

Testicular pain after living kidney donation: Results from a multicenter cohort study

On behalf of the Donor Nephrectomy Outcomes Research Network

Authors	Institution and Email
Amit X. Garg, MD PhD	London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada Department of Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada amit.garg@lhsc.on.ca
Liane S. Feldman, MD	Department of Surgery, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada liane.feldman@mcgill.ca
Jessica M. Sontrop, PhD	London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada jsontrop@gmail.com
Meaghan S. Cuerden, PhD	London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada meaghan.cuerdenknight@lhsc.on.ca
Jennifer B. Arnold, BSc	London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada Jennifer.Arnold@lhsc.on.ca
Neil Boudville, MD	Medical School, The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia Department of Renal Medicine, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia neil.boudville@uwa.edu.au
Martin Karpinski, MD	University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada mkarpinski@hsc.mb.ca
Scott Klarenbach, MD	University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada swk@ualberta.ca
Greg Knoll, MD	Department of Medicine (Nephrology), the Ottawa Hospital and University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada gknoll@toh.on.ca
Charmaine E. Lok, MD	University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Charmaine.Lok@uhn.ca

Authors	Institution and Email
Eric McArthur, MSc	ICES, Toronto, Ontario, Canada London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada eric.mcarthur@ices.on.ca
Matthew Miller, MD	St. Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada matthew.miller@medportal.ca
Mauricio Monroy-Cuadros, MD	University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada mauricio.monroy@albertahealthservices.ca
Kyla L. Naylor, PhD	ICES, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada London Health Sciences Centre Research Institute, London, Ontario, Canada kyla.naylor@ices.on.ca
G V Ramesh Prasad, MBBS PhD	St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Ramesh.Prasad@unityhealth.to
Leroy Storsley, MD	University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada lstorsley@hsc.mb.ca
Christopher Nguan, MD	University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada chris.nguan@ubcuurology.com

Corresponding Author: Amit X. Garg, MD, PhD, Victoria Hospital, 800 Commissioners Rd, Room ELL-200, London, ON, Canada N6A 5W9 (amit.garg@lhsc.on.ca)

Abstract word count: 426 words

Manuscript word count: 2850

7 Tables and 1 Figure

Version date: December 21, 2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Some men who donate a kidney have reported testicular pain after donation; however, attribution to donation is not clear as no prior studies included a comparison group of nondonors.

Objective: To examine the proportion of male donors who reported testicular pain in the years after nephrectomy compared to male nondonors with similar baseline health characteristics.

Design, Participants, and Setting: We enrolled 1042 living kidney donors (351 male) before nephrectomy from 17 transplant centers (12 in Canada and 5 in Australia) from 2004–2014. A concurrent sample of 396 nondonors (126 male) was enrolled. Follow-up occurred until November 2021.

Measurements: Donors and nondonors completed the same schedule of measurements at baseline (before nephrectomy) and follow-up. During follow-up, participants completed a questionnaire asking whether they had experienced new pain in their eyes, hands, or testicles; those who experienced pain were asked to indicate on which side of the body the pain occurred (left or right). The pain questionnaire was completed by 290 of 351 male donors (83%) and 97 of 126 male nondonors (77%) a median of 3 years after baseline (interquartile range 2, 6).

Methods: Inverse probability of treatment weighting on a propensity score was used to balance donors and nondonors on baseline characteristics. After weighting, the nondonor sample increased to a pseudo sample of 295, and most baseline characteristics were similar between donors and nondonors.

Results: At baseline, donors (n=290) were a mean age of 49 years; 83% were employed, and 80% were married; 246 (84.8%) underwent laparoscopic surgery and 44 (15.2%) open surgery; 253 (87.2%) had a left-sided nephrectomy and 37 (12.8%) a right-sided nephrectomy. In the weighted analysis, the risk of testicular pain was significantly greater among donors than nondonors: 51/290 (17.6%) vs. 7/295 (2.3%); weighted risk ratio, 7.8 (95% CI, 2.7 to 22.8). Donors and nondonors did not differ statistically in terms of self-reported eye pain or hand pain. Among donors, the occurrence of testicular pain was most often unilateral (92.2%) and on the same side as the nephrectomy (90.2%). Testicular pain occurred more often in donors who had laparoscopic vs. open surgery: 48/246 (19.5%) vs. 3/44 (6.8%) but was similar in those who had a left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy: 44/253 (17.4%) vs. 7/37 (18.9%).

Limitations: Participants recalled their symptoms several years after baseline, and we did not assess the timing, severity, or duration of pain or any treatments received for the pain.

Conclusion: Unilateral testicular pain on the same side of a nephrectomy is a potential complication of living kidney donation that warrants further investigation.

Clinicaltrial.gov record NCT00936078

Keywords: Hydrocele, laparoscopic nephrectomy, living kidney donation, orchialgia, scrotal pain, testicular pain

BACKGROUND

Transplantation is vital for millions of people with kidney failure as it offers a longer and better quality of life compared to dialysis and at a lower cost.^{1,2} Due to a shortage of kidneys from deceased donors, many countries strive to increase their rates of living kidney donation. Ongoing monitoring for any complications and long-term risks in living donors is essential for this practice.

To help improve our understanding of outcomes attributable to donation, we conducted a multicenter prospective cohort study of living kidney donors and nondonors of similar baseline health.³ We enrolled donors and nondonors from Canada and Australia between 2004 and 2014, and follow-up for study outcomes occurred until November 2021.^{4–8} While conducting this study, we became aware of reports suggesting that some men who donate a kidney experience testicular pain after donation, most often unilateral on the same side as their nephrectomy. We identified 12 studies published since 2003 that have described this outcome.^{9–20} The follow-up duration of many studies was limited, and no study included a comparison group of nondonors. In two studies, testicular pain was more common in donors who had a laparoscopic vs. open nephrectomy;^{12,14} however, most studies only examined this outcome in donors who had laparoscopic nephrectomies.^{9,10,13,15–17,19,20} The pain has been described as dull, aching, and heavy, starting approximately within one week following the nephrectomy. Only two studies compared this outcome in donors who had a left vs. right nephrectomy (with no difference observed);^{12,17} however, some have suggested this complication may be less common after a right-sided nephrectomy where, due to anatomy, the gonadal vein may be spared, whereas in a left nephrectomy, it is divided.^{13,17}

After learning about this potential complication, we added a simple pain questionnaire to the follow-up survey in our cohort study, with questions about new testicular pain experienced during follow-up. Participants completed the questionnaire a median of 3 (interquartile range 2–6) years after cohort entry. Here, we describe the proportion of male donors who reported testicular pain during follow-up compared to male nondonors with similar baseline health characteristics. Among donors, we also examined whether the occurrence of testicular pain was unilateral or bilateral, whether it occurred on the same side as the nephrectomy (ipsilateral), and whether it differed between those who had a laparoscopic vs. open nephrectomy or a left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This multicenter prospective cohort study was conducted at 17 transplant centers (12 in Canada and 5 in Australia). Participants (living kidney donors and nondonors) were recruited from 2004 to 2014, including a pilot phase from 2004 to 2008. Follow-up occurred until November 2021. The study protocol has been published (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00936078).³ Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Western Ontario's Health Sciences Research Ethics Board (REB approval 6056) and all other recruiting centers. Study conduct and reporting follow recommended STROBE guidelines (eTable 1).²¹ All participants provided written informed consent at the time of enrolment.

Participants

Prospective donors were invited to participate in the study by their nephrologist or a coordinator at the transplant evaluation center and enrolled before surgery.³ A concurrent sample of nondonors was recruited from the study transplant centers. Nondonors included family members and friends of prospective donors, some individuals who came forward for donation but did not proceed with further evaluation or surgery despite being eligible, and some who came forward based on clinic advertisements. Nondonors were carefully screened to ensure their baseline health was similar to donors; nondonor eligibility criteria included age 18–70 years, body mass index (BMI) <35 kg/m², systolic/diastolic blood pressure <140/90 mm Hg, and no comorbidities considered contraindications to living kidney donation (the complete list of eligibility criteria is in eTable 2).

Data Collection and Measures

Donors and nondonors were assigned the same schedule of baseline and follow-up measurements.

At baseline, all participants completed a standardized health questionnaire, had their blood pressure, height, and weight assessed, and completed lab testing for serum creatinine, urine protein, and hematuria.³ The donors' nephrectomy dates and surgical details were obtained from hospital records. After they completed their baseline assessment, nondonors were assigned a simulated nephrectomy date, which served as their cohort entry date.⁴

During follow-up, participants completed a mailed survey with a simple pain questionnaire that included questions on whether the person had experienced new testicular pain after the nephrectomy (or the cohort entry date in nondonors). To assess the potential for recall bias, the questionnaire also asked

about pain experienced in the hands or eyes, which we did not expect to differ between donors and nondonors. Those who experienced pain were asked to indicate on which side of the body the pain occurred (left or right) ([Appendix](#)). Participants were also asked to “please describe the pain they feel or felt.” Participants completed the questionnaire a median of 3 years after baseline (interquartile range 2, 6).

Statistical Analysis

We used SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc) for the statistical analysis. Continuous variables are presented as means (standard deviations [SDs]) or medians (interquartile ranges [IQRs]), as appropriate, and categorical variables as frequencies (percentages). We used inverse probability of treatment weighting on the propensity score to balance donors and nondonors on baseline characteristics. The propensity score was estimated in a logistic regression model where the probability of being a donor vs. a nondonor was conditional on the following baseline variables: age at nephrectomy (age at cohort entry in nondonors)), self-reported history of depression diagnosed by a physician, self-reported history of anxiety diagnosed by a physician, prior kidney stones, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and BMI. Nondonors were weighted using average treatment effect in the treated weights (where *treatment* refers to donation), defined as propensity score/(1-propensity score), with donors receiving weights of 1. This method produced a larger weighted pseudo sample of nondonors with a similar distribution of measured baseline characteristics as donors. Between-group differences in baseline characteristics were examined using standardized differences.²² Many characteristics that differed between donors and nondonors (standardized mean differences > 10%) were not clinically meaningful with respect to the study outcome (they would not be expected to influence the occurrence of testicular pain). All relevant comparative analyses used methods to account for the weighting. Weighted risk differences and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were computed using binomial regression with identity link, and weighted risk ratios and 95% CIs were computed using modified Poisson regression.²³ Bootstrapping was used to calculate confidence intervals for risk differences, and robust standard errors were used to calculate confidence intervals for risk ratios.

In addition, we conducted unweighted adjusted analyses, which controlled for the following baseline characteristics: age at nephrectomy/cohort entry, medical history of depression, medical history of anxiety, kidney stones, eGFR, and BMI. We compared these effect estimates to those obtained from the weighted analysis.

Nondonors were the referent group in donor-to-nondonor comparisons. For analyses within donors, we considered the outcomes of any testicular pain and ipsilateral testicular pain.

Among donors, we compared the proportion who had testicular pain after laparoscopic vs. open surgery, and we considered whether the results were consistent after adjusting for the side of nephrectomy (left vs. right). We also examined differences in testicular pain between those who had a left- vs. right-sided nephrectomy, and we examined whether the results were consistent when stratified by laparoscopic vs. open nephrectomy. Risk differences were estimated from a binomial regression model with an identity link, and risk ratios from a modified Poisson regression model.²³ We also examined the occurrence of reported testicular pain across surgical centers and by year of nephrectomy. Finally, we examined whether pre-donation age, height, weight, or BMI differed between donors who reported testicular pain in follow-up and those who did not; mean values were compared using a t-test.

RESULTS

We enrolled 1042 living kidney donors before surgery (of whom 351 were male) and a concurrent sample of 396 nondonors (of whom 126 were male) (**Figure 1**). Male donors and nondonors who completed the pain questionnaire during follow-up (290 donors [83%] and 97 nondonors [77%]) were included in the analysis. At baseline, donors had a mean age of 49 years and a mean BMI of 27 kg/m²; 93% were white, 80% were married, 83% were employed, and 16% were smokers (**Table 1**).

After statistical weighting, the sample of nondonors increased from 97 to a pseudo sample of 295, with the two groups becoming more balanced on baseline characteristics, including age, BMI, eGFR, a history of kidney stones, a medical history of depression, and a medical history of anxiety.

Testicular pain in donors vs. nondonors

In the weighted analysis, the risk of testicular pain was significantly greater in donors vs. nondonors: 51/290 (17.6%) vs. 7/295 (2.3%); weighted risk ratio, 7.8 (95% CI, 2.7 to 22.8) (**Table 2**). The two groups did not differ statistically on self-reported hand or eye pain. Effect estimates were similar in the unweighted analysis (**Table 2**).

Surgical details and testicular pain in donors

Of 290 donors, 246 (84.8%) had laparoscopic surgery, 44 (15.2%) had open surgery, 253 (87.2%) had a left-sided nephrectomy, and 37 (12.8%) had a right-sided nephrectomy. As shown in **Table 3**, left-sided nephrectomies were more likely to be done laparoscopically than right-sided nephrectomies: 88.1% vs.

62.2%, respectively ($p < 0.001$). As shown in **Table 4**, testicular pain was most often unilateral (92.2%) and on the same side as the nephrectomy (ipsilateral) (90.2%).

When examined by surgery type, testicular pain was more common in donors who had laparoscopic vs. open surgery: 48/246 (19.5%) vs. 3/44 (6.8%); risk difference: 12.7% (95% CI, 3.8% to 21.6%) (**Table 5**). The results were consistent when we only considered ipsilateral testicular pain and when we adjusted for the nephrectomy side, although the confidence intervals were wide.

When examined by nephrectomy side, testicular pain was similar in donors who had a left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy: 44/253 (17.4%) vs. 7/37 (18.9%), risk difference: -1.5% (95% CI, -15.0% to 11.9%) (**Table 6**). The results were consistent when we only considered ipsilateral testicular pain and when we stratified the analysis by laparoscopic vs. open nephrectomy.

We reviewed the medical notes for 37 men who had a right-sided nephrectomy. In 13 men, the right gonadal vein was divided; in 22, it remained intact; and in 2, the status was unclear (**eTable 3**). Out of 35 men (excluding 2 with unclear data), 11 of 21 men who had laparoscopic surgery had the gonadal vein divided (52%), compared to 2 of 14 men who underwent open surgery (14%) ($p = 0.022$). After laparoscopic surgery, right-sided testicular pain occurred in 3 of 11 men who had it divided (27%) compared to 1 of 10 men when it remained intact (10%) (p -value for difference 0.59) (**eTable 4**). After open surgery, right-sided testicular pain occurred in 1 of 2 men who had it divided (50%) compared to 1 of 12 men when it remained intact (8%) (p -value for difference 0.27).

When examined across surgical centers, testicular pain was reported by donors whose nephrectomies were done in 12 centers located in 6 provinces in Canada and in Australia (**eTable 5**). Of the 2 centers for which donors did not report testicular pain, 1 center performed 2 nephrectomies, and the other performed 12. Examining the operating room notes, we failed to identify a clear surgical detail to suggest why the center that performed 12 nephrectomies had no men report testicular pain.

As shown in **eTable 6**, the proportion of donors who reported testicular pain was relatively consistent across the study years, and present in more recent years.

Pre-donation age, height, weight, and BMI did not differ significantly between donors who reported testicular pain in follow-up compared to those who did not (**Table 7**).

Donors provided open-ended responses describing the nature of their testicular pain; symptoms included dull pain, sharp pain, tenderness, aching, and swelling (**eTable 7**). At least 10 donors indicated they developed a hydrocele in follow-up, and 4 reported having it surgically repaired.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort study conducted in Canada and Australia, testicular pain was reported by 17.6% of male living kidney donors compared with 2.3% of male nondonors who were statistically weighted to have similar baseline health characteristics as donors. Among donors, testicular pain did not differ between those who had a left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy (17.4% vs. 18.9%) but was more likely in those who had laparoscopic vs. open surgery (19.5% vs. 6.8%) even after adjusting for left- vs. right-sided nephrectomy.

The generalizability of our findings is supported by the occurrence of this outcome over a 10-year donation period in multiple provinces in Canada and Australia and by reports of this outcome in 12 other studies from 6 other countries.^{9–20} The proportion of men affected in prior studies varied widely, from 2% to 55%. This variation in estimates is influenced by differing surgical techniques, study designs, and methods of outcome ascertainment. Some studies included a mix of laparoscopic and open nephrectomies,^{11,12,14} while others included only donors who had a left-sided laparoscopic nephrectomy.^{10,15,19,20}

In our study, left-sided nephrectomies were more likely to be done laparoscopically and right-sided nephrectomies with an open surgery. In our study and one other,¹⁷ when only laparoscopic surgeries were considered, the occurrence of testicular pain was similar in donors who had a left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy (in our study 19.3% vs. 21.7%, respectively).

In prior studies, the highest rates of testicular pain (>50%) were reported in prospective evaluations,^{10,13} and rates tended to be higher in studies that used direct questioning or surveys rather than chart review.^{12,18} While several studies restricted the outcome definition to ipsilateral pain (testicular pain on the same side as the nephrectomy), contralateral and bilateral testicular pain are also reported after nephrectomy, although less commonly.¹⁷ In studies that measured onset and duration, testicular pain typically started within 1–4 weeks after nephrectomy and resolved within 1–4 months;^{10,17,20} however, as also observed in our study (etable 7), some donors in prior studies reported pain lasting more than a year.^{14,16,18} On a scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 being the worst possible pain), the pain was rated at an average level of 3–4.^{17,20} In most cases, the pain improved with conservative management (e.g., non-opioid analgesics), but some men were diagnosed with hydroceles and required a hydrocelectomy.¹⁷ Several donors in our study also indicated they developed a hydrocele in follow-up, and some had it surgically repaired.

In studies that examined whether any pre-donation characteristics predicted post-donation testicular pain, few variables consistently differed between men who experienced pain and those who did not.^{16,17} Older age^{11,12} and taller height¹⁷ were associated with a greater likelihood of pain in some studies, although these associations were not observed in our study.

Most living donor nephrectomies are now done laparoscopically as this procedure is less invasive and typically offers faster recovery than open surgery. In our study and others, testicular pain was more commonly reported after laparoscopic than open surgery (in our study, the values were 19.5% vs. 6.8%).^{12,14} In other studies, testicular pain after open surgery was reported by 1% to 10% of donors.^{11,12,14}

These findings raise questions about why more men experience testicular pain after laparoscopic surgery compared to open surgery and why both procedures cause some pain. In a left-sided nephrectomy, the gonadal vein is always divided, potentially reducing blood flow from the testicle causing venous congestion. Conversely, in a right-sided nephrectomy, the vein may remain intact. In our study, the gonadal vein in right-sided nephrectomies was divided 50% of the time in laparoscopic surgeries compared to 14% with open surgery (eTable 3). The testicular artery is also divided, potentially contributing to ischemic pain, although collateral arterial supply remains from the cremasteric artery and the artery of the vas deferens. To our knowledge, testicular necrosis has not been reported after living donor nephrectomy. However, other factors beyond dividing the gonadal vessels may also contribute to testicular pain. Laparoscopic and open nephrectomies both may disrupt lymphatics, predispose to reflex sympathetic dystrophy from nerve damage to the spermatic plexus and cord, and lead to hydrocele development (fluid accumulation in the tunica vaginalis).¹⁶ A greater amount of unintentional damage may occur with laparoscopic compared to open surgeries, perhaps related to thermal injuries from the energy devices used in laparoscopic surgery.

Although our study included a nondonor comparison group, it has several important limitations. Participants recalled their symptoms many years after cohort entry, some participants did not complete the survey, and we did not inquire whether participants had a history of scrotal conditions at the time of cohort entry. In addition, we did not assess the timing, severity, or duration of the pain, nor treatments used to treat pain or their response. Our study also lacks information on key surgical details, such as where the gonadal vein was divided.


Conclusion

Testicular pain may be an underappreciated potential complication of living donor nephrectomy. In this cohort study conducted in Canada and Australia, testicular pain was reported by significantly more male donors during follow-up than male nondonors. Further investigation is needed to characterize this potential complication better and determine if it can be mitigated.

Funding

This research was supported by a foundation grant awarded to Dr. Garg from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Funding Reference Number 148377). Dr. Naylor was supported by a Health System Impact Embedded Early Career Researcher Award Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Figure 1. Flow diagram



^a Reasons for withdrawing from the donation process included participant decision, recipient illness or death, or because their intended recipient received a kidney from another donor.

^b Other reasons included participant or study team decision, participant did not follow through on study enrolment, and a prolonged time to donation such that the donation occurred after the recruitment period ended.

^c Other reasons included participant decision, participant did not follow through on study enrolment, and loss of contact with the participant.

Table 1. Baseline (pre-donation) characteristics of 290 male living kidney donors and 97 male nondonors before and after weighting

Characteristic	Unweighted (n = 387)			Weighted (n = 585) ^a		
	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 97)	Standardized difference	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 295)	Standardized difference
Demographic characteristics						
Age, ^c years	49 (11)	45 (12)	35.3	49 (11)	49 (12)	3.6
<i>Age category</i>						
18–39 years	61 (21%)	35 (36%)	33.8	61 (21%)	62 (21%)	0.6
40–59 years	178 (61%)	50 (52%)	19.9	178 (61%)	176 (60%)	3.3
60–69 years	51 (18%)	12 (12%)	14.7	51 (18%)	57 (19%)	4.7
<i>Participant-reported race/ethnicity^{dc}</i>						
Asian (Far East, Southeast Asia, Indian Subcontinent)	9 (3%)	6 (6%)	14.7	9 (3%)	9 (3%)	0.0
Black/African	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	7.5	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	12.6
Hispanic/Latino	4 (1%)	0 (0%)	10.3	4 (1%)	0 (0%)	15.0
Middle Eastern	2 (1%)	2 (2%)	11.8	2 (1%)	5 (2%)	7.6
White	269 (93%)	88 (91%)	7.4	269 (93%)	279 (95%)	7.5
Other	3 (1%)	1 (1%)	0.0	3 (1%)	2 (1%)	2.2
<i>Marital status</i>						
Married or common-law	232 (80%)	79 (81%)	3.7	232 (80%)	257 (87%)	19.4
Never married	35 (12%)	16 (16%)	12.7	35 (12%)	31 (11%)	5.4
Separated/divorced/widowed	23 (8%)	2 (2%)	27.2	23 (8%)	7 (2%)	24.8
<i>Employment status</i>						
Employed full- or part-time	241 (83%)	79 (81%)	4.3	241 (83%)	233 (79%)	10.2
Retired	27 (9%)	6 (6%)	11.7	27 (9%)	35 (12%)	7.6
Unemployed	10 (3%)	4 (4%)	3.5	10 (3%)	7 (2%)	6.6
Temporary sick leave or long-term disability	6 (2%)	1 (1%)	8.4	6 (2%)	9 (3%)	6.4
Student	1 (<1%)	0 (0%)	0.0	1 (<1%)	0 (0%)	5.9
Other	5 (2%)	7 (7%)	26.8	5 (2%)	11 (4%)	12.7

Characteristic	Unweighted (n = 387)			Weighted (n = 585) ^a		
	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 97)	Standardized difference	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 295)	Standardized difference
<i>Highest level of education</i>						
Primary school	8 (3%)	1 (1%)	12.7	8 (3%)	2 (1%)	16.3
Secondary/high school/higher secondary	85 (29%)	23 (24%)	12.7	85 (29%)	56 (19%)	24.4
Trade school	36 (13%)	9 (9%)	10.1	36 (13%)	30 (10%)	7.4
College	64 (22%)	16 (16%)	14.2	64 (22%)	65 (22%)	0.0
University	97 (34%)	48 (49%)	33.0	97 (34%)	142 (48%)	30.5
<i>Relationship to an intended recipient^e</i>						
Genetically related	161 (56%)	16 (16%)	89.0	161 (56%)	36 (12%)	102.3
Emotionally related	111 (38%)	46 (47%)	18.6	111 (38%)	155 (53%)	28.8
Non-directed / no relationship with recipient	18 (6%)	35 (36%)	78.6	18 (6%)	104 (35%)	76.4
Participated in kidney paired exchange	42 (15%)	N/A		42 (15%)	N/A	
Pre-donation health characteristics						
<i>Blood pressure^f</i>						
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	126 (9)	124 (9)	14.5	126 (9)	124 (9)	19.3
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	76 (6)	74 (7)	28.4	76 (6)	74 (7)	23.0
<i>Kidney function</i>						
Serum creatinine, mg/dL	0.9 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	12.2	0.9 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	4.9
eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² ^g	98.4 (13.4)	102.2 (13.5)	28.1	98.4 (13.4)	98.5 (13.1)	0.7
eGFR <80 mL/min per 1.73 m ²	25 (9%)	7 (7%)	5.2	25 (9%)	30 (10%)	5.6
<i>Urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio^h</i>						
0–2.9 mg/mmol	278/281 (99%)	93/96 (97%)	14.4	278/281 (99%)	286/292 (98%)	8.3
3–7.9 mg/mmol	3/281 (1%)	3/96 (3%)		3/281 (1%)	6/292 (2%)	
Other health characteristics						
Smoked cigarettes in the 30 days prior to the recruitment visit	46 (16%)	10 (10%)	16.5	46 (16%)	27 (9%)	20.0
Tinnitus	38/284 (13%)	9/95 (9%)	12.3	38/284 (13%)	42/284 (15%)	3.2

Characteristic	Unweighted (n = 387)			Weighted (n = 585) ^a		
	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 97)	Standardized difference	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 295)	Standardized difference
Body mass index, kg/m ²	27 (3)	26 (3)	22.2	27 (3)	27 (3)	2.5
<i>Body mass index categories</i>						
12–19 kg/m ²	2 (1%)	2 (2%)	11.8	2 (1%)	2 (1%)	0.0
20–24 kg/m ²	76 (26%)	32 (33%)	14.9	76 (26%)	62 (21%)	12.0
25–29 kg/m ²	162 (56%)	49 (51%)	10.7	162 (56%)	171 (58%)	4.2
30–34 kg/m ²	45 (16%)	14 (14%)	3.0	45 (16%)	60 (20%)	12.4
≥35 kg/m ²	5 (2%)	0 (0%)	12.7	5 (2%)	0 (0%)	17.1
<i>Medical history (diagnosed by a doctor)</i>						
High cholesterol	72 (25%)	15 (15%)	23.5	72 (25%)	61 (21%)	9.9
Depression	34 (12%)	7 (7%)	15.4	34 (12%)	41 (14%)	6.5
Anxiety	25 (9%)	4 (4%)	18.5	25 (9%)	22 (7%)	4.1
Sinusitis	25 (9%)	11 (11%)	9.1	25 (9%)	34 (12%)	9.2
Prior kidney stones	25 (9%)	3 (3%)	23.7	25 (9%)	28 (9%)	3.2
Hypertension	20 (7%)	0 (0%)	34.8	20 (7%)	0 (0%)	37.6
Gout	12 (4%)	3 (3%)	5.6	12 (4%)	11 (4%)	1.9
Stroke	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0
Diabetes	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0
Myocardial infarction, CABG, or balloon angioplasty	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.0
<i>Family medical historyⁱ</i>						
Hypertension	202 (70%)	53 (55%)	31.3	202 (70%)	157 (53%)	33.8
Kidney failure	159 (55%)	21 (22%)	72.1	159 (55%)	54 (18%)	76.5
Myocardial infarction or stroke	99 (34%)	39 (40%)	12.6	99 (34%)	147 (50%)	32.3
Diabetes	97 (33%)	32 (33%)	1.0	97 (33%)	107 (36%)	5.8
<i>Insurance</i>						
Life insurance	225 (78%)	71 (73%)	10.2	225 (78%)	214 (73%)	11.4

Characteristic	Unweighted (n = 387)			Weighted (n = 585) ^a		
	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 97)	Standardized difference	Donors (n = 290)	Nondonors (n = 295)	Standardized difference
Disability insurance	187 (64%)	60 (62%)	5.5	187 (64%)	177 (60%)	8.8
Drug plan (covers all or some of the cost of prescription medication) ^j	245/289 (85%)	84 (87%)	5.2	245/289 (85%)	258 (87%)	8.0
Psychosocial						
Physical health summary score ^k	53 (7) [280]	53 (7)	13.2	53 (7) [280]	52 (8)	22.4
Mental health summary score ^k	53 (8) [280]	53 (7)	2.6	53 (8) [280]	52 (7)	13.4
Beck Depression Inventory summary score ^l	2 (3) [276]	3 (4) [95]	8.3	2 (3) [276]	3 (4) [287]	15.8
Beck Anxiety Inventory summary score ^l	3 (4) [264]	3 (4) [89]	5.5	3 (4) [264]	3 (4) [261]	8.6
Year of donation						
2004-2007	13 (4%)	4 (4%)	1.8	13 (4%)	14 (5%)	1.4
2008-2011	100 (34%)	48 (50%)	30.6	100 (34%)	140 (47%)	26.5
2012-2014	177 (61%)	45 (46%)	29.9	177 (61%)	141 (48%)	26.8

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; N/A, not applicable.

Data are presented as means (standard deviations), no. (%), no./N observations (%), or [N] observations unless otherwise specified.

^a Average treatment effect in the treated weights were used; donors were given a weight of 1 and nondonors a weight of $ps/(1-ps)$, where ps is the estimated propensity score. This method produces a larger weighted pseudo sample of nondonors with a similar distribution of measured covariates as donors. The propensity score model included the following baseline variables: age at nephrectomy/cohort entry, medical history of depression, medical history of anxiety, kidney stones, eGFR, and body mass index.

^b Standardized differences describe differences between group means relative to their pooled standard deviation. A value larger than 10% is considered meaningfully different. The standardized difference presented for median values is from mean values. To compute standardized differences for categorical variables with zero cells, 0.5 was added to the numerator for both groups; for variables where both groups had zero participants (e.g. history of stroke), a value of 0.0 was given.

^c Age on the date of nephrectomy for donors and age at cohort entry for nondonors.

^d Participants self-reported their race/ethnicity by choosing from a list of racial/ethnic categories or answering the open-ended question at the end of the list; the category for other included Aboriginal/Native Persons/American Indian, Caucasian/Asian, mixed, and white/black.

^e Genetically related was defined as parent, sibling, offspring, grandparent, grandchild, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, or cousin. In the case of donors who entered into a paired donation (or donation chain), the specified relationship is to the recipient they were entering the chain for (not the recipient who received the donor's kidney).

- ^f The median number of pre-donation/baseline blood pressure measurements was 15 (IQR 12, 18) per donor and 15 (IQR 13, 18) per nondonor.
- ^g Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the 2021 Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation, using serum creatinine, age, and sex.²⁴
- ^h The category “0-2.9 mg/mmol” includes values of albumin-to-creatinine ratio that were too low to measure.
- ⁱ Family history of kidney failure includes kidney failure that required dialysis or a kidney transplant. Family history of hypertension does not include high blood pressure that only occurs in pregnancy. Family history of diabetes does not include diabetes that only occurred during pregnancy.
- ^j In the pilot phase, if a participant answered “yes” to additional medical insurance, we assumed they had coverage for prescription medication.
- ^k Assessed using the 36-item Short-Form Survey and scored using Canadian and Australian normative data as appropriate. Higher values indicate better health-related quality of life.
- ^l Lower scores indicate better well-being. The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) was not included in the pilot phase.

Table 2. Risk of testicular pain, hand pain, or eye pain in male donors compared with male nondonors

Outcome	Unweighted (n = 387)						Weighted (n = 585)			
	Donors n = 290		Nondonors n = 97		Unadjusted RD ^a (95% CI)	Adjusted RD ^a (95% CI)	Donors n = 290		Nondonors n = 295	
	No. events (%)	No. events (%)	No. events (%)	No. events (%)			RD ^{a,d} (95% CI)	RR ^{b,d} (95% CI)		
Testicular pain	51 (17.6%)	4 (4.1%)	13.5% (7.6, 19.4)	12.7% (3.7, 21.8)	4.26 (1.58, 11.49)	4.44 (1.61, 12.29)	51 (17.6%)	7 (2.3%)	15.3% (10.2, 20.5)	7.77 (2.65, 22.79)
Hand pain	26 (9.0%)	10 (10.3%)	-1.3% (-8.2, 5.5)	-1.2% (-9.7, 7.3)	0.87 (0.44, 1.74)	0.40 (0.22, 0.72)	26 (9.0%)	36 (12.2%)	-3.2% (-11.6, 5.2)	0.74 (0.34, 1.58)
Eye pain	19 (6.6%)	3 (3.1%)	3.5% (-1.0, 7.9)	3.5% (-9.6, 16.5)	2.12 (0.64, 7.00)	1.17 (0.29, 4.77)	19 (6.6%)	7 (2.4%)	4.1% (-0.2, 8.5)	2.71 (0.69, 10.65)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; RD, risk difference; RR, risk ratio.

^a Risk differences were estimated using binomial regression. Nondonors were the referent group in all comparisons.

^b Risk ratios were estimated using modified Poisson regression. Nondonors were the referent group in all comparisons.

^c The unweighted adjusted model included the following pre-donation/baseline characteristics: age at nephrectomy/cohort entry, medical history of depression, medical history of anxiety, kidney stones, eGFR, and body mass index.

^d Estimated using average treatment effect in the treated weights; donors were given a weight of 1 and nondonors a weight of $ps/(1-ps)$, where ps is the estimated propensity score. This statistical method produces a larger weighted pseudo sample of nondonors with a similar distribution of measured covariates as donors. The propensity score model included the following baseline variables: age at nephrectomy/cohort entry, medical history of depression, medical history of anxiety, kidney stones, eGFR, and body mass index. Bootstrapping was used to calculate confidence intervals for risk differences, and robust standard errors were used to calculate confidence intervals for risk ratios.

Table 3. Surgical details for 290 male living kidney donors

Type of surgery	Nephrectomy side		p-value ^b
	Left (n=253; 87.2%)	Right (n=37; 12.8%)	
Laparoscopic ^a (n=246; 84.8%)	223/253 (88.1%)	23/37 (62.2%)	<0.001
Open (n=44; 15.2%)	30/253 (11.9%)	14/37 (37.8%)	

^a Includes hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery. Three of the open surgeries were originally intended to be laparoscopic surgeries

^b P value computed using a chi-square test.

Table 4. Testicular pain by type of surgery and side of nephrectomy in 290 male donors ^a

	Type of surgery					
	Laparoscopic (n=246)			Open (n=44)		
	Nephrectomy side			Nephrectomy side		
Testicular pain	Left (n=223)	Right (n=23)	Overall (n=246)	Left (n=30)	Right (n=14)	Overall (n=44)
Any pain (left or right) (n=51)	43 (19.3%)	5 (21.7%)	48 (19.5%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (14.3%)	3 (6.8%)
Pain on left side only (n=41)	39 (17.5%)	1 (4.4%)	40 (16.3%)	1 (3.3%)	0	1 (2.3%)
Pain on right side only (n=6)	0	4 (17.4%)	4 (1.6%)	0	2 (14.3%)	2 (4.6%)
Pain on both sides (n=4)	4 (1.8%)	0	4 (1.6%)	0	0	0

^a Of 51 donors who reported any testicular pain, unilateral pain was reported by 47 (92.2%), and in 46 (90.2%), it was ipsilateral to the nephrectomy.

Table 5. Testicular pain after laparoscopic vs. open surgery in 290 male living kidney donors

Outcome	Type of surgery		Unadjusted risk difference ^c (95% CI)	Adjusted risk difference ^{c,d} (95% CI)	Unadjusted risk ratio ^c (95% CI)	Adjusted risk ratio ^{c,d} (95% CI)
	Laparoscopic (n=246) ^a	Open (n=44) ^b				
Any testicular pain	48 (19.5%)	3 (6.8%)	12.7% (3.8%, 21.6%)	15.0% (6.5%, 23.5%)	2.86 (0.93, 8.78)	3.08 (1.03, 9.15)
Ipsilateral testicular pain	43 (17.5%)	3 (6.8%)	10.7% (1.8%, 19.5%)	12.8% (4.0%, 21.6%)	2.56 (0.83, 7.90)	2.70 (0.91, 8.04)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval.

^a Laparoscopic includes hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery.

^b Three of the open surgeries were originally intended to be laparoscopic surgeries.

^c Risk differences were estimated from a binomial regression model with an identity link, and risk ratios from a modified Poisson regression model.²³

^d Adjusted for left- vs. right-sided nephrectomy.

Table 6. Testicular pain after left-sided vs. right-sided nephrectomy in 290 male living kidney donors, overall and stratified by surgery type

Outcome	No. events (%)				No. events (%)							
	Nephrectomy side		Risk difference ^a (95% CI)	Risk ratio ^b (95% CI)	Laparoscopic (n =246)		Risk difference ^a (95% CI)	Risk ratio ^b (95% CI)	Open (n=44)		Risk difference ^a (95% CI)	Risk ratio ^b (95% CI)
	Left (n=253)	Right (n=37)			Left (n=223)	Right (n=23)			Left (n=30)	Right (n=14)		
Any testicular pain	44 (17.4%)	7 (18.9%)	-1.5% (-15.0%, 11.9%)	0.92 (0.45, 1.89)	43 (19.3%)	5 (21.7%)	-2.5% (-20.1%, 15.2%)	0.89 (0.39, 2.02)	1 (3.3%)	2 (14.3%)	-11.0% (-30.4%, 8.5%)	0.23 (0.02, 2.36)
Ipsilateral testicular pain	40 (15.8%)	6 (16.2%)	-0.4% (-13.1%, 12.3%)	0.97 (0.44, 2.14)	39 (17.5%)	4 (17.4%)	0.1% (-16.2%, 16.4%)	1.01 (0.39, 2.56)	1 (3.3%)	2 (14.3%)	-11.0% (-30.4%, 8.5%)	0.23 (0.02, 2.36)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval.

^a Risk differences were estimated from a binomial regression model. The referent group is right-sided nephrectomy.

^b Risk ratios were estimated from modified Poisson regression. The referent group is right-sided nephrectomy.

Table 7. Pre-donation characteristics and post-donation testicular pain

Pre-donation characteristic	Any testicular pain after donation, mean (95% CI)			Ipsilateral testicular pain, mean (95% CI)		
	No (n = 239)	Yes (n = 51)	P-value ^a	No (n = 244)	Yes (n = 46)	P-value ^a
Age at donation, years	49.1 (47.7 to 50.5)	46.7 (43.6 to 49.7)	0.16	48.9 (47.5, 50.3)	47.2 (44.0, 50.4)	0.34
Height, cm	177.5 (176.6 to 178.3)	178.1 (176.1 to 180.0)	0.57	177.5 (176.6, 178.3)	177.9 (175.8, 180.0)	0.72
Weight, kg	85.6 (84.1 to 87.1)	85.7 (82.6 to 88.8)	0.94	85.7 (84.2, 87.1)	85.3 (81.9, 88.7)	0.84
Body mass index, kg/m ²	27.1 (26.7 to 27.6)	27.0 (26.2 to 27.9)	0.80	27.2 (26.8, 27.6)	26.9 (26.0, 27.8)	0.65

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval.

^a Computed using a t-test.

REFERENCES

1. Tonelli M, Wiebe N, Knoll G, et al. Systematic review: kidney transplantation compared with dialysis in clinically relevant outcomes. *Am J Transplant*. 2011;11(10):2093-2109. doi:10.1111/J.1600-6143.2011.03686.X
2. Klarenbach S, Manns B. Economic evaluation of dialysis therapies. *Semin Nephrol*. 2009;29(5):524-532. doi:10.1016/J.SEMNEPHROL.2009.06.009
3. Garg AX, Arnold JB, Cuerden M, et al. The Living Kidney Donor Safety Study: Protocol of a Prospective Cohort Study. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*. 2022;9. doi:10.1177/20543581221129442
4. Garg AX, Arnold JB, Cuerden MS, et al. Hypertension and Kidney Function After Living Kidney Donation. *JAMA*. 2024;322(4):287-299. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2024.8523
5. Przech S, Garg AX, Arnold JB, et al. Financial costs incurred by living kidney donors: A prospective cohort study. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2018;29(12):2847-2857. doi:10.1681/ASN.2018040398
6. Barnieh L, Arnold JB, Boudville N, et al. Living Kidney Donors' Financial Expenses and Mental Health. *Transplantation*. 2021;105(6):1356-1364. doi:10.1097/TP.0000000000003401
7. Garcia-Ochoa C, Feldman LS, Nguan C, et al. Perioperative Complications During Living Donor Nephrectomy: Results from a Multicenter Cohort Study. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*. 2019;6:205435811985771. doi:10.1177/2054358119857718
8. Garcia-Ochoa C, Feldman LS, Nguan C, et al. Impact of Perioperative Complications on Living Kidney Donor Health-Related Quality of Life and Mental Health: Results From a Prospective Cohort Study. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*. 2021;8. doi:10.1177/20543581211037429/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/10.1177_20543581211037429-FIG2.JPEG
9. Chin EH, Hazzan D, Herron DM, et al. Laparoscopic donor nephrectomy: intraoperative safety, immediate morbidity, and delayed complications with 500 cases. *Surg Endosc*. 2007;21(4):521-526. doi:10.1007/S00464-006-9021-Y
10. Choi SW, Moon HW, Kim KS, Choi YS, Cho HJ. Testicular Pain Following Laparoscopic Donor Nephrectomy: An Underreported Complication. *J Endourol*. Published online August 30, 2024. doi:10.1089/END.2024.0454
11. El Hennawy HM, Al Faihi AS, Al Atta E, et al. Post-Laparoendoscopic Single-Site Donor Nephrectomy Ipsilateral Testicular Pain, Does Operative Technique Matter? A Single Center Experience and Review of Literature. *Minim Invasive Surg*. 2022;2022. doi:10.1155/2022/3292048
12. Gandhi N V., Murad DN, Hebert SA, et al. Incidence and correlates of testicular pain after kidney donation. *Frontiers in Urology*. 2022;2:986255. doi:10.3389/FRURO.2022.986255/BIBTEX

13. Gjertson CK, Sundaram CP. Testicular pain following laparoscopic renal surgery. *J Urol*. 2008;180(5):2037-2041. doi:10.1016/J.JURO.2008.07.045
14. Jalali M, Rahmani S, Joyce AD, Cartledge JJ, Lewis MH, Ahmad N. Laparoscopic donor nephrectomy: an increasingly common cause for testicular pain and swelling. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2012;94(6):407-410. doi:10.1308/003588412X13171221592177
15. Kim FJ, Pinto P, Su LM, et al. Ipsilateral orchialgia after laparoscopic donor nephrectomy. *J Endourol*. 2003;17(6):405-409. doi:10.1089/089277903767923209
16. Pinar U, Pettenati C, Hurel S, et al. Persistent orchialgia after laparoscopic living-donor nephrectomy: an underestimated complication requiring information adjustment. *World J Urol*. 2021;39(2):621-627. doi:10.1007/S00345-020-03228-6
17. Schoepfoerster J, Matas A, Jackson S, et al. Orchialgia After Living Donor Nephrectomy: An Underreported Entity. *Transplant Direct*. 2022;8(11):e1383. doi:10.1097/TXD.0000000000001383
18. Shirodkar SP, Gorin MA, Sageshima J, et al. Technical modification for laparoscopic donor nephrectomy to minimize testicular pain: a complication with significant morbidity. *Am J Transplant*. 2011;11(5):1031-1034. doi:10.1111/J.1600-6143.2011.03495.X
19. Srivastava A, Kapoor R, Srivastava A, Ansari MS, Singh M, Kapoor R. Orchialgia after laproscopic renal surgery: a common problem with questionable etiology. Are there any predictors? *World J Urol*. 2013;31(5):1153-1157. doi:10.1007/S00345-012-0864-7
20. Sureka SK, Srivastava A, Agarwal S, et al. Prevention of Orchialgia After Left-Sided Laparoscopic Donor Nephrectomy-A Prospective Study. *J Endourol*. 2015;29(6):696-699. doi:10.1089/END.2014.0645
21. Vandembroucke JP, Von Elm E, Altman DG, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(8). doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010-W1
22. Austin PC, Stuart EA. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in observational studies. *Stat Med*. 2015;34(28):3661-3679. doi:10.1002/SIM.6607
23. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;159(7):702-706. doi:10.1093/AJE/KWH090
24. Inker LA, Eneanya ND, Coresh J, et al. New Creatinine- and Cystatin C-Based Equations to Estimate GFR without Race. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(19):1737-1749. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA2102953