1	Successful suppression of a field population of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes using a
2	novel biological vector control strategy is associated with significantly lower
3	incidence of dengue
4	
5	Short title: First direct demonstration of prevention of dengue using SIT
6	
7	Lisiane C Poncio ¹ , Filipe A dos Anjos ¹ , Deborah A de Oliveira ¹ , Débora Rebechi ¹ , Rodrigo N de
8	Oliveira ¹ , Rodrigo F Chitolina ¹ , Marise L Fermino ^{1,2} , Luciano G Bernardes ³ , Danton Guimarães ⁴ ,
9	Pedro A Lemos ⁵ , Marcelo N E Silva ⁶ , Rodrigo G M Silvestre ^{7,8} , Emerson S Bernardes ^{1,9} , Nitzan
10	Paldi ^{10*}
11	
12	¹ Forrest Brasil Tecnologia Ltda, Araucaria, PR, Brazil
13	² Faculty of Health Sciences of Barretos Dr. Paulo Prata, Barretos, SP, Brazil
14	³ Paraná Institute of Technology, Curitiba, PR, Brazil
15	⁴ Sanitary Surveillance of Jacarezinho Municipal Health Department, Jacarezinho, PR, Brazil
16	⁵ Epidemiologic Surveillance of Jacarezinho Municipal Health Department, Jacarezinho, PR, Brazil
17	⁶ Health Department of Jacarezinho, Jacarezinho, PR, Brazil
18	⁷ UniSociesc, Curitiba, PR, Brazil
19	⁸ University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
20	⁹ Department of Radiopharmacy, Nuclear Energy Research Institute, Radiopharmacy Center, São
21	Paulo, SP, Brazil.
22	¹⁰ Forrest Innovations Ltd, Caesarea, Israel
23	
24	*Corresponding author:
25	Email: nitzan@forrestinnovations.com
26 27	

28 Abstract

29

30 *Background*. Despite extensive efforts to prevent recurrent *Aedes*-borne arbovirus epidemics, there 31 is a steady rise in their global incidence. Vaccines/treatments show very limited efficacy and 32 together with the emergence of mosquito resistance to insecticides, it has become urgent to develop 33 alternative solutions for efficient, sustainable and environmentally benign mosquito vector control. 34 Here we present a new Sterile Insect Technology (SIT)-based program that uses large-scale releases 35 of sterile male mosquitoes produced by a highly effective, safe and environmentally benign method.

36 *Methods and findings.* To test the efficacy of this approach, a field trial was conducted in a Brazilian 37 city (Jacarezinho), which presented a history of 3 epidemics of dengue in the past decade. Sterile 38 male mosquitoes were produced from a locally acquired Aedes aegypti colony, and releases were 39 carried out on a weekly basis for seven months in a predefined area. This treated area was matched to 40 a control area, in terms of size, layout, historic mosquito infestation index, socioeconomic patterns 41 and comparable prevalence of dengue cases in past outbreaks. Releases of sterile male mosquitoes 42 resulted in up to 91.4% reduction of live progeny of field *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes recorded over time. 43 The reduction in the mosquito population was corroborated by the standard monitoring system 44 (LIRAa index) as determined by the local municipality, which found that our treated neighborhoods 45 were almost free of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes after 5 months of release, whereas neighborhoods 46 adjacent to the treated area and the control neighborhoods were highly infested. Importantly, when a 47 dengue outbreak started in Jacarezinho in March 2019, the effective mosquito population 48 suppression was shown to be associated with a far lower incidence of dengue in the treated area (16 49 cases corresponding to 264 cases per 100,000 inhabitants) almost 16 times lower than the dengue 50 incidence in the control area (198 cases corresponding to 4,360 dengue cases per 100,000 51 inhabitants).

Conclusions. Our data present the first demonstration that a SIT-based intervention has the potential
 to prevent the spread of dengue, opening exciting new opportunities for preventing mosquito-borne
 disease.

55 Introduction

56 Mosquito-transmitted arboviruses are the cause of substantial human mortality and morbidity. 57 Dengue is endemic in more than 100 countries in Africa, the Americas, the Eastern Mediterranean, 58 Southeast Asia and the Western Pacific [1]. An estimated 500,000 people with severe dengue require 59 hospitalization each year, a large proportion of whom are children [2]. The symptoms of dengue 60 include high fever, severe headaches, muscle and joint pain, nausea, vomiting, swollen glands or rash 61 [3]. Dengue itself is rarely fatal, but severe dengue is a potentially fatal complication, with symptoms 62 including low temperature, severe abdominal pains, rapid breathing, bleeding gums and blood in 63 vomit [2].

Although the World Health Organization (WHO) has announced its intention to target reduction of global incidence of dengue by 75% in the next decade [2], the apparent reality seems to be heading in the opposite direction [4]. Indeed, hyper-urbanization and climate change are driving the expanded range of the primary mosquito vector of dengue and other arboviruses [5–7]. Consequently, the high morbidity and consequent economic and resource burden on health services in endemic settings is substantial and increasing [1].

Since specific vaccines to arboviruses have been presenting very limited efficacy and no treatments are available other than management [8], the main strategy to prevent the outbreak of epidemics still remains the control of the mosquito vectors, with the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito being by far the most common and efficient vector of dengue [9]. However, the traditional methods of vector control, such as the mechanical removal of potential breeding sites for mosquitoes and the use of insecticides [10], have been shown to be insufficient to prevent disease outbreaks, as has been

revidenced by the continued rise of dengue incidence [4]. This has created an urgent need foralternative solutions to vector control.

78 Several biological paradigms for efficient Ae. aegypti control have created an interest both in 79 the scientific and public-health communities over the past few years. One of these is the Sterile 80 Insect Technique (SIT), which is based on the massive and continuous release of sterile male 81 mosquitoes that mate with the wild females. Subsequently, these females do not generate viable 82 offspring, which results in the gradual reduction of the local mosquito population [11]. As a method 83 of insect control, SIT has several fundamental advantages, the most important of which is that, by 84 definition, it provides species-level specificity, with no off-target effects [12]. In addition, another 85 advantage of SIT programs is that there is virtually no risk of selecting resistant mosquito 86 populations, which is one of the main criticisms related to chemical control (insecticides) [10].

87 Several SIT-based vector programs have already been shown to suppress mosquito 88 populations in field studies [13–15]. Although SIT programs for vector control are well-known in the 89 scientific community for many years [11], there are several fundamental limitations that preclude 90 their wide implementation. The sterilization of mosquitoes by irradiation, for example, decreases 91 competitiveness capacity of male mosquitoes [11]. The use of genetically modified (GM) 92 mosquitoes is often shunned by the population and governments, due in part to fear of gene flow 93 from released GM mosquitoes into the local gene pool [16]. Also, the technique based on 94 cytoplasmic incompatibility (Incompatible Insect Technology – IIT), which utilizes mosquitoes 95 infected with Wolbachia bacteria, seems to present several vulnerabilities, including the reduced 96 competitiveness induced by some Wolbachia strains, risk of population replacement, selection of 97 virus resistant to *Wolbachia*, and also limitations related to scaling up [13,17,18]. Above all, no such 98 program has ever been able to directly demonstrate prevention of the spread of dengue or other 99 arboviruses [13-15].

Herein we present a new and environmentally benign vector control intervention. This intervention comprised a series of actions implemented over one year in a Brazilian town (Jacarezinho) and included releases of sterile male mosquitoes (Natural Vector Control Mosquitoes-NVC) produced from the locally acquired *Ae. aegypti* mosquito population. The results of this intervention offered the first evidence that a SIT vector-control program can dramatically reduce the spread of dengue.

106

107 Methods

108 Establishment of local Ae. aegypti mosquito colony

109 Ae. aegypti mosquitoes from Jacarezinho were obtained via a field collection of eggs from the 110 Aedes genus, using ovitraps distributed in several locations in the city of Jacarezinho in 2017. Ae. 111 *aegypti* males and females from this F0 generation were mated and the females could individually 112 lay their eggs. Then, all females that laid eggs from this F0 generation were collected and analyzed 113 for the presence of dengue, Zika and chikungunya viruses, using a Real-time PCR method 114 (Multiplex Dengue, Chikungunya, Zika virus, Genesig, USA). No infected females were detected 115 and the eggs from these confirmed pathogen-free females were used to establish the mosquito colony 116 of the Jacarezinho strain.

117 All the mosquitoes used in the study were reared in the Insectary of Forrest Brasil Tecnologia 118 Ltda. located in Araucária city, in the state of Paraná, Brazil, and following all the biosafety 119 parameters required for the process as defined by Environmental Institute of Paraná (IAP). The basic 120 mosquito growth protocol and massive production of eggs was based on Rutledge et al. 1964 [19], 121 with modifications. Briefly, freshly hatched larvae were placed in rearing trays and fed with 122 commercially available alevin fish food (Supra Alevino), according to a predetermined regime to 123 enable similar development for all production batches. Adult mosquitoes were fed on 10% sugar 124 solution and kept at 26-28°C, 70-80% relative humidity and a 12:12 photoperiod. For massive

production of eggs, adult females were mated with male mosquitoes (3 females: 1 male ratio) for three days and then fed with defibrinated sheep blood (Laborclin) using artificial feeders.

127

128 **Production of NVC sterile male mosquitoes**

129 NVC mosquitoes were produced using a method that incorporates the use at the larval stage 130 of specific dsRNAs, which targets the gene AAEL013723-PA, encoding a polypyrimidine tract 131 binding protein (PTB) [20] and treatment with thiotepa at the pupal stage.

132 NVC sterile male mosquitoes used in all the experiments that were conducted under 133 laboratory and semi-field conditions were produced as follows; the production process started by 134 hatching Ae. aegypti eggs in 3 L dechlorinated water containing 150 mL of aged water and 0.15 mg 135 fish food /ml at 26° - 26,5°C, for an overnight period. Subsequently, groups of one hundred first 136 instar larvae were treated with a food formulation containing 1 mg of PTB-1 dsRNA (Table 1), 120 137 mg of yeast and 60 mg of fish food encapsulated in 1.2% (W/V) of sodium alginate particles. Larvae 138 were fed with this formulation until they reached the third instar development phase. Then, third 139 instar larvae were fed until the pupal stage with a second formulation, composed of 10 μ g/mL of 140 PTB-2 dsRNA (Table 1), 450 µL of PEG 20% (Polyethylene glycol 4000, Sigma), 200 µL of 0.01 141 g/mL Chitosan and 180 mg of Bovine Liver Powder encapsulated in 1.2 % (W/V) sodium alginate 142 particles. To complete the sterilization process, a final step was performed after mechanical sorting 143 of male and female pupae (Larval-Pupal Separator, Model 5412, John W. Hock Company, Florida, 144 USA). Females were discarded, and males were kept in a 0.1% thiotepa solution, overnight, then 145 extensively washed in acidified water (pH 3). Male pupae were finally placed in cages to emerge.

- 146
- 147

Tiles	Primer sequences with T7 promoter	dsRNA sequence
Thes	sequences*	uskiva sequence

¹⁴⁸Table 1. Primer sequences used to synthetize dsRNA sequences used to silence the PTB gene149(AAEL013723-PA)

PTB-1	PTB-1-T7- Forward	AATACGACTCACTATAGGG AGAATCGTCGAGTCGCTACT GTACC	TTGACAAGGCGATGATTGTCTACGATGCCAAGACGA AGGTTTCCCGAGGGTTCGGATTCGTGTACTTCCAGG AGCAGAGTGCGGCCACCGAAGCCAAAATGCAGTGT AATGGAATGATGCTGCATGAGCGCACGATTAGAGTG		
dsRNA	PTB-1-T7- Reverse	TAATACGACTCACTATAGG GAGAGGCGTTGCTAAGCCG TTCAC	GATTATTCGGTGACCGAAAGACCGCACGATTAGAGT GATTATTCGGTGACCGAAAGACCGCATACGCCCACG CCCGGTGTCTACATGGGAGCTAGAAGCACTGAGAA CGGAAGCACCGCAGT		
PTB-2 dsRNA	PTB-2-T7- Forward	TAATACGACTCACTATAGG GATACGCACAGAACCCGC	ACGCACAGAACCCGCTTCATCGGTTCAAGAAGCCCG GCAGCAAAAACTACCAGAACATCTATCCACCGTCTG CCACACTGCATTTAAGCAACATTCCAGCTACCGTCA CCGAGGAGGAGAGATTAAAGAAGCCTTCACCAAAAAC GGCTTCGAAGTCAAAGCTTTCAAATTTTTCCCCAAG GACCACAAGATGGCTCTGATACAGCTCAGCT		
	PTB-2-T7- Reverse	TAATACGACTCACTATAGG GATTTAGATGTTGGATTT	GAGGAAGCCGTGTGCGCGCTGATCAAGATGCACAA CTACCAGCTCTCGGAATCGAACCATCTACGTGTCAG TTTTTCCAAATCCAACATC		

150 * Both dsRNA sequences used in this study were either produced internally by in vitro transcription 151 (Megascript kit, Ambion) or provided by AgroRNA (Seoul, Korea).

For the field trial, NVC male mosquitoes were produced essentially as described above, with 153 several modifications necessary to adapt the protocol for large-scale production. These modifications 154 included the higher number of larvae treated per batch and the reduction of dsRNA concentration 155 during the first phase of NVC male mosquito production. In the pupae phase, males and females 156 were mechanically sorted as described above, and all the batches of NVC production underwent a 157 quality control to detect the potential presence of contaminating females. For this, a sample of at 158 least 1,000 individuals was collected and analyzed under 10x magnification. A minimum threshold 159 for pupal sorting accuracy of 99.8% males per group was imposed. All the batches of NVC pupae 160 below this value was re-sorted until it was above 99.8%. Finally, approved batches of male pupae 161 were subjected to thiotepa treatment. For large-scale production, the time of exposure of male pupae 162 to thiotepa solution (at 0.6% W/V) was reduced to 2.5 hours, to avoid adult emergence during this 163 step of treatment. Subsequently, thiotepa-treated NVC mosquitoes underwent three steps of washes 164 to remove and inactivate any remnants of thiotepa solution that might stick to the outer surface of the 165 pupae [21]: the first with tap water (for 10 min); the second with $0.0025 \text{ N H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution at pH 2-3 166 (for 10 minutes) and the third and last one with 1 mm NaOH solution at pH 9 (for 20 minutes). This 167 final step ensures complete degradation of thiotepa and derivatives into inert and non-toxic 168 compounds [21]. Finally, pupae were rinsed in water to remove traces of the alkaline solution and

then transferred to a container within the adult cage to emerge. After emerging as adults, NVC sterilemale mosquitoes were fed 10% sugar solution.

171

172 Fertility bioassay

173 To demonstrate the fertility status and competitiveness of NVC mosquitoes under laboratory 174 conditions, NVC male mosquitoes (treated group) and untreated male mosquitoes (control group) 175 were mated with virgin (fertile) females in the ratio of 1:3 (one male to three females). To test the 176 competitiveness capacity of NVC mosquitoes, NVC males were allowed to mate with virgin females 177 in the presence or absence of different proportions regular non-NVC males, according to the 178 following experimental groups: fertile control (ten untreated virgin females and ten untreated males); 179 sterile control (ten untreated virgin females and ten NVC treated mosquitoes); ratio 1:1 (ten 180 untreated virgin females with five NVC and five untreated males) and ratio 10:1 (ten untreated virgin 181 females with nine NVC and one untreated males). Three replicates from each group were prepared. 182 Males and females were kept in the cage for a period of three to five days to allow mating.

Following the period for mating, females (from both fertility and competitiveness assays) were submitted to the steps of blood feeding, oviposition, embryonic development, hatching and counting. Details of the protocol can be found in the supplementary information (appendix S1).

186

187 Semi-field trial

A semi-field experiment was carried out to test NVC male mosquitoes' ability to compete with the wild male mosquitoes in ambient settings. The experiment was performed using a cage system that allowed mosquitoes to be exposed to local environmental conditions (temperature and humidity) but, at the same time, kept them in a biosafety environment so they would not be released into the wild. This experiment was performed in the city of Jacarezinho. Details of cage system can be found in appendix S2.

194 For the competitiveness assays, NVC mosquitoes were allowed to mate with virgin females 195 inside the semi-field cages in the presence or absence of different proportions of normal males, 196 according to the following experimental groups: fertile control (fertile males only); sterile control 197 (sterile males only); ratio 1:1 (equal proportions of sterile and fertile males); ratio 10:1 (10 sterile 198 males per 1 fertile male). The distribution of each of the groups of the experiment was performed in 199 a random manner, using an Excel randomization worksheet. At the time of adult release, male 200 mosquitoes were released before females. Only after all males were released, the females were 201 released into the cages. Males and females were allowed to mate for a period of three days.

202 After the three-day mating period, a blood meal was provided for each semi-field cage. For 203 this, three anesthetized mice were kept in each semi-field cage for a one-hour period, then all mice 204 used were euthanized by anesthetic over-dose. All mice used in the experiments were provided by 205 the Paraná Institute of Technology (TECPAR), after approval by the Local Ethics Committee 206 (appendix S3). Three days after blood feeding, five ovitraps were placed inside each cage [22,23]. 207 Females were allowed to oviposite for a period of five days, then, ovitraps were collected and 208 transferred to the laboratory. Eggs were dried for five days to allow embryonic development and 209 counted. Finally, eggs were hatched to verify the percentage of viable larvae. For this purpose, each 210 paddle from each semi-field cage was kept in a tray with 2 L of water for 48 hours, then, hatched 211 larvae were counted. The percentage of hatching was calculated based in the total number of eggs 212 and viable larvae.

213

214 Study location of field trial

Jacarezinho is a city in the northern region of Paraná state, located in southern Brazil (Figure 1A). Jacarezinho has a temperate climate, with well distributed rainfall throughout the year, and a mean annual precipitation of 1376 mm. The warmest and wettest month is January (average temperature of 25°C and 187 mm of precipitation), the coldest and driest month is June (average

219 temperature of 17°C and 50 mm of precipitation) and the average temperature is 21°C. The study 220 areas in Jacarezinho were chosen based on Ae. aegypti infestation rates and historical dengue 221 epidemics, provided on a regular basis by the State Health Department of Paraná. Control and treated 222 areas displayed similar number of inhabitants and socioeconomic characteristics, based on 223 demographic data provided by the local municipality. Priority was given to neighborhoods with the 224 highest historical rate of mosquito infestation and occurrence of dengue in past outbreaks. The 225 control area was comprised of three neighborhoods which, together, correspond to an area of 81 226 hectares and have approximately 4,500 inhabitants. The treated area was also comprised of three 227 neighborhoods with 77 hectares in total and approximately 6,000 inhabitants. As shown in Figure 228 1A, the areas chosen are separated by almost 4 km. To facilitate the releases of NVC mosquitoes and 229 monitoring, the treated area was subdivided into three sub-regions (A, B and C) and microregions 230 (Figure 1B).





233 Figure 1. Satellite image (map data April 2019: Google, USA) showing the location of the study 234 areas in Jacarezinho, South of Brazil. A. Map of Jacarezinho urban area and surroundings, 235 showing the control and treated areas. The three neighborhoods chosen for the control Area (a-Dom 236 Pedro Filipack, b-Vila Maria and c-Vila São Pedro) is shown on the left and neighborhoods chosen 237 as the treated area (d-Vila Leão, e-Aeroporto and f-Novo Aeroporto) are shown on the right. 238 Municipality of Jacarezinho, Paraná state, Brazil. B. The treated area was divided in microregions a, 239 b and c. C. The cage where NVC mosquitoes were packed before releases. D. Map of control area 240 showing the points where ovitraps (pink marks) were installed. E. Map of the treated area showing 241 the location of the ovitraps (blue marks).

243 NVC mosquito releases, Ae. aegypti surveillance and viable progeny

Seven-day-old NVC male mosquitoes were packed in cylindric plastic containers (4.2L of capacity, 210 mm height, 184 mm diameter, Figure 1C), at a maximum of 4,000 per container, and were fed with sugar solution until release. Mosquito releases were performed by manually opening the containers as a car goes through the streets of the treated area, according to the release schedule of the week. The number of NVC male mosquitoes released in each microregion were defined based on the monitoring of eggs collected in these areas in the previous week.

250 The monitoring of *Ae. aegypti* abundance was performed through the weekly installation of 251 100 ovitraps [22,23] in the houses or in the peridomiciliar area of the residences of both treated and 252 control area. The selection of houses for the installation of ovitraps was performed in a random way. 253 For this, all the houses from control and treated areas received numbering and a draw was 254 performed, following the LIRAa methodology [24]. At least one resident of each house used for the 255 traps signed a consent form that allowed ovitraps to be installed in their home (appendix S4). 256 Ovitraps were installed and kept in the field for a period of 7 days, then removed to the laboratory 257 and replaced with new ones. Once at the laboratory, eggs collected in each ovitrap were air dried for 258 five days to complete the embryological development. Eggs were counted, and the hatching was 259 performed by individually immersing each wooden paddle in a 0.0175% (W/V) solution of fish meal 260 diluted in filtered water. The eggs were kept in this solution for 48 hours and then the larvae that 261 hatched in this period were counted and considered viable progeny.

To monitor the abundance of *Aedes* genus population in Jacarezinho, prior to the period of NVC releases, ovitraps were installed in 52 points distributed throughout the town and replaced weekly. The eggs from each ovitrap were hatched together and larvae were reared to adulthood. Adult mosquitoes were then identified by species.

266

267 Entomological and epidemiological data

268 Where indicated, official Ae. aegypti infestation data was provided by the Sanitary 269 Surveillance of the Health Department of Jacarezinho according to Ae. aegypti Infestation Index 270 Rapid Survey (LIRAa). This method was developed by the Brazilian Ministry of Health and consists 271 of bimonthly larval sampling of Ae. aegypti in predetermined survey points, which are a function of 272 the human population density and the number of existing buildings in the city [24]. LIRAa index is 273 expressed as percentage of analyzed buildings where Ae. aegypti larvae are found. LIRAa index 274 below 1% classify the area surveyed as satisfactory; LIRAa between 1% and 3.9%, the situation is 275 defined as "alert" and LIRAa index above 4% indicates a risk of a dengue outbreak.

Epidemiological data regarding dengue cases in Jacarezinho was provided by the Epidemiological Surveillance of the Health Department of Jacarezinho. All the patients presenting dengue symptoms were reported to the local authority responsible. Subsequently, dengue rapid test (ELISA method, detection of NS1 using both IgM and IgG) was applied to each and every of these patients, to confirm (or not) the dengue diagnosis. In parallel, a blood sample from each patient was collected and analyzed by the Central Laboratory of Paraná State (LACEN), the regional authority, for further analysis using RT - PCR MULTIPLEX and dengue serological identification.

283

284 Analysis of field population suppression and statistics

285 Estimation of field population suppression was performed as previously described in Gorman 286 et al., 2015 [25], with modifications. Weekly moving averages relative to the same period at each 287 control area were calculated according to the equation $M = (T_a/C_a)/(T_b/C_b) - 1$, where M is the population change, T_a is mean larvae per point in the treated area after release, C_a is mean larvae per 288 289 point in control area after release; T_b is mean larvae per point in treated area before release and C_b is 290 mean larvae per point in control area before release. This was done by comparing data obtained 291 weekly against baseline data obtained across the three weeks prior to the beginning of releases. The 292 corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by a 10,000-loop bootstrap for each

period [25]. The CIs were calculated for the entire period of releases and for each period of seven
weeks. The CIs were established in R version 3.5.2 (2018-12-20) (Copyright © 2018 The R
foundation for Statistical Computing).

Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) using R version 3.5.2 was performed to verify the difference of viable progenies between control and treated areas over the study period. For this 29 weekly means of viable progeny by trap for both areas were calculated in the period when releases occurred.

Dengue incidence from March to May 2019 ((number of Dengue cases/exposed population of the area) X 100,000) were calculated for control (I_{DC}) and treated (I_{DT}) areas. The rate ratio (RR) is the ratio between the two incidences and was calculated by using the formula RR= I_{DT}/I_{DC} . Values of RR<1 indicate that the intervention (in this case, NVC treatment) is protective against Dengue and values of RR>1 indicate that intervention is a worsening factor for Dengue. Confidence intervals 95% were established in R software. ANCOVA was also used to analyze the differences between Dengue cases originated in control and treated areas.

To support the correlation between dengue cases, the mean of eggs and larvae progeny in each area, a linear regression was performed. Correlation was established based on the accumulated dengue cases that occurred in each area, compared to the sum of weekly mean of eggs collected and the weekly mean of viable larvae, to compare this variable, the number of dengue cases was paired with the data of eggs or viable larvae from 3 weeks before the dengue case was registered. This analysis was performed from the first week after the NVC releases started in the treated area and proceed until the end of field surveillance.

In addition, to better evaluate the influence of treatment and the number of viable larvae progenies on the total number of cases during the NVC releases, a Multivariate Anova was performed in R software. Both study areas were split to the three main neighborhoods in each region. Based on the 29 weeks of NVC releases, a mean of viable progeny per trap for each neighborhood

was established and the total number of dengue cases for each neighborhood was calculated. Results
were considered significant when p values were smaller than 0.05.

320

321 **Results**

322 Sterility of NVC male mosquitoes does not affect their competitiveness capacity

323 One of the problems of SIT is related to the method of sterilization of male insects, since 324 several of the available techniques potentially affects the fitness of mosquitos and compromises their 325 competitiveness capacity. To evaluate the impact of sterilization in NVC males, laboratory and semi-326 field tests were conducted to demonstrate the sterility and competitiveness of NVC mosquitoes. The 327 laboratory-scale fertility bioassay using NVC male mosquitoes showed that they are unable to 328 generate viable offspring after copulating with virgin females reared under similar conditions (Figure 329 2A and 2B). Although these results suggested that NVC are sterile, it is possible that this outcome is 330 due to an inability of male mosquitoes to mate with females. Therefore, additional tests were 331 performed to evaluate the ability of NVC to copulate and compete with normal fertile mosquitoes. 332 As shown in Figure 2C and 2D, NVC male mosquitoes are able to compete with wild males when 333 subjected to competitive assays using different ratios of sterile males to fertile males. To demonstrate 334 that NVC mosquitoes were also competitive when exposed to field environmental conditions, a 335 semi-field trial was conducted in the city of Jacarezinho. The results show that NVC mosquitoes 336 were equally competitive with non-sterile mosquitoes under semi-field environmental conditions and 337 proportionally suppressed the viable progeny of the next generation (Figure 2E and 2F).



340 Figure 2. Effect of sterility treatment on viable Ae. aegypti progeny and competitive capacity of 341 NVC mosquitoes. A and B. Seven-days-old NVC (Treated males) or normal fertile males (Control 342 males) were allowed to mate with regular virgin females reared under similar laboratory conditions, 343 for three days. The females were blood fed and allowed to oviposit their eggs in individual 344 oviposition cages. Subsequently, the eggs were counted and hatched, in order to determine the 345 percentage of viable larvae. In Panel A the average number of eggs per female is shown, and Panel B 346 represents the percentage mean of viable larvae that hatched from the eggs. Data representative of 2 347 independent experiments. Statistical analysis: Unpaired t test, **** p < 0.0001. C and D. 348 Competitive capacity of NVC: Seven-days-old NVC were mixed with different ratios of fertile males

349 (only untreated males – fertile control; 1 NVC : 1 untreated male; 10 NVC : 1 untreated male and 350 only NVC – sterile control) and allowed to mate with regular virgin females reared under similar 351 laboratory conditions. Blood feeding, oviposition and hatching was performed as described in A. 352 Panel C: the average number of eggs per female, and Panel D represents the percentage average of 353 viable larvae that hatched from the eggs. Data on 2 independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 354 One-way Anova, Tukey test, *** p <0.005. E and F. Competitive competence of NVC in the semi-355 field trial. Different proportions of NVC and fertile male mosquitoes were placed in cages installed 356 in a safe area in the city of Jacarezinho and allowed to mate with normal (fertile) virgin females, 357 according to the protocol described in the Methods section. After the mate period, females from all 358 groups were fed with blood and allowed to oviposit in appropriate containers placed inside the semi-359 field cages. The eggs were then removed from the cage, counted and hatched. E. Eggs average 360 obtained in each experimental group from three independent experiments. F. Percentage of hatching 361 (viable larvae derived from eggs) for each group (three experiments). Statistical analysis: One-way 362 Anova (Tukey multiple comparison test, **** p <0.0001.

363

364 Large-scale releases of NVC male mosquitoes successfully suppressed a field Ae. aegypti
 365 mosquito population

The field study was carried out to demonstrate the efficacy of the method in suppressing the wild mosquito population.

Community outreach was started in Jacarezinho already back in 2017 (appendix S5), and NVC mosquitoes were released starting from September 2018 to mid-April 2019. As already mentioned, this city was chosen, among other reasons, for presenting a history of dengue epidemics. The abundance of *Ae. aegypti* in Jacarezinho was monitored throughout 2017 and early 2018. As expected, peaks of mosquito infestation were during the hottest and wettest months of the year (from November to March) (Figure 3A).





Figure 3. Massive releases of NVC male mosquitoes suppressed the local *Ae. aegypti* population. A. Abundance of mosquito population *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* in the city of Jacarezinho from May 2017 until April 2018. Ovitraps were installed in 52 points distributed throughout Jacarezinho town and replaced weekly. The eggs from each ovitrap were hatched together and larvae were reared to adulthood. Adult mosquitoes were then identified by species. The data show the total number of adult mosquitoes of each species per collection. **B.** Number of NVC

382 mosquitoes released at the treated area of Jacarezinho per week. The left Y axis (black) shows the 383 absolute number of NVC mosquitoes released each week over the study period, while the right Y 384 axis (red) shows the percentage of females present in the equivalent NVC release batch. C. 385 Suppression of *Ae. aegypti* wild population in Jacarezinho after treatment with NVC mosquitoes. 386 Weekly moving averages showing percentage change in Ae. aegypti abundance at the treated area, 387 measured by mean number of larvae per trap relative to control area. **D.** Map of *Ae. aegypti* building 388 infestation indices based on results of Ae. aegypti Infestation Index Rapid Survey (LIRAa) by 389 neighborhood. Ae. agypti infestation before the implementation of NVC program is shown in upper 390 left panel (a), and infestation 9 (b), 18 (c) and 26 (d) weeks after the beginning of NVC releases. 391 Data were provided by Epidemiological Surveillance of the Health Department of Paraná. 392 Municipality of Jacarezinho, Paraná State, Brazil.

393

394 The total number of NVC male mosquitoes released during the intervention period was 395 calculated to be 12,335,200 and the number of NVC male mosquitoes used in each release is shown 396 in Figure 3B. It is important to emphasize that the intention is to release only males, and not female 397 mosquitoes, since it is the females that bite and potentially potentiate the spread of dengue and/or 398 other arboviruses. Even though female mosquitoes reared in Forrest Innovations' facility are 399 pathogen-free, if released in enough numbers in an area where there is an ongoing epidemic, they 400 could potentially contribute to local disease transmission. Therefore, each batch of sterile males 401 underwent a quality control to detect the potential presence of contaminating females (Figure 3B).

Furthermore, to verify that NVC male mosquitoes remain viable and competitive after being released in the field, BG traps were installed in control and treated areas to recapture adult mosquitoes, including the NVC male mosquitoes. Although it is not possible to visually differentiate NVC mosquitoes from wild males, the fertility status showed that a high percentage of recaptured

406 males was sterile, indicating that NVC mosquitoes remain viable, yet sterile, in the field at least one407 week after release (appendix S6).

408 To quantify the suppression effect of NVC male mosquito releases on the Ae. aegypti field 409 population we used the method of weekly moving averages [25]. According to the established 410 confidence intervals, weekly moving averages showed that treatment with NVC male mosquitoes in 411 the treated area reduced the field population up to 91.4% (week 21 after beginning of NVC releases) 412 compared to the mosquito population of the control area (Figure 3C). Our results on suppression of 413 the local mosquito population are in accordance with the official Ae. aegypti infestation data 414 provided by sanitary surveillance authorities. This survey is carried out continuously by the Ministry 415 of Health of all Brazilian cities and is based on LIRAa index [24]. LIRAa index expresses the 416 percentage of buildings that were positive for the presence Ae. aegypti larvae. As can be seen in 417 Figure 3D, which indicates the LIRAa index in some neighborhoods of Jacarezinho, high Ae. aegypti 418 infestation rates were found in the both control and treated areas before implementation of the NVC 419 male mosquito release program. Most of these neighborhoods presented LIRAa index above 5%, and 420 in some of them LIRAa was above 15%. As defined by the Brazilian Ministry of Health, an index 421 above 4% classifies the monitored area as being at imminent risk of a dengue outbreak. Remarkably, 422 6 months after the beginning of the NVC releases, the treated area presented a drastic decrease in the 423 rates of infestation of Ae. aegypti (from more than 15% to 0 - 2.5%), which placed these 424 neighborhoods in a classification of a "satisfactory" situation. On the other hand, in neighborhoods 425 of the control area, the LIRAa index continued to be extremely high and indicative of a risk of an 426 imminent dengue outbreak, which indeed manifested in early March 2019. It is noteworthy that the 427 neighborhoods immediately adjacent to the treated area also presented a high LIRAa index (more 428 than 15%).

430 Suppression of Ae. aegypti mosquito population by NVC males was associated with lower

431 incidence of dengue in the treated area

432 Both treated and control areas presented a high incidence of dengue cases in 2010, 2011 and 433 2015 (Figure 4 A-C). In early March 2019 an outbreak of dengue began in Jacarezinho. During the period of NVC releases (from week 1 to 29, equivalent to October 3rd, 2018 and April 17, 2019, 434 435 respectively), 293 confirmed dengue cases were reported in the entire city, and from this total, 109 436 cases (37.2%) originated in neighborhoods of the control area. In contrast, only 8 cases of dengue 437 (2.7%) were reported in the neighborhoods treated with NVC male mosquitoes (Figure 4, panels D 438 and D'). The clustering of dengue cases in several locations in the control area, as well as the rapid 439 weekly increase is indicative of a high level of local transmission by mosquitoes. In contrast, the 8 440 cases reported in the treated area were sporadic and static during the period of NVC releases. On 441 week 35, six weeks after the end of NVC male mosquitoes' releases (Figure 4, panel E and E') the 442 accumulated number of dengue cases originated in the treated area remained much lower (16 cases) 443 when compared to the control area (198 cases). As described in the Methods section, a blood sample 444 for all the patients was collected to confirm the infection with dengue virus, both through serological 445 and molecular analysis (RT-PCR Multiplex). The list of dengue cases based on their registered place 446 of residence until the end of May, 2019 (week 35) can be found in appendix S7. In fact, the 447 incidence of dengue in the control area during the entire period analyzed, from week 1 to 35 was 448 4,360 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, while the incidence of dengue in the treated area, in the same 449 period, was only 264 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, which is almost 16 times lower than the dengue 450 incidence in the control area. The Rate Ratio, which is the ratio between dengue incidence of the 451 treated area and the control area, was 0.0606 (95% CI 0.0364-0.1006), indicating that the treatment 452 with NVC had a protective effect for residents of the treated area in terms of dengue. Corroborating 453 this, ANCOVA analysis showed that the difference between dengue cases in treated and control 454 areas was statistically significant (appendix S8).





Figure 4. Distribution of dengue cases in the treated area and control of the city of Jacarezinho. Maps **A** (2010), **B** (2011) and **C** (2015) refer to the period prior to the start of treatment with NVC. Maps **D** and **D'** shows, respectively, the percentage of dengue cases and their distribution in control and treated area on week 30 (April 24, 2019), approximately 7 months after the start of NVC releases. Maps **E** and **E'** show, respectively, the percentage of dengue cases and

461 their distribution in control and treated areas in Jacarezinho on week 35(May 28, 2019), six weeks 462 after the end of the NVC release period. On maps **D**' and **E**', blue marks indicate the points where 463 dengue cases where reported in the treated area and red marks the dengue cases reported in the 464 control area. Data were provided by Epidemiological Surveillance of the Health Department of 465 Paraná. Municipality of Jacarezinho, Paraná state, Brazil. F. Mean viable progeny per ovitrap and 466 dengue cases in control and treated areas overtime. Control and treated areas were monitored through 467 egg collection (ovitraps) over 37 weeks. Releases of NVC in the treated area occurred between 468 September 28, 2018 and April 22, 2019 (weeks 1 to 29), on a weekly basis. Eggs collected from the 469 control and treated areas were transferred to the laboratory, where they were hatched. The mean 470 number of larvae derived from eggs of each ovitrap (100 for each area) over the 37 weeks is 471 represented in left-Y axis and was defined as viable progeny. Statistical analysis: Analysis of 472 Covariance provided a p-value <0.0001 for the difference between slopes for mean larvae per trap 473 from control and treated areas. The cumulative number of confirmed cases of dengue in the control 474 area (gray bars) and treated (red bars) were provided by the Parana Health Department and are 475 shown on the Y axis on the right. The incidence of dengue in control area (I_{DC}) was 4.36% (95% CI 476 3.80%-4.99%) and in treated area (I_{DT}) was 0.26% (95% CI 0.16%-0.43%). The Rate Ratio (I_{DT}/ I_{DC}) 477 is 0.0606 (95% CI 0.0364-0.1006). Both Linear Regression and Multivariate Anova (correlation 478 between viable progeny in treated and control areas and dengue cases) provided p-values < 0.05.

479

Finally, two different statistical analysis were performed to demonstrate the direct correlation between the low incidence of dengue and the significant reduction in viable progeny of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes overtime, which resulted from the release of NVC male mosquitoes (Figure 4F). First, a linear regression analysis showed that the increase in the number of dengue cases is related both to the mean of eggs collected and viable larvae per week. For both study areas significant p values were obtained, in the control area p=4.551e-06 and p=2.496e-06 for eggs collected and viable larvae,

486 respectively. In the treated area p=1.824e-05 and p=9.058e-07 for eggs collected and viable larvae 487 mean, respectively, evidencing the dependence between these variables (appendix S8). Then, a 488 Multivariate Analysis (MANOVA) was performed to confirm this correlation (appendix S8). This 489 analysis was based on mean number of viable larvae from eggs collected in the control and the 490 treated areas during NVC releases and the number of dengue cases that originated in both areas in 491 this period (Figure 4F). The analysis showed a strong influence of NVC treatment in reducing 492 dengue cases (p value = 0.0025), which corroborates that the NVC releases has the potential to 493 prevent dengue outbreaks (appendix S8).

494

495 **Discussion**

496 Jacarezinho is a town with about 40,000 inhabitants. It experienced several dengue outbreaks 497 in the last decade, most notably in 2010, 2011 and 2015. The geographic distribution of dengue cases 498 in those past epidemics shows that both the control and treated areas presented the greatest number 499 of cases in the town, and that overall, the proportion of cases in the treated area was slightly higher 500 (Figure 4A-C). We set out to perform our study by targeting these neighborhoods for the release of 501 the NVC sterile mosquitoes starting in September 2018 (week 1). As is common in such real-world 502 circumstances [13], it was not logistically possible to use statistical methods to predetermine sample 503 sizes, to randomize the experiments or to blind the investigators.

The first case of dengue in Jacarezinho was confirmed in the beginning of March 2019 (week 23) and the total number of cases increased until April 24th 2019 (week 29, end of the NVC male mosquito release period) with 293 confirmed dengue cases. Out of these, 109 cases were reported in the control region, whereas only 8 cases were reported in the treated area. This difference of more than 90% between the number of dengue cases in the treated and control areas continued even 6 weeks after the total cessation of NVC male mosquito releases and demonstrates the success of our intervention program. During the last weeks of NVC male mosquito' releases (weeks 28 and 29), the

formal risk of dengue epidemic in Jacarezinho was declared by the local authorities, and by the end of May 2019 (week 35), a formal epidemic was confirmed, with 586 cases reported in total, 198 of which were in the control region (similar proportion of cases to past epidemics), whereas the treated region had only 16 cases (dramatically lower incidence compared with past epidemics). The details of the cases distribution are provided in appendix S7.

The data we present herein shows that an effective integrated SIT intervention plan can dramatically reduce the mosquito infestation *of Ae. aegypti*. Strikingly, the mosquito infestation index of the treated neighborhoods remained very low even though the directly adjacent neighborhoods were found to have very high mosquito indices in the LIRA survey. This underscores the robust nature of our NVC male mosquito intervention, since past studies have found significantly diminished effects of SIT in the "fringe areas" [13,15]. As such, allowing for such potential migration our suppression results become even more remarkable.

523 Critically, we have provided the first evidence that this new environmentally benign vector 524 control intervention can potentially thwart the spread of a mosquito-borne disease epidemic. Our 525 approach is based on the use sterile male mosquitoes (NVC) that are produced from a local mosquito 526 strain and close collaboration with the local community and authorities.

527 With a dengue outbreak in the making, the local authorities could not ethically leave the 528 control region without acting. Towards the end of March 2019, they began intervention in a series of 529 reactive actions to try to thwart the outbreak and prevent an epidemic, including massive spraying of 530 the organophosphate Malathion and 'blocking' (an intervention of seeking out larvae in breeding sites 531 in a 100-meter radius around the infected case) of every resident dengue-case reported. This may 532 have impacted the results and led to a certain reduction of the adult and subsequent larvae population 533 in the control region (Figure 4F, weeks 27-29). Indeed, the incidence of dengue in the control area 534 may have been even graver had the authorities not intervened at all. Due to the near absence of 535 dengue cases in the treated area, no such reactive intervention was required. Our study directly

demonstrates that these highly common reactive measures that are utilized all over the world [26–29]
were ineffective in preventing the continued spike in the number of dengue cases in the control
region. Together, these data provide strong evidence that near prevention of dengue transmission in
the treated area is exclusively due to NVC male mosquito releases.

540 Indeed, a recent review on management of mosquito resistance concluded that increases in 541 insecticide resistance development in Aedes vectors as arbovirus epidemics proliferate underscore 542 the urgency to create Insecticide Resistance Management (IRM) programs to maintain or recover 543 vector control efficacy, and that when control strategies using insecticides are implemented, they 544 should be systematically associated with noninsecticidal tools and, when possible, replaced by 545 alternative tools to reduce the selection pressure on Aedes populations and limit the evolution of 546 resistance [30]. Herein we provide a highly effective alternative tool to thwart the spread of Aedes 547 resistance to insecticides.

With the lack of specific antiviral drugs to treat dengue as well as uncertainties about the efficacy and safety of the dengue vaccine [8,31], integrated vector control remains the main WHO recommendation for the near future [1]. It is thus striking that there is paucity of reliable evidence for the effectiveness of any alternative dengue vector control method. Specifically, of the plethora of SIT studies conducted to date, none was able to directly show a reduction in dengue incidence, and entomological indices alone were used as end points [11].

554 One of the key factors for successful SIT implementation for mosquito control is identifying 555 a technology that induces sterility while at the same time retaining the sterilized treated males 556 vigorous and capable of successfully competing with the endemic male population in the release 557 area. Furthermore, in order to be implemented widely, such a method needs to be robust, cost-558 effective, easily scalable, and easy to implement in remote areas where it is most needed [32]. 559 Unfortunately, all the existing biological control alternatives have multiple limitations that make 560 them unavailable for immediate globally impactful implementation (Table 2). For example, RIDL

561 mosquitoes have recently been shown not be self-limited, and surviving males have integrated their 562 gene legacy into the local population [16], thus raising additional concerns beyond those that have 563 prevented this intervention from being implemented. Indeed, 10 years since it was first tested in the 564 Cayman Islands [33], there is still great difficulty in bypassing negative public sentiment to test it in 565 the Florida Keys, and its operation in Brazil has almost ground to a halt. Population replacement 566 strategies, such as the "Eliminate Dengue" program, based on Wolbachia inhibiting replication of 567 viruses, showed some success in Australia [34], but scaling up this strategy seems daunting since 568 there are multiple challenges to address such as the potential of the viruses to overcome Wolbachia-569 mediated "blocking" [17,35], reduced fitness of multiple strain Wolbachia carrying mosquitoes 570 [17,36–38], and potential of high temperatures in some locales to constrain the ability of Wolbachia 571 to invade natural mosquito populations and block disease transmission [17,38]. Recently, a combined 572 IIT/SIT approach was hailed to almost eliminate mosquitoes using a Wolbachia-male + irradiated 573 female contamination combination to facilitate population suppression. Notwithstanding the small 574 size of the intervention areas and the large number of mosquitoes per area due to their reduced 575 competitiveness, this method is very difficult to implement on a large scale, requiring backcrossing 576 to local populations everywhere that it is implemented. Moreover, even under the very stringent 577 conditions of the trial, several WPi bearing females were recovered, underscoring the potential of 578 limited population suppression inadvertently becoming population replacement once the scope of 579 this kind of intervention is enlarged [13]. This is so because quality control for successful irradiation 580 to the females is inevitably completed only after the batch was released.

Method	SIT (irradiation)	Wolbachia (IIT)	<i>Wolbachia</i> + irradiation (IIT/SIT)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (Transinfection - TI)	Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal (RIDL)	Natural Vector Control
Details	Release of irradiated-male mosquitoes	Release of <i>Wolbachia</i> -infected males (Incompatible Insect Technique - IIT)	Combined IIT/SIT (irradiated mosquitoes)	Release of males and females infected with Wolbachia (Blocking of virus transmission)	Release of transgenic mosquitoes (OX513A)	Release of NVC sterile male mosquitoes
Field trial	Bellini et al., 2013[39]	Mains et al., 2019[15]	Zheng et al., 2019[13]	Hoffmann et al., 2011[34]	Carvalho et al. 2015[14]	Present Study
City	Emilia-Romagna region (five small towns), northern Italy	South Miami, FL, USA	Guanzhou, China	Cairns, Australia	Juazeiro, Bahia, Brazil	Jacarezinho, Parana, Brazil
Treated Area	112 ha	170 ha	32.5 ha	Not Informed	11 ha	77 ha
Period of releases	3 years	6 months	2 years	10 weeks		7 months
Mosquitoes released	2 M	6.8 M	197.1M	300,000 adults	185,000 males	12.3M
Field monitoring	85 ovitraps	70 ovitraps and 35 BGs	150 ovitraps and 60 BGs	320 ovitraps	120 ovitraps and BGs (amount not informed)	100 ovitraps and 20 BGs
<i>Ae. aegypti</i> population reduction relative to control	Induced up to 68% sterility in the native population (eggs' hatching %). No direct demonstration of suppression.	Up to 78% in the center Max 45% in the "edge" areas	Up to 94%	Successful fixation of Wolbachia in local mosquito population occurred after 11 weeks of releases	Successful suppression of field population (81-95%)	Up to 91% throughout
% female contamination	Not informed	Not informed	0.6%	Not applicable	0.02%	0.003%
Reduction in the number of dengue cases	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	93% less (220 cases in the control area and only 16 cases in treated area – until June 2019)
Risk of introduction of new organisms/ genes/ residues into the nature	Low efficiency of sterilization by irradiation results in the release of large numbers of fertile males	High (undesired <i>Wolbachia</i> introduction into natural mosquito populations [17,40]	Medium (sterilization by irradiation did not prevented completely undesired introduction of <i>Wolbachia</i> into natural population) [13]	High (introduction of <i>Wolbachia</i> into natural mosquito population is the basis of this method)	High (not self-limited: spread of transgene to natural population [16]	No risk
Impact on mosquito fitness or competitive capacity	High	High, depending on the <i>Wolbachia</i> strain [17,41,42]	High [43]	High, depending on the <i>Wolbachia</i> strain [17]	High [44]	No impact, sterile males are produced from local mosquito population
Long-term efficacy	Need to perform additional releases in the subsequent mosquito seasons	Need to perform additional releases in the subsequent mosquito seasons	Need to perform additional releases in the subsequent mosquito seasons	Need to periodically check for the presence of <i>Wolbachia</i> in field-recaptured mosquitoes from field [17]	Need to perform additional releases in the subsequent mosquito seasons	Need to perform additional releases in the subsequent mosquito seasons

Additional comments	Because irradiated males presented lower competitiveness capacity they are not the first choice for SIT programs.	Poor competitive capacity implies the need to release greater amounts of males High cost program (reviewed in [17])	Poor competitive capacity implies the need to release greater amounts of males High cost program: difficult to implement in large-scale due the irradiation process [17,43]	Potential loss of infected mosquito population decreases the effectiveness of virus blocking (reviewed in [17] Risk of selecting resistant and more virulent strain of virus [17,45] Evolutionary changes in host genome resulting in reduced virus blocking even in the presence of <i>Wolbachia</i> [17]	Historic public rejection, lack of support from authorities, long and difficult regulatory process associated to use of GM mosquitoes and the recently shown gene flow from GM mosquitoes into the local gene pool [16]	Only one field trial performed so far
Conclusions	Medium efficacy. Recently this technique has been used in combination with other techniques, which involves additional costs for the program.[13,46]	Medium efficacy demonstrated, however the risk of undesired introduction of Wolbachia is a strong limitation of the method.	High efficacy when males are released in great amounts	Effective at least in a short-term, but effects of introduction of <i>Wolbachia</i> at long-term is not known so far.	Although mosquito suppression is effective, the potential environmental impact, the history of public rejection and lack of support from the authorities difficult implementation of this method.	High effective reduction in the natural mosquito population; Dramatic reduction in number of dengue cases. No environmental impact: self- limited mosquitoes; no risk of introduction of new mosquito strains, genes, residues into environment.

582 The process described herein to induce male sterility in Ae. aegypti overcomes all the 583 technological, logistical and ecological hurdles described above, as well as successfully addressing 584 regulatory compliance and the public concerns. We developed a treatment that is transient in nature 585 and the active ingredients do not persist in the released adult mosquito [47] (appendix S9). By 586 collecting thousands of local mosquito eggs before the start of the project, we were able to use the 587 ambient mosquito genepool to guarantee that the local climate adaptation and local female seeking 588 capabilities of the released sterile males will be optimal. By creating a colony with hundreds of 589 "founders" and thus large genetic variability, we were able to ensure that the males were highly 590 competitive and survived at least one week after their release.

In addition, as has been determined in previous studies, the education and involvement of the community in decision making provides a crucial component in successful implementation of SIT programs. A bottom-up approach that targets school workshops, community programs and total transparency, was highly successful in exposing the people to the benefits of releasing sterile male mosquitoes (appendix S5).

Dengue outbreaks are highly unpredictable, but it has been empirically determined in several previous studies that a minimum mosquito-vector threshold is needed to facilitate the spread of the virus in the population. Gradual mosquito population suppression all the way to over 91.4% reduction from the control area that was demonstrated in this study shows the importance of sustaining a continuous release program that is dependent on real-time monitoring of the mosquito population. Concurrent programs run over large regions may well bring total relief from *Aedes*related diseases by the second year of implementation.

The dramatic influence on the incidence of malaria by the deployment of Pyrethroid-treated bed nets underscores the ability of the international health community and Non-Governmental Organizations to mobilize in order to take advantage of an effective system to reduce disease. However, these efforts require the massive integrated coordinated action and funding of nations and

607 public organizations such as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation in order to be effectively 608 disseminated. In order to create real impact on a global scale, local communities need to be 609 empowered to set up the infrastructure for them to be able to endorse the transformative paradigm 610 that is described herein. It is our humble hope that this communication will be the trigger for such 611 global mobilization to further test and subsequently employ NVC male mosquitoes wherever the 612 threat of dengue and other arboviral diseases is significant.

613

614 **References**

- 615 1. Wilder-Smith A, Ooi E-E, Horstick O, Wills B. Dengue. The Lancet. 2019;393: 350–363.
 616 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32560-1
- 617 2. World Health Organization. Global vector control response 2017-2030. New ed. Special
 618 Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, editor. Geneva: TDR□: World
 619 Health Organization; 2017.
- Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health
 Organization, editors. Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and control.
 New ed. Geneva: TDR : World Health Organization; 2009.
- 4. Araújo H, Carvalho D, Ioshino R, Costa-da-Silva A, Capurro M. Aedes aegypti Control
 Strategies in Brazil: Incorporation of New Technologies to Overcome the Persistence of
 Dengue Epidemics. Insects. 2015;6: 576–594. doi:10.3390/insects6020576
- Rocklöv J, Quam MB, Sudre B, German M, Kraemer MUG, Brady O, et al. Assessing Seasonal
 Risks for the Introduction and Mosquito-borne Spread of Zika Virus in Europe. EBioMedicine.
 2016;9: 250–256. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.06.009
- 6. Struchiner CJ, Rocklöv J, Wilder-Smith A, Massad E. Increasing Dengue Incidence in
 Singapore over the Past 40 Years: Population Growth, Climate and Mobility. Chowell G,
 editor. PLOS ONE. 2015;10: e0136286. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136286
- Wilder-Smith A, Ooi E-E, Vasudevan SG, Gubler DJ. Update on Dengue: Epidemiology, Virus
 Evolution, Antiviral Drugs, and Vaccine Development. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2010;12: 157–164.
 doi:10.1007/s11908-010-0102-7
- 8. Hadinegoro SR, Arredondo-García JL, Capeding MR, Deseda C, Chotpitayasunondh T, Dietze
 R, et al. Efficacy and Long-Term Safety of a Dengue Vaccine in Regions of Endemic Disease.
 N Engl J Med. 2015;373: 1195–1206. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1506223
- 638 9. Souza-Neto JA, Powell JR, Bonizzoni M. Aedes aegypti vector competence studies: A review.
 639 Infect Genet Evol. 2019;67: 191–209. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2018.11.009
- 10. Hemingway J. Resistance: A problem without an easy solution. Pestic Biochem Physiol.
 2018;151: 73–75. doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.08.007
- Benelli G, Jeffries CL, Walker T. Biological Control of Mosquito Vectors: Past, Present, and
 Future. Insects. 2016;7. doi:10.3390/insects7040052
- Oliva CF, Jacquet M, Gilles J, Lemperiere G, Maquart P-O, Quilici S, et al. The Sterile Insect
 Technique for Controlling Populations of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) on Reunion
 Island: Mating Vigour of Sterilized Males. Brooke B, editor. PLoS ONE. 2012;7: e49414.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049414

- 13. Zheng X, Zhang D, Li Y, Yang C, Wu Y, Liang X, et al. Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate mosquitoes. Nature. 2019;572: 56–61. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1407-9
- 651 14. Carvalho DO, McKemey AR, Garziera L, Lacroix R, Donnelly CA, Alphey L, et al. 652 Suppression of a Field Population of Aedes aegypti in Brazil by Sustained Release of 653 Male Mosquitoes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. e0003864. Transgenic 2015:9: 654 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003864
- Mains JW, Kelly PH, Dobson KL, Petrie WD, Dobson SL. Localized Control of Aedes aegypti
 (Diptera: Culicidae) in Miami, FL, via Inundative Releases of Wolbachia-Infected Male
 Mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 2019;56: 1296–1303. doi:10.1093/jme/tjz051
- Evans BR, Kotsakiozi P, Costa-da-Silva AL, Ioshino RS, Garziera L, Pedrosa MC, et al.
 Transgenic Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes Transfer Genes into a Natural Population. Sci Rep.
 2019;9: 13047. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49660-6
- 17. Ritchie SA, van den Hurk AF, Smout MJ, Staunton KM, Hoffmann AA. Mission
 Accomplished? We Need a Guide to the 'Post Release' World of Wolbachia for Aedes -borne
 Disease Control. Trends Parasitol. 2018;34: 217–226. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.011
- 18. Calvitti M, Marini F, Desiderio A, Puggioli A, Moretti R. Wolbachia density and cytoplasmic
 incompatibility in Aedes albopictus: concerns with using artificial Wolbachia infection as a
 vector suppression tool. PloS One. 2015;10: e0121813. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121813
- Rutledge L, Ward R, Gould D. Studies on the feeding response of mosquitoes to nutritive solutions in a new membrane feeder. Mosq News. 1964;24: 407–419.
- Robida MD, Singh R. Drosophila polypyrimidine-tract binding protein (PTB) functions
 specifically in the male germline. EMBO J. 2003;22: 2924–2933. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdg301
- Sharma VP, Patterson RS, Grover KK, LaBrecque GC. Chemosterilization of the tropical house
 mosquito Culex pipiens fatigans Wied.: laboratory and field cage studies. Bull World Health
 Organ. 1973;48: 45–48.
- 674 22. Catteruccia F, Crisanti A, Wimmer EA. Transgenic technologies to induce sterility. Malar J.
 675 2009;8 Suppl 2: S7. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-8-S2-S7
- Atkinson MP, Su Z, Alphey N, Alphey LS, Coleman PG, Wein LM. Analyzing the control of
 mosquito-borne diseases by a dominant lethal genetic system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
 2007;104: 9540–9545. doi:10.1073/pnas.0610685104
- Lagrotta MTF, Silva W da C, Souza-Santos R. Identification of key areas for Aedes aegypti
 control through geoprocessing in Nova Iguaçu, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Cad Saude Publica.
 2008;24: 70–80. doi:10.1590/s0102-311x2008000100007
- 682 25. Gorman K, Young J, Pineda L, Márquez R, Sosa N, Bernal D, et al. Short-term suppression of
 683 Aedes aegypti using genetic control does not facilitate Aedes albopictus. Pest Manag Sci.
 684 2016;72: 618–628. doi:10.1002/ps.4151
- 685 26. World Health Organization. Global strategy for dengue prevention and control, 2012-2020.
 686 Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2012. Available: 687 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75303/1/9789241504034_eng.pdf
- Esu E, Lenhart A, Smith L, Horstick O. Effectiveness of peridomestic space spraying with
 insecticide on dengue transmission; systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2010 [cited 17 Oct
 2019]. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02489.x
- Stoops CA, Qualls WA, Nguyen T-VT, Richards SL. A Review of Studies Evaluating
 Insecticide Barrier Treatments for Mosquito Control From 1944 to 2018. Environ Health
 Insights. 2019;13: 117863021985900. doi:10.1177/1178630219859004
- Horstick O, Boyce R, Runge-Ranzinger S. Building the evidence base for dengue vector control: searching for certainty in an uncertain world. Pathog Glob Health. 2018;112: 395–403. doi:10.1080/20477724.2018.1547541

- 697 30. Dusfour I, Vontas J, David J-P, Weetman D, Fonseca DM, Corbel V, et al. Management of 698 insecticide resistance in the major Aedes vectors of arboviruses: Advances and challenges. 699 Fuehrer H-P. editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019:13: e0007615. 700 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007615
- Aguiar M, Stollenwerk N, Halstead SB. The risks behind Dengvaxia recommendation. Lancet
 Infect Dis. 2016;16: 882–883. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30168-2
- Alphey L, Benedict M, Bellini R, Clark GG, Dame DA, Service MW, et al. Sterile-insect methods for control of mosquito-borne diseases: an analysis. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2010;10: 295–311. doi:10.1089/vbz.2009.0014
- 33. Harris AF, McKemey AR, Nimmo D, Curtis Z, Black I, Morgan SA, et al. Successful suppression of a field mosquito population by sustained release of engineered male mosquitoes. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30: 828–830. doi:10.1038/nbt.2350
- 34. Hoffmann AA, Montgomery BL, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Johnson PH, Muzzi F, et al.
 Successful establishment of Wolbachia in Aedes populations to suppress dengue transmission.
 Nature. 2011;476: 454–457. doi:10.1038/nature10356
- Ford SA, Allen SL, Ohm JR, Sigle LT, Sebastian A, Albert I, et al. Selection on Aedes aegypti
 alters Wolbachia-mediated dengue virus blocking and fitness. Nat Microbiol. 2019.
 doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0533-3
- Nguyen TH, Nguyen HL, Nguyen TY, Vu SN, Tran ND, Le TN, et al. Field evaluation of the
 establishment potential of wMelPop Wolbachia in Australia and Vietnam for dengue control.
 Parasit Vectors. 2015;8: 563. doi:10.1186/s13071-015-1174-x
- Axford JK, Ross PA, Yeap HL, Callahan AG, Hoffmann AA. Fitness of wAlbB Wolbachia
 Infection in Aedes aegypti: Parameter Estimates in an Outcrossed Background and Potential for
 Population Invasion. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016;94: 507–516. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.15-0608
- Ross PA, Endersby NM, Hoffmann AA. Costs of Three Wolbachia Infections on the Survival of Aedes aegypti Larvae under Starvation Conditions. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10: e0004320. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004320
- 39. Bellini R, Medici A, Puggioli A, Balestrino F, Carrieri M. Pilot field trials with Aedes albopictus irradiated sterile males in Italian urban areas. J Med Entomol. 2013;50: 317–325. doi:10.1603/me12048
- 40. Boyer S, Toty C, Jacquet M, Lempérière G, Fontenille D. Evidence of multiple inseminations
 in the field in Aedes albopictus. PloS One. 2012;7: e42040. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042040
- 41. Chambers EW, Hapairai L, Peel BA, Bossin H, Dobson SL. Male mating competitiveness of a
 Wolbachia-introgressed Aedes polynesiensis strain under semi-field conditions. PLoS Negl
 Trop Dis. 2011;5: e1271. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001271
- 42. Segoli M, Hoffmann AA, Lloyd J, Omodei GJ, Ritchie SA. The effect of virus-blocking
 Wolbachia on male competitiveness of the dengue vector mosquito, Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl
 Trop Dis. 2014;8: e3294. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003294
- 43. Atyame CM, Labbé P, Lebon C, Weill M, Moretti R, Marini F, et al. Comparison of Irradiation
 and Wolbachia Based Approaches for Sterile-Male Strategies Targeting Aedes albopictus.
 López-Martínez G, editor. PLOS ONE. 2016;11: e0146834. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146834
- 44. Massonnet-Bruneel B, Corre-Catelin N, Lacroix R, Lees RS, Hoang KP, Nimmo D, et al.
 Fitness of transgenic mosquito Aedes aegypti males carrying a dominant lethal genetic system.
 PloS One. 2013;8: e62711. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062711
- 45. Bull JJ, Turelli M. Wolbachia versus dengue. Evol Med Public Health. 2013;2013: 197–207.
 doi:10.1093/emph/eot018
- 46. Lees RS, Gilles JR, Hendrichs J, Vreysen MJ, Bourtzis K. Back to the future: the sterile insect
 technique against mosquito disease vectors. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2015;10: 156–162.
 doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.05.011

- 47. Gato R, Companioni A, Bruzón RY, Menéndez Z, González A, Rodríguez M. Release of thiotepa sterilized males into caged populations of Aedes aegypti: life table analysis. Acta Trop.
- 748 2014;132 Suppl: S164-169. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.09.024

749

750 Supporting information captions

751

752 Appendix S1– Fertility bioassay

- 753 Appendix S2– Semi-field trial design
- 754 Appendix S3– Local Ethic Approval
- 755 Appendix S4– Agreement form for installation of ovitraps
- 756 Appendix S5– Community outreach and educational program
- 757 Appendix S6– Evaluation of NVC viability after release
- 758 Appendix S7– Dengue cases distribution
- 759 Appendix S8– Statistical analysis
- 760 Appendix S9– Residues analysis
- 761