1	Mosquito exposure and malaria morbidity; a micro-level analysis of household mosquito
2	populations and malaria in a population-based longitudinal cohort in western Kenya
3	
4	Running head: Micro-level malaria exposure & morbidity
5	Summary: In this study, we measure the relationship between fine-scale spatio-temporal
6	heterogeneity in exposure to infected and successfully-fed malaria vectors, the incidence of
7	malaria, and their interaction with ITN use in a population-based cohort.
8	Authors and Affiliations
9	Wendy Prudhomme O'Meara ^{1,2,3} , Ryan Simmons ^{1,4} , Paige Bullins ¹ , Betsy Freedman ² , Lucy Abel ⁵ ,
10	Judith Mangeni ⁶ , Steve M. Taylor ^{1,2} , Andrew A. Obala ⁷
11	1. Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708
12	2. Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708
13	3. School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya
14	4. Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, School of Medicine, Duke University,
15	Durham, NC 27708
16	5. Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital,
17	Eldoret, Kenya
18	6. School of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya
19	7. School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya
20	

21

Corresponding Author

2	22	Wendy Prudhomme O'Meara PhD, Associate Professor in Medicine at Duke University School of
2	23	Medicine and Associate Research Professor of Global Health at Duke Global Health Institute,
2	24	Duke University, Durham, NC 27708; wpo@duke.edu; 919-681-7711
2	25	Abstract
2	26 27	Background: Malaria morbidity is highly overdispersed in the population. Fine-scale differences
2	28	in mosquito exposure may partially explain this heterogeneity. However, exposure variability
2	29	has not been related to individual malaria outcomes.
3	80	Methods: We established a cohort of 38 households to explore the effect of household-level
3	81	mosquito exposure and individual insecticide treated net(ITN) use on relative risk(RR) of
3	32	diagnostically-confirmed malaria. We conducted monthly active surveillance (n=254; 2,624
3	33	person-months) and weekly mosquito collection in all households (2,092 household-days of
-	34	collection). We used molecular techniques to confirm human blood feeding and exposure to
	85	infectious mosquitoes.
3	86	Results: Of 1,494 female anopheles (89.8% Anopheles gambiae s.l.). 88.3% were fed, 51.9% had
-	37	a human bloodmeal, and 9.2% were sporozoite-infected. 168 laboratory-confirmed malaria
3	88	episodes were reported (incidence rate 0.064 episodes per person-month at risk, 95%
3	89	confidence interval [CI]:0.055,0.074). Malaria risk was directly associated with exposure to
Z	10	sporozoite-infected mosquitoes (RR=1.24, 95%CI:1.11,1.38). No direct effect was measured
Z	11	between ITN use and malaria morbidity, however, ITN use did moderate the effect of mosquito
Z	12	exposure on morbidity.

- 43 **Conclusions:** Malaria risk increases linearly with vector density and feeding success for persons
- 44 with low ITN use. In contrast, malaria risk among high ITN users is consistently low and
- 45 insensitive to variation in mosquito exposure.

- 47 **Key words:** malaria, anopheles, cohort, insecticide treated net
- 48
- 49 Word count
- 50 Abstract: 200
- 51 Manuscript: 2871

52 Background

53

54 Cases of malaria are highly over-dispersed in human populations [1-4]: as little as 20% of 55 individuals experience 80% of the disease burden. The reason for this heterogeneity is 56 undoubtedly multifactorial, and even after adjusting for age and behavioral differences such as 57 ITN use, the imbalance remains [2-4]. Quantifying the heterogeneity attributable to variability in 58 entomological exposure requires fine-scale measurement of both mosquito populations and 59 malaria incidence at a household or individual level. This has rarely been done and instead human 60 cohort studies that incorporate exposure substitute either local infection prevalence in humans 61 as a proxy for exposure [3, 5] or extrapolate entomological indicators from sentinel villages or households [4, 6-9]. Both approaches have limitations with regards to understanding the 62 63 relationship between exposure and disease risk owing to the fact that disease risk is 64 heterogeneous below the village level [10-13]. Such studies present inconsistent findings regarding exposure and incidence. Although cross-sectional studies of vector biting 65 heterogeneity demonstrate significant over-dispersion in exposure to mosquito bites, this has 66 67 not been linked to subsequent infection or disease distribution [14].

68

69 Recent studies support the role of mosquito exposure heterogeneity in understanding evolving 70 malaria transmission dynamics, particularly in areas where endemicity is declining [14-18]. 71 Mathematical models predict that exposure heterogeneity could reduce the effectiveness of 72 malaria control measures [19]. Linking individual mosquito exposure to morbidity outcomes

would enable more accurate estimation of the effects of vector control interventions at the
population level. However, cohort studies rarely measure fine-scale entomological exposure.

75

Here we offer a high-resolution picture of individual-level incidence of symptomatic disease 76 77 related to weekly household mosquito exposure metrics. We conducted a longitudinal study of 78 36 households in a moderate-transmission setting in Western Kenya in which we collected 79 weekly indoor-resting mosquitoes and passively-detected cases of malaria. We hypothesized that 80 excess variability in individual malaria risk would be at least partly explained by more precise 81 measures of mosquito exposure and that the relationship between disease and mosquito 82 exposure would increase with increasing specificity of exposure metric; from vector abundance, to feeding success, to human biting rate, and finally vector infectiousness. 83

84

85 Methods

86 Study site

The study was carried out in Webuye East and West sub-counties located in western Kenya 87 approximately 400 kilometers northwest of Nairobi and 60 from the border with Uganda. The 88 89 sub-counties are rural and most families engage in small-scale farming and animal husbandry. 90 Very few families have access to amenities such as municipal water or electricity (<5%) and 53% 91 live below the poverty line [20]. There is one small town center along the main highway that 92 bisects the county. Malaria transmission is moderate and perennial with two seasonal peaks after 93 the long rains (May-June) and the short rains (Sept-October) although the timing and intensity of 94 the transmission peaks can vary from year to year. Vector control interventions are limited to ITN

95 distribution and use; IRS has not taken place in this area and the use of individual protective
96 measures such as sprays and coils is very uncommon.

97

98 Cohort

In July 2017, we established a cohort of 36 households in three villages that exhibited different 99 100 levels of malaria transmission based on previous work [21, 22]. We enrolled an index household 101 at random and then neighboring households radiating outwards until 12 households were 102 enrolled per village in a natural grouping. Two households were replaced with neighboring 103 households when the entire household migrated. All residents older than 12 months who 104 regularly slept in the household were enrolled. Children <12 months at baseline were enrolled 105 after they passed their first birthday. A household is defined as a group of people who share 106 cooking arrangements and may consist of one or more buildings grouped together in a 107 compound. Information about building construction, sleeping spaces, and ITN ownership was 108 collected at enrollment.

109

110 Cohort surveillance

At baseline, we registered all household members and collected dried blood spots from finger pricks. Each month, we recorded data about bednet use in the preceding week and illness in the preceding one month from all consenting household members over the age of 12 months. In addition, all household members were invited to contact the study team whenever they experienced a malaria-like illness. When contacted, study staff visited the home to test the participant for malaria using a rapid diagnostic test (Carestart © Malaria HRP2 *Pf* from Accessbio).

117 If the participant had a negative test, they were referred with the test results to the nearest 118 health facility. If the test was a positive, the participant collected quality-assured ACT from the 119 local pharmacy at no charge to the participant.

120

121 Mosquito collection and identification

122 Once per week, on the same day each week, the study team visited each household early in the 123 morning to collect indoor resting mosquitoes via aspiration with Prokopacks (John W. Hock 124 Company). Participants were asked to leave windows and doors closed until the team arrived. 125 Mosquitoes were stored in collection cups in coolboxes with icepacks until they were transported 126 back to the laboratory. Mosquitoes were separated by genus and sex, and females were graded 127 according to their abdominal status. Female Anopheles were imaged under magnification for 128 post-hoc speciation, first by study staff and then by a senior entomologist in a subset of 25%. 129 After imaging, mosquitoes were dissected between the thorax and abdomen, and these parts 130 were stored in separate tubes packed with desiccant at room temperature.

131

132 Molecular detection of parasites and human blood in mosquitoes

Mosquito specimens were manually disrupted in individual tubes and then genomic DNA was extracted using a Chelex-100 protocol. Each gDNA extract was tested in a duplex TaqMan realtime PCR assay targeting both *P. falciparum* and human beta-tubulin [23]. Samples were tested in duplicate in 384-well plates on an ABI Quantstudio 6 platform; threshold lines were set manually in the exponential phase of amplification. Reaction plates were prepared in dedicated workspaces with filtered pipet tips, and each included *P. falciparum* gDNA and human DNA as

- 139 positive controls and molecular-grade water as a negative control. Genomic DNA was extracted
- 140 from human dried blood spots and tested in the same real-time PCR assay.

141

142 Data analysis

The primary outcome was malaria, which was defined as self-reported illness leading to a positive 143 144 malaria RDT result in the preceding 30 days. Test results were confirmed by reviewing the medical 145 record whenever possible. Following a positive test, individuals were censored for 21 days. The 146 primary objective of our analysis was to estimate the impact of household-level mosquito indices 147 on an individual's risk of malaria. We investigated the impact of four different mosquito indices, 148 calculated for each household in each month as the sum of the counts from weekly mosquito collection visits: the total number of 1) female Anopheles, 2) blood-fed female Anopheles, 3) 149 150 female Anopheles mosquitoes collected with human blood detected by PCR in the abdomen, and 151 4) female Anopheles with P falciparum sporozoites detected in the head. Due to collinearity 152 between the exposures (e.g. the number of sporozoite positive mosquitoes being a subset of the total number of mosquitoes collected, etc.), separate models were fit for the effect of each 153 154 exposure on each morbidity outcome.

155

We used generalized linear mixed models (GLMM), with the outcome defined as the presence or absence of malaria for each individual at each follow-up month. To estimate relative risks, we used a robust (modified) Poisson model [24]. To account for clustering by household, the model included a random intercept on household with a compound symmetric covariance structure (note that this assumes household clustering is constant over time). To account for clustering

within individual over time, the model included a random intercept on individual, nested within
household, with a homogenous first-order autoregressive covariance structure. Each model
included a fixed-effect for village, age at baseline (coded as a categorical variable with five-levels:
<=1, 1-5, 6-10, 11-17, and >=18 years), gender, and number of nights of ITN use in the past week
(dichotomized as >=6 and <6 nights). Additionally, we investigated interactions between the
mosquito exposure variables and ITN use.

167

In order to quantify the amount of variability in individual incidence that could be explained by the model covariates, we sequentially estimated marginal R² for generalized linear mixed models, following the method of [25]. Marginal R² allows for assessment of the impact of the fixed effects independent of the random effect structure of the model. Starting with the null model, we added covariates sequentially in order of the magnitude of their fixed effect from the full model, until all demographic adjustment factors were included. Finally, each mosquito exposure variable, and its interaction with ITN use, were added one at a time to this adjusted model.

175

Secondary exploratory objectives included investigating the impact of including in the model covariates related to individual travel history (e.g. using a bed-net while traveling, traveling to a malaria endemic area, etc.), the number of hours spent under a bed-net each night, and the number of individuals living in the household.

180

181 Research ethics and consent

All heads of household were asked to consent for participation in mosquito collection and household data collection. Each individual provided consent for monthly dried blood spot collection and RDT testing. A parent or legal guardian provided consent on behalf of a child under 18 years of age. Children ten years and above were also asked to provide assent for participation. The study was reviewed and approved by Duke University Institutional Review Board and Moi University and Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital Institutional Research Ethics Committee.

100

189 Results

190 From June 12, 2017 until July 31, 2018, 254 participants from 38 households were followed by 191 monthly active surveillance and weekly entomological surveillance for a total of 2,624 person 192 months of observation. The cohort demographics are described in Table 1. Sixty percent of 193 participants were children less than 18 years of age at enrollment and each person provided an 194 average of 11.1 months of follow-up. At enrollment, 54.9% (133/242) of participants were 195 infected with *P. falciparum* as detected by a highly-sensitive PCR assay. 72% of participants had 196 an ITN for their sleeping space; this proportion was slightly lower in Village S (59%) compared to 197 Village K and M (82% and 78%, respectively). In 76.7% (2,013/2,624) of monthly follow-ups, 198 participants reported sleeping under their net each day of the previous seven days.

199

200 Mosquito indices

Across 2,092 household-days, we collected a total of 1,494 female anopheles mosquitoes (Table 202 2, Figure 1). Nearly 90% (n=1,023) were identified as *Anopheles gambiae* group and this 203 proportion varied only slightly across the villages. Village M recorded the highest number of

204	malaria vectors overall (66.5 per household) and the highest proportion of An. funestus (9.3%).
205	Other Anopheles spp. were observed at low frequencies. By microscopic evaluation, the majority
206	of mosquitoes had recently fed: 11.2% (n=168) were freshly bloodfed, 31.9% (n=477) were half
207	gravid, and 39% (n=587) were gravid. In PCR testing, we detected human blood in 51.9% of all
208	abdomens (n=776), including 79.8% (n=134) of the freshly bloodfed specimens. The prevalence
209	of <i>P. falciparum</i> sporozoites in the heads of female Anopheles was 9.2% (n=134). The proportions
210	of mosquitoes with human blood or sporozoites did not differ by species or village.
211	

212 Malaria incidence

During 2,624 person-months of follow-up, there were 168 cases of malaria in 107 people (Figure 1), yielding an overall incidence rate of 0.064 (95% CI: 0.055, 0.075) cases per person-month. Among these 107 people, 66 (61.7%) reported only a single episode, 26 (24.3%) reported two episodes, and 15 (14.0%) reported 3 or more episodes (the maximum was 5). The median number of days between sequential episodes was 37 (IQR: 62). Overall, 30% (n=74) of participants experienced 80% (n=135) of episodes (Supplemental Figure 1) and 58% (n=147) of participants never experienced an episode of malaria.

220

221 Associations between mosquito indices and malaria

We estimated the relative risk of malaria in models without and with each of our mosquito indices (Table 3). As expected, the risk of malaria declined with increasing age, and there was no association with gender (RR 1.00; 95% CI 0.72 - 1.40). Relative to high ITN users, those with low use were at similar risk (RR 1.16; 95% CI 0.76 - 1.79). In models with individual mosquito indices,

226	malaria risk was not significantly associated with the monthly household abundance of malaria
227	vectors (RR 1.02; 95% CI 0.97 – 1.08) nor the number of mosquitoes who had human blood in
228	their abdomen (RR 1.04; 95% CI 0.97 – 1.12). However, the risk of malaria increased by 24% with
229	each additional infectious mosquito collected the previous month (RR 1.24; 95% Cl $1.11 - 1.38$).

230

231 Because high ITN use was not associated with a reduced risk of malaria, we hypothesized that 232 ITN use may modify the effect of mosquito exposure on malaria risk. We tested this hypothesis 233 by examining the interaction between ITN use and each mosquito exposure variable (Table 4). 234 We demonstrate significant correlation between both mosquito abundance and malaria risk, and 235 mosquito feeding success and malaria risk, in models that include the exposure: ITN interaction 236 term. The relative risk of malaria among high ITN users compared to low ITN users decreased by 237 6% (RR=0.94, 95%CI: 0.89-0.99) for each additional anopheles mosquito in the household and by 238 10% (RR=0.90, 95%CI: 0.82-0.99) for each additional human-fed mosquito. ITN use now appears 239 positively correlated with malaria risk in models that include the interaction term, however, we 240 can understand this when we examine the results graphically (Figure 2). At low mosquito 241 abundance, individuals with high ITN use have equal or slightly elevated malaria risk compared 242 to those who have lower ITN use. However, the risk of malaria increases linearly with increasing 243 mosquito exposure among those with low ITN use, but remains low and constant for those who 244 use an ITN consistently. Similar trends are seen for mosquito feeding success and human feeding 245 success.

In analyses of marginal R^2 with incorporation of mosquito indices in malaria risk models, age, household size, and exposure to sporozoite-infected mosquitoes accounted for the largest increase in R^2 (2.6%, 2.9% and 2.3%, respectively; Figure 3). Other mosquito exposures accounted for only a very small proportion of the heterogeneity. Despite the small absolute change in R^2 , it is interesting to note that household exposure to infectious mosquitoes and age contribute nearly equally to explaining the distribution of malaria incidence in the population.

253

254 **Discussion**:

255 In this high-resolution paired entomological and epidemiological cohort, we measured weekly 256 household-level mosquito exposure alongside laboratory-confirmed malaria episodes in a 257 population-based sample of 254 individuals in 38 households. We show that, among putative 258 entomological indices, the risk of malaria in humans is mediated by neither the abundance of mosquitoes overall nor those that are blood-fed mosquitoes but rather only by the risk of 259 260 malaria in mosquitoes (sporozoites). We further demonstrate an interaction between the effect 261 of ITN use and mosquito exposure on malaria episodes, in that, compared to suboptimal ITN 262 use, high ITN use attenuates the deleterious effects of vector density and feeding success on 263 the risk of malaria.

264

The relationship between mosquito exposure and human malaria risk is difficult to quantify due to the time lag between an infectious bite and patent infection, and the uncertainty in assigning individual exposure based on entomological variables. Some studies compare population based measures of disease prevalence or incidence with vector density observed at sentinel locations

269	and are essentially ecological comparisons of two populations over time [6, 15]. Alternatively,
270	values from sentinel households are locally extrapolated to unmeasured households [4, 7-9].
271	Although we fall short of assigning individual exposure, which may vary within a household [26,
272	27], our weekly household-level entomological measurements give new insight into the nature
273	of the relationship between mosquito exposure and disease and a means to estimate the
274	change in risk under different exposure control strategies. For example, since both the effect of
275	mosquito abundance and feeding success on malaria morbidity are moderated by individual ITN
276	use, we can estimate a personal protective efficacy of ITN use of up to 30% as exposure
277	increases. In households where as few as 8 mosquitoes were collected in a month, ITNs offer
278	measurable protection relative to those who do not use an ITN. The low, but non-zero, malaria
279	risk experienced by consistent ITN users may reflect exposure outside of the net, due to
280	modified mosquito behaviors such as biting early in the evening or outdoors [28-32].
281	
282	Our findings relating risk of malaria to basic demographic factors are consistent with previous
283	studies. We and others [3, 4, 33-35] measure declining incidence of clinical malaria with
284	increasing age. The observation that increasing household size is associated with decreasing
285	individual risk can be interpreted as reduced individual risk of exposure when more hosts are
286	available. Although individual ITN use has been associated with reduced risk of malaria in
287	longitudinal studies of young children in the context of high transmission and low ITN coverage
288	[1, 13], we and others fail to detect a direct, individual protective effect [3, 7]. Overall,
289	behavioral and demographic differences explain relatively little of the heterogeneity in malaria
290	cases. After incorporating entomological exposures and the effect of ITN use on those

exposures, the proportional amount of heterogeneity explained increased by 36%, however the
 absolute value of R² remained small.

293

294 There are notable limitations to this study. First, the time span is relatively short (13 months); a 295 longer duration of observation would be desirable to capture important annual variation in 296 transmission and exposure. Second, the malaria morbidity outcome is based on recall over a one-month period. However, we mitigated possible recall bias and missing information by 297 298 reviewing medical records to confirm test results and ensuring affordably, timely and accurate 299 malaria diagnosis was available to participants. 300 Despite these limitations, we demonstrate that infections in mosquitoes within a household are 301 302 a direct risk factor for infections in individuals. This risk is not mitigated by ITN use. Other 303 entomological exposures measured at the household-level, including malaria vector density and 304 human-fed anopheles, increase the risk of malaria episodes differentially in individuals who do 305 not use an ITN consistently. We show that individual protective efficacy of ITNs scales with 306 exposure and fine-scaled entomological measures can explain as much heterogeneity in malaria 307 risk in the population as age.

309 Footnote page

310

- 311 Funding source: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute
- of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number
- R21AI126024. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily
- 314 represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health

315

- 316 Acknowledgements: We would like to thank our exceptional field team (I. Khaoya, L. Marango,
- E. Mukeli, E. Nalianya, J. Namae, L. Nukewa, E. Wamalwa, and A. Wekesa) and all the families
- 318 who gave their valuable time for this study.

319

320 **Conflict of interest disclosure**: The authors declare no conflicts of interest exist.

- 322
- 323

Table 1: Participant characteristics, baseline bednet use, follow-up time and malaria

episodes reported by village and total numbers

	Village K	Village M	Village S	Total			
Demographics							
Households	12	13	13	38			
Participants	80	78	96	254			
Median Household size	6 5 (5-13)	6 (3-15)	8 (3-14)	6 5 (3-15)			
(range)	0.0 (0 10)	0 (0 10)	0 (0 1 1)	010 (0 10)			
Female	45 (51.7%)	46 (57.5%)	57 (57.0%)	148 (55.4%)			
<1 years	2 (2.5%)	3 (3.9%)	5 (5.2%)	10 (3.9%)			
1-5 years	13 (16.3%)	12 (15.4%)	9 (9.4%)	34 (13.4%)			
6-10 years	17 (21.3%)	16 (20.5%)	24 (25.0%)	57 (22.4%)			
11-17 years	16 (20.0%)	13 (16.7%)	22 (22.9%)	51 (20.8%)			
18 and above	32 (40.0%)	34 (43.6%)	36 (37.5%)	102 (40.2%)			
ITN coverage							
Sleeps in space with an ITN ^a	64/78 (82%)	59/76 (78%)	54/92 (59%)	177/246 (72%)			
Sleeps in space with untreated	3/78 (3.8%)	0	0	3/246 (1.2%)			
net ^a	-,		_	37210(1.270)			
Slept under ITN last night	67/80	58/78	60/96	185/254			
(baseline)	(83.8%)	(74.4%)	(62.5%)	(72.8%)			
Observations							
Person months	879	808	946	2633			
Average person months per	11 42 (3 69)	11 22 (7 60)	10 63 (4 08)	11.06 (5.29)			
participant (SD)	11.42 (0.00)	11.22 (7.00)	10.03 (4.00)	11.00 (3.23)			
Malaria morbidity							
Suspected malaria illness	136	145	152	433			
events	150	143	152	J J J			

Suspected malaria episodes	51 (37 5%)	55 (37 9%)	62 (40.8%)	168 (38 8%)
with positive malaria test	51 (57.570)	55 (57.570)	02 (40.070)	100 (30.070)

324

^a2 people in Village K, 2 in village M and 4 in village S were missing sleeping space information

	Village K	Village M	Village S	Total
No. of households				
Total female Anoph. collected	404	858	232	1494
Anopheles per HH, mean (range)	33.7 (8-72)	66 (7-198)	17.8 (4-45)	38.4 (4-201)
Microscopic analysis, no. (%)				
Unfed	67 (16.7%)	81 (9.4%)	20 (8.6%)	168 (11.2%)
Freshly fed	111 (27.6%)	297 (34.6%)	69 (29.7%)	477 (31.9%)
Half gravid	165 (41.0%)	320 (37.3%)	102 (44.0%)	587 (39.3%)
Gravid	58 (14.4%)	158 (18.4%)	39 (16.8%)	255 (17.1%)
Undetermined	3 (0.7%)	2 (0.2%)	2 (0.9%)	7 (0.5%)
Human blood in abdomen by PCR,	53.1	53.5	54.7	53.6
% (n/N)	(207/390)	(447/835)	(122/223)	(776/1448)
P falcingrum sporozoites % (n/N)	10.2	9.3	7.0	9.2
	(40/393)	(78/839)	(16/230)	(134/1462)
Species ¹	319	631	189	1139
An. gambiae s.l	298 (93.4%)	544 (86.2%)	181 (95.8%)	1023 (89.8%)
An. funestus complex	14 (4.4%)	55 (8.7%)	2 (1.1%)	71 (6.2%)
An. demeilloni	3 (0.9%)	6 (1.0%)	3 (1.6%)	12 (1.1%)
An. pretoriensis	1 (0.3%)	11 (1.7%)	1 (0.5%)	13 (1.2%)
Other ²	3 (1.0%)	15 (2.4)	2 (1.1%)	20 (1.8%)

325

¹ 355 mosquitoes were not identified to species due to technical problems with the microscope.

327 Totals and percentages here only include identified mosquitoes.

328 ² Other species include An. maculipalpis (3), An. salbaii (4), An. rufipes (2), An. dancalicus (5),

329 *An. coustani* (6)

- 331 **Table 3**: Relative risk of malaria in the prior 30d in base model and in models including
- 332 mosquito indices. The effect of monthly individual ITN use and monthly household-level
- 333 mosquito exposure on the relative risk of malaria in the last 30 days. Each model is adjusted for
- 334 household and individual-level demographic characteristics.

	Base model	Individual mosquito index models			
		1	2	3	4
Village	0.70	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.63
(Kinesamo vs. Sitabich)	(0.43,1.14)	(0.42,1.10)	(0.42,1.11)	(0.42,1.1)	(0.39,1.00)
Village	0.91	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.77
(Maruti vs. Sitabich)	(0.51,1.62)	(0.43,1.53)	(0.43,1.55)	(0.43,1.51)	(0.41,1.42)
<1 vrs	1.63	1.63	1.62	1.62	1.60
\1 yi3	(0.45, 5.86)	(0.45, 5.82)	(0.45, 5.81)	(0.45, 5.82)	(0.45, 5.72)
1 5 yrs	2.10	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.09
T-2 Å12	(1.29, 3.40)	(1.28, 3.38)	(1.28, 3.38)	(1.28, 3.38)	(1.29, 3.38)
6 10 yrs	1.55	1.58	1.58	1.58	1.60
0-10 yis	(0.99, 2.42)	(1.01, 2.47)	(1.01, 2.47)	(1.01, 2.48)	(1.03, 2.49)
11-17 vrs	1.16	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.19
11-17 yi3	(0.66, 2.02)	(0.67, 2.03)	(0.67, 2.03)	(0.67, 2.03)	(0.68, 2.07)
18+ yrs	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Male (vs. Female)	1.00	1.01	1.01	1.01	1
	(0.72,1.40)	(0.72,1.42)	(0.72,1.42)	(0.72,1.42)	(0.72,1.39)
High ITN use (vs. Low)	1.16	1.21	1.21	1.21	1.43
High Hirk use (VS. LOW)	(0.76,1.79)	(0.78,1.87)	(0.78,1.88)	(0.79,1.86)	(0.91,2.24)
No. of household members	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.9
	(0.83,0.99)	(0.83,0.99)	(0.83,0.99)	(0.83,0.99)	(0.82,0.98)

No. of Anoph. mosquitoes	1.02 (0.97,1.08)			
No. Bloodfed Anoph. mosquitoes		1.03 (0.97,1.08)		
No. Human bloodfed Anoph. mosquitoes			1.04 (0.97,1.12)	
No. Sporozoite positive mosquitoes				1.24 (1.11,1.38)

335

337

- **Table 4**: The effect of individual ITN use, mosquito exposure, and the interaction between ITN
- use and mosquito exposure on the relative risk of malaria in the last 30 days. Each column
- 340 represents a separate model adjusted for age, household size, gender, and village.

341

Relative risk of malaria in the last 30 days	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
ITN Use	1.69	1.66	1.71	1.44
(High vs. Low)	(1.05, 2.72)	(1.04, 2.64)	(1.07, 2.74)	(0.90, 2.30)
Total # of Anoph.	1.08			
Mosquitoes	(1.013 1.12)			
# of Fed Anoph.		1.08		
Mosquitoes		(1.03, 1.13)		
# of Anoph. Mosquitoes			1.13	
Fed on Human Blood			(1.04, 1.22)	
# of Sporozoite Positive				1.24
Anoph. Mosquitoes				(1.14, 1.36)
ITN Use * Exposure	0.94	0.94	0.90	0.99
Interaction	(0.89, 0.99)	(0.88, 0.99)	(0.82, 0.99)	(0.85, 1.16)

342

343

347 References

- 348 1. Clark TD, Greenhouse B, Njama-Meya D, et al. Factors determining the heterogeneity of
- 349 malaria incidence in children in Kampala, Uganda. The Journal of infectious diseases 2008;
- 350 198:393-400.
- 2. Mwangi TW, Fegan G, Williams TN, Kinyanjui SM, Snow RW, Marsh K. Evidence for over-
- dispersion in the distribution of clinical malaria episodes in children. PloS one **2008**; 3:e2196.
- 353 3. Ndungu FM, Marsh K, Fegan G, et al. Identifying children with excess malaria episodes after
- adjusting for variation in exposure: identification from a longitudinal study using statistical
- 355 count models. BMC medicine **2015**; 13:183.
- 4. Rogier C, Tall A, Diagne N, Fontenille D, Spiegel A, Trape JF. Plasmodium falciparum clinical
- 357 malaria: lessons from longitudinal studies in Senegal. Parassitologia **1999**; 41:255-9.
- 358 5. Olotu A, Fegan G, Wambua J, et al. Estimating individual exposure to malaria using local
- 359 prevalence of malaria infection in the field. PloS one **2012**; 7:e32929.
- 360 6. Beier JC, Oster CN, Onyango FK, et al. Plasmodium falciparum incidence relative to
- 361 entomologic inoculation rates at a site proposed for testing malaria vaccines in western Kenya.
- 362 The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene **1994**; 50:529-36.
- 363 7. Degefa T, Zeynudin A, Godesso A, et al. Malaria incidence and assessment of entomological
- indices among resettled communities in Ethiopia: a longitudinal study. Malaria journal **2015**;
- 365 14:24.
- 366 8. Smith DL, Dushoff J, Snow RW, Hay SI. The entomological inoculation rate and Plasmodium
- 367 falciparum infection in African children. Nature **2005**; 438:492-5.

- 368 9. Smith T, Maire N, Dietz K, et al. Relationship between the entomologic inoculation rate and
- 369 the force of infection for Plasmodium falciparum malaria. The American journal of tropical
- 370 medicine and hygiene **2006**; 75:11-8.
- 10. Bejon P, Williams TN, Nyundo C, et al. A micro-epidemiological analysis of febrile malaria in
- 372 Coastal Kenya showing hotspots within hotspots. eLife **2014**; 3:e02130.
- 11. Brooker S, Clarke S, Njagi JK, et al. Spatial clustering of malaria and associated risk factors
- during an epidemic in a highland area of western Kenya. Tropical medicine & international
- 375 health : TM & IH **2004**; 9:757-66.
- 12. Gaudart J, Poudiougou B, Dicko A, et al. Space-time clustering of childhood malaria at the
- household level: a dynamic cohort in a Mali village. BMC public health **2006**; 6:286.
- 13. Kreuels B, Kobbe R, Adjei S, et al. Spatial variation of malaria incidence in young children
- 379 from a geographically homogeneous area with high endemicity. The Journal of infectious
- diseases **2008**; 197:85-93.
- 381 14. Guelbeogo WM, Goncalves BP, Grignard L, et al. Variation in natural exposure to anopheles
- 382 mosquitoes and its effects on malaria transmission. eLife **2018**; 7.
- 383 15. Churcher TS, Trape JF, Cohuet A. Human-to-mosquito transmission efficiency increases as
- 384 malaria is controlled. Nature communications **2015**; 6:6054.
- 385 16. Kangoye DT, Noor A, Midega J, et al. Malaria hotspots defined by clinical malaria,
- asymptomatic carriage, PCR and vector numbers in a low transmission area on the Kenyan
- 387 Coast. Malaria journal **2016**; 15:213.

- 388 17. Stresman GH, Mwesigwa J, Achan J, et al. Do hotspots fuel malaria transmission: a village-
- scale spatio-temporal analysis of a 2-year cohort study in The Gambia. BMC medicine **2018**;

390 16:160.

- 391 18. Burkot TR, Bugoro H, Apairamo A, et al. Spatial-temporal heterogeneity in malaria
- 392 receptivity is best estimated by vector biting rates in areas nearing elimination. Parasites &
- 393 vectors **2018**; 11:606.
- 394 19. Smith DL, McKenzie FE, Snow RW, Hay SI. Revisiting the basic reproductive number for
- 395 malaria and its implications for malaria control. PLoS biology **2007**; 5:e42.
- 396 20. Commission on Revenue Allocation. Kenya County Fact Sheets. 1 ed, 2011.
- 397 21. Mangeni JN, Ongore D, Mwangi A, Vulule JM, O'Meara WP, Obala A. Prevalence,
- 398 heterogeneity, of asymptomatic malaria infections and associated factors in a high transmission
- region. East African Medical Journal **2017**; 94.
- 400 22. Mangeni JN, Ongore D, Mwangi A, Vulule JM, Obala A, O'Meara WP. Malaria "hotspots"
- 401 within a larger hotspot; what's the role of behavioral factors in fine scale heterogeneity in
- 402 western Kenya? East African Medical Journal **2017**; 94.
- 403 23. Taylor SM, Sumner KM, Freedman B, Mangeni JN, Obala AA, O'Meara WP. Direct estimation
- 404 of sensitivity of Plasmodium falciparum rapid diagnostic test for active case detection in a high-
- 405 transmission community setting. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2019; IN
- 406 PRESS.
- 407 24. Yelland LN, Salter AB, Ryan P. Performance of the modified Poisson regression approach for
- 408 estimating relative risks from clustered prospective data. American journal of epidemiology
- 409 **2011**; 174:984-92.

- 410 25. Nakagawa S, Johnson PCD, Schielzeth H. The coefficient of determination R(2) and intra-
- 411 class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and
- 412 expanded. Journal of the Royal Society, Interface **2017**; 14.
- 413 26. Knols BG, de Jong R, Takken W. Differential attractiveness of isolated humans to mosquitoes
- in Tanzania. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene **1995**; 89:604-6.
- 415 27. Lindsay SW, Adiamah JH, Miller JE, Pleass RJ, Armstrong JR. Variation in attractiveness of
- 416 human subjects to malaria mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in The Gambia. Journal of medical
- 417 entomology **1993**; 30:368-73.
- 418 28. Matowo NS, Moore J, Mapua S, et al. Using a new odour-baited device to explore options
- 419 for luring and killing outdoor-biting malaria vectors: a report on design and field evaluation of
- 420 the Mosquito Landing Box. Parasites & vectors **2013**; 6:137.
- 421 29. Milali MP, Sikulu-Lord MT, Govella NJ. Bites before and after bedtime can carry a high risk of
- 422 human malaria infection. Malaria journal **2017**; 16:91.
- 423 30. Reddy MR, Overgaard HJ, Abaga S, et al. Outdoor host seeking behaviour of Anopheles
- 424 gambiae mosquitoes following initiation of malaria vector control on Bioko Island, Equatorial
- 425 Guinea. Malaria journal **2011**; 10:184.
- 426 31. Russell TL, Govella NJ, Azizi S, Drakeley CJ, Kachur SP, Killeen GF. Increased proportions of
- 427 outdoor feeding among residual malaria vector populations following increased use of
- 428 insecticide-treated nets in rural Tanzania. Malaria journal **2011**; 10:80.
- 429 32. Sougoufara S, Diedhiou SM, Doucoure S, et al. Biting by Anopheles funestus in broad
- 430 daylight after use of long-lasting insecticidal nets: a new challenge to malaria elimination.
- 431 Malaria journal **2014**; 13:125.

- 432 33. Sonden K, Doumbo S, Hammar U, et al. Asymptomatic Multiclonal Plasmodium falciparum
- 433 Infections Carried Through the Dry Season Predict Protection Against Subsequent Clinical
- 434 Malaria. The Journal of infectious diseases **2015**; 212:608-16.
- 435 34. Zhou G, Afrane YA, Malla S, Githeko AK, Yan G. Active case surveillance, passive case
- 436 surveillance and asymptomatic malaria parasite screening illustrate different age distribution,
- 437 spatial clustering and seasonality in western Kenya. Malaria journal **2015**; 14:41.
- 438 35. Lopera-Mesa TM, Doumbia S, Konate D, et al. Effect of red blood cell variants on childhood
- 439 malaria in Mali: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet Haematology **2015**; 2:e140-9.

ď Ζ

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19008854; this version posted October 18, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1: Weekly entomological exposures (a) and monthly confirmed malaria cases (b) from June 2017 to July 2018





Figure 2: Predicted probability of malaria episode by mosquito exposure for high versus low net use



Figure 3: Estimated marginal R² for models of malaria incidence where covariates are added sequentially (base model) to measure the effect on model fit. Separate models are fit with base model plus one exposure variable or one exposure variable with interaction on net use.

preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19008854; this version posted October 18, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/1





