	-
It is made available under a CC-BY	4.0 International license .

1	AI regulation in healthcare around the world: what is the status quo?
2	Felix Busch ¹ , MD, Raym Geis ² , MD, Yuan-Cheng Wang ³ , MD PhD, Jakob Nikolas Kather ^{4,5} , MD, MSc,
3	Noor Al Khori ⁶ , MD, Marcus R Makowski ¹ , MD PhD, Israel K Kolawole ⁷ , FWACS, Daniel Truhn ⁸ , MD,
4	MSc, Warren Clements9,10,11, MBBS, MHA, Stephen Gilbert12, PhD, Lisa C Adams1, MD, Esteban Ortiz-
5	Prado ^{13†} , MD PhD, Keno K Bressem, MD ^{1,14†}
6	¹ School of Medicine and Health, Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Klinikum rechts
7	der Isar, TUM University Hospital, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany
8	² Department of Radiology, National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado, USA
9	³ Department of Radiology, Zhongda Hospital, Nurturing Center of Jiangsu Province for State Laboratory of AI
10	Imaging & Interventional Radiology, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing, China
11	⁴ Else Kroener Fresenius Center for Digital Health, Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, TUD Dresden,
12	Dresden, Germany
13	⁵ Medical Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), University Hospital Heidelberg,
14	Heidelberg, Germany
15	⁶ Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Sidra Medicine, Doha, Qatar
16	⁷ Department of Anesthesia, University of Ilorin/Teaching Hospital, Ilorin, Nigeria
17	⁸ Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany
18	⁹ Department of Radiology, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
19	¹⁰ Department of Surgery, Monash University School of Translational Medicine, Melbourne, Victoria
20	Australia
21	¹¹ National Trauma Research Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
22	¹² Else Kroener Fresenius Center for Digital Health, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden,
23	Germany
24	¹³ Universidad de Las Américas, Quito, Ecuador
25	¹⁴ School of Medicine and Health, Institute for Cardiovascular Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, German Heart
26	Center Munich, TUM University Hospital, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany
27	[†] Contributed equally
28	Correspondence: Felix Busch, MD; Mail: felix.busch@tum.de; Address: Klinikum rechts der Isar, TUM
29	University Hospital, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich, Germany; Telephone: +49 (0) 89 4140 1180

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

30 Summary

31 The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) raises challenges related to ethics, safety, equity, and governance 32 that require robust regulatory frameworks. In most jurisdictions, AI-driven medical devices are already covered 33 by existing medical device frameworks, although new AI-specific legislation may be required to address the 34 challenges posed by recent advancements. This expert review focuses on frameworks and legislation explicitly 35 tailored to AI, synthesizing research literature, government and intergovernmental framework programs, and 36 online media coverage to provide an up-to-date assessment of global AI-specific regulation or strategies in 37 healthcare as of December 2024. Our findings show that only 15.2% (n=30/197) of countries or territories have 38 enacted legally binding AI-specific legislation, including the 27 member states of the European Union (EU) 39 following the adoption of the EU AI Act. A further 9.1% (n=18/197) have drafted legislation, and 28.4% 40 (n=56/197) have issued non-binding guidelines. Notably, 47.2% (n=93/197) of countries or territories do not have 41 an AI-specific framework or legislation in place. Furthermore, our results highlight disparities between the Global 42 North and South, with 60.3% (n=82/136) of Global South countries or territories lacking frameworks or 43 legislation, compared to 18% (n=11/61) in the Global North. In conclusion, our work provides an overview of the 44 status quo of AI regulation around the world, highlights disparities in the adoption of frameworks and legislation,

45 and calls for the need for intergovernmental and regional cooperation.

46 Funding

47 European Union

48 Introduction

49 Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly being integrated into various industries worldwide, including healthcare, 50 with a recent analysis estimating that AI could contribute up to \$15.7 trillion to the global economy by 2030, 51 driving gross domestic product increases of up to 26% in China or 14.5% in North America.¹ In healthcare, AI 52 promises to improve diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and resource management. However, global 53 organizations such as the World Health Organization emphasize the urgent need for safeguards to ensure data security, protect patients, and promote equitable access.^{2,3} Although 67 countries have introduced national AI 54 strategies between 2017 and 2023, many still rely on existing data privacy or medical device frameworks rather 55 56 than AI-specific legally binding legislation, potentially resulting in a patchwork of oversight that could ultimately 57 put patients at risk.⁴

58 Meanwhile, several intergovernmental initiatives have issued AI-specific guidelines. In March 2024, the United 59 Nations (UN) General Assembly adopted its first resolution on AI, promoting 'safe, secure, and trustworthy' AI 60 systems and urging nations to protect human rights and monitor potential risks.⁵ In September 2024, the newly 61 created UN High-level Advisory Body on AI released its Governing AI for Humanity report, which suggested that 62 while no new global AI agency is currently on the agenda, stronger international coordination might be necessary.⁶ 63 Other intergovernmental organizations like the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development 64 (OECD) published various reports on AI risks, benefits, and policy imperatives and convened an Expert Group on AI Futures to address emerging challenges.⁷⁻⁹ In addition, the G7 Hiroshima Process on Generative AI and the 65 66 G20 AI Principles advanced voluntary principles for AI oversight, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and data privacy.^{10,11} However, these frameworks remain non-binding, offering only voluntary guidelines for the safe 67 68 and ethical adoption of AI.

In contrast, the European Union (EU) enacted the first supranational legally binding framework for AI in March
2024 with the adoption of the AI Act, which applies to all 27 member states.¹² In addition, in September 2024, the
Council of Europe opened for signature the *Framework Convention on AI and Human Rights, Democracy, and the Rule of Law*, the first internationally binding treaty that requires signatories to integrate safeguards throughout
the entire AI lifecycle.¹³

74 In this rapidly evolving landscape, the present expert review synthesizes research literature, government and 75 intergovernmental framework programs, and online media coverage to provide an up-to-date assessment of global 76 AI-specific regulation and strategies in healthcare as of December 2024. In general, in most jurisdictions with 77 established regulatory structures, medical devices, including those that integrate AI, are already subject to existing

78 medical device frameworks. Thus, the absence of dedicated legislation does not necessarily mean AI-powered 79 systems are unregulated. In contrast, this review centers on emerging frameworks and legislation explicitly 80 tailored to AI with the aim to identify regional disparities and offer insights into current national AI-specific 81 frameworks and legislation, guiding researchers, policymakers, and other stakeholders through the complexities 82 of AI-driven healthcare governance. The subsequent sections are organized by regions or countries, depending on 83 the specific AI frameworks or legislative measures implemented at the regional or national level.

84

85 Search strategy and selection criteria

86 References for this review were identified through searches on PubMed and Google Scholar across all 197 87 countries and territories, using the name of each country or territory as the primary search term, followed by 88 "artificial intelligence" combined with "strategy," "legislation," "regulation," "law," or "framework," without time 89 restrictions as of December 2024. Articles were also identified through searches of the authors' own files. In 90 addition, the authors have reviewed government and intergovernmental framework programs, as well as media 91 reports, to determine the regulatory status of each country or territory. The final reference list has been compiled 92 on the basis of originality and relevance to the broad scope of this review and, in most cases, refers directly to the 93 English version of the relevant frameworks or legislation.

94 European Union

95 The EU adopted the AI Act in March 2024, a horizontal regulatory framework for AI applying to various sectors, 96 including healthcare, which has been binding law in all 27 member states since August 2024.¹² The AI Act aims 97 to protect health, safety, and fundamental rights while promoting trustworthy AI across industries and categorizes AI systems into different risk levels, each with specific regulatory requirements.¹⁴ Prohibited AI practices, such 98 99 as manipulative or exploitative AI and facial recognition, are banned unless used in lawful medical contexts like 100 psychological treatments. High-risk AI systems, including medical devices under the EU Medical Device 101 Regulation (MDR), must now meet new standards regarding fairness, bias control, equity, transparency, and 102 training data.¹⁵ General-purpose AI models, like large language models, face additional obligations if they present 103 systemic risks, eg, if high computational power was used in their training, while minimal-risk systems, like spam 104 filters, are subject to voluntary codes of conduct. Notably, the Act's extraterritorial reach ensures that AI systems 105 affecting the EU market, regardless of where they are developed or hosted, are subject to its regulations.

106 A key feature of the Act is its harmonization with existing EU legislation, particularly in the healthcare sector. 107 This harmonization aims to simplify the regulatory landscape, as AI systems already subject to the MDR or In-108 Vitro-Diagnostic Medical Device Regulation (IVDR) ideally would not have to meet separate AI Act requirements 109 and comply with the standards set by both, avoiding the need for double certification.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ However, it is 110 anticipated that medical AI systems subject to the MDR or IVDR will still need to address both frameworks and coordinate their compliance efforts through designated notified bodies to ensure full regulatory alignment.¹⁷ 111 The Act's enforcement timeline is phased, beginning with voluntary compliance in August 2024.¹⁸ Key deadlines 112 113 include February 2025, when AI systems posing unacceptable risks must cease operations, and August 2027, 114 when enforcement begins for medical devices Class IIa and higher that are not subject to biometric considerations. 115 Non-EU member states within the European Free Trade Association are expected to regulate AI in accordance 116 with the EU AI Act (Iceland, Norway, and Liechtenstein) or to align their own proposed legislation with the Act 117 (Switzerland). This is similar for the current EU accession candidates, including Albania, Bosnia and

118 Herzegovina, Georgia, Moldova, Montenegro, North Macedonia, Serbia, and Ukraine.

119 United Kingdom

120 The United Kingdom (UK) does not currently have specific legislation targeting AI, but existing regulations like 121 the UK MDR cover software and autonomous decision-making systems, including AI, even though they were not 122 explicitly designed for recent advancements in machine learning, deep learning, or generative AI. As outlined in 123 a white paper titled AI regulation: a pro-innovation approach from the Department for Science, Innovation and 124 Technology and Office for AI in August 2023, the UK's approach to AI regulation prioritizes a flexible, principles-125 based, and non-statutory framework interpreted by sector-specific regulators rather than introducing horizontal legislation like the EU AI Act.¹⁹ In the healthcare sector, the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory 126 127 Agency (MHRA) announced the Software and AI as a Medical Device (SaMD/AIaMD) Change Programme in 2021 to ensure the UK MDR is fit for purpose for AIaMD.²⁰ At the current stage, this non-binding framework 128 129 provides guidance on how to address challenges like transparency, risk management, and lifecycle validation for 130 AIaMD and aims to complement the UK MDR. More recently, the government committed to regulating AI in July 2024.²¹ Since then, however, the new administration has not proposed any specific legislation on AI. 131

132 United States

133 In the United States (US), while there is no horizontal federal legislation explicitly governing AI, existing laws 134 such as the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by the 1976 Medical Device Amendments and 135 subsequent updates, regulate software, including autonomous systems and AI-based decision-making tools.²² 136 These regulations are enforced by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which has approved 1,016 AI- and 137 machine-learning-enabled medical devices as of December 2024.23 The FDA also addresses AI-specific 138 challenges through initiatives such as the 21st Century Cures Act, which exempts certain clinical decision support 139 systems from regulation if they meet specific criteria, and detailed guidance on AI and machine learning software used in medical devices.²⁴ Additionally, the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology 140 141 Systems' Health Data, Technology, and Interoperability Certification Program (HTI-1 Rule) regulates AI-based 142 decision support systems within electronic health records, providing binding requirements for their 143 interoperability and safety.25

144 The Biden administration's October 2023 Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and 145 Use of AI (AI EO) directs federal agencies to develop standards, regulations, and oversight mechanisms for AI.²⁶ 146 For healthcare, the EO guides the Department of Health and Human Services, including the FDA and the Centers 147 for Medicare and Medicaid Services, in shaping strategies for AI adoption. This includes implementing provisions 148 from the Blueprint for the AI Bill of Rights and earlier frameworks like the National AI Initiative Act of 2020, as well as forming the Office of the Chief AI Officer to oversee these efforts.^{27,28} While these measures address 149 150 issues such as transparency, risk management, and lifecycle validation for AI-based medical products, the EO 151 itself is not statutory law, leaving its longevity uncertain amid administrative changes in January 2025.

Furthermore, states like California have proposed their own AI-specific legislation, such as the *Safe and Secure Innovation for Frontier AI Systems Act (Senate Bill SB-1047)*, including provisions for mandatory safety incident reporting, requirements for conducting safety assessments, third-party testing of AI models to ensure compliance with established standards, and civil penalties for non-compliance.²⁹ Meanwhile, federal efforts like the stalled *Algorithmic Accountability Act of 2022*, which aimed to establish assessment requirements for high-risk AI systems, underscore the ongoing debates surrounding comprehensive AI legislation.³⁰ Finally, the FDA has issued additional AI-specific guidance, such as its *Marketing Submission Recommendations for Predetermined Change*

159 *Control Plans*, which focuses on managing updates in AI-enabled medical devices.³¹

160 Canada

161 In Canada, the regulation of AI in healthcare remains a work in progress. At the federal level, the AI and Data Act 162 (AIDA) was introduced in June 2022 as part of Bill C-27, the Digital Charter Implementation Act.³² AIDA 163 proposes a risk-based framework for regulating AI systems, particularly high-impact applications, including those 164 in healthcare, by requiring transparency and harm mitigation measures. However, as of December 2024, AIDA 165 remains under parliamentary review and has yet to be enacted into law. 166 Furthermore, the 2023 federal Guide on the Use of Generative AI provides principles and best practices for 167 responsible AI adoption within Canadian government operations.³³ It emphasizes ethical considerations, 168 transparency, and accountability in deploying generative AI systems to support public services while mitigating 169 risks.

170 Above all, in 2021, Health Canada, the FDA, and the UK MHRA jointly published the Good Machine Learning 171 Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles, a framework that addresses the safety, efficacy, 172 and transparency of AI and machine learning-enabled medical devices.³⁴ In June 2024, the authorities expanded 173 this framework by introducing the Transparency for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding 174 Principles, which provide for clear communication about the performance, intended use, development process, 175 and logic of the devices.³⁵ Although, again, not legally binding frameworks, these principles aim to inform 176 stakeholders in the healthcare sector, promote trust, and encourage informed use throughout the lifecycle of AI-177 driven medical devices in the UK, US, and Canada.

178 Mexico

179 In recent years, several digital health legislative initiatives have been proposed to the Mexican Senate, but none have been passed.³⁶ As of December 2024, the regulation of AI in healthcare remains under the jurisdiction of 180 181 existing legal frameworks, including the General Health Act and the Protection of Personal Data Held by Private Parties.^{37,38} In February 2024, a new legislative proposal, the Federal Law Regulating AI, was introduced to the 182 183 Mexican Senate.³⁹ This proposed law aims to establish a legal framework for the development, deployment, and 184 use of AI technologies across various sectors, including healthcare. Moreover, it proposes designating the Federal 185 Telecommunications Institute (IFT) as the competent authority on AI matters and suggests the creation of a 186 National Commission on AI to serve as an advisory body to the IFT. The law also classifies AI systems by risk 187 levels as unacceptable, high, low, or minimal, similar to the EU AI Act, and outlines specific obligations and 188 testing requirements for each category. Developers or providers of AI systems would be required to obtain prior

189 authorization from the IFT before commercializing their systems in Mexico, even if offered free of charge.

190 However, as the legislative process involves multiple stages of review and approval, the exact timeline for the

- 191 law's adoption remains uncertain. Furthermore, as with other previously proposed digital health legislation, it is
- 192 still possible that the law will not pass the Senate.

193 Central America and the Caribbean

194 As of December 2024, countries in Central America and the sovereign states in the Caribbean have not 195 implemented binding legislation specifically regulating AI. Instead, some countries have introduced national AI 196 strategies or are participating in non-binding regional initiatives.

197 In Central America, Costa Rica was the first country to announce its national AI strategy, while the Dominican

198 Republic was the first country in the Caribbean. Both frameworks focus on principles of ethical use, privacy, and

199 societal benefit, serving as strategic guidance rather than legally enforceable regulations.^{40,41}

200 Regional initiatives, such as the UNESCO Caribbean AI Policy Roadmap, provide a foundation for promoting

201 innovation and establishing oversight for AI but do not constitute binding legislation.⁴² Similarly, in August 2024,

202 17 Latin American and Caribbean countries adopted the *Cartagena de Indias Declaration on AI.*⁴³ However, the

203 declaration itself does not establish enforceable legal mechanisms but rather serves as a collaborative guideline

204 for future policy development.

205 South America

206 In South America, no country has enacted AI-specific legislation. However, six countries, namely Brazil, Peru,207 Chile, Argentina, Colombia, and Ecuador, have drafted legal frameworks for AI.

Brazil's national AI strategy, based on the OECD AI Principles, was launched in 2021.⁴⁴ In December 2024, the
Brazilian Senate approved an AI Framework (*Bill No. 2338/2023*), which was first proposed in May 2023.⁴⁵ This
bill establishes a horizontal regulatory framework for AI, adopting a risk-based approach similar to the EU AI Act
and implementing a dedicated regulatory authority. Until this framework is implemented, medical AI applications
(classified as SaMD) are regulated by the National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA) under the existing
ANVISA regulatory framework.⁴⁶

214 In Peru, an updated draft of its AI governance legislation (Law No. 31814) was introduced in November 2024,

215 building on the original proposal from May 2023.⁴⁷ The law adopts a risk-based framework for classifying AI

216 systems and emphasizes developer accountability for ensuring safety, explainability, and reliability. Earlier, in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.25.25321061; this version posted January 25, 2025. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

217 2020, Peru had enacted *Emergency Decree 007-2020*, which provided foundational guidelines for secure digital 218 interactions, including principles and structures for oversight, monitoring, and cybersecurity.⁴⁸ So far, this decree 219 encompasses AI within its broader regulatory scope, including AI-driven healthcare applications. 220 Chile issued its national AI policy in 2020, after which Bill No. 16821-19 was developed and submitted to the 221 Chamber of Deputies in May 2024.49,50 The bill adopts a risk-based classification similar to the EU's AI Act and 222 imposes obligations on high-risk applications, such as data governance, transparency, and human oversight. It 223 also establishes the AI Technical Advisory Council, and grants enforcement authority to the Personal Data 224 Protection Agency. As of December 2024, the bill is still under deliberation, and AI applications in healthcare fall 225 under Chile's MDR framework.51 226 In 2021, Argentina began drafting a national AI plan as part of its Innovative Argentina 2030 Plan and 2030 227 Digital Agenda.⁵² In June 2024, the Argentine Congress introduced Bill 3003-D-2024, which aims to establish a legal framework for the responsible use of AL⁵³ As in other South American countries, the proposed legislation 228 229 is inspired by the EU's AI Act and takes a risk-based approach to defining the obligations of AI providers and 230 users. To date, AI-driven SaMD is regulated under the Argentine MDR.54 231 Colombia is also in the process of passing binding AI-specific legislation. In November 2023, the Senate passed 232 Law 059/23, which establishes guidelines for the development, use, and regulation of AI as part of the National 233 Digital Strategy 2023-2026.^{55,56} The law emphasizes principles such as human oversight of AI, safety, 234 cooperation, and the public good. It also calls for the establishment of a Commission on Data Processing and AI 235 Development to draft technical regulations, advise Congress, and promote AI-driven innovation. As of December 236 2024, the law is still under review, and SaMDs are regulated under the National Institute for Food and Drug 237 Surveillance's MDR.

238 Ecuador's efforts to adopt AI legislation resulted in the proposal of a draft Organic Law for the Regulation and 239 Promotion of AI in July 2024, which establishes a risk-based framework for the safe and ethical use of AI while promoting innovation across sectors.⁵⁷ This follows earlier initiatives, such as the 2022 Digital Transformation 240 241 Agenda and the establishment of an AI Committee under the Organic Law for Digital and Audiovisual Transformation in 2023.58,59 Until the law is enacted, SaMDs are governed by the National Agency for 242 243 Regulation's medical device framework.

The last country in South America to draft a national AI strategy for public consultation was Uruguay in October
2024.⁶⁰ Other countries, including Venezuela, Paraguay, and Bolivia, have yet to develop national frameworks or
introduce AI-specific legislation.

247 China

Over the past few years, China's regulatory framework for governing AI applications in healthcare has developed steadily, mainly under the direction of the National Medical Products Administration and the National Health Commission. Starting in July 2021, the *Guidelines for the Classification and Definition of AI Medical Software Products* were issued to define management attributes and risk-based classifications for AI-based medical software.⁶¹ Concurrently, the *Guidelines for the Naming of General Names for Medical Software* standardized naming conventions by requiring a core term and two descriptive feature terms for product clarity and consistency.⁶²

255 In March 2022, the regulatory landscape expanded with the Guidelines for the Registration and Review of AI 256 Medical Devices, which standardized submission requirements and technical review processes, alongside the 257 Guidelines for the Registration and Review of Medical Device Software (2022 Revised Edition) and the Guidelines 258 for the Registration and Review of Medical Device Cybersecurity (2022 Revised Edition), guiding the technical evaluation and foster cybersecurity.⁶³⁻⁶⁵ In addition to the cross-specialty guidance of AI applications in 259 healthcare, one of the first guidelines for specific medical applications of AI was issued with the Guidelines for 260 261 the Registration Review of Pulmonary Nodule CT Image Assisted Detection Software in May 2022, followed by 262 the Guidelines for the Registration and Review of Diabetic Retinopathy Fundus Image Aided Diagnosis Software in July 2022.66,67 263

In July 2023, China introduced the *Interim Measures for the Administration of Generative AI Services* to regulate generative AI applications across industries, emphasizing ethical governance, transparency, and risk mitigation.⁶⁸ In addition, Chinese legal scholars proposed a draft *AI Law* in March 2024, which aims to provide a horizontal legal framework for AI developed and deployed in China.⁶⁹ It includes provisions on AI-related risks, intellectual property, data security, and bias mitigation across industries and may complement or replace some of the introduced sector-specific guidelines.

270 Most recently, the National Medical Products Administration released the *Medical Device Administration Law*

271 for public consultation on August 28, 2024.⁷⁰ This draft law presents the first overarching regulatory framework

in China for the entire life cycle of medical devices, including research and development (R&D), manufacturing,

- 273 distribution, and use. It remains to be seen whether this law will also apply to AI-based medical devices,
- 274 potentially replacing previous administrative regulations with limited legal authority.

275 Japan

276 In Japan, several non-binding general guidelines for the development and use of AI have been published in recent

- 277 years. Notable among these are the AI R&D Guidelines (2017), the AI Utilization Guidelines (2019), and the
- 278 Governance Guidelines for Implementation of AI Principles Version 1.1 (2022).⁷¹⁻⁷³ These have recently been
- 279 updated and consolidated into the AI Guidelines for Business Version 1.0, which was released in April 2024.⁷⁴
- 280 However, all of these guidelines are intended to serve as 'soft law' without legally binding responsibility and do
- **281** not explicitly target AI applications in healthcare.
- 282 At the same time, as part of the G7 Hiroshima AI Process, Japan contributed to the development of the Hiroshima
- **283** Process International Guiding Principles for Organizations Developing Advanced AI Systems.¹⁰ These principles
- aim to promote safe, secure, and trustworthy AI worldwide and provide guidance for organizations developing
- and using advanced AI systems, including foundational models and generative AI systems.
- An AI Strategy Council, established in May 2023, has also produced a *Tentative Summary of AI Issues*,
 highlighting the need to revise existing guidelines in light of the proliferation of generative AI.⁷⁵
- In addition, in February 2024, a working group proposed basic concepts for a *Basic Law for the Promotion of Responsible AI*, which would introduce binding legal requirements for certain generative AI foundational models.⁷⁶ However, as of December 2024, there is no publicly available draft. If adopted, this framework would shift Japan's previous reliance on soft law to a legally enforceable model, at least for advanced AI models, including regulation of their use in healthcare.

293 Korea

- In 2019, the South Korean government released its national AI strategy to foster AI adoption, including
 investments in R&D, workforce training, and ethical AI practices to support responsible innovation, followed by
 several national and international initiatives, such as the *Digital New Deal* and the *Seoul Declaration for Safe*, *Innovative and Inclusive AI*.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹
- While multiple AI-specific bills were introduced during the 21st National Assembly, these proposals failed to pass
 before the Assembly's term ended in May 2024.⁸⁰ However, as a legally binding effort to regulate AI-driven
 decision-making, the *Personal Information Protection Act* was amended in March 2023. These amendments give

301 individuals the right to opt out of AI-driven decisions that affect their rights or to request explanations for such 302 decisions.81

303 Most recently, the 22nd National Assembly passed a legal framework on the Development of AI and Creation of 304 Trust Base (AI Basic Act) through the subcommittee stage in November 2024, which consolidates nineteen 305 previous AI-related proposals into a single framework.^{82,83} The draft law adopts a risk-based approach, imposes 306 transparency requirements, establishes risk management systems, and enforces penalties for non-compliance, 307 mirroring elements of the EU AI Act. The South Korean Act now awaits approval by the Judiciary Committee 308 and a final plenary session. If enacted, it will serve as South Korea's first overarching legislation dedicated to AI, 309 including the regulation of AI applications in the healthcare sector. Concurrently, the government plans to 310 establish an AI Safety Research Institute under the Ministry of Science and Information and Communication 311

Technology.84

312 In contrast to South Korea's proactive approach to AI governance, North Korea does not have any publicly

313 available formal regulations or policies governing the development or application of AI as of December 2024.

South Asia 314

315 As of December 2024, no country in South Asia, including Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, 316 Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka, has enacted AI-specific legislation.

317 In May 2023, Pakistan's Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunications unveiled a draft National

318 AI Policy as part of its Digital Pakistan vision.⁸⁵ Although the policy does not elaborate on specific regulatory

319 approaches, it proposes the establishment of an AI Directorate under the National Commission for Personal Data

320 Protection to oversee the ethical use of AI and suggests the creation of AI regulatory sandboxes for controlled

321 implementation of AI. However, as of December 2024, the policy remains in draft form.

322 In India, the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology announced plans to introduce a Digital India

Act (DIA) to replace the existing Information Technology Act of 2000.^{86,87} The DIA aims to address contemporary 323

324 challenges in the digital ecosystem, including the regulation of emerging technologies such as AI. However, as of

325 December 2024, the draft has not been released for public consultation.

326 Other countries, such as Bangladesh, Nepal, and Sri Lanka, have issued national AI strategies and concept papers,

327 some of which aim to establish a regulatory framework for AI, but without any concrete provisions to date.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰

328 Most recently, the Maldivian government announced that it will develop an AI master plan in October 2024.⁹¹

329 Countries such as Bhutan and Afghanistan have not explicitly issued national policies or strategies.

330 Southeast Asia

- 331 In Southeast Asia, seven Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) member states, including Singapore,
- 332 Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia, Cambodia, and the Philippines, have introduced national AI strategies.
- 333 At the regional level, ASEAN launched the ASEAN Guide on AI Governance and Ethics in February 2024,
- 334 adopting a market-driven approach to balance AI's benefits with its risks.⁹² However, none of these countries have
- 335 legally binding AI legislation as of December 2024, with Thailand, the Philippines, and Vietnam being the only
- **336** countries that issued draft laws specifically dedicated to AI regulation.
- 337 Singapore does not have specific laws directly regulating AI but adopts a soft-law approach through frameworks
- **338** and sectoral guidelines. Key initiatives include the *Model AI Governance Framework* (2019, updated in 2020),
- the AI Verify governance toolkit, and the National AI Strategy 2.0 (2023).⁹³⁻⁹⁵ In May 2024, a draft Generative AI
- 340 Governance Framework was issued to address emerging AI challenges, providing updated recommendations for
- 341 the secure development and adoption of AI, and is currently under public consultation.⁹⁶

342 Currently, Indonesia regulates AI applications under the pre-existing *Electronic Information and Transactions* Law, which was amended to define AI as an electronic agent.^{97,98} Key principles for AI operators include prudence, 343 344 system security, cost efficiency, and consumer protection, with liability typically falling on operators unless user 345 negligence is proven. In 2020, the National Strategy on AI 2020-2045 (Stranas KA) was introduced as a framework for ministries and stakeholders to guide AI policy and development.⁹⁹ In December 2023, the 346 government adopted non-legally binding key ethical guidelines (Circular Letter No. 9 of 2023).¹⁰⁰ More recently, 347 348 in September 2024, the Deputy Minister of Communication and Information announced plans to issue a ministerial 349 regulation on AI, aiming to provide guidelines for AI use, though no draft or concrete details have been released so far.101 350

- Thailand does not have any AI-specific legislation in force. However, in early 2023, two draft laws were introduced: the *Royal Decree on Business Operations that Use AI Systems*, which proposes a risk-based regulatory framework, and the *Draft Act on the Promotion and Support of AI Innovations*, designed to promote AI development while ensuring consumer protection.^{102,103} In addition, Thailand has issued non-binding *AI Ethics Guidelines* and *AI Governance Guidelines* to provide interim principles for ethical AI development and deployment.^{104,105}
- 357 Similarly, Vietnam's Ministry of Information and Communication issued a draft *Digital Technology Industry Law*
- 358 for public consultation, proposing regulations for AI.¹⁰⁶ The draft outlines ethical principles for AI development
- 359 and use, requires labeling of AI-generated digital products to ensure they are identifiable as artificially created or

360 manipulated, and introduces a risk-based classification of AI systems based on their impact on health, safety,

361 rights, and critical infrastructure, with regulatory measures tailored to each risk level.

- 362 In Malaysia, the government published a National AI Roadmap in 2023, under which a National AI Office was
- 363 launched in December 2024 to oversee policy formulation and regulatory frameworks.^{107,108} Additionally, The
- 364 National Guidelines on AI Governance and Ethics published in September 2024 provide foundational principles
- **365** for ethical AI use, although not legally binding.¹⁰⁹
- 366 On March 1, 2023, the Philippines introduced the AI Development and Regulation Act (HB7396) in the House of
- **367** Representatives.¹¹⁰ The Act aims to promote safe AI development that is aligned with ethical principles and public
- 368 interest. It proposes the establishment of an AI Development Authority to oversee the licensing, certification, and
- 369 regulation of AI developers and deployers, requiring mandatory registration and compliance with issued
- 370 guidelines. Non-compliance would result in penalties, with the Act set to take effect 15 days after publication in
- 371 the *Official Gazette*. However, there have been no updates to the law since the publication of the draft.
- 372 Other ASEAN member states, such as Brunei, are still in the process of developing non-binding codes and
- **373** guidelines to govern the use of AI.¹¹¹

374 Russian Federation

375 The Russian Federation was one of the first countries to adopt AI-specific legislation. Beginning in 2019, Russia 376 adopted its National Strategy for the Development of AI (2019-2030), which highlights the role of AI innovation in the country while emphasizing the need for regulation to ensure safety and ethical compliance.¹¹² In 2020, 377 378 Federal Law No. 123-FZ was adopted with the aim of creating experimental legal regimes through the 379 establishment of regulatory sandboxes for AI technologies in Moscow, allowing for the testing of AI systems in 380 controlled environments.¹¹³ With the original framework set to expire in 2025, policymakers have drafted an 381 updated law on AI that will expand on the original federal law with regulations on accountability for AI actions 382 and intellectual property ownership of AI-generated outputs.¹¹⁴ Specific to the healthcare sector, the Ministry of 383 Health issued standards for the certification of (AI-based) medical software in August 2021, requiring conformity assessment in compliance with Russian government standards, focusing on data security and system reliability.¹¹⁵ 384 385 In addition, Federal Law No. 258-FZ, adopted in 2021, established a nationwide legal framework for regulatory 386 sandboxes in the field of digital innovation, including medical activities.¹¹⁶

Central Asia, South Caucasus, and Mongolia 387

388 Mongolia and countries in Central Asia and the South Caucasus currently lack AI-specific legislation. Kazakhstan, 389 Central Asia's largest economy, is focusing on advancing its AI ecosystem through national initiatives like the 390 Digital Transformation and Cybersecurity Concept (2023–2029) and the Concept for the Development of AI for 391 2024-2029, including a national AI platform, and an AI Committee tasked with creating and implementing 392 policies.^{117,118} Although an AI-specific law is planned as part of the AI development concept, no draft has been 393 finalized as of December 2024. Notably, Kazakhstan has also introduced AI sandboxes that focus on the safe 394 development of AI in controlled environments, similar to Uzbekistan, where a national AI strategy has also been 395 adopted.^{119,120} On the other hand, Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan have also adopted national AI strategies, but these do 396 not address the regulation of AI or the establishment of AI regulatory sandboxes, while Turkmenistan has yet to 397 adopt a national AI strategy or legislation.^{121,122} 398 At the regional level, the Commonwealth of Independent States introduced a non-binding model AI law in April 399 2024 to promote uniform regulatory approaches, though its practical impact remains questionable.¹²³ Similarly,

400 the Organization of Turkic States adopted the Garabagh Declaration in July 2024, signaling intentions to 401 collaborate on AI policies, but concrete details are yet to be released.¹²⁴

- 402 In the South Caucasus, Azerbaijan and Armenia are still in the process of developing national AI strategies and lack specific regulatory frameworks.^{125,126} In contrast, Mongolia adopted an action plan for 2024-2028 in August 403
- 404 2024, outlining goals for regulatory and big data policy improvements, but details have yet to be published.¹²⁷

405 **Middle East**

406 The Middle East presents a dynamic yet heterogeneous landscape for the regulation of AI in healthcare, 407 characterized by countries at different stages of developing and implementing regulatory frameworks. Advanced 408 economies such as the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Turkey, and Israel are leading the 409 region with structured approaches, although in many cases, regulatory efforts focus on non-binding guidelines 410 rather than enforceable legislation. Most notably, Bahrain was the first country in the Middle East to adopt a stand-411 alone law to regulate AI in April 2024, which includes 38 articles on privacy, unlicensed activities, and the risks 412 of AI systems, with penalties for non-compliance and the establishment of an AI judicial unit for oversight and enforcement.128 413

414 In the UAE, the regulatory landscape is characterized by a combination of federal initiatives and jurisdiction-

415 specific frameworks, particularly in its financial free zones, such as the Abu Dhabi Global Market and the Dubai

International Financial Centre (DIFC).¹²⁹ At the federal level, the UAE appointed a Minister for AI in 2017, 416 417 followed by issuing the UAE National Strategy for AI 2031 in 2018 and several non-binding guidelines aimed at 418 integrating AI across various sectors.¹³⁰ Additionally, the UAE published the UAE Charter for the Development 419 and Use of AI in June 2024, which serves as a guiding framework to protect the rights of the UAE community in 420 the development or use of AI solutions and technologies.¹³¹ In healthcare, certain regulatory measures for AI are 421 being implemented through existing legal frameworks. For example, the DIFC has amended its data protection 422 regulations to address the use of AI in personal data processing, imposing obligations on entities operating autonomous and semi-autonomous systems and emphasizing responsible data management.¹³² However, as of 423 424 December 2024, neither the UAE mainland nor the financial free zones have enacted binding, AI-specific

425 regulations.

426 Saudi Arabia has not issued any AI-specific legislation and instead focuses on non-binding guidelines. In 427 September 2023, the Saudi Data and AI Authority (SDAIA) released a draft entitled AI Ethics Principles, which 428 focuses on fairness, privacy, transparency, accountability, and security.¹³³ In healthcare, the Saudi Food and Drug 429 Authority has introduced a guidance document for AI and machine learning-based medical devices, which addresses safety, data governance, and compliance.¹³⁴ More recently, the SDAIA issued the AI Adoption 430 431 Framework in September 2024, which provides guidelines for the responsible integration and implementation of 432 AI technologies across sectors, emphasizing ethical principles, transparency, accountability, and alignment with 433 Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030.135,136

Qatar, aligning with its *National Vision 2030*, launched a national AI strategy in 2019 and established an AI
Committee in 2021 to oversee its implementation.^{137,138} In 2024, Qatar's National Cybersecurity Agency issued
the non-binding *Guidelines for Secure Usage and Adoption of AI*, emphasizing responsible use, risk management,
ethical principles, and stakeholder confidence, with a specific focus on generative AI and organizational
compliance.¹³⁹

Turkey, while aligned with EU regulations like MDR/IVDR under the Customs Union and not directly covered by the EU AI Act, is actively developing its own AI regulatory framework. In August 2021, the *National AI Strategy (2021-2025)* was issued, emphasizing the goal to align Turkey's AI governance with international standards, promoting the responsible use of AI across sectors, including healthcare.¹⁴⁰ Building on this strategy, a *Draft Law on AI* was submitted to the Grand National Assembly in June 2024.¹⁴¹ While the draft law outlines principles such as safety, transparency, fairness, accountability, and data protection and defines roles within the AI ecosystem, it contains limited provisions on the regulation of AI.¹⁴² It remains to be determined whether this

law will be implemented in its current form, expanded upon, or supplemented by additional regulations to achievea more comprehensive regulatory framework.

After publishing a white paper on the responsible development of AI in November 2022, Israel's Ministry of Innovation, Science, and Technology of Israel, in collaboration with the Ministry of Justice, proposed its first *Policy on AI Regulation and Ethics in December* (AI Policy) in December 2023.¹⁴³ The AI Policy emphasizes human-centric AI, equality, transparency, accountability, and system reliability, adopting a risk-based, sectorspecific approach aligned with international standards like the EU AI Act. However, this policy aims at 'soft regulation' through the use of non-binding ethical principles, voluntary standards, and both monitored and unmonitored self-regulation.

455 Other countries in the Middle East, including Jordan, Lebanon, Oman, Kuwait, and Palestine, have drafted or

456 adopted national AI strategies; however, these strategies remain primarily focused on promoting innovation rather

457 than establishing specific legislative frameworks for AI governance.¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁸ In contrast, countries like Yemen, Iran,

458 Iraq, and Syria currently have no formal AI framework or policy in place.

459 African Union

460 Within the African Union (AU), several countries have issued national AI strategies that predominantly focus on 461 capacity building, research, and innovation rather than on the regulation of AI, with healthcare often highlighted 462 as a priority sector. Most recently, Algeria adopted its national AI strategy in December 2024, aiming to promote AI technologies in key sectors such as agriculture, health, and industry while simultaneously working on a 463 regulatory framework for AI.¹⁴⁹ As such, Algeria follows the lead of other AU member states that published 464 465 national strategies, including Morocco, Zambia, Senegal, Nigeria, South Africa, Ethiopia, and Libya in 2024, 466 Benin in 2023, Ghana and Rwanda in 2022, Egypt in 2019, and Tunisia and Mauritius in 2018.¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁶² 467 Other countries within the AU, like Kenya and Tanzania, are actively developing AI strategies. In April 2024, 468 Kenya's Ministry of Information and Communication Technology and Digital Economy, in partnership with the German International Cooperation Society, launched the national AI strategy development process.¹⁶³ In the past, 469 470 Kenya has also proposed the Kenya Robotics and AI Society Bill to promote the ethical and responsible 471 development of AI technologies, which, however, is still in the draft stage.¹⁶⁴

472 Tanzania issued a *Policy Framework for AI in Tanzania Health Sector* in 2022 and is reportedly in the process of

473 formulating a national AI strategy for the management of AI and the protection of the public.^{165,166}

474 On a continental level, the AU has been proactive in advancing AI governance. Following initiatives like the AU's 475 Digital Transformation Strategy for Africa (2020-2030) and the AI Data Policy Framework of 2022, the AU 476 Executive Council endorsed the Continental AI Strategy in July 2024, a framework to guide AI governance and 477 development across its 55 member states.^{4,167} The strategy prioritizes harnessing AI's benefits, building 478 capabilities, mitigating risks, stimulating investments, and fostering cooperation. Central to the strategy is AI 479 governance, viewed as critical for responsible AI adoption, addressing societal, ethical, and legal challenges while aligning with Africa's development goals under the Agenda 2063.¹⁶⁸ Key measures include implementing or **480** updating existing legal frameworks (eg, on data protection and cybersecurity), identifying regulatory gaps, and 481 482 fostering cross-border data sharing to support AI development. The strategy also advocates for independent 483 oversight bodies, ethical principles, and collaborative efforts among stakeholders to balance innovation and risk **48**4 management. Implementation is planned in phases (2025-2030), aiming to harmonize AI governance across **485** member states to ensure inclusive, fair, and sustainable AI-driven growth. Since most national strategies do not 486 focus on the regulation of AI, the AU's anticipated Continental AI Strategy marks a critical step towards a uniform **48**7 development of legislation, including the application of AI in healthcare. While national strategies aim to build **488** infrastructure, capacity, and awareness around AI, regulatory gaps remain that the continental framework could 489 address.

490 Oceania

491 In Australia, the Therapeutic Goods Act 1989, which includes the regulation of medical devices, and the Privacy 492 Act 1988, which includes ensuring the security of patient data and health records, have undergone significant 493 reforms to adapt to the rapidly evolving AI landscape since 2021, with the most recent update in August 494 2024.¹⁶⁹⁻¹⁷¹ The purpose of these reforms is to ensure that medical AI devices are evaluated and assessed for 495 safety while balancing the risks of over-regulation. Notably, the concept is similar to the EU's MDR in many 496 aspects, following a risk-based approach. Furthermore, the Therapeutic Goods Administration's (TGA) 497 regulatory principles include a specific provision for transparency, which excludes companies that rely on the 498 'black box' approach to protect their technology. 499 In New Zealand, the Therapeutic Products Act 2023 was introduced to regulate SaMD; however, it does not include specific provisions for AI technologies.¹⁷² Healthcare data in New Zealand is governed under the *Privacy* 500

501 Act 2020, which provides a framework for the use and protection of patient data but similarly does not explicitly

- address AI.¹⁷³ Thus, similar to much of the Oceania region, including smaller Pacific island states, there are
 currently no specific regulations governing the use of AI in New Zealand.
- 504 Looking ahead, the Australian Government is actively reviewing existing legislation and has initiated public and
- 505 stakeholder consultation on mandatory guardrails for AI through a document titled Safe and Responsible AI in
- 506 Health Care Legislation and Regulation Review (Proposals Paper).¹⁷⁴ Concurrently, the TGA has recently
- 507 completed its own consultation to modernize AI regulation, aiming to streamline laws that protect patients while
- 508 fostering innovation and improving healthcare outcomes.¹⁷⁵ In New Zealand, the Ministry of Business, Innovation,
- 509 and Employment published a cabinet paper in July 2024 detailing its strategy for AI regulation, emphasizing the
- 510 importance of encouraging AI adoption through a 'light-touch,' balanced, and risk-based approach.¹⁷⁶

511 Conclusions and Outlook

512 Our analysis provides a global overview of the regulatory landscape for AI, with a focus on healthcare, covering 513 the status quo of 197 countries and territories as of December 2024 (see Figure 1). As a reference for the reader, 514 we provide an interactive online version of Figure 1, where details of the regulatory status for each country and 515 territory can be accessed, and which we intend to update regularly.¹⁷⁷

516



517



binding national AI guidance documents. Grey-highlighted countries or territories have neither introduced AIspecific legislation nor issued guidance. Countries or territories patterned with black diagonal lines have signed
the Council of Europe's Framework Convention on AI. We also provide an interactive online version of this map,
which provides details on the status of individual countries or territories when hovered over and which the authors
intend to update regularly.¹⁷⁷

527

We found that only 15.2% (n=30/197) of countries or territories have implemented legally binding AI-specific
legislation (ie, legislation explicitly tailored to AI). Notably, the 27 EU Member States account for 90% (n=27/30)
of countries with legislation following the recent adoption of the EU AI Act. The remaining three countries,
Bahrain, the Russian Federation, and China, have adopted different national AI legislative frameworks that reflect
their national priorities and strategies.

533 A further 9.1% (n=18/197) of countries or territories have drafted AI-specific legislation, signaling their intention 534 to implement legally binding frameworks, although these drafts are still under review or in the legislative pipeline. 535 Meanwhile, 28.4% (n=56/197) have issued non-legally binding guidance documents that provide 536 recommendations or frameworks for AI development and governance. Notably, these documents often focus on 537 ethical principles, transparency, and fostering innovation rather than adopting AI-specific regulatory frameworks. 538 Finally, 47.2% (n=93/197) of countries or territories have yet to introduce any form of AI-specific legislation or 539 guidance. This lack of regulatory guidance highlights disparities in global readiness for AI governance, 540 particularly when comparing the Global North and South. Of the 136 countries or territories classified as Global South according to the Finance Center for South-South Cooperation, 60.3% (n=82/136) had no national 541 framework or AI-specific legislation, compared to 18% (n=11/61) in the Global North.¹⁷⁸ Meanwhile, 30.9% 542 543 (n=42/136) in the Global South had national AI strategies, compared to 23% (n=14/61) in the Global North. 544 Furthermore, 7.4% (n=10/136) of countries or territories in the Global South had drafted AI-specific legislation, 545 compared with 13.1% (n=8/61) in the Global North, and only 1.5% (n=2/136) in the Global South had enacted 546 such legislation, compared with 45.9% (n=28/61) in the Global North.

Most importantly, the lack of such targeted legislation does not necessarily mean that AI-based medical devices are unregulated. Rather, these technologies are subject to the same safety, quality, and efficacy standards that apply to all medical devices in most countries and territories. Many in the medical device industry view an approach that focuses on updating existing regulations, such as the EU's MDR and *General Data Protection Regulation*, as a more effective alternative to enacting entirely new horizontal legislation.^{179,180} For example,

although the EU's AI Act will be closely aligned with the MDR/IVDR, there is no evidence at present to suggest
that providing updated guidance and standards under the current medical device framework will be any less
effective in regulating AI-driven healthcare solutions.

555 However, for countries without established national AI governance frameworks, intergovernmental and 556 international initiatives present viable pathways for guiding the development of regulatory systems. For instance, 557 the AU's Continental AI Strategy aims to address governance challenges across the continent, where we found that 35 countries or territories have no AI-specific frameworks or legislation.⁴ In Latin America, 17 countries 558 559 formalized their commitment to regional AI governance through the adoption of the Cartagena Declaration on 560 AI in August, while the ASEAN Guide on AI Governance and Ethics, published in February 2024, provides a framework for cooperation and governance in Southeast Asia.^{43,92} While non-binding, these frameworks may help 561 562 advance regional AI governance by promoting the adoption of AI-specific regulatory frameworks through 563 standardized principles, common approaches, and coordinated mechanisms to address the ethical, legal, and 564 socioeconomic implications of AI governance and deployment.

565 In contrast to non-binding intergovernmental frameworks, the Council of Europe's Framework Convention on AI, 566 adopted in May 2024, establishes the first legally binding international treaty on AI governance.¹³ The Convention 567 requires that AI systems adhere to principles rooted in the protection of human rights, democracy, and the rule of 568 law. To ensure compliance, the Conference of the Parties monitors adherence, provides recommendations, and 569 facilitates collaboration through mechanisms such as public hearings. Member states are obligated to maintain 570 transparency and accountability by documenting AI systems, ensuring accessible information for affected 571 individuals, providing avenues to challenge AI-driven decisions, and establishing formal complaint mechanisms. 572 As of December 2024, nine non-EU states with varying levels of progress in the adoption of horizontal AI 573 regulation, including Andorra, Iceland, Israel, Moldova, Montenegro, Norway, San Marino, the UK, and the US, 574 have signed the Convention. Notably, none of these nine countries have yet adopted their own horizontal binding 575 frameworks for AI-specific governance. In this context, the Framework Convention serves as a promising solution 576 to engage in collective governance, setting minimum standards while allowing flexibility for national adaptation. 577 However, it remains to be determined whether such high-level international frameworks will be sufficiently 578 implemented in legislation and enforced in individual countries.

579 In conclusion, the global landscape of AI governance is characterized by substantial disparities, marked by the
580 uneven introduction of AI-specific regulatory frameworks and binding legislation, particularly between the Global
581 North and South. Addressing these inequities necessitates international cooperation and the establishment of

- 582 robust governance mechanisms. These mechanisms must prioritize inclusivity, equity, and adaptability to ensure
- 583 the responsible deployment of AI technologies while promoting equitable access and opportunities across diverse
- 584 socioeconomic contexts. However, while new AI-specific regulations may offer tailored approaches to emerging
- 585 challenges, the absence of such legislation does not imply a lack of oversight for AI-based medical devices, as
- 586 many are already governed under existing regulatory frameworks, with no evidence at present to suggest that
- 587 updated guidance and standards would be any less effective in regulating AI-driven healthcare solutions.

588 Acknowledgements

589 This work is supported by the European Union under the Horizon Europe Program as part of the project 590 CYMEDSEC (101094218), ASSESS-DHT (101137347), and COMFORT (101079894). Views and opinions 591 expressed are, however, those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The 592 European Union cannot be held responsible for them. The funding had no role in the study design, data collection 593 and analysis, manuscript preparation, or decision to publish.

594 Contributors

595 Conceptualization: Felix Busch, Stephen Gilbert, Esteban Ortiz-Prado, Keno K Bressem: Project administration: 596 Felix Busch; Resources: Felix Busch, Stephen Gilbert, Esteban Ortiz-Prado, Keno K Bressem; Software: Felix 597 Busch; Data curation: Felix Busch, Raym Geis, Yuan-Cheng Wang, Noor Al Khori, Israel K Kolawole, Warren 598 Clements, Stephen Gilbert; Funding acquisition: Stephen Gilbert; Investigation: Felix Busch, Raym Geis, Yuan-599 Cheng Wang, Noor Al Khori, Israel K Kolawole, Warren Clements, Stephen Gilbert; Methodology: Felix Busch, 600 Raym Geis, Yuan-Cheng Wang, Noor Al Khori, Israel K Kolawole, Warren Clements, Stephen Gilbert; 601 Supervision: Felix Busch, Stephen Gilbert, Esteban Ortiz-Prado, Keno K Bressem; Validation: Felix Busch, Raym 602 Geis, Yuan-Cheng Wang, Jakob Nikolas Kather, Noor Al Khori, Marcus R Makowski, Israel K Kolawole, Daniel 603 Truhn, Warren Clements, Stephen Gilbert, Lisa C Adams, Esteban Ortiz-Prado, Keno K Bressem; Visualization: 604 Felix Busch; Writing - original draft preparation: Felix Busch, Raym Geis, Yuan-Cheng Wang, Noor Al Khori, 605 Israel K Kolawole, Warren Clements, Stephen Gilbert; Writing - review & editing: Felix Busch, Raym Geis, 606 Yuan-Cheng Wang, Jakob Nikolas Kather, Noor Al Khori, Marcus R Makowski, Israel K Kolawole, Daniel 607 Truhn, Warren Clements, Stephen Gilbert, Lisa C Adams, Esteban Ortiz-Prado, Keno K Bressem.

608 Declaration of interests

Outside the submitted work, the authors declare the following competing interests: Keno K Bressem reports grants from the European Union (101079894), the Wilhelm Sander Foundation, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), the Else Kröner Foundation, Bayern Innovativ, and the Max Kade Foundation; Keno K Bressem reports speaker fees from Canon Medical Systems Corporation and GE Healthcare; Keno K Bressem is a member of the advisory board of the EU Horizon 2020 LifeChamps project (875329) and the EU IHI project IMAGIO (101112053); Daniel Truhn holds stocks in StratifAI GmbH and Synagen GmbH; Stephen Gilbert reports grants from the Horizon Europe Program as part of the projects ASSESS-DHT (101137347) and

616 CYMEDSEC (101094218) and funding through a BMBF project (Personal Mastery of Health & Wellness Data, 617 PATH) on consent in health data sharing, financed through the European Union NextGenerationEU program; Stephen Gilbert reports nonfinancial interest as an Advisory Group member of the EY-coordinated "Study on 618 619 Regulatory Governance and Innovation in the field of Medical Devices" conducted on behalf of the DG SANTE 620 of the European Commission. Stephen Gilbert is the coordinator of a BMBF project (Personal Mastery of Health 621 & Wellness Data, PATH) on consent in health data sharing, financed through the European Union 622 NextGenerationEU program; Stephen Gilbert declares the following competing financial interests; he has or has 623 had consulting relationships with Una Health GmbH, Lindus Health Ltd., Flo Ltd., Thymia Ltd, FORUM Institut 624 für Management GmbH, High-Tech Gründerfonds Management GmbH, Prova Health Ltd, DG R&I of the 625 European Commission and Ada Health GmbH and holds stock options in Ada Health GmbH; Jakob Nikolas 626 Kather declares consulting services for Owkin, France; DoMore Diagnostics, Norway; Panakeia, UK, and 627 Scailyte, Switzerland; Jakob Nikolas Kather holds stocks in Kather Consulting and StratifAI GmbH and has 628 received honoraria for lectures and advisory board participation by AstraZeneca, Bayer, Eisai, MSD, BMS, Roche, 629 Pfizer and Fresenius. None of the other authors declares potential conflicts of interest.

630 Data sharing

631 The generated dataset, including AI-specific frameworks and legislation for 197 countries and territories, will be
632 made publicly available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-4.0) license on
633 figshare (https://figshare.com) upon acceptance of the publication. The digital object identifier will be provided
634 upon acceptance of the publication.

635 References

636 Sizing the prize: What's the real value of AI for your business and how can you capitalise?. Available 1. https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/issues/analytics/assets/pwc-ai-analysis-sizing-the-prize-report.pdf 637 at: 638 (accessed December 23, 2024). 639 2. Rajpurkar P, Chen E, Banerjee O, Topol EJ. AI in health and medicine. Nat Med 2022;28:31-38. 3. Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: WHO guidance. Geneva: World Health 640 641 Organization; 2021. Available at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/341996/9789240029200-642 eng.pdf?sequence=1 (accessed December 23, 2024). 643 4. Africa Union Continental Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at: https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/44004-doc-EN- Continental AI Strategy July 2024.pdf 644 645 (accessed December 12, 2024). 5. Seizing the opportunities of safe, secure and trustworthy artificial intelligence systems for sustainable 646 https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/ltd/n24/065/92/pdf/n2406592.pdf 647 development. Available at: 648 (accessed December 23, 2024). 649 6. Governing ΑI for Humanity. Available at: https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/governing ai for humanity final report en.pdf 650 (accessed 651 December 11, 2024). 652 7. Assessing potential future artificial intelligence risks, benefits and policy imperatives. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/assessing-potential-future-artificial-intelligence-risks-benefits-653 and-policy-imperatives 3f4e3dfb-en.html (accessed December 23, 2024). 654 655 8. Advancing accountability in AI. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/advancing-656 accountability-in-ai 2448f04b-en.html (accessed December 12, 2024). Common guideposts to promote interoperability in AI risk management. Available at: 657 9. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/common-guideposts-to-promote-interoperability-in-ai-risk-658 659 management ba602d18-en.html (accessed December 8, 2024). 10. G7 660 Hiroshima Process on Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI). Available at: 661 https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/2023/09/g7-hiroshima-process-on-generative-artificialintelligence-ai 8d19e746.html (accessed December 12, 2024). 662 663 11. G20 AI Principles. Available at: https://oecd.ai/en/wonk/documents/g20-ai-principles (accessed 664 December 1, 2024).

665 12. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 laying down

- harmonised rules on artificial intelligence and amending Regulations (EC) No 300/2008, (EU) No
 167/2013, (EU) No 168/2013, (EU) 2018/858, (EU) 2018/1139 and (EU) 2019/2144 and Directives
 2014/90/EU, (EU) 2016/797 and (EU) 2020/1828 (Artificial Intelligence Act) (Text with EEA
- 669 relevance). Available at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1689/oj (accessed December 11, 2024).
- 67013. The Framework Convention on Artificial Intelligence. Available at:671https://www.coe.int/en/web/artificial-intelligence/the-framework-convention-on-artificial-intelligence
- **672** (accessed December 25, 2024).
- 673 14. Busch F, Kather JN, Johner C, et al. Navigating the European Union Artificial Intelligence Act for
 674 Healthcare. *npj Digit Med* 2024;7:210.
- 675 15. Regulation (EU) 2017/745 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on medical devices, amending Directive 2001/83/EC, Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Regulation (EC) No
 677 1223/2009 and repealing Council Directives 90/385/EEC and 93/42/EEC (Text with EEA relevance.)
 678 Available at: <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32017R0745</u> (accessed
- **679** December 25, 2024).
- 680 16. Regulation (EU) 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on in vitro
 681 diagnostic medical devices and repealing Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision 2010/227/EU
 682 (Text with EEA relevance.). Available at: <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2017/746/oj</u> (accessed
 683 December 25, 2024).
- 684 17. Gilbert S. The EU passes the AI Act and its implications for digital medicine are unclear. *npj Digit Med*685 2024;7:135.
- 686 18. Long awaited EU AI Act becomes law after publication in the EU's Official Journal. Available at:
 687 <u>https://www.whitecase.com/insight-alert/long-awaited-eu-ai-act-becomes-law-after-publication-eus-</u>
 688 official-journal (accessed December 25, 2024).
- 689 19. AI regulation: a pro-innovation approach. Available at:
 690 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ai-regulation-a-pro-innovation-approach</u> (accessed
 691 December 1, 2024).
- 692 20. Software and AI as a Medical Device Change Programme Roadmap. Available at:
 693 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/software-and-ai-as-a-medical-device-change-</u>

- 694 programme/software-and-ai-as-a-medical-device-change-programme-roadmap#introduction (accessed
- **695** December 11, 2024).
- 696 21. Oral statement to Parliament: The King's Speech 2024. Available at:
 697 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/the-kings-speech-2024</u> (accessed December 4, 2024).
- 698 22. Gilbert S, Fenech M, Hirsch M, Upadhyay S, Biasiucci A, Starlinger J. Algorithm Change Protocols in699 the Regulation of Adaptive Machine Learning-Based Medical Devices. J Med Internet Res
- **700** 2021;**23**:e30545.
- 701 23. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Enabled Medical Devices. Available at:
 702 https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/artificial-intelligence-and-
- 703 <u>machine-learning-aiml-enabled-medical-devices</u> (accessed December 29, 2024).
- 704 24. Clinical Decision Support Software. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-</u>
 705 fda-guidance-documents/clinical-decision-support-software (accessed December 29, 2024).
- 706 25. Health Data, Technology, and Interoperability: Certification Program Updates, Algorithm Transparency,
 707 and Information Sharing (HTI-1) Final Rule. Available at: <u>https://www.healthit.gov/topic/laws-</u>
 708 regulation-and-policy/health-data-technology-and-interoperability-certification-program (accessed
 709 December 29, 2024).
- 710 26. Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence.
- 711 Available at: <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/10/30/executive-</u>
- 712 <u>order-on-the-safe-secure-and-trustworthy-development-and-use-of-artificial-intelligence/</u> (accessed
- **713** December 4, 2024).
- 714 27. HHS Artificial Intelligence (AI) Strategy. Available at: <u>https://www.hhs.gov/programs/topic-</u>
 715 <u>sites/ai/strategy/index.html</u> (accessed December 4, 2024).
- 716 28. Summary: H.R.6216 National Artificial Intelligence Initiative Act of 2020. Available at:
 717 https://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/116/hr6216/summary (accessed December 4, 2024).
- 718 29. SB 1047: Safe and Secure Innovation for Frontier Artificial Intelligence Models Act. Available at:
 719 https://digitaldemocracy.calmatters.org/bills/ca 202320240sb1047 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 30. S.3572 Algorithmic Accountability Act of 2022. Available at: <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-</u>
 congress/senate-
- 722 <u>bill/3572#:~:text=Introduced%20in%20Senate%20(02%2F03%2F2022)&text=This%20bill%20require</u>

723 <u>s%20certain%20businesses,of%20those%20systems%20on%20consumers</u>. (accessed December 21,

- **724** 2024).
- 725 31. Marketing Submission Recommendations for a Predetermined Change Control Plan for Artificial
 726 Intelligence-Enabled Device Software Functions. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-</u>
 727 <u>information/search-fda-guidance-documents/marketing-submission-recommendations-predetermined-</u>
- 728 <u>change-control-plan-artificial-intelligence</u> (accessed December 29, 2024).
- 32. C-27. An Act to enact the Consumer Privacy Protection Act, the Personal Information and Data
 Protection Tribunal Act and the Artificial Intelligence and Data Act and to make consequential and
 related amendments to other Acts. Available at: <u>https://www.parl.ca/legisinfo/en/bill/44-1/c-27</u>
 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 733 33. Guide on the use of generative artificial intelligence. Available at:
 734 https://www.canada.ca/en/government/system/digital-government/digital-government-
- 735 <u>innovations/responsible-use-ai/guide-use-generative-ai.html</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 736 34. Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles. Available at:
 737 <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/medical-devices/good-</u>
- 738 <u>machine-learning-practice-medical-device-development.html</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 739 35. Transparency for Machine Learning-Enabled Medical Devices: Guiding Principles. Available at:
- 740 https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/medical-devices/transparency-
- 741 <u>machine-learning-guiding-principles.html</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 742 36. Digital Health Laws and Regulations: Mexico. Available from: <u>https://iclg.com/practice-areas/digital-</u>
 743 <u>health-laws-and-regulations/mexico</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 744 37. Ley General de Salud (General Health Act). Available at: <u>https://extranet.who.int/mindbank/item/2564</u>
 745 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 746 38. Data Protection in Mexico: Federal Law for the Protection of Personal Data Held by Private Parties
 747 (FLPPDHPP). Available at: https://intellectual-property-helpdesk.ec.europa.eu/news-events/news/data-
- 748 protection-mexico-federal-law-protection-personal-data-held-private-parties-flppdhpp-2024-07-03_en
- **749** (accessed December 21, 2024).
- **750** 39. Mexico: Introduced Federal Law Regulating Artificial Intelligence, including AI authority governance.
- 751 Available at: <u>https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/18794-introduced-federal-law-regulating-artificial-</u>
 752 intelligence-including-ai-authority-governance (accessed December 20, 2024).

- 40. Costa Rica is the First Country in Central America to Have a National Artificial Intelligence Strategy.
- Available at: <u>https://thecostaricanews.com/costa-rica-is-the-first-country-in-central-america-to-</u>
 have-a-national-artificial-intelligence-strategy/ (accessed December 20, 2024).
- **41**. DR ranks 7th of 33 Latin American and Caribbean countries in AI Readiness Index. Available at:
- 757 <u>https://dr1.com/news/2024/02/07/dr-ranks-7th-of-33-latin-american-and-caribbean-countries-in-ai-</u>
- **758** <u>readiness-index/</u> (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 759 42. UNESCO Caribbean AI Policy Roadmap. Available at: <u>https://ai4caribbean.com/wp-</u>
 760 <u>content/uploads/2024/08/Caribbean-Artificial-Intelligence-Policy-Roadmap-compressed.pdf</u> (accessed
 761 December 21, 2024).
- 762 43. Signed Cartagena de Indias Declaration for Governance, the construction of AI ecosystems and the763 promotion of AI education in an Ethical and Responsible manner in Latin America and the Caribbean.
- 764 Available at: https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/22018-signed-cartagena-de-indias-declaration-for-
- 765 governance-the-construction-of-ai-ecosystems-and-the-promotion-of-ai-education-in-an-ethical-and-
- 766 responsible-manner-in-latin-america-and-the-caribbean (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 767 44. Brazilian Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at: <u>https://www.gov.br/mcti/pt-br/acompanhe-o-</u>
 768 mcti/transformacaodigital/inteligencia-artificial (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 769 45. Projeto de Lei nº 2338, de 2023. Available at: <u>https://www25.senado.leg.br/web/atividade/materias/-</u>
 770 /materia/157233 (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 771 46. ANVISA Regulatory Standards. Available at:
 772 <u>https://anvisalegis.datalegis.net/action/ActionDatalegis.php?acao=categorias&cod_modulo=310&menu</u>
 773 Open=true (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 774 47. Peru: Council of Ministers publishes draft AI Regulation. Available at: 775 https://www.dataguidance.com/news/peru-council-ministers-publishes-draft-ai-regulation (accessed 776 December 20, 2024).
- 777 48. Adopted Digital Trust framework (DU 007-2020). Available at:
 778 <u>https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/4168-adopted-digital-trust-framework-du-007-2020</u> (accessed
 779 December 20, 2024).
- 78049. PolíticaNacionaldeInteligenciaArtificial.Availableat:781https://www.minciencia.gob.cl/uploads/filer_public/bc/38/bc389daf-4514-4306-867c-
- 782 <u>760ae7686e2c/documento politica ia digital .pdf</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).

783	50.	Regula los sistemas de inteligencia artificial. Available at
784		https://www.camara.cl/legislacion/ProyectosDeLey/tramitacion.aspx?prmID=17429&prmBOLETIN=1
785		<u>6821-</u>
786		19https://www.camara.cl/legislacion/ProyectosDeLey/tramitacion.aspx?prmID=17429&prmBOLETIN
787		<u>=16821-19</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
788	51.	Medical device regulatory environment: Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Paraguay
789		El Salvador, Uruguay, Bolivia. Available at: <u>https://mdrc-consulting.com/latam-medical-device</u>
790		regulatory-environment/ (accessed December 21, 2024).
791	52.	Plan Nacional de Inteligencia Artificial. Available at: <u>https://oecd-opsi.org/wp</u>
792		content/uploads/2021/02/Argentina-National-AI-Strategy.pdf (accessed December 21, 2024).
793	53.	Applicable Legal Regime for the Responsible Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Argentine Republic
794		Available at: https://www4.hcdn.gob.ar/dependencias/dsecretaria/Periodo2024/PDF2024/TP2024/3003
795		<u>D-2024.pdf</u> (accessed December 18, 2024).
796	54.	ANMAT Argentina Medical Device Registration. Available at
797		https://pureglobal.com/markets/argentina (accessed December 18, 2024).
798	55.	Estrategia Nacional Digital de Colombia 2023-2026. Available at
799		https://www.mintic.gov.co/portal/715/articles-334120_recurso_1.pdf (accessed December 18, 2024).
800	56.	Colombia: Passed Law (059/23) on Public Policy Guidelines for AI including AI Authority. Available
801		at: <u>https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/17926-passed-law-05923-on-public-policy-guidelines-for-ai</u>
802		including-ai-authority (accessed December 18, 2024).
803	57.	Presentation of the draft Organic Law for the Regulation and Promotion of Artificial Intelligence in
804		Ecuador. Available at
805		https://ppless.asambleanacional.gob.ec/alfresco/d/d/workspace/SpacesStore/474d299c-7ae6-4b11-9bf0
806		097a0c9c75cb/pp%20-%20proyecto%20de%20ley%20450889-nu%F1ez.pdf (accessed December 20
807		2024).
808	58.	Digital Transformation Center Ecuador. Available at: <u>https://www.bmz</u>
809		digital.global/en/digitalzentrum-
810		ecuador/#:~:text=In%20August%202022%2C%20the%20Ecuadorian,%2C%20cybersecurity%2C%20
811		and%20government%20modernization (accessed December 20, 2024).

812 59. Committee on Artificial Intelligence. Available at: 813 https://observatorioecuadordigital.mintel.gob.ec/acerca-comite-ia/ (accessed December 20, 2024). 814 60. Public Consultation on the National Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at: https://plataformaparticipacionciudadana.gub.uy/processes/consulta-publica-estrategia-ia 815 (accessed 816 December 20, 2024. 817 61. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Classification and Definition of Artificial 818 Intelligence Medical Software Products (No. 47. 2021). Available at: https://www.nmpa.gov.cn/xxgk/ggtg/vlaxggtg/vlaxggtg/20210715165810118.html 819 (accessed 820 December 25, 2024). 821 62. Announcement on the Release of Six Guiding Principles Including the Guidelines for the Generic 822 Medical Rehabilitation 48, 2021). Naming of Devices (No. Available at: 823 https://www.nmpa.gov.cn/xxgk/ggtg/ylqxggtg/ylqxqtggtg/20210715165810118.html (accessed 824 December 25, 2024). 825 63. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Registration Review of Artificial Intelligence 826 8, Medical Devices (No. 2022). Available at: https://www.cmde.org.cn//xwdt/shpgzgg/gztg/20220309090800158.html (accessed December 827 25. 828 2024). 829 64. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Registration Review of Medical Device Software 830 (Revised Version 2022) 9, 2022). Available (No. at: https://www.cmde.org.cn//xwdt/shpgzgg/gztg/20220309091454609.html (accessed December 831 25, 832 2024). 833 65. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Registration Review of Medical Device 834 Cybersecurity (Revised 2022) 7, 2022). Version (No. Available at: 835 https://www.cmde.org.cn//xwdt/shpgzgg/gztg/20220309085600367.html (accessed December 25, 836 2024). 837 66. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Registration Review of CT Image Auxiliary 838 Detection Software for Pulmonary Nodules (No. 21, 2022). Available at: 839 https://www.cmde.org.cn//xwdt/shpgzgg/gztg/20220526102356105.html (accessed December 25. 840 2024).

31

- 841 67. Announcement on the Release of Guidelines for the Registration Review of Fundus Image Auxiliary 842 Diagnostic Software Diabetic Retinopathy (No. 23, 2022). Available for at: https://www.cmde.org.cn//xwdt/shpgzgg/gztg/20220602103048151.html 843 (accessed December 25,
- 844 2024).
- 845 68. Interim Measures for the Management of Generative Artificial Intelligence Services. (2022, July 10).
- Available at: https://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/202307/content 6891752.htm 846 (accessed 847 December 25, 2024).
- 848 69. Artificial Intelligence Law of the People's Republic of China (Draft for Suggestions from Scholars). 849 Available at: https://cset.georgetown.edu/publication/china-ai-law-draft/ (accessed December 25, 2024).
- 850 70. China Consults on the Overarching Regulation of Medical Devices. Available at: 851 https://baipharm.chemlinked.com/news/china-consults-on-the-overarching-regulation-of-medical-
- 852 devices (accessed December 24, 2024).
- 853 71. Draft AI R&D **GUIDELINES** for International Discussions. Available at: 854 https://www.soumu.go.jp/main_content/000507517.pdf (accessed December 24, 2024).
- 855 72. AI Utilization Guidelines. Available at: https://www.soumu.go.jp/main content/000658284.pdf 856 (accessed December 27, 2024).
- 857 73. Governance Guidelines for Implementation of AI Principles Ver. 1.1. Available at: https://www.meti.go.jp/shingikai/mono info service/ai shakai jisso/pdf/20220128 2.pdf 858 (accessed 859 December 27, 2024).
- 74. AI Guidelines for Business Ver1.0. Available at: https://www.soumu.go.jp/main_content/000943087.pdf 860 (accessed December 27, 2024). 861
- 862 75. Tentative Summary of AI Issues. Available at: https://www8.cao.go.jp/cstp/ai/ronten youshi yaku.pdf 863 (accessed December 27, 2024).
- 864 76. Overview of the Basic Law for the Promotion of Responsible AI. Available at: 865 https://note.com/api/v2/attachments/download/006badee3e4d847b3a0c92358b2de63a (accessed 866 December 27, 2024).
- 867 77. National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence. Available at: https://www.msit.go.kr/bbs/view.do?sCode=eng&nttSeqNo=9&bbsSeqNo=46&mId=10&mPid=9 868
- 869 (accessed December 27, 2024).

870	78.	The Digital New Deal Is to Lead Digital Transition in the World After COVID-19 (July 15). Available
871		at:

- 872 <u>https://www.msit.go.kr/eng/bbs/view.do?sCode=eng&mId=4&mPid=2&pageIndex=&bbsSeqNo=42&</u>
 873 <u>nttSeqNo=443&searchOpt=&searchTxt=</u> (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 874 79. Seoul Declaration for Safe, Innovative and Inclusive AI by Participants Attending the Leaders' Session
- 875 of the AI Seoul Summit, 21st May 2024. Available at: <u>https://eng.president.go.kr/briefing/Eum2BbS4</u>
 876 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 877 80. Latest Legislative Trends in Korea Regarding AI. Available at:
 878 <u>https://www.legal500.com/developments/thought-leadership/latest-legislative-trends-in-korea-</u>
 879 regarding-ai/ (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 880 81. Comprehensive Revision of the Personal Information Protection Act in 2023: What Has Changed?.
 881 Available at:
- 882 <u>https://www.pipc.go.kr/np/cop/bbs/selectBoardArticle.do?bbsId=BS074&mCode=C020010000&nttId=</u>
- **883** <u>9819#LINK</u> (accessed December 22, 2024).
- 884 82. [2206772] Basic Law on the Development of Artificial Intelligence and Creation of Trust Base
 885 (Alternative) (Chairman of the Science, Technology, Information, Broadcasting and Communications
 886 Committee). Available at:
- **887** https://likms.assembly.go.kr/bill/billDetail.do?billId=PRC_R2V4H1W1T2K5M1O6E4Q9T0V7Q9S0U
- **888** <u>0</u> (accessed December 22, 2024).
- 889 83. South Korea Unveils Unified AI Act. Available at: <u>https://babl.ai/south-korea-unveils-unified-ai-act/</u>
 890 (accessed December 22, 2024).
- 84. Launch of Korea's First 'AI Safety Research Institute'…"AI Safety Research Hub". Available at:
 https://smartcity.go.kr/en/2024/11/27/%EA%B5%AD%EB%82%B4-%EC%B2%AB-ai-
- **893** <u>%EC%95%88%EC%A0%84%EC%97%B0%EA%B5%AC%EC%86%8C-</u>
- **894** <u>%EC%B6%9C%EB%B2%94%C2%B7%C2%B7%C2%B7ai-%EC%95%88%EC%A0%84-</u>
- 895 <u>%EC%97%B0%EA%B5%AC-%ED%97%88%EB%B8%8C%EB%A1%9C/</u> (accessed December 22,
 896 2024).
- 897 85. National Artificial Intelligence Policy. Available at:
 898 <u>https://moitt.gov.pk/SiteImage/Misc/files/National%20AI%20Policy%20Consultation%20Draft%20V1</u>
- **899** <u>.pdf</u> (accessed December 18, 2024).

	1	
It is made available	under o CC DV	(1 0 International license
ILIS Made available		4.0 International license.

900	86.	Proposed	Digital	India	Act,	2023.	Available	at:
901		https://www	w.meity.gov.in/v	vritereaddata/files	DIA Presentat	ion%2009.03.202	<u>23%20Final.pdf</u> (a	ccessed
902		December 18, 2024).						
903	87.	The	Information	Technolog	y Act,	2000.	Available	at:
904		https://epro	cure.gov.in/cpp	p/rulesandprocs/k	badqkdlcswfjde	lrquehwuxcfmijn	nuixngudufgbuubg	<u>ubfugb</u>
905		ububjxcgfv	sbdihbgfGhdfgI	<u></u>	Y (accessed De	cember 18, 2024)).	
906	88.	National	Strategy	for Artificia	l Intelligen	ce Banglade	esh. Available	at:
907		https://ictd.	portal.gov.bd/si	tes/default/files/fi	les/ictd.portal.go	ov.bd/page/6c977	7 <u>3a2_7556_4395_</u> b	<u>bec_f1</u>
908		<u>32b9d819f</u>	<u>0/Draft%20-</u>					
909		%20Master	ring%20Nationa	1%20Strategy%20)for%20Artificia	al%20Intellgence	:%20-%20Banglad	<u>esh.pdf</u>
910		(accessed I	December 18, 20	24).				
911	89.	Concept Pa	aper Report on	the Use and Prac	tice of Artificia	l Intelligence (A	I) in Nepal. Avail	able at:
912		https://moc	it.gov.np/conten	ıt/12663/12663-ne	epal/ (accessed I	December 18, 202	24).	
913	90.	Artificial	Intellige	nce in	Sri	Lanka.	Available	at:
914		https://mot.	https://mot.gov.lk/assets/files/AI%20White%20Paper%20March%202024-					
915		<u>c09aa49f79</u>	990358ad144210) <u>3b804511d.pdf</u> (accessed Decem	ber 18, 2024).		
916	91.	Maldives to	o develop AI ma	sterplan following	g Cabinet decisio	on. Available at:	https://avas.mv/en/	<u>'145048</u>
917		(accessed I	December 18, 20	24).				
918	92.	ASEAN	Guide on A	I Governance	and Ethics.	Available at:	https://asean.	org/wp-
919		content/upl	oads/2024/02/A	SEAN-Guide-on-	AI-Governance	-and-Ethics_beau	utified_201223_v2.	<u>pdf</u>
920		(accessed I	December 18, 20	24).				
921	93.	Model AI	Governance	Framework Seco	ond Edition. A	vailable at:	https://www.pdpc.g	gov.sg/-
922		/media/files	s/pdpc/pdf-files/	resource-for-orga	nisation/ai/sgmc	odelaigovframew	<u>ork2.pdf</u> (a	ccessed
923		December	19, 2024).					
924	94.	What is Al	Verify? Availa	ıble at: <u>https://ai</u>	verifyfoundatior	n.sg/what-is-ai-ve	erify/ (accessed De	cember
925		19, 2024).						
926	95.	NAIS 2.0 S	Singapore Natio	nal AI Strategy.	Available at: <u>h</u> t	ttps://file.go.gov.	<u>sg/nais2023.pdf</u> (a	ccessed
927		December	19, 2024).					

- 928 96. Model AI Governance Framework for Generative AI. Available at: <u>https://aiverifyfoundation.sg/wp-</u>
 929 content/uploads/2024/05/Model-AI-Governance-Framework-for-Generative-AI-May-2024-1-1.pdf
- **930** (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 931 97. Law concerning Electronic Information and Transactions. Available at:
 932 http://www.flevin.com/id/lgso/translations/JICA%20Mirror/english/4846 UU 11 2008 e.html
- **933** (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 934 98. Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in Indonesia. Available at: <u>https://ssek.com/blog/indonesia-law-</u>
 935 update-regulation-of-artificial-intelligence/ (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 936 99. The Indonesian National Strategy on Artificial Intelligence. Available at: <u>https://dig.watch/resource/the-</u>
 937 indonesian-national-strategy-on-artificial-intelligence (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 938100.Ethical Guidelines on Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Indonesia. Available at:939https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=9b01fed9-6722-4651-9c2c-4b707e7b3443(accessed)
- **940** December 19, 2024).
- 941 101.New AI Regulation in Indonesia Aims to Address Ethical Use and Data Security. Available at:
 942 <u>https://jakartaglobe.id/tech/new-ai-regulation-in-indonesia-aims-to-address-ethical-use-and-data-</u>
- 943 <u>security</u> (accessed December 20, 2024).
- 944 102. Published Draft Royal Decree on Business Operations that Use Artificial Intelligence Systems. Available
- 945 at: <u>https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/23250-published-draft-royal-decree-on-business-operations-that-</u>
- 946 <u>use-artificial-intelligence-systems</u> (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 947 103.Closed consultation on Draft Artificial Intelligence Promotion and Support Act. Available at:
 948 <u>https://digitalpolicyalert.org/event/23124-closed-consultation-on-draft-artificial-intelligence-</u>
- **949** <u>promotion-and-support-act</u> (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 950 104.Digital Thailand AI Ethics Principle and Guideline. Available at:
 951 https://www.etda.or.th/getattachment/9d370f25-f37a-4b7c-b661-48d2d730651d/Digital-Thailand-AI-
- 952 Ethics-Principle-and-Guideline.pdf.aspx?lang=th-TH (accessed December 19, 2024).
- 953
 105.Thailand's AI Governance Guideline. Available at: <a href="https://www.etda.or.th/getattachment/Our-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/Thailand%E2%80%99s-AI-Governance-Guideline-for-service/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/AIGC/Research-and-Recommendation/AIGC/Research-and-AIGC/Research-and-AIGC/Resear
- 955 <u>Executive_2023.pdf.aspx?lang=th-TH</u> (accessed December 23, 2024).
- 956 106. Vietnam to boost digital tech sector with new law. Available at: <u>https://english.mic.gov.vn/vietnam-to-</u>
 957 <u>boost-digital-tech-sector-with-new-law-197241009094847011.htm</u> (accessed December 23, 2024).

- 958107.MalaysiaNationalArtificialIntelligenceRoadmap2021-2025.Availableat:959https://mastic.mosti.gov.my/publication/artificial-intelligence-roadmap-2021-2025/ (accessed960December 22, 2024).
- 961 108.Malaysia launches national AI office for policy, regulation. Available at:
 962 <u>https://www.reuters.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/malaysia-launches-national-ai-office-policy-</u>
 963 regulation-2024-12-12/ (accessed December 23, 2024).
- 109.The 964 National Guidelines AI Governance Ethics. Available at: on and 965 https://mastic.mosti.gov.mv/publication/the-national-guidelines-on-ai-governance-ethics/ (accessed 966 December 26, 2024).
- 967 110.An act establishing a regulatory framework for a robust, reliable, and trustworthy development,
 968 application, and use of artificial intelligence (AI) systems, creating the Philippine Council on Artificial
 969 Intelligence, delineating the roles of various government agencies, defining and penalizing certain
 970 prohibited acts. Available at: https://docs.congress.hrep.online/legisdocs/basic_19/HB07913.pdf
 971 (accessed December 26, 2024).
- 972 111.Brunei, Draft Guide on Artificial Intelligence (AI) Governance and Ethics for Brunei Darussalam.
 973 Available at: <u>https://www.aiti.gov.bn/media/eysd0thx/draft-guide-on-ai-governance-ethics-for-public-</u>

974 <u>consultation_9july2024.pdf</u> (accessed December 26, 2024).

- 975 112.Decree of the President of the Russian Federation on the Development of Artificial Intelligence in the
- 976 Russian Federation. Available at: <u>https://cset.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/Decree-of-the-</u>
- 977 <u>President-of-the-Russian-Federation-on-the-Development-of-Artificial-Intelligence-in-the-Russian-</u>
- **978** Federation-.pdf (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 979 113.Federal Law of the Russian Federation No. 123-FZ. Available at: <u>https://cis-</u>
 980 <u>legislation.com/document.fwx?rgn=124089</u> (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 981 114. The concept of regulating artificial intelligence has been updated. Available at:
 982 https://www.pnp.ru/social/koncepciyu-regulirovaniya-iskusstvennogo-intellekta-obnovili.html
- **983** (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 984 115.Order of the Ministry of Health of Russian Federation dated 30 August 2021 No. 885n "On Approval of
 985 the Procedure for a Conformity Assessment of Medical Devices in the Form of Technical Tests,
 986 Toxicological Studies, and Clinical Trials for the Purpose of the Marketing Authorization of Medical
 987 Devices". Available at: https://beawire.com/order-of-the-ministry-of-health-of-russian-federation-

- dated-30-august-2021-no-885n-on-approval-of-the-procedure-for-a-conformity-assessment-of-medical-988
- 989 devices-in-the-form-of-technical-tests-toxico/ (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 990 116.Federal Law of the Russian Federation of July 31, 2020 No. 258-FZ. Available at: https://cis-991 legislation.com/document.fwx?rgn=126433 (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 992 117.On approval of the Concept of Digital Transformation, Development of the Information and
- 993 Communication Technology Industry and Cybersecurity for 2023 - 2029. Available at: https://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/P2300000269#z13 (accessed December 21, 2024). 994
- 995 118.On approval of the Concept for the Development of Artificial Intelligence for 2024-2029. Available at: 996 https://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/P2400000592#z187 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 997 119.AI Available Strategies Central Asia, South Caucasus Mongolia. at: in & 998 https://areterapa.com/insight 20241210 (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 999 120.On approval of the Strategy for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies until 2030. 1000 Available at: https://lex.uz/ru/pdfs/7158606# (accessed December 21, 2024).
- 1001 121.Strategy for the development of artificial intelligence in the Republic of Tajikistan for the period up to 1002 2040. Available at: http://www.portali-
- 1003 huquqi,ti/publicadliya/view_gonunhoview.php?showdetail=&asosi_id=26592&language=ru_(accessed 1004
- December 16, 2024).
- 1005 122.Concept of Digital Transformation of the Kyrgyz Republic for 2024-2028. Available at: 1006 https://cbd.minjust.gov.kg/30-164/edition/6414/ru (accessed December 16, 2024).
- 1007 123.Parliamentarians approved a draft model law regulating the use of artificial intelligence. Available at: 1008 https://iacis.ru/novosti/postoyannye komissii/parlamentarii odobrili proekt modelnogo zakona regul
- 1009 iruyushego printcipi ispolzovaniya iskusstvennogo intellekta (accessed December 16, 2024).
- 1010 124.Garabagh Declaration was adopted at Informal Summit of the Heads of State of the Organization of 1011 Turkic States in Shusha. Available at: https://president.az/en/articles/view/66416 (accessed December 1012 16, 2024).
- 1013 125. Azerbaijan has developed a draft strategy for the development of AI - Minister. Available at: https://bm.ge/ru/news/v-azerbaidzane-razrabotan-proekt-strategii-po-razvitiiu-ii-ministr 1014 (accessed
- 1015 December 16, 2024).

- **1016** 126. Armenia is working on a Scientific and Technological Development Strategy: artificial intelligence in
- 1017 focus. Available at: <u>https://bm.ge/ru/news/armeniia-rabotaet-nad-strategiei-naucno-texnologiceskogo-</u>
- **1018** <u>razvitiia-v-fokuse-iskusstvennyi-intellekt</u> (accessed December 14, 2024).
- 1019 127.2024-2028 Activity Program of the Government of Mongolia. Available at:
 1020 https://legalinfo.mn/mn/detail?lawId=17141368388631&showType=1 (accessed December 14, 2024).
- 1021 128.New law to regulate use of AI approved in Bahrain. Available at:
 1022 https://www.zawya.com/en/world/middle-east/new-law-to-regulate-use-of-ai-approved-in-bahrain-
- **1023** djpvmm4r (accessed December 14, 2024).
- 1024 129.AI Watch: Global regulatory tracker United Arab Emirates. Available at: 1025 https://www.whitecase.com/insight-our-thinking/ai-watch-global-regulatory-tracker-uae (accessed 1026 December 14, 2024).
- 1027
 130.UAE National Strategy for Artificial-Intelligence 2031. Available at: https://ai.gov.ae/wp-

 1028
 content/uploads/2021/07/UAE-National-Strategy-for-Artificial-Intelligence-2031.pdf
 (accessed)
- **1029** December 14, 2024).
- 1030 131. The UAE Charter for the Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence. Available at:
 1031 https://uaelegislation.gov.ae/en/policy/details/the-uae-charter-for-the-development-and-use-of-
- **1032** <u>artificial-intelligence</u> (accessed December 14, 2024).
- 1033 132.DIFC Enacts Amended Data Protection Regulations. Available at: <u>https://www.difc.ae/Whats-</u>
 1034 on/News/difc-enacts-amended-data-protection-regulations (accessed December 12, 2024).
- 1035 133.AI Ethics Principles. Available at: <u>https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/about/Documents/ai-principles.pdf</u>
 1036 (accessed December 25, 2024).
- 1037 134.MDS G010 Guidance on Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) technologies based
 1038 Medical Devices. Available at: <u>https://www.sfda.gov.sa/sites/default/files/2023-01/MDS-G010ML.pdf</u>
 1039 (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 1040135.AIAdoptionFramework.Availableat:
- 1041
 https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/about/Files/AIAdoptionFramework.pdf (accessed December 3, 2024).
- **1042** 136.Saudi Vision 2030. Available at: <u>https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/en</u> (accessed December 3, 2024).
- 1043 137.Qatar National Vision 2030. Available at: <u>https://www.gco.gov.qa/en/state-of-qatar/qatar-national-</u>
 1044 <u>vision-2030/our-story/</u> (accessed December 3, 2024).

- 1045138.NationalArtificialIntelligenceStrategyforQatar.Availableat:1046https://www.mcit.gov.qa/sites/default/files/national_artificial_intelligence_strategy_for_qatar_0.pdf1047(accessed December 3, 2024).
- 1048139.Guidelines for Secure Usage and Adoption of Artificial Intelligence. Available at:1049https://assurance.ncsa.gov.qa/sites/default/files/publications/policy/2024/CSSP_Guidelines_for_Secure
- **1050** <u>Usage_and_Adoption_of_Artificial_intelligence-Eng-v1.0_1_0.pdf</u> (accessed December 7, 2024).
- 1051
 140.National
 Artificial
 Intelligence
 Strategy
 2021-2025.
 Available
 at:

 1052
 https://wp.oecd.ai/app/uploads/2021/12/Turkey_National_Artificial_Intelligence_Strategy_2021-
- **1053** <u>2025.pdf</u> (accessed December 7, 2024).
- 1054141.Draftlawonartificialintelligence.Availableat:1055https://www.tbmm.gov.tr/Yasama/KanunTeklifi/e21539a0-888a-4500-81be-01904a918c53(accessed1056December 16, 2024).
- 1057 142. The First Artificial Intelligence Law Proposal in Türkiye Has Been Submitted to the Grand National
 1058 Assembly. Available at: <u>https://cakmak.av.tr/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/The-First-Artificial-</u>
 1059 Intelligence-Law-Proposal-in-Turkiye-Has-Been-Submitted-to-the-Grand-National-Assembly.pdf
- **1060** (accessed December 7, 2024).
- 1061 143.For the first time in Israel: The principles of the policy for the responsible development of the field of
 1062 artificial intelligence were published for public comment. Available at:
 1063 <u>https://www.gov.il/en/pages/most-news20221117</u> (accessed December 7, 2024).
- 1064 144.Jordan AI Strategy Implementation Roadmap. Available presents its and at: 1065 https://www.unido.org/news/jordan-presents-its-ai-strategy-and-implementation-roadmap (accessed 1066 December 8, 2024).
- 1067 145.National Artificial Intelligence Strategy in Lebanese Industry (2020-2050). Available at:
 1068 http://www.industry.gov.lb/PublicationsAndStudies?lang=en-us (accessed December 7, 2024).
- 1069 146.National Artificial Intelligence Policy. Available at: <u>https://blog.decree.om/wp-</u>
 1070 content/uploads/2024/08/national ai policy english.docx (accessed December 8, 2024).
- 1071147.KuwaitNationalAIStrategy2025-2028.Availableat:1072https://cait.gov.kw/media/filerpublic/3f/b4/3fb49a45-4a78-4489-8898-
- **1073** <u>b68e2bd260ca/kuwait_national_strategy.pdf</u> (accessed December 8, 2024).

- 1074 148.National Code of Ethics for Artificial Intelligence. Available at: <u>https://www.ai.gov.ps/en</u> (accessed
 1075 December 8, 2024).
- 1076 149.L'Algérie adopte une stratégie nationale de l'Intelligence Artificielle. Available at:
 1077 <u>https://acp.cd/diplomatie/lalgerie-adopte-une-strategie-nationale-de-lintelligence-artificielle/</u> (accessed
 1078 December 8, 2024).
- 1079 150.Morocco Launches Digital 2030 Strategy to Transform Economy and Society. Available at:
 1080 <u>https://meatechwatch.com/2024/10/03/morocco-launches-digital-2030-strategy-to-transform-economy-</u>
- **1081** <u>and-society/</u> (accessed December 8, 2024).
- 1082 151.Zambia launches artificial intelligence strategy to boost digital economy. Available at:
 1083 https://www.zambiamonitor.com/zambia-launches-artificial-intelligence-strategy-to-boost-digital-
- **1084** <u>economy/</u> (accessed December 8, 2024).
- 1085
 152.Senegal advances in AI: Launch of Afrik'IA and National Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at:

 1086
 https://www.senenews.com/en/senegal-advances-in-ai-launch-of-afrikia-and-national-artificial
- **1087** <u>intelligence-strategy-1401.html</u> (accessed December 8, 2024).
- 1088 153.National Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at: <u>https://ncair.nitda.gov.ng/wp-</u>
 1089 content/uploads/2024/08/National-AI-Strategy 01082024-copy.pdf (accessed December 16, 2024).
- 1090 154.South Africa National Artificial Intelligence Policy Framework. Available at: <u>https://fwblaw.co.za/wp-</u>
 1091 <u>content/uploads/2024/10/South-Africa-National-AI-Policy-Framework-1.pdf</u> (accessed December 14, 2024).
- 1093 155.Council Approves Ethiopia's First Artificial Intelligence Policy. Available at:
 1094 <u>https://ethiopianmonitor.com/2024/06/28/council-approves-ethiopias-first-artificial-intelligence-policy/</u>
 1095 (accessed December 16, 2024).
- 1096 156.Communications and Informatics Authority announces the launch of the National Policy for Artificial
 1097 Intelligence. Available at: <u>https://lana.gov.ly/post.php?id=307543&lang=en</u> (accessed December 16,
 1098 2024).
- 1099157.NationalArtificialIntelligenceandBigDataStrategy.Availableat:1100https://numerique.gouv.bj/assets/documents/national-artificial-intelligence-and-big-data-strategy-
- **1101** <u>1682673348.pdf</u> (accessed December 16, 2024).

40

1102	158.Republic of Ghana National Artificial Intelligence Strategy: 2023-2033. Available at:
1103	https://www.slideshare.net/slideshow/ghana-s-national-artificial-intelligence-strategy-2023-2033-
1104	<u>pdf/270928634</u> (accessed December 16, 2024).
1105	159.The National AI Policy. Available at:
1106	https://www.minict.gov.rw/index.php?eID=dumpFile&t=f&f=67550&token=6195a53203e197efa4759
1107	<u>2f40ff4aaf24579640e</u> (accessed December 16, 2024).
1108	160.Egypt National Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at:
1109	https://mcit.gov.eg/Upcont/Documents/Publications_672021000_Egypt-National-AI-Strategy-
1110	English.pdf (accessed December 16, 2024)
1111	161.National AI Strategy: Unlocking Tunisia's capabilities potential. Available at:
1112	https://www.anpr.tn/national-ai-strategy-unlocking-tunisias-capabilities-potential/11/ (accessed
1113	December 16, 2024)
1114	162.Mauritius Artificial Intelligence Strategy. Available at:
1115	https://mitci.govmu.org/Documents/Strategies/Mauritius%20AI%20Strategy.pdf (accessed December
1116	16, 2024).
1117	163.Kenya's Path to AI: Launch of Kenya's National AI Strategy Development Process. Available at:
1118	https://www.kictanet.or.ke/kenyas-path-to-ai-launch-of-kenyas-national-ai-strategy-development-
1119	process/ (accessed December 15, 2024).
1120	164. The Kenya Robotics and Artificial Intelligence Society Bill, 2023. Available at:
1121	https://kenyaai.ke/docs/kenya-robotics-and-artificial-intelligence-society-bill-2023/ (accessed
1122	December 16, 2024).
1123	165.Tanzania highlights its ambitious plans for AI. Available at:
1124	https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/tanzania-highlights-its-ambitious-plans-for-ai-
1125	<u>4519516</u> (accessed December 14, 2024).
1126	166. The Digital Transformation Strategy for Africa (2020-2030). Available at:
1127	https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/38507-doc-dts-english.pdf (accessed December 24, 2024).
1128	167.AU Data Policy Framework. Available at: https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/42078-doc-AU-
1129	DATA-POLICY-FRAMEWORK-ENG1.pdf (accessed December 27, 2024).
1130	168.Agenda 2063. Available at: <u>https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/36204-doc-</u>
1131	agenda2063 popular version en.pdf (accessed December 27, 2024).

1132	169. Therapeutic Goods Act 1989. Available	at:
1133	https://www.legislation.gov.au/C2004A03952/latest/versions (accessed November 4th, 2024).	
1134	170.Privacy Act 1989. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.au/C2004A03712/2019-08-13/t	ext
1135	(accessed December 27, 2024).	
1136	171.Medical devices reforms: software regulation. Available at: <u>https://www.tga.gov.au/how-v</u>	<u>we-</u>
1137	regulate/supply-therapeutic-good/supply-medical-device/medical-devices-reforms/medical-devices-	
1138	reforms-software-regulation (accessed December 27, 2024).	
1139	172. Therapeutic Products Act 2023. Available	at:
1140	https://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2023/0037/latest/DLM7312612.html (accessed December 2019) (accessed December 2	ber
1141	27, 2024).	
1142	173.Privacy Act 2020. Available	at:
1143	https://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2020/0031/latest/LMS23223.html (accessed December 2019)	27,
1144	2024).	
1145	174.Safe and Responsible Artificial Intelligence in Health Care - Legislation and Regulation Review	ew.
1146	Available at: https://www.pc.gov.au/research/supporting/ai-in-healthcare/ai-in-healthcare.pdf (access	sed
1147	December 28, 2024).	
1148	175. Consultation: Clarifying and strengthening the regulation of Artificial Intelligence (AI). Available	at:
1149	https://www.tga.gov.au/resources/consultation/consultation-clarifying-and-strengthening-regulation-	
1150	artificial-intelligence-ai (accessed December 28, 2024).	
1151	176.Approach to work on Artificial Intelligence. Available	at:
1152	https://www.mbie.govt.nz/dmsdocument/28913-approach-to-work-on-artificial-intelligence-	
1153	proactiverelease-pdf (accessed December 28, 2024).	
1154	177.Figure 1, Interactive Version. Available at: <u>https://datawrapper.dwcdn.net/D0L5s/1/</u> (access	sed
1155	December 29, 2024).	
1156	178.Global South Countries (Group of 77 and China). Available at: http://www.	<u>.fc-</u>
1157	ssc.org/en/partnership_program/south_south_countries (accessed December 29, 2024).	
1158	179. Europe's rushed attempt to set the rules for AI. Available at: https://www.ft.com/content/6cc7847a-2fd	<u>c5-</u>
1159	<u>4df0-b113-a435d6426c81</u> (accessed December 29, 2024).	
1160	180.Letter: Legislation on AI evokes memories of GDPR hassle. Available	at:
1161	https://www.ft.com/content/0439f459-dab6-4d53-85e8-134684829e37 (accessed December 29, 2024	4).

