):419-26. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10926-009-9202-3>
- 406 9. Collie A, Di Donato M, Iles R. Work Disability in Australia: An Overview of Prevalence,
407 Expenditure, Support Systems and Services. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*
408 2018 doi: 10.1007/s10926-018-9816-4
- 409 10. Safe Work Australia (SWA). Comparison of workers' compensation arrangements in
410 Australian and New Zealand 2017. Canberra, Australia: SWA, 2017.
- 411 11. Lane TJ, Collie A, Hassani-Mahmooei B. Work-related injury and illness in Australia,
412 2004 to 2014. What is the incidence of work-related conditions and their impact on

- 413 time lost from work by state and territory, age, gender and injury type? . Melbourne:
414 ISCRR 118-0616-R02, 2016.
- 415 12. Safe Work Australia (SWA). Australian Workers' Compensation Statistics 2016-17.
416 Canberra: Safe Work Australia, 2018.
- 417 13. Shraim M, Cifuentes M, Willetts JL, et al. Length of Disability and Medical Costs in Low
418 Back Pain: Do State Workers' Compensation Policies Make a Difference? *Journal of*
419 *occupational and environmental medicine* 2015;57(12):1275-83. doi:
420 10.1097/jom.0000000000000593 [published Online First: 2015/10/23]
- 421 14. Steenstra IA, Busse JW, Tolusso D, et al. Predicting time on prolonged benefits for
422 injured workers with acute back pain. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation*
423 2015;25(2):267-78. doi: 10.1007/s10926-014-9534-5 [published Online First:
424 2014/08/29]
- 425 15. Steenstra IA, Munhall C, Irvin E, et al. Systematic Review of Prognostic Factors for
426 Return to Work in Workers with Sub Acute and Chronic Low Back Pain. *Journal of*
427 *occupational rehabilitation* 2017;27(3):369-81. doi: 10.1007/s10926-016-9666-x
428 [published Online First: 2016/09/21]
- 429 16. Safe Work Australia (SWA). National Data Set for Compensation-based Statistics, Third
430 Edition, revision 1. Third ed. Canberra, Australia: Safe Work Australia, 2004.
- 431 17. International Labour Organization (ILO). World Social Protection Report 2017-19 -
432 Universal social protection to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals. Geneva,
433 Switzerland: ILO, 2017.
- 434 18. Lippel K, Lötters F. Public Insurance Systems: A Comparison of Cause-Based and
435 Disability-Based Income Support Systems. In: Loisel P, Anema JR, eds. Handbook of
436 Work Disability: Prevention and Management. New York, NY: Springer New York
437 2013:183-202.

- 438 19. Gray SE, Collie A. The nature and burden of occupational injury among first responder
439 occupations: A retrospective cohort study in Australian workers. *Injury*
440 2017;48(11):2470-77. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2017.09.019 [published Online First:
441 2017/10/02]
- 442 20. Gray SE, Collie A. Comparing time off work after work-related mental health conditions
443 across Australian workers' compensation systems: a retrospective cohort study.
444 *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 2018:1-18. doi: 10.1080/13218719.2018.1473176
- 445 21. Australian Safety and Compensation Council (ASCC). Type of Occurrence Classification
446 System (Third edition, revision one). Canberra, Australia: ASCC, 2008.
- 447 22. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Low back pain and sciatica in
448 over 16s: assessment and management. London, UK: NICE, 2016.
- 449 23. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Fractures (non-complex):
450 assessment and management. NICE Guideline NG38. London: NICE, 2016.
- 451 24. Collie A, Lane TJ, Hassani-Mahmoei B, et al. Does time off work after injury vary by
452 jurisdiction? A comparative study of eight Australian workers' compensation systems.
453 *BMJ Open* 2016;6(5)
- 454 25. Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). Australian and New Zealand standard
455 classification of occupations, 2013, Version 1.2. Canberra: Australian Bureau of
456 Statistics, 2013.
- 457 26. Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). 2006 RA from 2006 POA Correspondence.
458 Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) Remoteness Area
459 Correspondences. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011.
- 460 27. Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). Postal Area, Indexes, SEIFA 2011. Census of
461 Population and Housing: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), Australia, 2011.
462 Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2013.

- 463 28. Department of Health and Aged Care. Measuring Remoteness: Accessibility/Remoteness
464 Index of Australia (ARIA) Revised Edition. Occasional Papers: New Series Number
465 14. Canberra: Department of Health and Aged Care, 2001.
- 466 29. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations. R package version 3.5.0. [program],
467 2019.
- 468 30. Bellera CA, MacGrogan G, Debled M, et al. Variables with time-varying effects and the
469 Cox model: Some statistical concepts illustrated with a prognostic factor study in
470 breast cancer. *BMC medical research methodology* 2010;10(1):20. doi: 10.1186/1471-
471 2288-10-20
- 472 31. Webb P, Bain C, Page A. Essential epidemiology : an introduction for students and health
473 professionals. Third edition. ed: Cambridge, United Kingdom 2017.
- 474 32. Koenker R. Quantile Regression: 40 Years On. *Annual Review of Economics*
475 2017;9(1):155-76. doi: 10.1146/annurev-economics-063016-103651
- 476 33. Koenker R, Hallock KF. Quantile Regression. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*
477 2001;15(4):143-56.
- 478 34. Fan ZJ, Foley MP, Rauser E, et al. Effects of residential location and work-commuting on
479 long-term work disability. *Journal of occupational rehabilitation* 2013;23(4):610-20.
480 doi: 10.1007/s10926-013-9424-2 [published Online First: 2013/02/13]
- 481 35. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [program]. Vienna, Austria: R
482 Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2017.
- 483 36. Microsoft Visual Studio 2017 [program]. Redmond, Washington: Microsoft Corporation,
484 2018.
- 485 37. Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B, et al. Systematic literature review of imaging
486 features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. *AJNR American journal*

- 487 *of neuroradiology* 2015;36(4):811-6. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4173 [published Online First:
488 2014/11/29]
- 489 38. Webster BS, Bauer AZ, Choi Y, et al. Iatrogenic consequences of early magnetic
490 resonance imaging in acute, work-related, disabling low back pain. *Spine*
491 2013;38(22):1939-46. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a42eb6 [published Online First:
492 2013/07/26]
- 493 39. Macpherson RA, Lane TJ, Collie A, et al. Age, sex, and the changing disability burden of
494 compensated work-related musculoskeletal disorders in Canada and Australia. *BMC*
495 *Public Health* 2018;18(1):758. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5590-7
- 496 40. Kwon BK, Roffey DM, Bishop PB, et al. Systematic review: occupational physical
497 activity and low back pain. *Occupational medicine (Oxford, England)*
498 2011;61(8):541-8. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqr092 [published Online First: 2011/07/06]
499