1	Assessing the performance and suitability of wastewater based-surveillance for SARS-
2	CoV-2 RNA in public schools
3	Authors:
4	Nicole Acosta ^{1*} , Alex Buchner Beaudet ^{1*} , Paul Westlund ² , Jia Hu ^{3,4} , Navid Sedaghat ⁵ , Puja
5	Pradhan ^{1,5} , Lawrence Man ^{1,5} , Jordan Hollman ⁶ , María A. Bautista ⁵ , Barbara J. Waddell ¹ , Janine
6	McCalder ⁵ , Matthew Penney ¹ , Jianwei Chen ⁵ , Jon Meddings ⁷ , Gopal Achari ⁸ , M. Cathryn Ryan ⁶ ,
7	Dany Breton ⁹ , Elizabeth A. Wood ⁹ , Jason L. Cabaj ^{3,4,10} , Rhonda C. Clark ⁵ , Kevin Frankowski ¹¹ ,
8	Casey R.J. Hubert ⁵ , and Michael D. Parkins ^{1,7,12}
9	*Authors are declared to be co-first authors
10	Author Affiliations:
11	¹ Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary,
12	3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4N1, Canada
13	² C.E.C. Innovations, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.
14	³ Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, 3280 Hospital Drive NW,
15	Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4Z6, Canada
16	⁴ O'Brien Institute for Public Health, University of Calgary, Calgary, 3280 Hospital Dr NW,
17	Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4Z6, Canada
18	⁵ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW,
19	Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada
20	⁶ Department of Geosciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary,
21	Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada

- ⁷ Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital
- 23 Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4N1, Canada
- ⁸ Department of Civil Engineering, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary,
- 25 Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada
- ⁹ Calgary Board of Education, Education Centre 1221 8 Street SW, Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0L4,
- 27 Canada
- ¹⁰ Provincial Population & Public Health, Alberta Health Services, 3030 Hospital Drive NW,
- 29 Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4W4, Canada
- ¹¹ Advancing Canadian Water Assets, University of Calgary, 3131 210 Ave SE, Calgary,
- 31 Alberta, TOL 0X0, Canada
- ¹² Snyder Institute for Chronic Diseases, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW,
- 33 Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4N1, Canada
- 34

35 **^Corresponding Author:**

- 36 Michael D. Parkins, MD MSc
- Professor, Department of Medicine and Microbiology, Immunology & Infectious Diseases,University of Calgary.
- Postal address: 3330 Hospital Drive, NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 2V5. CANADA.
- 40 e-mail: mdparkin@ucalgary.ca
- 41 Phone: 403-210-7913, Fax: 403-270-2772.

42

44 Abstract

Municipal wastewater-based surveillance (WBS) programs for SARS-CoV-2 were valuable tools 45 for epidemiological modelling and informing COVID-19 health policy during the pandemic. We 46 conducted a "near to source" study to assess the capacity and performance of SARS-CoV-2 47 WBS programs in schools relative to municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTP). Only 48 4/17 schools screened had plumbing systems that were amenable to WBS. From December 2020 49 50 - March 2021 composite wastewater collected 2X/week from four schools and three municipal 51 WWTP were assessed for SARS-CoV-2 and fecal biomarkers. Schools had lower rates of 52 successful sample collection relative to WWTP (44.7% vs 100%, p<0.001). In a time of low 53 COVID-19 activity, 13/64 of school samples were positive of SARS-CoV-2-N1 vs 100% of WWTP. SARS-CoV-2-N1 detected in school wastewater associated with and preceded 54 clinically identified infections, but did not correlate with rates of overall absenteeism. Notably 55 56 levels of SARS-CoV-2-N1 and fecal biomarkers were markedly lower in wastewater from 57 schools relative to WWTP. While our SARS-CoV-2 WBS program in schools did generate a leading signal relative to clinical disease, the significantly lower levels of SARS-CoV-2 and 58 59 fecal biomarkers suggests that toileting habits of children who may avoid defecation at school adversely impact school-based WBS of targets shed in feces. 60

Keywords: wastewater, wastewater-based surveillance, SARS-CoV-2, school, nodal, near source

64 Abbreviations:

- 65 BCoV: Bovine coronavirus
- 66 PMMoV: Pepper mild mottle virus
- 67 SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
- 68 WWTPs: wastewater-treatment plants
- 69 WBS: wastewater-based surveillance
- 70 TS: Total solids
- 71 TSS: Total suspended solids
- 72 TDS: Total dissolved solids

74 Introduction

75	A silver lining of the COVID-19 pandemic has been the rapid evolution of healthcare innovation
76	to meet the needs of a global pandemic. One technology in particular, wastewater-based
77	surveillance (WBS), has proven especially useful in tracking and understanding the spread of
78	disease ¹ . Wastewater measured SARS-CoV-2 RNA strongly correlates with clinical case
79	burden across a range of scales, acting as an early warning system to inform health policy ^{2,3} .
80	Indeed, WBS serves as leading indicator (4-6 days) to clinically confirmed COVID-19 disease ⁴ ,
81	and strongly correlates with hospitalizations, ICU admissions and deaths across whole
82	communities. This approach is viable because SARS-CoV-2 RNA is shed in the stool of most
83	symptomatic and asymptomatic infected individuals very early in the course of infection ⁵ .
84	WBS programs for SARS-CoV-2 have evolved to become a <i>de facto</i> standard and now exist in
85	almost every major municipality, leveraging samples collected at local wastewater treatment
86	plants (WWTPs) to understand community disease patterns ⁶ . More granular monitoring
87	programs, focused on individual neighborhoods have similarly demonstrated strong correlations
88	with clinically diagnosed cases – with the added potential of understanding community factors
89	related to COVID-19 ⁷⁻¹⁰ . More granular yet, "near to source" WBS focuses on specific buildings
90	and facilities to establish the limits of this technology 11,12 . Areas that were initially explored
91	include those such as hospitals ^{13,14} and long-term care facilities ^{15,16} , where the consequences of
92	outbreaks are profound for both the higher risk resident populations and for the ability to disrupt
93	the care these critical services provide to the broader community. One area that has been
94	explored only to a limited degree is the potential for close to source monitoring in public schools.

95	COVID-19 transmission in pediatric and adolescent populations represents a contentious topic in
96	epidemiological research ¹⁷⁻²⁰ . Early works concluded that children were responsible for less than
97	5% of total COVID-19 cases in general populations ²¹ . However, the tendency for children and
98	adolescents to exhibit asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic disease meant that cases were likely
99	to be initially under reported ^{17,22,23} . Later studies have since demonstrated that children are a
100	main source of viral transmission within family clusters ²⁴ . Schools increase transmission
101	potential between unrelated families due to the concentration of students from different
102	households.
103	In this study, we initiated a 'near to source' pilot program, exploring the ability and viability of
104	SARS-CoV-2 WBS to detect COVID-19 in public schools and assessed this relative to overall
105	community disease activity as measured at municipal WWTP. To achieve this aim, we first
106	developed a framework for screening potential schools to identify suitable candidates. Schools
107	that met the required framework criteria then enrolled in a four-month observational study
108	whereby SARS-CoV-2 RNA measured in wastewater was compared with clinically identified
109	cases within the school, and across the broader community. As SARS-CoV-2 is merely the tip of
110	the iceberg for potential WBS measured analytes of public health importance (i.e. other
111	communicable infections, drugs and toxins) understanding how it can perform (and
112	underperform), in schools is essential.

113 **Results**

114 Selection of Participating Schools and Wastewater Collection Performance

115 At the end of 2020, we undertook a study to assess the feasibility of schools to participate in a

116 longitudinal WBS program for SARS-CoV-2. Of the 17 schools that were screened, ultimately

117	only four schools met all of the criteria for a site based near-source WBS pilot program
118	(Supplementary Fig. 1). Detailed characteristics of the four selected schools are included in
119	Supplementary Table 1. Between December 2020 and March of 2021, four schools were
120	sequentially onboarded with the goal of achieving at least three months of sampling from each
121	school. The timing of the study coincided with the end of 'wave-2' in Alberta, and was
122	characterized by the wild type SARS-CoV-2 variant ²⁵ . Of the total number of samples that were
123	sought for collection (i.e. during regular school days), 76.5% (64/79) were successfully collected
124	from four schools (five sites) during the study. As schools were sequentially brought online, the
125	number of samples available from each site differed. Unsuccessful collection of a composite
126	wastewater sample was attributed to a range of issues including ragging (3/15 failures), low
127	sanitary flow (9/15 failures), and ambient temperature excursions (3/15 failures). The occurrence
128	of these events did not occur disproportionally at any specific site (p=0.140, Fisher's exact test)
129	(Supplementary Table 1). During this time 66/66 (100%) twice weekly samples were collected
130	in parallel from the three municipal WWTPs. Successful collection occurred at a higher rate in
131	municipal WWTPs than schools (100% vs 44.7%), p<0.001. WWTPs samples were not subject
132	to ragging, low sanitary flow, or ambient temperature excursions.

133

134 Identification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal in school wastewater and its relation to overall 135 community activity

Only thirteen wastewater samples from schools (of 64; 20.3%) (Table 1) were positive for the
SARS-CoV-2 N1 target. Six of the 64 school wastewater samples (9.4%) were also identified as
positive for the SARS-CoV-2-N2 target, and no sample positive for N2 was not also positive for
N1. School samples that were positive for N2 had higher amounts of SARS-CoV-2 N1 RNA as

140	indicated by a lower cycle threshold (Cq) value (37.8 vs 40, p=0.0003). The median Cq value for
141	positive samples was 37.8 (IQR 36.6-39) for the N1 target and 40.6 (IQR 40.1-42) for the N2
142	target. Conversely, the lowest Cq value observed was 34.7 and 39.1 for N1 and N2 respectively.
143	A Spearman correlation test between Cq of the N1 and N2 RT-qPCR assays within the same
144	wastewater samples yielded a strong positive correlation (r=0.632, p<0.0001).
145	To understand SARS-CoV-2 burden in schools relative to the larger community from which they
146	derived, we compared school wastewater data to that across all of the city of Calgary's via
147	samples collected at WWTPs. During the study period, 66 twice-weekly samples were collected
148	from Calgary's three WWTP (23/per). All WWTPs samples were positive for SARS-CoV-2 N1
149	target and all but one for N2. Compared to schools, municipal wastewater was much more likely
150	to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 N1 (66/66 (100%) vs 13/64 (20%), p<0.0001). Furthermore,
151	school SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels were significantly lower than WWTP at a time of low
152	community activity regardless of the target that was evaluated: N1; median Schools 0 (IQR: 0-0)
153	copies/mL vs median WWTP 126 (IQR: 67.8-202) copies/mL and N2; median Schools 0 (IQR:
154	0-0) copies/mL vs median WWTP 66.8 (IQR: 29.2-131) copies/mL for (Fig. 1A). Similar trends
155	were observed when SARS-CoV-2 concentration was normalized by the PMMoV signal in the
156	samples to potentially control for fecal burden: N1; median Schools 0 (IQR: 0-8.5 x 10^{-5})
157	copies/copies vs median WWTP 1.2 x 10^{-2} (IQR: 6.3 x $10^{-3} - 2.4 \text{ x } 10^{-2}$) copies/copies, p<0.0001
158	and N2; median Schools 0 (IQR: 0-0) copies/copies vs median WWTP 4.8 x 10^{-3} (IQR: 2.1 x 10^{-3}
159	3 – 2 x 10 ⁻²) copies/copies, p<0.0001 (Fig. 1B). Even when we excluded those school samples
160	that were negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA for either the N1 or N2 target, both raw school data
161	and normalized data were markedly lower than WWTP (data not shown).

162 Clinical Case Information

163	Demographics of the included schools are presented in Table 2. Clinical case information on
164	COVID-19-confirmed, related- and unrelated- infections and overall absenteeism were collected
165	from January 2021. The size of the student and staff population of each school varied throughout
166	the monitoring period (Table 2) as exposures, cases (prompting exclusions) and other illnesses
167	affected their populations (Supplementary Fig. 2). Between January 2021 and March 2021, only
168	eighteen clinically confirmed COVID-19 cases were documented amongst students and staff at
169	the four participating schools in Calgary. The weekly absenteeism rates (due to confirmed
170	COVID-19, COVID-19 related or unrelated illnesses) ranged from 0 to 0.44%, 0.04 to 27.3%
171	and 0.19 to 16.7%, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). Absenteeism rates due to COVID-19
172	confirmed disease did not significantly change through the three-month study period (p=0.290,
173	Kruskal-Wallis test). Confirmed cases represented a very small proportion of student absences
174	[median percent of COVID-19 confirmed 0.09 (0-0.183) vs any other non-COVID-19 reason
175	9.32(7.94-12.3), p <0.0001], Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test) on account of the
176	rigorous exclusion policy intended to reduce secondary spread ²⁶⁻²⁸ .
177	SARS-CoV-2 RNA in school wastewater and its relation to clinical case burden
178	Comparative analysis of wastewater measured SARS-CoV-2 RNA and clinically confirmed
179	cases in schools started in January 2021 using complementary approaches. We looked to

180 determine if there was a correlation between a positive SARS-CoV-2 N1 RNA signal (Cq<40)

181 measured in a specific school and the occurrence of clinically confirmed cases in the same

school over the ensuing days. We were able to confirm a statistical correlation using the Fisher's

- 183 exact test between SARS-CoV-2 RNA detected in school wastewater and clinical cases that were
- 184 confirmed in the subsequent week (Table 3). Whereas this was statistically significant when

185 wastewater samples were collected at intervals longer than 1-3 days preceding clinical cases,

186 there was only a trend at shorter intervals preceding clinical case occurrence.

In 9/13 incidents where a school wastewater sample was identified as positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA N1 signal (Fig. 2), another positive sample followed for the next closest sampling date 9/13 (69.2%) vs 4/53 (7.55%) (P< 0.0001, Fisher's exact test). In the other four positive samples, the sampling dates immediately adjacent to the positive sample date did not have sufficient sample volume collection for analysis, therefore we cannot comment on the trend. Given the exclusion of students once COVID-19 was confirmed, this may represent asymptomatic secondary cases identified through WBS.

We then sought to understand if overall attendance (i.e., students and teachers) amongst the four 194 schools correlated with wastewater detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in school wastewater. When we 195 sought to determine if correlations between overall absenteeism (assessed at >5% and >10%) at 196 any individual school with the likelihood of having a positive SARS-CoV-2 N1 signal (Cq<40) 197 198 in that school using the Fischer's exact test showed interdependence between absenteeism and positive SARS-CoV-2 signal. No evidence of a statistical association was found at any of the 199 200 four schools measured through five sites; School #1, School #2A, School #2B, School #3, and 201 School #4 when school absenteeism was >5% (p>0.999, p=0.088, p>0.999, p>0.999, and 0.625 respectively) or >10% (p=0.429, p=0.516, p>0.999, p>0.999, and p=0.375 respectively). 202 203 Finally, we sought to better understand school attendance at each site in the context of overall 204 community viral load as determined from a city-wide N1 SARS-CoV-2 signal across each of 205 Calgary's three wastewater treatment plants (Fig. 3A). Using Spearman correlation test we did 206 not observe a correlation between overall attendance (within 2 days, owing to the frequency of 207 sample collection) for any individual school and the SARS-CoV-2 N1 city-wide aggregate

wastewater value for the City of Calgary (Fig. 3B) (Spearman's r = 0.136, CI: -0.419 -0.616, p=
0.629).

210 Unique Features of School-based WBS

211 Sources more proximal in the sewershed have greater potential for molecular inhibition from

212 chemical and other molecular factors. To assess if differences might explain our data, we

compared recovery of spiked-BCoV into wastewater from the schools and WWTPs samples.

214 There was a small but statistically significant difference in the spiked external positive control

BCoV median copy numbers between schools and WWTPs (694,914 [IQR: 55,703-1,675,777]

216 copies/mL vs 1,217,451 [IQR: 774,211- 1,934,018], p=0.005, Mann Whitney test)

217 (Supplementary Fig. 3A). However, school 2B was a significant outlier and had significantly

lower detection (~10,000-fold) of BCoV (Supplementary Table 3) suggesting the potential for

substantial signal interference (Supplementary Fig. 3B). When we removed site 2B as a

significant outlier, school median BCoV did not differ from the WWTPs (Supplementary Fig.

221 3C).

222 To assess for differences in fecal contributions at each school, and therefore the potential to

detect fecal shed WBS targets relative to the community at large we took multiple

224 complementary approaches. The human fecal biomarker, PMMoV, was much lower in school

samples than in WWTP (School median 2,178 [IQR: 28.1 – 8,213] copies/mL vs WWTP median

226 13,029 [IQR: 5,489 – 19,556], p<0.0001, Mann Whitney test) (Supplementary Fig. 4A-B). As

school site 2B remained a significant outlier (Supplementary Table 3), we analyzed this

separately as well with the same trends observed (School median 4054 [IQR: 1,853 – 11,092]

229 copies/mL vs WWTP median 13,029 [IQR: 5,489 – 19,556], p=0.0003, Mann Whitney test)

230 (Supplementary Fig. 4C). When 2B site was excluded, the median school PMMoV burden

231 remained 3.2-fold lower than the average WWTP, suggesting a lower fecal content. Chemical 232 analysis demonstrated that total suspended solids (TSS) and total volatile suspended solids (TVSS) in wastewater from schools was significantly lower than WWTP (Supplementary Fig. 5). 233 Discussion 234 To understand the potential for near-source WBS in schools, we analyzed the spatial and 235 236 temporal differences among SARS-CoV-2 among four large public schools in the city of Calgary. The data collected in this study suggests that, despite the sporadic patterns of 237 wastewater flow in individual buildings of visitation such as schools, the presence of SARS-238 239 CoV-2 RNA can be identified. Recently groups have confirmed that the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater of schools, corresponds with the overall community disease burden ^{12,29-31}. 240 Our group took this one step further and compared clinical disease occurring in student/staff 241 population - even at a period of low COVID-19 community transmission - with WBS, 242 identifying that indeed this signal correlated with WBS. By augmenting site specific-WBS with 243 244 an overall community SARS-CoV-2 WBS through municipal WWTP enables a comprehensive, 245 holistic perspective. This complements recent publications from other school-based WBS 246 programs from countries around the world including UK, USA, Czech Republic, Canada, and Thailand^{12,29-36}. Some of those studies found that the amount of SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal in 247 school wastewater correlated with the number of incident COVID-19 cases ^{30,31}, and in some 248 instances this provided a leading signal ^{12,33,36}. In contrast, other school WBS studies reported 249 250 only moderate correlations between SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and clinical cases of COVID-19, specifically during periods of low COVID-19 prevalence³⁴. Here we identified 251 during a period of low community activity that WBS, performed twice weekly, provided a 1-3 to 252 1-5 lead time relative to clinical case diagnoses. This is similar to Kappus-Kron et al., who 253

identified a one day lead time relative to clinical diagnoses in a weekly sampling program at a
 school of ~600 students in New York State ³⁶.

Interestingly, WBS in other near source settings such as colleges, university campuses and 256 257 residence halls have generally shown a stronger relationship with clinical diseases in those sites ³⁷⁻⁴². One likely explanation for this discordance likely relates to human factors such as toileting 258 ^{7,33,43}. As SARS-CoV-2 is almost exclusively shed in the stool ⁴⁴, successful monitoring is 259 260 dependent on toileting behavior of the population using the facilities. This is a critical barrier 261 for WBS programs in schools. An average of 63% of students between the ages of 6 and 16 years refuse to defecate at schools⁴⁵ and this avoidance behavior is most pronounced in high 262 263 school students. This is supported by significantly lower evidence of fecal biomarkers including 264 PMMoV, TSS and TVSS observed in schools (and in particular high schools) relative to 265 community signals overall. Unfortunately, this limits the utility of school WBS programs for 266 fecal shed targets – such as SARS-CoV-2– and represents a novel observation in this study. This 267 would therefore likely impact pathogens such as Influenza, Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), 268 and viral causes of gastroenteritis but not measles and other viruses shed in high amounts in urine, which have all been previously identified in sewage 46-49. 269

270

Importantly, school-based WBS applications come with significant technical challenges. Only 4/17 (24%) of schools screened for potential participation had infrastructure that enabled a comprehensive program monitoring the entire school from ≤ 2 sites while excluding wastewater from other community inputs. While multiple complementary devices could in many instances overcome this short coming, they would result in significant increase in the work and costs associated with WBS ³³. Once installed, school-WBS devices did not successfully procure

277 samples at the same rates as WWTP and were more likely subject to complications requiring 278 specific maintenance (i.e. ragging and blocking). These challenges have been observed in other 279 near to source programs and highlight how fortunate municipal based monitoring programs are 280 to have experts on site for autosampler maintenance and sample management. 281 Several limitations of note are to be considered in regard to this work. This study was conducted 282 during the trough of Wave-2 - at the end of the 2020-2021 winter season and early spring of 2021 ⁵⁰, during a time of very low overall community COVID-19 activity⁷. The low incidence 283 were in response to the strict public health measures (included province-wide mask mandate, 284 encouragement of work-from-home, and prohibition of social gatherings ⁵¹) that were 285 286 implemented in order to resume in-person learning in schools after the winter holiday break. During higher incidence times, the performance may have been different. While composite 287 288 samples were collected differently for schools vs WWTP (8 vs 24-hours), the limitation of school WBS to hours of attendance would have increased its potential to capture defecation 289 events, which it did not. 290

291 CONCLUSION

SARS-CoV-2 WBS performed in schools is capable of identifying incident cases of COVID-19
before they occur. However, significant limitations exist. Most schools do not have a plumbing
network that enables single/dual source surveillance, and sample collection is challenging
relative to conventional WWTP programs. Furthermore, as students are less likely to defecate at
school, the capacity for this technology to effectively be used for SARS-CoV-2 and other fecal
shed WBS targets is reduced.

299 Methods

300 Public school and community wastewater sampling

301 In partnership with the Alberta Health Services (AHS) Medical Office of Health, and a Calgary-302 based school board, we first sought to identify candidate schools potentially amenable to WBS. 303 Provisional inclusion criteria included a population of \geq 500 students and a willing 304 administration. The process of identifying appropriate sampling sites included a series of sequential screenings (Supplementary Fig. 1). Sites were excluded only after failing screening. 305 The first step of screening involved assessing each building's sewer network mechanical 306 307 drawings - necessary to determine if plumbing access ports allowed for wastewater collection 308 from all toilets, sinks and other source locations in the building from \leq two sites, while simultaneously avoiding external sources from the surrounding neighborhoods. The second 309 screening step involved a physical evaluation of potential plumbing access ports. Acceptable 310 sewer access ports could not pose safety hazards or a hindrance to student/faculty activity during 311 312 installation or ongoing sample collections/maintenance. The final screening was an extensive inperson physical review of internal plumbing of each facility. This involved checking the relevant 313 infrastructure for any obstructions or unavoidable structural hindrances and identifying where 314 315 wastewater could be comprehensively and safely be collected. If within building collection was 316 not possible, we reviewed municipal access points to see if they could effectively substitute. 317 C.E.C autosamplers were deployed at the sewer access port(s) of each school deemed most 318 appropriate, which are detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Autosamplers were programmed to 319 operated continuously. Collection from school #3 was impeded by a physical obstruction 320 identified after passing all steps, however, the outdoor municipal sewer access port which 321 comprehensively and exclusively served this building was available. A wastewater sampling

322	routine was developed in which wastewater was collected only during times in which students
323	and faculty were present at schools. Details of individual sampler programming is available in
324	the Supplementary Table 1. This study was conducted after receiving approval from the
325	University of Calgary's Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board (REB20-1544).
326	To compare the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in school populations relative to the community, raw
327	wastewater from each of Calgary's three wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) was collected up
328	to three times per week by City of Calgary Water Services staff as previously described ⁷ . Briefly,
329	ISCO 5800 and ISCO 6712 portable autosamplers were programmed to collect and store 24-hour
330	composite community samples, in which WWTP-1 samples were flow-weighted and samples
331	from WWTP-2 and WWTP-3 were time-weighted to create a single city-wide metric (see below
332	for details) ⁷ .

333 School and WWTPs samples were transported to the University of Calgary Advancing Canadian

334 Water Assets (ACWA) laboratory on ice for sample concentration and nucleic acid extraction.

335 Samples that were not successfully collected were categorized based on complication type:

ragging (abundance of fibrous material blocked sampling inlet tubing), low sanitary flow, and

temperature excursions (defined as freezing of sample or malfunction of sampler due to ambient

temperature) detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

339 Sample concentration and nucleic acid extraction

340 Wastewater samples were processed in real-time following a previously described

methodology¹³. Briefly, each sample was thoroughly agitated to ensure maximum homogeneity,

then an aliquoted of 40 mL was spiked with 200 µl of a Bovine coronavirus (BCoV) exogenous

343 control (final concentration of 2500 TCID50/ml) and then subjected to the sample processing

and nucleic acid purification steps of the modified 4S (Sewage, Salt, Silica and SARS-CoV-2)
Silica Column purification method ^{13,52}. An extraction blank (i.e., UltraPureTM DNase/RNaseFree Distilled Water (Invitrogen)) was included in each batch of processed samples. Extracted
nucleic acids were transported on dry ice to the Health Sciences Center, to minimize the potential
for contamination and for subsequent molecular analysis. Residual wastewater samples were
stored at -20°C before chemical analysis.

350 Molecular analysis

Gene targets assessed by RT-qPCR included; the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid gene (N1 and N2), 351 352 the exogenous control BCoV, and the fecal biomarker PMMoV (a fecal burden biomarker), 353 which were all quantified using a QuantStudio-5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the same protocols described previously¹³. Each RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate as 354 per MIOE guidelines (Bustin et al., 2009). Samples were classified as positive for SARS-CoV-2 355 if either the N1 or N2 gene targets yielded a threshold quantification cycle (Cq) less than 40 356 ^{13,53,54}. City-wide measurement of SARS-CoV-2 burden (copies/day) in wastewater from 357 358 Calgary's three WWTP was calculated as the sum of the mass flux from each of the three WWTPs, where it is the product of the SARS-CoV-2 concentration (C, copies/ml) and the daily 359 volumetric flow ⁷. PCR inhibition was assessed using a spike and dilution method as previously 360 described ⁷ using a representative 40 μ L purified nucleic acid sample derived from the school 361 362 wastewater (School #2B) extracted without the addition of the internal control (i.e., BCoV) and a control sample of Ultrapure[™] DNase/RNase-Free Distilled water (ThermoFisher). Wastewater 363 samples with a \geq 2-Cq delay relative to the controls were considered to have evidence of RT-364 qPCR inhibition ⁵⁵. 365

366 Chemical Analysis

367 Calculation of total Solids (TS), total suspended solids (TSS), total volatile suspended solids 368 (TVSS) and total dissolved Solids (TDS) in a wastewater sample was performed following standard methods ⁵⁶. Briefly, to determine TS, homogenized 50 mL aliquots were placed in pre-369 370 weighed crucibles and dried at 104 °C for 12 hours to evaporate liquid content. Then, the residue after the drying process was cooled, weighed at room temperature yielding TS. To determine 371 TSS, homogenized 20 mL aliquots were filtered through 1.5 µm pore size Grade 934-AH® RTU 372 glass microfiber filters (Whatman). Then, the residue retained on the filter was dried at 104 °C 373 374 for 12 hours and weighed to calculate TSS. To determine TVSS, the residue from the TSS step 375 was dried again at 550°C for two hours to drive off volatile solids in the sample. Then, the 376 residue after the second drying process was cooled, weighed at room temperature and TVSS was calculated. Finally, to determine TDS the filtrate from the TSS step was evaporated and dried at 377 378 104 °C for 12 hours, and the residue was weighed. Chemical analysis of the WWTPs samples 379 was performed by the staff of City of Calgary following the same standard methods.

380 Clinical case data

381 All clinically confirmed cases of COVID-19 of students attending each school were identified in real-time by a single Provincial health provider, AHS using established protocols and reported to 382 383 each school by the Office of the Medical Officer of Health of AHS. Daily aggregate case counts including new/incident cases of COVID-19 in students were shared with the study team. 384 Individuals with confirmed COVID-19 by public health order were excluded from attending 385 386 school for 10 days after their symptoms began. At the time of the study, if a case was identified 387 in any individual class, all other class members were excluded from attending school for the next 388 14 days. Daily aggregate attendance counts for student/staff were recorded and daily

student/staff enrollment were shared with the study team.

390 Statistical Analysis

391 Spearman correlation tests were used to test the correlation between cycle threshold (Cq) values of N1 and N2 region detection with our RT-qPCR assays within the same wastewater samples. 392 393 Differences in SARS-CoV-2 RNA N1 & N2, BCoV and PMMoV between schools and communities (WWTPs) were determined using the Mann Whitney test. Dunn's Multiple 394 395 Comparison Test was used to determine which specific school sites differed from others for WBS targets. Differences in student absenteeism rates due to confirmed COVID-19 cases versus 396 other reason was determined using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. To compare the 397 398 student absenteeism percentages due to confirmed COVID-19 cases across the weeks for all the 399 schools Kruskal-Wallis test was performed. Cases confirmed within 1 to 5 days before/after 400 wastewater sample collection were compared using Fischer tests to assess if positive school 401 wastewater associated with confirmed cases in schools. To assess if positive cases were associated with school absenteeism, we assessed the correlation between the SARS-CoV-2 402 403 wastewater-N1 (Calgary) with the overall attendance percentage using the Spearman correlation 404 test. Additionally, we conducted a series of comparisons between absenteeism with the 405 likelihood of getting a positive SARS-CoV-2 wastewater result at each school using the Fischer 406 test. Statistical analyses were done using GraphPad's Prism-10 software.

407 Acknowledgements

The investigators are grateful to the administration, staff, students and facility operators of each of the schools screened, and especially those four ultimately participating in WBS pioneering. The investigators are grateful to all staff of City of Calgary and especially Water Services, and the participating school board for their participation and support.

412 Funding Statement

- 413 This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research and from
- 414 Alberta Health.

415 Data availability

- 416 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its
- 417 Supplementary Information files).

418 **Competing interests**

419 The author(s) declare no competing interests.

421 TABLES

Site Name	Number of students with Confirmed COVID-19 Diagnosis	Number of WW Samples Positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA	Date of Positive SARS-CoV- 2 RNA Reading (DD-MM- YYYY)	Mean N1 Detected Copies/mL	Mean N2 Detected Copies/mL
School #1	3	3	01/02/2021	4.84	0
			10/02/2021	9.24	3.46
			01/03/2021	0.72	0
School	11	7	13/01/2021	1.09	1.08
#2A			18/01/2021	0.401	0.945
			20/01/2021	0.497	0
			22/02/2021	7.14	9.29
			24/02/2021	5.38	1.07
			22/03/2021	8.94	1.91
			24/03/2021	25.9	0
School #3	3	1	24/02/2021	3.58	0
School #4	1	2	08/03/2021	0.478	0
			10/03/2021	0.601	0

422 **Table 1.** SARS-CoV-2 positive wastewater samples identified from schools ^a

^a Calgary, Alberta, Canada wastewater samples collected from four different schools over study

424 period. Each site was monitored for a different timespan within the study dates. Wastewater

samples identified as positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA using N1 and N2 loci RT-qPCR assays are

shown (see methods for definition). School #2B not included due to lack of any positive

427 wastewater samples.

428

430 **Table 2.** Demographics and characteristics of schools monitored in the city of Calgary.

School	#1	#2	#3	#4
Category (Grades)	Elementary	High School	High School	High School
	and Middle	(10-12)	(10-12)	(10-12)
	School (K-9)			
Daily Student	552-779	1158-1335	1285-1364	1354-1558
Population (range)				
Daily Staff population	69-78	90-103	104-110	100-108
(range)				
Average Attendance	87.0	92.0	86.5	92.3
Rate (%)				
Wastewater Treatment	WWTP 1	WWTP 1	WWTP 1	WWTP 2 and
plant catchment				3

431 WWTP: wastewater treatment plant

- 433 **Table 3.** SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal detected in school wastewater and the occurrence of
- 434 confirmed COVID-19 cases in the following week.

	Confirmed Cases	Confirmed Cases	Risk Ratio	P-value
	and a positive	and a negative		
	WBS-signal	WBS-signal		
1 – 2 days	6/13 (46%)	15/51 (29%)	1.57 (0.76-3.24)	0.205
1 – 3 days	9/13 (69%)	15/51 (29%)	2.35 (1.34-4.12)	0.011
1 – 4 days	9/13 (69%)	16/51 (31%)	2.21 (1.28-3.80)	0.015
1 – 5 days	10/13 (77%)	18/51 (36%)	2.18 (1.35-3.51)	0.008

435

437 FIGURES



438

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater from schools is lower than that from the surrounding community. Comparison of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal found in wastewater from schools and WWTP that were positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA between January to March 2021. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in samples was determined by the N1 (blue) and N2 (black) assays. (A) SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal (copies/mL). B) SARS-CoV-2 genomic copies normalized to genomic copies of the fecal biomarker PMMoV. Differences were determined using the Mann Whitney test. WW: wastewater, WWTP: wastewater treatment plants.

446



Figure 2. Raw SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in school wastewater. SARS-CoV-2 N1- and N2-450 RNA detected are plotted using a heat map. Clinically confirmed cases (CCC) reported to school 451

452 administrators are graphically shown for each school. An arrow in the CCC columns refers to a

clinically identified case on a date other than that of sample collection. The number of arrows 453

indicates the number of days removed from the date indicated (i.e., 2 downward facing arrows on 454

January 13th indicates a clinical case on January 15th). 455



458 Figure 3. Total mass flux of SARS-CoV-2 RNA across the city of Calgary relative to 459 attendance patterns in the four individual schools monitored by WBS. (A) Daily dynamics of the mass flux of SARS-CoV-2 RNA N1 across the entire city of Calgary from June 2020 to 460 June 2021 using the N1 (black dots) assay. Clinically confirmed COVID-19 new cases (5-day 461 average) are represented as orange lines. The sampling period for the four schools is highlighted 462 463 in grey – occurring between waves 2 and 3. (B) Average composite attendance (staff and 464 students) across all four schools compared against community burden of SARS-CoV-2 as measured in Calgary's wastewater. This figure serves as an assessment of the correlation 465 466 between the total SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-N1 (across all of Calgary) with the overall

attendance percentage (within 2 days, owing the frequency of sample collection) for all 4 schools

- 468 participating in the program using the Spearman correlation test. Lines represent the best fit
- 469 plotted with second order smoothing for the N1 SARS-CoV-2 concentration (copies per day)
- 470 (Black) and average school attendance across the four monitored sites (%) (Blue) from January
- to March 2021. WBS: wastewater-based surveillance, WWTP: wastewater treatment plants.
- 472

474 **References**

475	1.	Parkins, M.D., et al. Wastewater-based surveillance as a tool for public health action: SARS-CoV-
476		2 and beyond. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 37 , e00103-00122 (2024).
4//	2.	Spurbeck, R.R., Minard-Smith, A. & Catlin, L. Feasibility of neighborhood and building scale
4/8		wastewater-based genomic epidemiology for pathogen surveillance. Science of The Total
479		Environment 789 , 147829 (2021).
480	3.	Zahedi, A., Monis, P., Deere, D. & Ryan, U. Wastewater-based epidemiology-surveillance and
481		early detection of waterborne pathogens with a focus on SARS-CoV-2, Cryptosporidium and
482		Giardia. Parasitol Res 120 , 4167-4188 (2021).
483	4.	Galani, A., et al. SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance data can predict hospitalizations and ICU
484		admissions. S <i>cience of The Total Environment</i> 804 , 150151 (2022).
485	5.	Schmitz, B.W., et al. Enumerating asymptomatic COVID-19 cases and estimating SARS-CoV-2
486		fecal shedding rates via wastewater-based epidemiology. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory,
487		2021).
488	6.	Mahmoudi, T., Naghdi, T., Morales-Narváez, E. & Golmohammadi, H. Toward smart diagnosis of
489		pandemic infectious diseases using wastewater-based epidemiology. Trends Analyt Chem 153,
490		116635 (2022).
491	7.	Acosta, N., et al. Longitudinal SARS-CoV-2 RNA wastewater monitoring across a range of scales
492		correlates with total and regional COVID-19 burden in a well-defined urban population. Water
493		Research 220 , 118611 (2022).
494	8.	Rubio-Acero, R., et al. Spatially resolved qualified sewage spot sampling to track SARS-CoV-2
495		dynamics in Munich - One year of experience. <i>Sci Total Environ</i> 797 , 149031 (2021).
496	9.	Barrios, R.E., Lim, C., Kelley, M.S. & Li, X. SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in a wastewater collection
497		system indicated potential COVID-19 hotspots at the zip code level. Science of The Total
498		Environment 800 , 149480 (2021).
499	10.	Rios, G., et al. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 variants alterations in Nice neighborhoods by wastewater
500		nanopore sequencing. Lancet Reg Health Eur, 100202-100202 (2021).
501	11.	Carrillo-Vega, M.F., Salinas-Escudero, G., García-Peña, C., Gutiérrez-Robledo, L.M. & Parra-
502		Rodríguez, L. Early estimation of the risk factors for hospitalization and mortality by COVID-19 in
503		Mexico. PLOS ONE 15, e0238905 (2020).
504	12.	Castro-Gutierrez, V., et al. Monitoring occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in school populations: A
505		wastewater-based approach. PLOS ONE 17, e0270168 (2022).
506	13.	Acosta, N., et al. A Multicenter Study Investigating SARS-CoV-2 in Tertiary-Care Hospital
507		Wastewater. Viral burden correlates with increasing hospitalized cases as well as hospital-
508		associated transmissions and outbreaks. Water Research, 117369 (2021).
509	14.	Acosta, N., et al. Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 and its variants in wastewater of tertiary care
510		hospitals correlates with increasing case burden and outbreaks. <i>Journal of Medical Virology</i> 95,
511		e28442 (2023).
512	15.	Lee, B.E., et al. Early warning and rapid public health response to prevent COVID-19 outbreaks in
513		long-term care facilities (LTCF) by monitoring SARS-CoV-2 RNA in LTCF site-specific sewage
514		samples and assessment of antibodies response in this population: prospective study protocol.
515		<i>BMJ Open</i> 11 , e052282 (2021).
516	16.	Pang, X., et al. Early warning COVID-19 outbreak in long-term care facilities using wastewater
517		surveillance: correlation, prediction, and interaction with clinical and serological statuses. The
518		Lancet Microbe 5(2024).
-		

519	17.	ECDC. COVID-19 in children and the role of school settings in transmission - second update.
520		(European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2021).
521	18.	Lewis, D. Why schools probably aren't COVID hotspots. <i>Nature</i> 587 , 17 (2020).
522	19.	Gray, D.J., Williams, G.M. & McManus, D.P. COVID-19, children and schools: overlooked and at
523		risk. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> 214 , 188 (2021).
524	20.	Cui, X., et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of children with coronavirus disease 2019
525		(COVID-19). <i>J Med Virol</i> 93 , 1057-1069 (2021).
526	21.	CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children. in MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:422–426
527		(US Department of Health and Human Services/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,
528		2020).
529	22.	Götzinger, F., et al. COVID-19 in children and adolescents in Europe: a multinational, multicentre
530		cohort study. <i>Lancet Child Adolesc Health</i> 4 , 653-661 (2020).
531	23.	Hagmann, S.H.F. COVID-19 in children: More than meets the eye. <i>Travel Med Infect Dis</i> 34 ,
532		101649 (2020).
533	24.	Castagnoli, R., et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection in
534		Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. JAMA Pediatr 174, 882-889 (2020).
535	25.	Centre-for-Health-Informatics. Alberta's Pandemic Response: COVID-19 in Wastewater. Vol.
536	26	2024 (2024). Allegate Concernent Bregoring suide for COVID 10 second is school (I. 12) estations Viel 2024
537	26.	Alberta-Government. Resource guide for COVID-19 cases in school (K-12) settings. Vol. 2024
538		(https://open.alberta.ca/publications/resource-guide-covid-19-cases-schools-settings-before-
539	27	<u>OUFING-after-Covid-19-alert-outbreak</u> , 2021).
540 F 41	Ζ1.	CBE. Health & Weilness in School. Vol. 2024 (Calgary Board of Education,
541		nttps://cbe.ab.ca/about-us/school-culture-and-environment/nealth-and-wellness-in-
54Z	20	<u>school/Pages/coronavirus.aspx</u> , 2024).
545	20.	Alberta-Government, Parents guide 2020–21 school year. vol. 2024
544		
545	20	2020). Hassard E <i>et al.</i> Wastewater monitoring for detection of public health markers during the
540	29.	COVID-19 pandemic: Near-source monitoring of schools in England over an academic year PLOS
548		ONE 18 e0286259 (2023)
549	30	Lopez Marin MA et al Monitoring COVID-19 spread in selected Prague's schools based on the
550	50.	presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater. Science of The Total Environment 871 161935
551		(2023).
552	31.	Wolken, M., <i>et al.</i> Wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza in preK-12 schools
553		shows school, community, and citywide infections. <i>Water Research</i> 231 , 119648 (2023).
554	32.	Crowe, J., et al. Assessment of a Program for SARS-CoV-2 Screening and Environmental
555		Monitoring in an Urban Public School District. JAMA Network Open 4, e2126447-e2126447
556		(2021).
557	33.	Fielding-Miller, R., et al. Safer at school early alert: an observational study of wastewater and
558		surface monitoring to detect COVID-19 in elementary schools. Lancet Reg Health Am 19, 100449
559		(2023).
560	34.	Nakgul, L., et al. Saliva and wastewater surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 during school reopening
561		amid COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand. <i>Public Health in Practice</i> 5 , 100378 (2023).
562	35.	Kim, S. & Boehm, A.B. Wastewater monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA at K-12 schools: comparison
563		to pooled clinical testing data. <i>PeerJ</i> 11 , e15079 (2023).
564	36.	Kappus-Kron, H., et al. Precision public health in schools enabled by wastewater surveillance: A
565		case study of COVID-19 in an Upstate New York middle-high school campus during the 2021–
566		2022 academic year. <i>PLOS Global Public Health</i> 4 , e0001803 (2024).

567 568 569	37.	Sellers, S.C., <i>et al.</i> Building-level wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 is associated with transmission and variant trends in a university setting. <i>Environmental Research</i> 215 , 114277 (2022).
570 571	38.	Gibas, C., et al. Implementing building-level SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance on a university campus. Science of The Total Environment 782 , 146749 (2021).
572	39.	Harris-Lovett, S., et al. Wastewater Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 on College Campuses: Initial
573		Efforts, Lessons Learned, and Research Needs. International Journal of Environmental Research
574		and Public Health 18 , 4455 (2021).
575	40.	Kotay, S.M., et al. Building-Level Wastewater Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 in Occupied University
576		Dormitories as an Outbreak Forecasting Tool: One Year Case Study. ACS ES&T Water 2, 2094-
577		
578	41.	Rondeau, N.C., et al. Building-Level Detection Threshold of SARS-CoV-2 in Wastewater.
579	10	Microbiology Spectrum 11, e02929-02922 (2023).
580	42.	Campus Level, Building Cluster Level, and Individual-Building Level, ACS ES&T Water 2 , 2025-
582		
583	43.	Hassard, F., Singh, S., Coulon, F. & Yang, Z. Can wastewater monitoring protect public health in
584		schools? The Lancet Regional Health – Americas 20 (2023).
585	44.	Jones, D.L., et al. Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and urine and its potential role in person-to-
586		person transmission and the environment-based spread of COVID-19. Science of The Total
587		Environment 749 , 141364 (2020).
588	45.	Lundblad, B. & Hellström, A.L. Perceptions of school toilets as a cause for irregular toilet habits
589		among schoolchildren aged 6 to 16 years. <i>J Sch Health</i> 75 , 125-128 (2005).
590	46.	Wolfe, M.K., et al. Wastewater-based detection of an influenza outbreak. (Cold Spring Harbor
591	47	Laboratory, 2022).
592	47.	Lin, X., et al. Continuous detection of norovirus and astrovirus in wastewater in a coastal city of
593	10	China in 2014–2016. Letters in Applied Microbiology 73 , 418-425 (2021).
594	40.	Surveillance in the Netherlands, 2005 to 2015, Appl Environ Microbiol 93 (2017)
596	49	Surveinance in the Netherlands, 2005 to 2015. Appl Liwion Microbiol $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{S}}(2017)$. Hughes B <i>et al.</i> Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids Reflects
597	ч у .	RSV Clinical Positivity Rates Environmental Science & Technology Letters 9 173-178 (2022)
598	50.	Alberta, COVID-19 Alberta statistics. Vol. 2021 (https://www.alberta.ca/stats/covid-19-alberta-
599		statistics.htm, 2021).
600	51.	Alberta. Alberta schools to resume in-person classes on Jan. 11, as other COVID-19 restrictions
601		extended. Vol. 2023 (<u>https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/edmonton/covid-briefing-kenney-</u>
602		<u>hinshaw-1.5864683</u> , 2021).
603	52.	Whitney O.N, et al. U.B.Wb.e. consortium 2020 V.4 -Direct wastewater RNA capture and
604		purification via the "sewage, salt, silica and SARS-CoV-2 (4S). <u>https://dx.doi.org/</u>
605		10.17504/protocols. io.bpdfmi3n.
606	53.	Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R. & Brouwer, A. Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-
607		2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the Early Stage of the
608	E 4	Epidemic in The Netherlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 7, 511-516 (2020).
610	54.	Randazzo, W., et al. SARS-COV-2 RNA III wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence iii a low
611	55	prevalence area. Water Research 101, 113342 (2020). Staley C. Gordon K.V. Schoen M.F. & Harwood V.I. Performance of Two Quantitative PCR
612		Methods for Microbial Source Tracking of Human Sewage and Implications for Microbial Risk
613		Assessment in Recreational Waters, Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78, 7317-7326
614		(2012).

- 615 56. USEPA. Total, Fixed, and Volatile Solids in Water, Solids, and Biosolids. (U.S. Environmental
- 616 Protection Agency Office of Water,
- 617 https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.exe/P1002CZ8.TXT?ZyActionD=ZyDocument&Client=EPA&Inde
- 618 x=2000+Thru+2005&Docs=&Query=&Time=&EndTime=&SearchMethod=1&TocRestrict=n&Toc=
- 619 &TocEntry=&QField=&QFieldYear=&QFieldMonth=&QFieldDay=&IntQFieldOp=0&ExtQFieldOp=
- 620 <u>0&XmlQuery=&File=D%3A%5Czyfiles%5CIndex%20Data%5C00thru05%5CTxt%5C00000016%5CP</u>
- 621 <u>1002CZ8.txt&User=ANONYMOUS&Password=anonymous&SortMethod=h%7C-</u>
- 622 & MaximumDocuments=1&FuzzyDegree=0&ImageQuality=r75g8/r75g8/x150y150g16/i425&Dis
- 623 play=hpfr&DefSeekPage=x&SearchBack=ZyActionL&Back=ZyActionS&BackDesc=Results%20page
- 624 <u>&MaximumPages=1&ZyEntry=1&SeekPage=x&ZyPURL</u>, 2001).