- 3 Dr Moritz Herle^{1,2}, Prof Bianca De Stavola¹, Christopher Hübel^{3,4,5}, Dr Diana L Santos
- 4 Ferreira^{6,7}, Mohamed Abdulkadir⁸, Dr Zeynep Yilmaz⁹, Prof Ruth Loos¹⁰, Dr Rachel Bryant-
- 5 Waugh^{1,11}, Prof Cynthia M. Bulik^{5,9,12} & Dr Nadia Micali^{1,8,12}
- ¹ Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK
- 7 Department of Biostatistics & Health Informatics, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology &
- 8 Neuroscience at King's College London, United Kingdom
- ⁹ Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology &
- 10 Neuroscience, King's College London, UK
- ⁴ UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre for Mental
- 12 Health, South London and Maudsley Hospital, London, UK
- ⁵ Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,
- 14 Sweden

- ⁶ Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- ⁷ Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- ⁸ Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁹ Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC,
- 19 USA
- 20 ¹⁰ Icahn Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA
- 21 ¹¹ Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK
- 22 ¹² Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC,
- 23 USA
- 24 12 Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Division, Department of Child and Adolescent Health,
- 25 Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
- Names for PubMed Index: Herle, De Stavola, Hübel, Santos Ferreira, Abdulkadir, Yilmaz,
- 27 Loos, Bryant-Waugh, Bulik, Micali
- 28 Corresponding author:
- 29 Dr Nadia Micali MD, MRCPsych, PhD, FAED
- 30 Senior Lecturer and Honorary Consultant Psychiatrist
- 31 Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Section
- 32 Population, Policy and Practice Research Theme
- 33 UCL Institute of Child Health
- 34 30 Guilford Street
- 35 London WC1N 1EH

Tel: 020 7905 2163 Fax: 020 7831 7050 e-mail: n.micali@ucl.ac.uk Running title: Patterns of child eating behaviors and later BMI Sources of support: This work was specifically funded by the UK Medical Research Council and the Medical Research Foundation (ref: MR/R004803/1). Financial Disclosure: Bulik reports: Shire (grant recipient, Scientific Advisory Board member) and Pearson and Walker (author, royalty recipient). Abbreviations: Eating behaviors: EB, Body Mass Index: BMI, Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC, Latent Class Growth Trajectories: LCGA, Avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder: ARFID

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

risk of adverse weight outcomes.

Background: Child eating behaviors are highly heterogeneous and their longitudinal impact on childhood weight is unclear. The objective of this study was to characterize eating behaviors during the first ten years of life and evaluate associations with BMI at age 11 years. **Method:** Data were parental reports of eating behaviors from 15 months to age 10 years (n=12,048) and standardized body mass index (zBMI) at age 11 years (n=4884) from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Latent class growth analysis was used to derive latent classes of over-, under-, and fussy eating. Linear regression models for zBMI at 11 years on each set of classes were fitted to assess associations with eating behavior trajectories. **Results**: We identified four classes of overeating; "low stable" (70%), "low transient" (15%), "late increasing" (11%), and "early increasing" (6%). The "early increasing" class was associated with higher zBMI (boys: β =0.83, 95%CI:0.65, 1.02; girls: β =1.1; 0.92, 1.28) compared to "low stable". Six classes were found for undereating; "low stable" (25%), "low transient" (37%), "low decreasing" (21%), "high transient" (11%), "high decreasing" (4%), and "high stable" (2%). The latter was associated with lower zBMI (boys: β =-0.79; -1.15, -0.42; girls: β =-0.76; -1.06, -0.45). Six classes were found for fussy eating; "low stable" (23%), "low transient" (15%), "low increasing" (28%), "high decreasing" (14%), "low increasing" (13%), "high stable" (8%). The "high stable" class was associated with lower zBMI (boys: $\beta = -0.49$; -0.68 -0.30; girls: $\beta = -0.35$; -0.52, -0.18). Conclusions: Early increasing overeating during childhood is associated with higher zBMI at age 11. High persistent levels of undereating and fussy eating are associated with lower zBMI. Longitudinal trajectories of eating behaviors may help identify children potentially at

Introduction

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

Child eating behaviors have received attention, especially due to their potential association with weight. However, previous cross-sectional and a limited number of longitudinal studies produced inconsistent findings. Previous research has suggested that some eating behaviors are stable across childhood, as indicated by moderate correlations between eating behaviors at age 4 and 10 in English (1) and Dutch samples (2), as well as in younger children, between two and five years (3). However, these studies only had access to two data points, precluding a comprehensive examination stability and change. Some eating behaviors, such as fussy eating, which is the tendency to eat only certain foods and to refuse to try new foods, are common and potentially more transient (1). A previous study reported that one third of children exhibit some fussiness during the first four years of life, but many tend to remit by age six with about 4% being persistently fussy.(5) More recently, a study of the same cohort as discussed in this paper, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, found that mothers indicated that more than half of the children at 15 months were fussy about what foods to eat.(2) Cross-sectional studies (3-5) have primarily suggested that eating behaviors, such as responsiveness to external food cues or emotional overeating are associated with higher child weight. Other eating behaviors, such as fussy eating and responsiveness to internal satiety cues are associated with lower weight (6-8). However, other cross-sectional studies have not replicated these findings (9, 10). Longitudinally, eating behaviors measured at 5-6 years are weakly associated with body mass index (BMI) at about 6-8 years (11). In earlier ages, between 3 months and 9-15 months, a bidirectional association between child eating and weight has been reported.(12) More recently, the bidirectional association between child eating and later BMI was replicated in a sample of Norwegian children, aged 4 to 8 years(13). Furthermore, children who display fussy eating appear to be at higher risk for developing

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

5

underweight in childhood, but may be at increased risk for later overweight (14, 15). However, some studies report no or only weak longitudinal relationships (16-18). Overall, childhood eating behaviors and childhood weight outcomes and the longitudinal development of child eating behaviors remains poorly understood. Longitudinal studies often focus on overall mean scores, ignoring heterogeneity and transience of child eating behaviors. We, therefore, aimed to investigate repeatedly measured eating behaviors in a large population-based birth cohort using latent class modeling to identify longitudinal trajectories during the first ten years of life. Furthermore, we examined their relationship with age- and sex-standardized zBMI at age 11. This age was selected as the outcome measures, due to the proximity to the derived trajectories and to ensure the largest and most representative sample of prepubertal children. Our hypothesis was that persistent EB patterns in childhood would be more strongly associated with child zBMI than transient ones. Methods **Participants** Data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), a population based, longitudinal cohort of mothers and their children born in the southwest of England (19, 20) were analyzed. All pregnant women expected to have children between the 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992 were invited to enroll in the study, providing informed written consent. From all pregnancies (n = 14,676), 14,451 mothers opted to take part; by one year 13,988 children were alive. When the oldest children were approximately 7 years of age, an attempt was made to bolster the initial sample with eligible cases who had failed to join the study originally (referred to as Phase 2), however these participants were not included in

these analyses. The phases of enrolment are described in more detail in the cohort profile

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

6

relate the trajectories of eating behaviors with zBMI at age 11 years. Children with data on

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

7

longitudinal data, as previously described in ALSPAC (19). FIML assumes data are missing

at random (MAR), once these auxiliary variables are accounted for and therefore children with at least one measure of eating behavior at any time point. Analyses were stratified by sex to examine possible effect modification. Stratified results were compared against unstratified using combined data using Likelihood Ratio Tests. As the number of classes is not directly estimated, alternative specifications with increasing number of assumed classes were compared using the following model fit indicators: Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), adjusted Bayesian information Criterion (adj BIC), selecting the lowest values, and entropy, aiming for the highest. In addition to these model fit indicators, the class size and interpretability of the classes were taken into account as recommended by Muthén (24). After selection of the best number of classes, estimations were repeated using 1000 random starts to avoid local maxima. In the second stage, participants were allocated to their most likely classes according to their posterior probabilities using the maximum-probability assignment rule (25). These predicted classes were then included as explanatory variables in regression analyses of zBMI scores at age 11, which also controlled for the following a priori confounders: maternal age, gestational age, birthweight, and maternal education at birth. Results are reported in terms of adjusted regression coefficients (β) for each class in comparison to the first (reference) class. Since not all children with eating behavior data had data on zBMI, because of attrition affecting later ages, the characteristics of study participants with/without zBMI were compared in order to assess their representativeness of the original study membership (eTable 5). LCGA was conducted in MPlus Version 8 (26). Regression analyses were conducted in Stata 15 (27). All code is available at https://github.com/MoritzHerle/Patterns-of-childeating-behaviors-and-later-BMI.

Ethical approval

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

months onwards, which decreases again after 62 months. Figure 2a-c illustrates the class trajectories for overeating, undereating, and fussy eating. When comparing maternal and gestational age at birth, birthweight, and maternal education across classes we found that, for overeating, boys and girls in the "early increasing" class had a higher mean birthweight than those in the "low stable" class; eTable 3). Children in the "high stable" class of undereating and fussy eating had a lower mean birthweight than their respective "low stable" classes. In addition, the percentage of mothers with A-Levels or university degree was lower in the "low stable" class of fussy eating compared to the "high stable". Child zBMI scores per eating behavior class ranged widely within all classes (eFigure 1a-b). FIGURES 2A -2C HERE Sensitivity analyses Not all children included in LCGA had zBMI data at 11 years. Trajectory frequencies derived from all participants were compared to the frequencies among the children who had complete BMI data at 11 years. Trajectory sizes and distributions were similar (eTable 5). Association between eating behaviors and zBMI at age 11 **Overeating** In comparison to children who were reported to never overeat to a worrying extent ("low stable"), all other classes were positively associated with greater zBMI at the later age of 11 years: "low transient" (boys: coefficient β =0.26, 95%CI:0.13, 0.39; girls: β =0.32, 95%CI: 0.19, 0.44), "late increasing" (boys: $\beta = 0.94$, 95%CI: 0.8, 1.09; girls: $\beta = 0.94$, 95%CI: 0.82, 1.07) and "early increasing" (boys: β =0.83, 95%CI: 0.65, 1.02; girls: β =1.1, 95%CI: 0.92, 1.28; Table 2).

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

In this study, differential developmental patterns in eating behaviors across childhood were identified and found to be associated with later child zBMI. This is the first study to address this question, by establishing longitudinal trajectories of eating behaviors during the first ten years of childhood and investigating their association with childhood zBMI at age 11. Results suggested four different trajectories of overeating and six trajectories each for undereating and fussy eating, respectively. Overall, it is notable that the three eating behaviors follow markedly different developmental trajectories. Overeating was found to be generally low, but increased with time, whereas under und fussy eating varied substantially across the observed timeframe. Previous research, on smaller datasets with a lower number of eating behaviors measures have indicated similar patterns of change and stability (18, 28, 29). These differences might be explained by various complex environmental and biological factors. With age, children gain autonomy and have more meals outside the home, which might be associated with the general increase of overeating. On the other hand, in toddlerhood, parents might introduce various and different textures and flavors of foods to their children (30), which they might readily embrace or resist, potentially explaining this early increase in fussy eating. The majority of children were not described as overeaters by their parents. However, two trajectories (16% of children) were marked by gradual increases in overeating and showed similar positive associations with child zBMI at age 11. Recent longitudinal analysis of dietary data in a UK child cohort highlighted that eating larger portions a few times per week accelerates early childhood growth (31). This tendency to overeat is likely to result in larger portion sizes, which have been suggested to have enduring effects on child weight (32, 33). Of note, is the possible perpetual bi-directional association between overeating and portion size, where one potentially influences the other.

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

In contrast to overeating, undereating was more common and more heterogeneous. By 15 months, parents reported that children engaged in various levels of undereating, with about 10% of boys and girls reported to undereat at a worrying level. However, undereating behavior of most children attenuated with time, indicating that parent-perceived undereating in children under the age of two years may represent a normal pattern of development. Only 2-3% of children engaged in persistent high levels of undereating. This persistent pattern of undereating was negatively associated with child zBMI at age 11. Parental reports of undereating might be an indication of satiety sensitivity (34). Previous research has suggested that children who were attuned to their internal satiety cues ate smaller portions (35), and grew at a slower rate than their less satiety-responsive siblings (36). Similarly to undereating, fussy eating behavior was highly heterogeneous in early life. Using LCGA, we identified a small but substantial group of children (8%) who were persistently fussy throughout the first ten years of life. These results add to previous studies suggesting that some fussiness around food is common during childhood, with one third of children reported to be fussy at some point, but only a small percentage of children remaining highly fussy eaters across development (37). More persistent fussy eating trajectories were negatively associated with child zBMI at age 11. The relationship between food fussiness and weight is complex as fussy children might undereat certain food groups (e.g., fruits and vegetables) but overeat others (e.g., carbohydrates and fats). Previous cross-sectional studies proposed that fussy children ate fewer vegetables and less fish, but consumed more savory and sweet snack foods at 14 months (38). However, a longitudinal study indicated that persistent fussy eating in childhood was associated with higher prevalence of underweight in children aged six years (15).

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

This study supports the prospective association between eating behaviors and weight in children. Individual differences in weight have consistently been shown to be influenced by genetic factors (39). The behavioral susceptibility to obesity theory (40) suggests that eating behaviors might act as a mediator between genetic risk for obesity and exposure to the current obesogenic environment. Previous studies proposed that increased genetic risk for obesity is associated with decreased responsiveness to satiety cues, as well as greater responsiveness to external food cues in ten year old twins (41). Subsequent research has replicated these findings in Finnish (42), UK (43) and Canadian adults (44). However, previous studies only included single measures of eating behaviors and it remains unknown how genetic risk for obesity influences longitudinal trajectories of eating behaviors across development. Apart from weight, eating behaviors have been implicated in diet quality and as potential risk factor for eating disorders. Especially, food fussiness has been associated with poor diet quality, (45) such as low consumption of vegetables (46). Food fussiness has received attention in the context of avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder (ARFID) (47). ARFID is a recently defined diagnosis and little is known about its onset, development, and effect on health and is characterized by extreme food fussiness affecting growth, weight, and physical health (48) and that a large proportion of adolescents diagnosed with ARFID were persistent fussy eaters during childhood (49). More research examining the impact of early food fussiness and undereating on feeding and eating disorders risk is needed. It is possible that the persistent fussy and undereating associated with low zBMI in this study may be ARFID presentations, or risk factors for other eating disorders marked by restrictive eating, such as anorexia nervosa. Further, child food fussiness has been found to be moderately heritable (50) and future research is needed to uncover its genetic basis, as well as the role of fussy eating in neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism spectrum disorder. In addition, the

majority of the research in this field relies on parental report. Parental anxiety could influence parents' perception and reporting of their child's eating behavior.(51)

Strengths and Limitations

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

To our knowledge, this is the most comprehensive longitudinal study of child eating behaviors in a large sample. Data were from a population-based cohort and person-centered statistical analyses allowed us to clarify the heterogeneity of eating behaviors. Height and weight were objectively measured during clinic visits. However, measures of eating behaviors were parent reported and subject to reporting bias. For example parents might be influenced by their own eating behaviors, their prior experiences with other children and might be observing their children more closely in early life. As children grow up and enter school, they will have an increasing numbers of meals outside the family home. Therefore parents might be less aware of their children's eating behaviors. However, relying on parental report remains the most commonly used measure of child eating behaviors, given that young children are not able to report their own behavior reliably, and standardized direct observational measures are costly and time-consuming, and would be infeasible for large cohorts such as ALSPAC. One additional limitation is the fact that undereating and overeating were only measured with one item at each wave, and a more comprehensive assessment of these eating behaviors would have been desirable. However, in the context of large-scale data collection efforts, such as ALSPAC, researchers always have to strike a balance between including the optimal number of items without overwhelming the participants. Further, the phrasing of the items only enquire how worried parents are about their children's' eating behavior, and not the frequency of the behaviors themselves. We implicitly assume that the greater the parental worry, the more pronounced the behavior. The results however refer to the reporting of the behavior, not the behavior per se. Overall, previous support for the use parental reports comes from research validating parent reported

child eating against behavioral measures of eating such as eating rate, energy intake at meal, eating without hunger and caloric compensation (35). Additionally, analyzing the effect of estimated class membership on an outcome includes some degree of uncertainty. The values for entropy, which broadly reflects the level of correct classification, were lower for overeating and undereating than the desired 0.8, commonly used as cut-off point (51). Classes derived from LCGA are unobserved and hence class membership is inferred. We used maximum-probability assignment, which allocates each participant to the class they are most likely to belong to, carrying this class membership forward to further analyses. This method has been suggested to attenuate the effect of class on distal outcomes, due to uncertainty in class assignment (52). Hence, effect sizes estimated from the regression analyses may be conservative. **Conclusions** We identified four trajectories of overeating and six trajectories each of fussy and undereating in the ALSPAC sample, providing a thorough examination of child Eating behaviors. EB trajectories were differentially associated with child zBMI, with persistent behaviors having a stronger effect on BMI. Characterizing the heterogeneity of early life eating behaviors is an important component to understanding behavioral risk factors for common conditions, such as obesity. **Acknowledgements:** We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

Funder:

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

References

- 1. Emmett PM, Hays NP, Taylor CM. Factors Associated with Maternal Worry about Her Young
- 419 Child Exhibiting Choosy Feeding Behaviour. Int J Env Res Pub He. 2018;15(6).
- 420 2. Emmett PM, Hays NP, Taylor CM. Factors Associated with Maternal Worry about Her Young
- 421 Child Exhibiting Choosy Feeding Behaviour. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(6).
- 422 3. Braet C, Claus L, Goossens L, Moens E, Van Vlierberghe L, Soetens B. Differences in eating
- 423 style between overweight and normal-weight youngsters. J Health Psychol. 2008;13(6):733-43.
- 424 4. Domoff SE, Miller AL, Kaciroti N, Lumeng JC. Validation of the Children's Eating Behaviour
- 425 Questionnaire in a low-income preschool-aged sample in the United States. Appetite. 2015;95:415-
- 426 20.

- 427 5. Hajna S, LeBlanc PJ, Faught BE, Merchant AT, Cairney J, Hay J, et al. Associations between
- family eating behaviours and body composition measures in peri-adolescents: Results from a
- 429 community-based study of school-aged children. Can J Public Health. 2014;105(1):E15-E21.
- 430 6. Jansen PW, Roza SJ, Jaddoe VW, Mackenbach JD, Raat H, Hofman A, et al. Children's eating
- behavior, feeding practices of parents and weight problems in early childhood: results from the
- population-based Generation R Study. The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical
- 433 activity. 2012;9:130.
- 434 7. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CH, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and weight in
- children. International journal of obesity. 2009;33(1):21-8.
- 436 8. Sanchez U, Weisstaub G, Santos JL, Corvalan C, Uauy R. GOCS cohort: children's eating
- 437 behavior scores and BMI. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2016.
- 438 9. Svensson V, Lundborg L, Cao Y, Nowicka P, Marcus C, Sobko T. Obesity related eating
- behaviour patterns in Swedish preschool children and association with age, gender, relative weight
- 440 and parental weight--factorial validation of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. The
- international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2011;8:134.
- 442 10. Cao YT, Svensson V, Marcus C, Zhang J, Zhang JD, Sobko T. Eating behaviour patterns in
- 443 Chinese children aged 12-18 months and association with relative weight--factorial validation of the
- 444 Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. The international journal of behavioral nutrition and
- physical activity. 2012;9:5.
- 446 11. Parkinson KN, Drewett RF, Le Couteur AS, Adamson AJ, T GMSC. Do maternal ratings of
- 447 appetite in infants predict later Child Eating Behaviour Questionnaire scores and body mass index?
- 448 Appetite. 2010;54(1):186-90.
- 449 12. van Jaarsveld CHM, Llewellyn CH, Johnson L, Wardle J. Prospective associations between
- appetitive traits and weight gain in infancy. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;94(6):1562-7.
- 451 13. Steinsbekk S, Wichstrom L. Predictors of Change in BMI From the Age of 4 to 8. Journal of
- 452 pediatric psychology. 2015.
- 453 14. Antoniou EE, Roefs A, Kremers SP, Jansen A, Gubbels JS, Sleddens EF, et al. Picky eating and
- child weight status development: a longitudinal study. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2016;29(3):298-307.
- 455 15. de Barse LM, Tiemeier H, Leermakers ET, Voortman T, Jaddoe VW, Edelson LR, et al.
- Longitudinal association between preschool fussy eating and body composition at 6 years of age:
- 457 The Generation R Study. The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity.
- 458 2015;12:153.
- 459 16. Mallan KM, Fildes A, Magarey AM, Daniels LA. The Relationship between Number of Fruits,
- 460 Vegetables, and Noncore Foods Tried at Age 14 Months and Food Preferences, Dietary Intake
- Patterns, Fussy Eating Behavior, and Weight Status at Age 3.7 Years. J Acad Nutr Diet.
- 462 2016;116(4):630-7.
- 463 17. Carruth BR, Skinner JD. Revisiting the picky eater phenomenon: neophobic behaviors of
- 464 young children. J Am Coll Nutr. 2000;19(6):771-80.

Taylor CM, Steer CD, Hays NP, Emmett PM. Growth and body composition in children who

19

- are picky eaters: a longitudinal view. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2018.
- 467 19. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort Profile: the
- 468 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Int J
- 469 Epidemiol. 2013;42(1):111-27.

465

18.

- 470 20. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Smith GD, et al. Cohort Profile: The
- 471 Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. International Journal of
- 472 Epidemiology. 2013;42(1):97-110.
- 473 21. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child
- 474 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ. 2000;320(7244):1240-3.
- 22. Clogg C. Latent class models: Recent developments and prospects for the future. In:
- 476 Arminger G, Clogg C, Sobel M, editors. Handbook of statistical modeling for the social and behavioral
- 477 sciences. New York, NY: Plenum Press;; 1995. p. 311 59.
- 478 23. Berlin KS, Parra GR, Williams NA. An Introduction to Latent Variable Mixture Modeling (Part
- 479 2): Longitudinal Latent Class Growth Analysis and Growth Mixture Models. Journal of pediatric
- 480 psychology. 2014;39(2):188-203.
- 481 24. Asparouhov T, Muthen B. Auxiliary Variables in Mixture Modeling: Three-Step Approaches
- 482 Using Mplus. Struct Equ Modeling. 2014;21(3):329-41.
- 483 25. Goodman LA. On the Assignment of Individuals to Latent Classes. Sociol Methodol.
- 484 2007;37:1-22.
- 485 26. Muthen LK, Muthen B. Mplus User's Guide. Eighth Edition. Los Angeles, CA Muthén &
- 486 Muthén; 1997-2017
- 487 27. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC 2017.
- 488 28. Ashcroft J, Semmler C, Carnell S, van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Continuity and stability of
- eating behaviour traits in children. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62(8):985-90.
- 490 29. Derks IPM, Bolhuis K, Sijbrands EJG, Gaillard R, Hillegers MHJ, Jansen PW. Predictors and
- 491 patterns of eating behaviors across childhood: Results from The Generation R study. Appetite.
- 492 2019;141:104295.
- 493 30. Northstone K, Emmett P, Nethersole F, Pregnancy ASTALSo, Childhood. The effect of age of
- 494 introduction to lumpy solids on foods eaten and reported feeding difficulties at 6 and 15 months. J
- 495 Hum Nutr Diet. 2001;14(1):43-54.
- 496 31. Syrad H, Llewellyn CH, Johnson L, Boniface D, Jebb SA, van Jaarsveld CHM, et al. Meal size is
- a critical driver of weight gain in early childhood. Sci Rep-Uk. 2016;6.
- 498 32. Huang TT, Howarth NC, Lin BH, Roberts SB, McCrory MA. Energy intake and meal portions:
- associations with BMI percentile in U.S. children. Obes Res. 2004;12(11):1875-85.
- 500 33. McConahy KL, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL, Mitchell DC, Picciano MF. Food portions are
- 501 positively related to energy intake and body weight in early childhood. J Pediatr-Us.
- 502 2002;140(3):340-7.
- 503 34. Carnell S, Wardle J. Measuring behavioural susceptibility to obesity: validation of the child
- eating behaviour questionnaire. Appetite. 2007;48(1):104-13.
- 505 35. Syrad H, Johnson L, Wardle J, Llewellyn CH. Appetitive traits and food intake patterns in early
- 506 life. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103(1):231-5.
- 507 36. van Jaarsveld CHM, Boniface D, Llewellyn CH, Wardle J. Appetite and Growth A Longitudinal
- 508 Sibling Analysis. Jama Pediatr. 2014;168(4):345-50.
- 509 37. Cardona Cano S, Tiemeier H, Van Hoeken D, Tharner A, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, et al.
- 510 Trajectories of picky eating during childhood: A general population study. The International journal
- of eating disorders. 2015;48(6):570-9.
- 512 38. Tharner A, Jansen PW, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Moll HA, van der Ende J, Jaddoe VW, et al. Toward
- an operative diagnosis of fussy/picky eating: a latent profile approach in a population-based cohort.
- 514 The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2014;11:14.

- index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature. 2015;518(7538):197-U401.
- 517 40. Llewellyn C, Wardle J. Behavioral susceptibility to obesity: Gene-environment interplay in
- the development of weight. Physiology & behavior. 2015;152(Pt B):494-501.
- 519 41. Llewellyn CH, Trzaskowski M, van Jaarsveld CHM, Plomin R, Wardle J. Satiety mechanisms in
- 520 genetic risk of obesity. Jama Pediatr. 2014;168(4):338-44.
- 521 42. Konttinen H, Llewellyn C, Wardle J, Silventoinen K, Joensuu A, Mannisto S, et al. Appetitive
- 522 traits as behavioural pathways in genetic susceptibility to obesity: a population-based cross-sectional
- 523 study. Sci Rep-Uk. 2015;5.
- 524 43. de Lauzon-Guillain B, Clifton EA, Day FR, Clement K, Brage S, Forouhi NG, et al. Mediation
- and modification of genetic susceptibility to obesity by eating behaviors. Am J Clin Nutr.
- 526 2017;106(4):996-1004.
- 527 44. Jacob R, Drapeau V, Tremblay A, Provencher V, Bouchard C, Perusse L. The role of eating
- 528 behavior traits in mediating genetic susceptibility to obesity. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018;108(3):445-52.
- 529 45. Vilela S, Hetherington MM, Oliveira A, Lopes C. Tracking diet variety in childhood and its
- association with eating behaviours related to appetite: The generation XXI birth cohort. Appetite.
- 531 2018;123:241-8.
- 532 46. de Barse LM, Tiemeier H, Leermakers ETM, Voortman T, Jaddoe VWV, Edelson LR, et al.
- 533 Longitudinal association between preschool fussy eating and body composition at 6 years of age:
- 534 The Generation R Study. The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity.
- 535 2015;12(153):8.
- 536 47. APA APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V). Arlington, VA: APA
- 537 Press; 2013.

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556 557

558

- 538 48. Bryant-Waugh R. Avoidant restrictive food intake disorder: An illustrative case example. New
- 539 York, N.Y: Wiley; 2013. 1 online resource p.
- 540 49. Fisher MM, Rosen DS, Ornstein RM, Mammel KA, Katzman DK, Rome ES, et al.
- 541 Characteristics of avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder in children and adolescents: a "new
- 542 disorder" in DSM-5. J Adolesc Health. 2014;55(1):49-52.
- 543 50. Smith AD, Herle M, Fildes A, Cooke L, Steinsbekk S, Llewellyn CH. Food fussiness and food
- neophobia share a common etiology in early childhood. J Child Psychol Psyc. 2017;58(2):189-96.
- 545 51. Ram N, Grimm KJ. Growth Mixture Modeling: A Method for Identifying Differences in
- 546 Longitudinal Change Among Unobserved Groups. Int J Behav Dev. 2009;33(6):565-76.
- 547 52. Bray BC, Lanza ST, Tan X. Eliminating Bias in Classify-Analyze Approaches for Latent Class
- 548 Analysis. Struct Equ Modeling. 2015;22(1):1-11.

Table 1: Summary statistics of the baseline characteristics of the study population;

Baseline characteristics		
	N available	Mean (SD) or N (%)
Sex (%boys)	12048	Boys: 6208 (52)
Gestational age at birth (weeks)	12048	39.45 (1.86)
Birthweight (grams)	11902	345 (546)
Maternal age (years)	12048	28.31 (4.86)
Maternal A-Levels or higher	11375	4158 (37)
Parental non-manual labor profession	9366	7558 (81)
zBMI ^a of children at age 11 (kg/m ²)	4885	0.60 (1.14)

ALSPAC Study

Table 2: Estimated regression coefficients (β) for assigned eating behavior class on standardized BMI at age 11, separately for boys and girls

covariates ²	
β 95% C	
0.19	0.44
0.82	1.07
0.92	1.28
ovariates ²	
95% C	I
-0.24	-0.01
-0.32	-0.07
-0.38	-0.09
-0.45	0.03
-1.06	-0.45
	95% C -0.24 -0.32 -0.38 -0.45

russy Eaung	,						
Outcome: age and sex adjusted BMI aged 11, adjusted for covariates ²							
	Boys	Girls					
N	2287	2507					


Doys			GILIS			
2287			2597			
β	95% C	CI	β	95% C	I	
base			base			
-0.21	-0.36	-0.05	0.01	-0.13	0.15	
-0.25	-0.39	-0.11	-0.01	-0.13	0.11	
-0.31	-0.48	-0.15	-0.31	-0.45	-0.17	
-0.34	-0.50	-0.17	-0.26	-0.41	-0.11	
-0.49	-0.68	-0.30	-0.35	-0.52	-0.18	
	2287 β base -0.21 -0.25 -0.31 -0.34	2287 β 95% 0 base -0.21 -0.36 -0.25 -0.39 -0.31 -0.48 -0.34 -0.50	2287 β 95% CI base -0.21 -0.36 -0.05 -0.25 -0.39 -0.11 -0.31 -0.48 -0.15 -0.34 -0.50 -0.17	2287 2597 β 95% CI β base base -0.21 -0.36 -0.05 0.01 -0.25 -0.39 -0.11 -0.01 -0.31 -0.48 -0.15 -0.31 -0.34 -0.50 -0.17 -0.26	2287 2597 β 95% CI β 95% C base base -0.21 -0.36 -0.05 0.01 -0.13 -0.25 -0.39 -0.11 -0.01 -0.13 -0.31 -0.48 -0.15 -0.31 -0.45 -0.34 -0.50 -0.17 -0.26 -0.41	2287 2597 β 95% CI β 95% CI base base -0.21 -0.36 -0.05 0.01 -0.13 0.15 -0.25 -0.39 -0.11 -0.01 -0.13 0.11 -0.31 -0.48 -0.15 -0.31 -0.45 -0.17 -0.34 -0.50 -0.17 -0.26 -0.41 -0.11

Test for (Sex * Class) F(5, 4867) = 2.01, p = 0.07 interaction


¹ Age and sex standardized score in reference to the UK population (21)

² Estimates adjusted for: maternal age at birth, gestational age, birthweight and maternal education ³ CI: Confidence Intervals


Figure 1: Prevalence of eating behaviours across the eight assessment waves



Caption: The y-axis shows the probability of scoring in the highest category of overeating ("great worry") at each of the eight time points. Trajectories for boys are in dashed lines. Trajectories for girls are in solid lines. The legend shows the name of the class for boys (Bs) and girls (Gs), followed by their percentages in brackets.



Caption: The y-axis shows the probability of scoring in the highest category of undereating ("a bit worried") at each of the eight time points. Trajectories for boys are in dashed lines. Trajectories for girls are in solid lines. The legend shows the name of the class for boys (Bs) and girls (Gs), followed by their percentages in brackets.



Caption: The y-axis shows the probability of scoring in the highest category of the fussy eating items ("a bit worried"") at each of the eight time points. Trajectories for boys are in dashed lines. Trajectories for girls are in solid lines. The legend shows the name of the class for boys (Bs) and girls (Gs), followed by their percentages in brackets.

