- 2 Systematic Evaluation of Adiposity Indices as Predictors of Hypertension Risk in Children
- 3 and Adolescents
- **Short title:** Adiposity Indices in Pediatric Hypertension 4
- 5 **Authors:** Bowen Zhu (phD)*1,2,3, Shumin Zhan (M.S.)*1,2, Hui Shi (M.S.)*4, Xingyun Wang(phD)2,
- 6 Jingwen Yue (M.S.)³, Jianfang Gao (M.S.)², Tongshuai Wang (phD)^{2,3}, Rui Wang (phD)², Xirong
- 7 Guo (MD, phD)^{2*}, Junfen Fu (MD, phD)^{1*}
- 8 1 Department of Endocrinology, Children's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine,
- 9 National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Hangzhou, 310051, China.
- 10 2 Honggiao International Institute of Medicine, Tongren Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
- 11 School of Medicine, No.1111, XianXia Road, Shanghai 200336, China.
- 12 3 Department of Clinical Research Center, Tongren Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School
- 13 of Medicine, No.1111, XianXia Road, Shanghai 200336, China.
- 14 4 Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 1665 Kongjiang Road,
- 15 200092, Shanghai, China.
- *Bowen Zhu, Shumin Zhan and Hui Shi equally contributed to the manuscript. 16
- # Corresponding author: 17
- 18 Junfen Fu, MD, PhD, Department of Endocrinology, The Children's Hospital of Zhejiang
- 19 University School of Medicine, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, 3333 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

21 0000-0001-6405-1251.

Xirong Guo, MD, PhD, Professor of Pedology, Honggiao International Institute of Medicine, 22

Tongren Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, No.1111, XianXia Road,

Shanghai 200336, China. Tel/Fax: 021-52039999; Email: xrguo@shsmu.edu.cn

Abstract

23

24

25

26

- **Objective** To systemically examine the concomitant impact of adiposity indices on 27
- hypertension in children and adolescents. 28
- **Methods** A community-based cohort study by China Health and Nutrition Survey (2009-2011) 29
- included 262 children and adolescents aged 7-17. Anthropometric and lipid profiles were 30
- 31 analyzed for hypertension risk using logistic and cross-lagged models.
- Results Of the 227 participants (mean age, 16.5 [2.3] years), 147 (53.9%) were boys. Over a 32
- two-year period, 26.4% developed hypertension, 5.3% had elevated systolic blood pressure 33
- (SBP), and 25.6% had elevated diastolic blood pressure (DBP). After adjusting for covariates, 34
- higher body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), triceps 35
- 36 skinfold thickness (TST), and body roundness index (BRI) were associated with increased
- 37 hypertension risk. Incorporating these measures into a BP-based model improved the AUC for
- hypertension prediction from 0.551 (95% CI: 0.463, 0.640) to 0.670 (95% CI: 0.592, 0.748). A 38
- longitudinal relationship were observed between these adiposity indices and hypertension 39

40 symptoms.

41 **Conclusions** The study suggests anthropometry parameters are superior to blood lipid

indicators in predicting the occurrence of hypertension in children. Abdominal obesity, as

indicated by WC, HC, and BRI, accounts for a significant portion of the risk for hypertension,

particularly in children aged 7 to 12 years.

Keywords: Adiposity Indices, Pediatric Hypertension, Children and adolescents, Cross-lagged

Model

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

1.Introduction

Hypertension affects about 4% of children and 11% of adolescents globally [1]. The early identification and proper intervention of high blood pressure or hypertension during childhood are extremely crucial because these conditions are related to cardiovascular morbidity (left ventricular hypertrophy and high carotid intima-media thickness) and mortality in adulthood and are commonly associated with obesity [2-4]. A comprehensive analysis of 2033 studies spanning 154 countries has revealed a significant prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents[5]. The pooled estimates indicate that 8.5% are overweight and 14.8% are obese, which translates to roughly one in every five young individuals facing the challenge of excess weight. China's rapid economic progress has brought about major lifestyle and societal transformations, resulting in a surge in metabolic disorders. This trend is likely to have a substantial effect on the cardiovascular disease (CVD) burden in the country. The number of children aged 5 to 19 years with obesity is predicted to rise to 254 million by 2030 [6]. It is believed that obesity-related primary hypertension has contributed to the rise in hypertension prevalence, which has doubled from 1% to 4% over the past three decades[7-8].

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

4

Enhancing our comprehension of the anthropometric and biochemical indicators of adiposity profiles in the context of hypertension is essential for the prevention and management of this condition in children and adolescents. In a cohort study of youths, a high normal body weight above the 60th percentile of BMI for age was associated with an increased risk of hypertension [9]. The suggested thresholds of 0.50 and 0.46 for two distinct pediatric groups have demonstrated robust predictive capabilities for identifying individuals with two or more cardiometabolic risk factors in populations from six countries [10]. Furthermore, innovative anthropometric indices such as the Body Shape Index (BSI), Body Roundness Index (BRI), Conicity Index (CI), and Visceral Fat Index (VFI) have been introduced to more accurately pinpoint subcutaneous and visceral fat distribution. A meta-analysis with 21108 children and adolescents confirmed that original ABSI and modified ABSI do not perform as well as traditional anthropometric indicators, such as BMI and WC. BMI continues to be the most effective indicator for screening high blood pressure (HBP) in pediatric populations[11]. Rui Chen et al. reported that WHtR and BRI can be recommended to identify hypertension, dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity, and clustered cardio-metabolic risk factors (CMRFs) in 7-17-year-old teenagers [12]. However, the majority of studies have been conducted with adult populations, and the interplay and individual impact of biochemical measures, anthropometric data, and composite metrics on hypertension remains to be fully elucidated. Furthermore, there is a scarcity of data that can differentiate between the hypertension risk in childhood associated with initial obesity-related metrics and the predictive capacity. In this study, we aimed to systemically investigate the temporal and bidirectional relationship between anthropometric and biochemistry measures of obesity and hypertension symptoms and further explore the

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study cohorts

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

This survey encompassed children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 years, with two follow-up visits conducted between 2009 and 2011. Valid blood pressure (BP) data, comprising three repeated readings, were obtained. Participants with missing BP values during the follow-up period were excluded from the analysis. The data was sourced from the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS), an ongoing, open cohort study. The CHNS is a comprehensive, nationwide, prospective cohort study that includes a representative sample of the Chinese population. Its primary objective is to assess the impact of health, nutrition, and family planning policies and programs implemented by both national and local governments. Further details regarding the study design and data collection methodologies of the CHNS have been documented in prior publications [13]. Blood samples were collected in the 2009 year, and data information on biochemical indicators was obtained. Since blood samples were collected in 2009 year, a total of 768 participants with blood biomarkers information were collected in 2009. After excluding those who had 3-day high protein intake (n=17), elevated SBP or DBP (defined as age- and sex-specific 90th percentile, n=221), glucose above 7.0 mmol/L or HbA1c above 6.5% (n=8), BMI above 35 kg/m² (n=1), missing data of systolic BP or diastolic BP (n=133), 388 participants were included in the formal analysis. After that, a total of 161 participants were excluded as they had lost visits during the follow-up duration (Supplementary Figure 1). Demographic and behavioral characteristics of participants included in the final analysis (n=227) were compared

with those of excluded participants (*Supplementary Table 1*). All data and samples were collected after written informed consent was obtained from parents or caregivers for children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 years. The CHNS was granted approval by the Institutional Review Board at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, as well as by the local Institutional Review Board of Ethics Committee.

2.2 Data collection

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

A standardized structured questionnaire was administered by trained health staff to collect socio-demographic variables (in 2009) including age, gender, urban-rural residence, soft/sugared fruit drinks, total protein intake, total fat intake, total carbohydrate intake, and total energy intake. Physical examinations of waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), height, weight, triceps skinfold thickness (TST), upper arm circumference (UAC), and BP were performed by trained clinical staff. All individuals maintained a regular life pattern for at least three days before blood sample collection and 12 ml of blood was collected (in three 4 ml tubes) on an empty stomach. Biomarker data collected in CHNS 2009 involves the release of 26 fasting blood measures on individuals aged 7 and older [14]. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Schwartz formula [15]. The individuals were categorized into groups, namely, residence, urban, and rural. Individual dietary intake for 3 consecutive days was determined for every household member. This step has been achieved by asking individuals each day to report all food consumed away from home on a 24-hour recall basis, and the same daily interview has been used to collect at-home individual consumption. Soft/sugared fruit perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

7

drinks information was assessed by the question including "Drink soft/sugared fruit drinks?" or

"How often drink soft/sugared fruit drinks?".

2.3 Adiposity Indices Measures

126

127

128

138

Adiposity indices measures included body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), hip 129 circumference (HC), triceps skinfold thickness (TST), waist to hip circumference ratio (WHR), 130 131 upper arm circumference (UAC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), blood glucose, serum uric acid (SUA), conicity index (CI), body shape index (BSI), visceral fat 132 133 index (VFI), body roundness index (BRI). BMI was calculated as weight(kg)/height²(m²) and was 134 converted into age and gender-specific BMI percentiles [16]. Abdominal obesity was defined as waist circumference age- and sex-specific 90th percentile, determined by the cut-off points of 135 standards for Chinese children and adolescents [17]. Waist to height ratio (WHR) was calculated 136 as waist circumference(cm)/ height(cm). The calculation of the novel anthropometric indices is 137

- 139 $CI = WC/0.109* (Wt/Ht)^{1/2} [18]$
- 140 BSI = WC*Wt $^{-2/3}$ *Ht $^{-5/6}$ [19]
- 141 VFI=WC/ [39.68 + 1.88*BMI*TG/1.03] * [1.31/HDL-C] (for male),

described below, with the relevant reference cited at the end of each formula:

- 142 VFI=WC/(36. 58+1. 89*BMI)*(TG/0. 81)*(1. 52/HDL-C) (for female) [20]
- 143 BRI = $364.2 365.5* (1 ((0.5*WC/\pi)^2/(0.5*Ht)^2))^{0.5} [21]$
- The unit of TG, HDL-c, and LDL-c was mmol/L.

TG was measured using the GPO-PAP (Hitachi 7600, Kyowa, Japan). HDL-c was measured using the Enzymatic method (Hitachi 7600, Kyowa, UK). Glucose was measured using the GOD-PAP (Hitachi 7600, Randox, UK).

2.4 Diagnostic Criteria and Definitions of Outcome

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure values were determined based on the average of three separate measurements. Participants' blood pressure status, classified as either normal or elevated, was ascertained using sex- and age-specific cutoff values that are applicable to Chinese school-age children and adolescents. In accordance with Cook's criteria, elevated blood pressure is defined as a systolic or diastolic blood pressure equal to or greater than the 90th percentile for age, sex, and height [22]. The evaluation of blood pressure outcomes was conducted using three distinct categorization methods: hypertension (defined by elevated SBP or elevated DBP) versus non-hypertension; elevated SBP versus normal SBP; and elevated DBP versus normal DBP.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables or number (percentage), for categorical variables. Data on demographics, anthropometric and biochemistry measures were compared using the chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was performed for continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression models were employed to evaluate the longitudinal associations of adiposity indices with incident hypertension, elevated SBP, and elevated DBP, respectively. Multivariable models were sequentially adjusted for (1) SBP, and/or

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

9

DBP; (2) plus age, and gender; and (3) plus baseline residence, eGFR, soft fruit drinks, and total carbohydrate intake. The areas under the receiver operator characteristics curve (AUC) were calculated to assess the prediction ability of adiposity indices for hypertension, elevated SBP, and elevated DBP. Continuous net reclassification improvement (NRI), and absolute integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) were used to assess whether adding the obesity-related measures could improve risk discrimination and reclassification for hypertension, elevated SBP, and elevated DBP prediction above the baseline SBP, /or DBP. The cross-lagged panel models were applied to analyze the temporal relationship between obesity-related measures (as continuous variables) and SBP, and/or DBP levels (as categorical variables). The lagged effect between the observed variables, the correlation between the selected adiposity indices (BMI, CI, WC, HC, TST and UAC, BRI) at each phase, and the residual variance of the observed variables (SBP, and/or DBP levels) were estimated in the cross-lagged panel analysis, which elucidates the temporal relationship between correlated variables and indicates the precursor variable. Several sensitivity analyses were performed to test the robustness of our findings. First, we treated obesity-related measures, SBP, and DBP as continuous variables, to determine the linear associations between adiposity indices and BP. Prespecified subgroup analyses were conducted based on age (7-12 years and 13-17 years), and gender (boys and girls) in secondary analyses. P < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted with SAS software (v.9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3. Result

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

3.1 Baseline Characteristics of Pediatric and Adolescent Participants Across Various

Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure Groups

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

Among the 227 participants included in the present analysis (64.8% of whom were boys), the mean age was 11.7 (SD, ±2.3) years. During a median of 2 years of follow-up, 60 cases of incident hypertension (26.4%), 12 cases of incident elevated SBP (5.3%), and 58 cases of elevated DBP (25.6%) were identified. Children diagnosed with hypertension exhibited significantly higher measurements for WC, HC, BMI, TST, and BRI. Additionally, a higher proportion of these children were girls and were classified as overweight. Compared with the normal SBP group, the children and adolescents diagnosed with elevated SBP had a higher level of waist circumference, hip circumferences, BMI, TST, BRI, ferritin, total protein, as well as more proportions of overweight. Compared with the normal DBP group, the children and adolescents diagnosed with elevated DBP had a higher level of WC, HC, BMI, TST, low level of total carbohydrate intake, as well as more proportions of girls, overweight (*Table 1*). 3.2 Correlations Between Body Adiposity Measures and the Onset of Hypertension The risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of incident hypertension for each adiposity index (per SD) are shown in Figure 1. After adjusting for covariates, BMI, WC, HC, TST, and BRI were associated with a higher risk of hypertension. When defining the outcome as elevated SBP (SBP > P₉₀), BMI, WC, HC, TST, UAC, SUA, CI, and BRI were associated with a higher risk of elevated SBP. While, BMI, WC, HC, TST, TG, and BRI were associated with a higher risk of elevated DBP (Figure 1). 3.3 The Incremental Predictive Value of Adiposity Indices in Assessing the Risk of Hypertension, High Systolic Blood Pressure, and High Diastolic Blood Pressure A total of seven adiposity indices were identified, including BMI, WC, HC, TST, UAC, BRI, and CI.

The inclusion of HC and BRI was found to enhance the net reclassification ability and the overall

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

11

predicted probability for hypertension. Similarly, incorporating WC was shown to improve the net reclassification ability and the overall predicted probability for elevated SBP. Adding the WC, HC, and BRI could improve the net reclassification ability and overall predicted probability for elevated DBP. Furthermore, by incorporating BMI, WC, and HC into the basic model—which considers continuous SBP and DBP—the area under the curve (AUC) for hypertension prediction was significantly enhanced, increasing from 0.551 (95% CI: 0.463, 0.640) to 0.670 (95% CI: 0.592, 0.748). For SBP prediction, the AUC remained high at 0.873, with a CI ranging from 0.771 to 0.974. Similarly, for elevated DBP prediction, the AUC improved from 0.531 (95% CI: 0.440, 0.621) to 0.649 (95% CI: 0.570, 0.729) (*Table 2*). 3.4 The Temporal Dynamics of the Association Between Body Adiposity Measures and **Elevated Systolic/Diastolic Blood Pressure** The fully cross-lagged model was used when compared to both the adiposity indices measures to BP levels (defined as elevated SBP or elevated DBP) and the BP levels to the obesity-related measures (Figure 2). Of note, there existed no directional associations between the most obesity-related measures and any hypertension symptoms. Baseline adiposity indices including WC, HC, TST, UAC, BRI, and CI were unidirectional longitudinally associated with hypertension symptoms (elevated SBP or elevated DBP). Cross-lagged path estimates showed that only BMI was directional and longitudinally associated with hypertension symptoms. Baseline SBP (in the 2009 year) was longitudinally negatively associated with later BMI (in 2011 year), while baseline DBP (in 2009 year) was significantly positively associated with BMI (in 2011 year).

3.5 Sensitivity and Subgroup Analysis

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

In the sensitivity analysis, the linear analysis revealed significant longitudinal associations between various adiposity indices including BMI, WC, HC, TST, UAC, BSI, and BRI, with a higher risk of SBP and DBP, treated as continuous variables (*Supplementary Figure 2*). In subgroup analysis, the significant associations of BMI, WC, HC, TST, and BRI with risk of hypertension only existed in the younger age group ($7\sim12$ years) after accounting for multiple variables. In the subgroup classified by gender, the significant associations of BMI with the risk of hypertension only existed in girls. Whereas, the associations of UAC, and high level of TG with risk of hypertension only existed in boys (*Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3*).

4. Discussion

In this cohort study of the resident Chinese pediatric and adolescent population, we identified a significant correlation between elevated BMI, WC, HC, TST, and BRI with the prevalence of hypertension. This association remained consistent, regardless of initial systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements, demographic variables, lifestyle factors, and eGFR. In contrast, we observed no significant link between hypertension and UAC, triglycerides (TG), HDL-c, blood glucose levels, serum uric acid (SUA), CI, BSI, and VFI. The relationships of these adiposity indices with both elevated SBP and DBP mirrored their associations with hypertension, with the exception that an increased UAC and CI were linked to a higher probability of elevated SBP. It is noteworthy that among the five adiposity indices, only BMI demonstrated a consistent, directional longitudinal association with the symptoms of hypertension.

Previous research has consistently highlighted the relationship between adiposity indices and pediatric hypertension [23,24]. Our study adds to the existing literature by providing evidence that an increased WC is positively correlated with elevated blood pressure in pediatric

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

13

populations. The accumulation of abdominal fat is known to secrete various adipokines and cytokines that can lead to endothelial dysfunction and increased peripheral vascular resistance, thereby contributing to the development of hypertension [25-27]. Moreover, our study reveals that an expanded HC is associated with a higher degree of fat deposition in the buttocks and thighs, consequently increasing the likelihood of hypertension in the pediatric population. TST could serve as a valuable anthropometric marker for assessing cardiovascular risk in children. This association may be attributed to the secretion of adipose-derived hormones and inflammatory cytokines that can lead to endothelial dysfunction and heightened vascular resistance [28]. The areas under the ROC curves for WC and HC in predicting pediatric hypertension were higher than those for BMI. These findings suggested that body fat distribution, rather than overall obesity, maybe a more sensitive predictor of cardiovascular health in the pediatric population [29]. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for WC and HC in the prediction of pediatric hypertension were notably higher compared to those for BMI. These results suggest that the distribution of body fat, rather than overall adiposity, may be a more sensitive predictor of cardiovascular health in the pediatric population. BRI, which quantifies visceral fat and total body fat percentages, was significantly associated with elevated blood pressure in children [30]. In prior research, the BRI has been identified as a more effective predictor than other anthropometric measures for assessing the risk of a range of clinical outcomes in adults. These include conditions such as cardiometabolic disorders, renal impairment, and malignancies [31-35]. There is an emerging consensus that visceral fat poses a significantly greater health risk

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

14

compared to subcutaneous fat, as it is more strongly associated with an increased risk of various diseases in adults [36-37]. While the concept that BRI can estimate total and regional fat percentages seems plausible and potentially superior in adults, the evidence linking BRI to diseases in children is currently limited. In the study, the area under the ROC curves for BRI in predicting pediatric hypertension was found to be lower compared to WC and HC. This suggests that BRI's predictive power for pediatric hypertension may not be as effective as it is in adults. Theoretically, assuming the shape of the body as an ellipse with the long axis height and the short axis WC, BRI can be calculated as the eccentricity of this ellipse via human modeling. Acknowledging the inherent disparities in body contours between the pediatric and adult demographics, it is crucial to appreciate that indices like the BRI may not be directly comparable across these groups. The efficacy of BRI as a predictor for hypertension could be subject to variation owing to the unique growth trajectories, body composition differences, and the influence of developmental milestones that are characteristic of the pediatric population. Therefore, the application of BRI in pediatric assessments should be approached with caution, and further research is needed to establish its validity and reliability in predicting hypertension specifically within the pediatric demographic. For practical reasons, there remains a need for a simple and effective children-specific indicator to better reflect visceral obesity. Our study revealed that UAC and CI were significantly correlated with systolic blood pressure but not with diastolic blood pressure. This distinction could be due to the different physiological mechanisms underlying the two types of blood pressure. Systolic blood pressure is more influenced by arterial stiffness, cardiac output, and abnormal changes in brain cortical structure, whereas diastolic blood pressure is more affected by peripheral vascular resistance [38,39]. The fact that

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

15

our measurements are more strongly associated with SBP may indicate a closer link with factors affecting arterial stiffness, and brain cortical structure. Our study's findings suggest that in the pediatric population, there is no significant association between blood lipid levels (triglycerides, LDL-c, HDL-c, total cholesterol, SUA) and the development of hypertension. This observation challenges the widely held belief that dyslipidemia is a consistent predictor of cardiovascular risk, including hypertension in adults. The absence of a correlation in our pediatric cohort could be attributed to several factors. First, the pathophysiology of hypertension in children may differ fundamentally from that in adults, where lipid metabolism plays a more established role [40]. Children are in a dynamic phase of growth and development, with a rapidly changing metabolic profile that might not yet be fully aligned with the traditional risk factors seen in adulthood [41]. Additionally, the dietary and lifestyle factors that significantly influence lipid profiles in adults may not have had sufficient time to exert their effects in children. As children's dietary habits and physical activity levels evolve, their lipid profiles may not have stabilized to a point where they can significantly influence blood pressure. The association between adiposity indices and hypertension in children appears to be age-dependent, with our study indicating a significant correlation within the 7 to 12 years age group, which was not observed in the 13 to 18 years age group. This age-specific association may reflect the dynamic changes in growth, development, and metabolic patterns that occur during childhood and adolescence. The physiological changes during adolescence, including growth spurts, hormonal fluctuations, and the maturation of various organ systems, could influence the relationship between adiposity indices and blood

pressure in complex ways that are not yet fully understood. The distribution of body fat and its

metabolic impact may be different in adolescents compared to adults, potentially mitigating the effects of excess adiposity on blood pressure regulation.

Strengths and Limitations

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

A significant advantage of our study is its community-based approach, which successfully enrolled a substantial sample of children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 in China. This age group has been notably underrepresented in research pertaining to pediatric hypertension. Limitations include the possibility of residual confounding inherent to the observational design, including the possibility of differential distribution of unmeasured or incompletely measured confounders such as birth weight and gestational age. We can also not exclude limitations in the generalizability of the results in this CHNS cohort with access to health care and the existence of withdrawal bias. Besides, different criteria for recognizing overweight and obesity in children may influence the accuracy of the estimation.

5. Conclusions and Implications

Our study identified that several adiposity indices—namely BMI, WC, HC, TST, and BRI— were correlated with a heightened risk of hypertension among Chinese children and adolescents. Notably, only BMI demonstrated a consistent, directional relationship with the longitudinal presentation of hypertension symptoms. Moreover, the pronounced associations between these adiposity indices and the risk of hypertension were predominantly observed in the younger age group, ranging from 7 to 12 years old.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Associations between anthropometric indices/metabolic measures and hypertension diagnosis (Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity index;

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HC, hip circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TST, triceps skinfold thickness; UAC, upper arm circumference; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio. * $0.01 < P \le 0.05$; ** $0.001 < P \le 0.01$; *** P < 0.001) Figure 2 Estimates from the cross-lagged model for the associations between anthropometry parameters and incident hypertension classified by elevated SBP and elevated DBP (Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HC, hip circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TST, triceps skinfold thickness; UAC, upper arm circumference; WC, waist circumference. All estimates were standardized. * $0.01 < P \le 0.05$; ** $0.001 < P \le 0.01$; *** P < 0.001). Reference Song P, Zhang Y, Yu J, Zha M, Zhu Y, Rahimi K, et al. Global Prevalence of Hypertension in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2019;173(12):1154-1163. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.3310. Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, Blowey D, Carroll AE, Daniels SR, et al.; SUBCOMMITTEE ON SCREENING AND MANAGEMENT OF HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE IN CHILDREN. Clinical Practice Guideline for Screening and Management of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. Pediatrics. 2017;140(3):e20171904. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-1904. Yang L, Magnussen CG, Yang L, Bovet P, Xi B. Elevated blood pressure in childhood or

adolescence and cardiovascular outcomes in adulthood: a systematic review. Hypertension.

2020;75(4):948-955. doi:10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.14168

- Chung J, Robinson C, Sheffield L, Paramanathan P, Yu A, Ewusie J, et al. Prevalence of 362
- pediatric masked hypertension and risk of subclinical cardiovascular outcomes: a 363
- 2023;80(11):2280-2292. 364 systematic review and meta-analysis. Hypertension.
- doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.123.20967. 365
- Zhang X, Liu J, Ni Y, Yi C, Fang Y, Ning Q, et al. Global Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity 366
- in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2024 367
- Aug 1;178(8):800-813. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.1576. PMID: 38856986; PMCID: 368
- PMC11165417. 369
- Lobstein T, Brinsden H. On behalf of World Obesity Federation. Atlas of childhood obesity. 370
- World Obesity Federation; 2019. 371
- Flynn J. The changing face of pediatric hypertension in the era of the childhood obesity 372
- 373 epidemic. Pediatr Nephrol. 2013;28(7):1059-1066. doi:10.1007/s00467-012-2344-0
- Muntner P, He J, Cutler JA, Wildman RP, Whelton PK. Trends in blood pressure among 374
- children and adolescents. JAMA. 2004;291(17): 2107-2113. doi:10.1001/jama.291.17.2107. 375
- Koebnick C, Sidell MA, Li X, Woolford SJ, Kuizon BD, Kunani P. Association of High Normal 376
- 377 Body Weight in Youths With Risk of Hypertension. JAMA Netw Open. 2023; 6(3):e231987.
- doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.1987. PMID: 3691711MC10015306. 378
- 10. Zong X, Kelishadi R, Hong YM, Schwandt P, Matsha TE, Mill JG, et al. Establishing 379
- international optimal cut-offs of waist-to-height ratio for predicting cardiometabolic risk in 380
- children and adolescents aged 6-18 years. BMC Med. 2023; 21(1):442. doi: 381

- 382 10.1186/s12916-023-03169-y.
- 383 11. Ge W, Yi L, Xiao C, Xiao Y, Liu J, Liang F, Yin J, Hu J. Effectiveness of a body shape index in
- predicting pediatric high blood pressure. Pediatr Res. 2022;92(3):871-879. doi: 384
- 10.1038/s41390-021-01844-5. 385
- 12. Chen R, Ji L, Chen Y, Meng L. Weight-to-height ratio and body roundness index are superior 386
- 387 indicators to assess cardio-metabolic risks in Chinese children and adolescents: compared
- with body mass index and a body shape index. Transl Pediatr. 2022;11(3):318-329. doi: 388
- 389 10.21037/tp-21-479.
- 390 13. Popkin BM, Du S, Zhai F, Zhang B. Cohort Profile: The China Health and Nutrition Survey-
- 391 monitoring and Understanding Socio-Economic and Health Change in China, 1989-2011. Int
- J Epidemiol (2010) 39(6):1435–40. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyp322. 392
- 393 14. Yan S, Li J, Li S, Zhang B, Du S, Gordon-Larsen P, et al. The Expanding Burden of
- Cardiometabolic Risk in China: The China Health and Nutrition Survey. Obes Rev (2012) 394
- 13(9):810-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.01016.x. 395
- 15. Schwartz GJ, Muñoz A, Schneider MF, Mak RH, Kaskel F, Warady BA, et al. New equations to 396
- 397 estimate GFR in children with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009; 20(3):629-37. doi:
- 10.1681/ASN.2008030287. 398
- 16. WS/T611-2018; High Waist Circumference Screenning Threshold among Children and 399
- Adolescents Aged 7–17 Years. National Health and Family Planning Commission of People's 400
- Republic of China: Beijing, China, 2018. 401

- 17. Endocrinology, Genetics and Metabolism Group, Chinese Society of Pediatrics. Definition 402
- and prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents in China. 403
- Chinese Journal of Pediatrics, 2012, 50: 420-422. 404
- 18. Valdez R. A simple model-based index of abdominal adiposity. J Clin Epidemiol. 405
- 1991;44(9):955-6. doi: 10.1016/0895-4356(91)90059-i. 406
- 407 19. Krakauer NY, Krakauer JC. A new body shape index predicts mortality hazard independently
- of body mass index. PLoS One. 2012; 7(7): e39504. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039504. 408
- 20. Huang J, Zhou C, Li Y, Zhu S, Liu A, Shao X, et al. Visceral adiposity index, 409
- 410 hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype and chronic kidney disease in a southern Chinese
- population: a cross-sectional study. Int Urol Nephrol. 2015; 47(8): 1387-96. doi: 411
- 10.1007/s11255-015-1040-y. 412
- 413 21. Thomas DM, Bredlau C, Bosy-Westphal A, Mueller M, Shen W, Gallagher D, et al.
- Relationships between body roundness with body fat and visceral adipose tissue emerging 414
- from a new geometrical model. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013; 21(11): 2264-71. doi: 415
- 10.1002/oby.20408. 416
- 417 22. Writing group of Chinese Guidelines for Management of Hypertension; Chinese
- Hypertension League; Chinese Society of Cardiology; Chinese Medical Doctor Association 418
- Hypertension Committee; Hypertension Branch of China International Exchange and 419
- Promotive Association for Medical and Health Care; Hypertension Branch of Chinese 420
- Geriatric Medical Association. 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of 421
- Hypertension. Chin. J. Cardiovasc. Med. 2018, 24, 24–56. 422

- 23. Ferreira S. Obesity and hypertension in children: a worldwide problem. Rev Port Cardiol 423
- (Engl Ed). 2018;37(5): 433-434. doi:10.1016/j.repc.2018.04.006. 424
- 24. Koebnick C, Sidell MA, Li X, Woolford SJ, Kuizon BD, Kunani P. Association of High Normal 425
- Body Weight in Youths With Risk of Hypertension. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(3):e231987. 426
- doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.1987. 427
- 428 25. Cefalo CMA, Riccio A, Fiorentino TV, Rubino M, Mannino GC, Succurro E, et al. Endothelial
- dysfunction is associated with reduced myocardial mechano-energetic efficiency in 429
- drug-naïve hypertensive individuals. Intern Emerg Med. 2023;18(8):2223-2230. doi: 430
- 10.1007/s11739-023-03402-9. 431
- 432 26. Markopoulou P, Koutroumpa A, Mantzou A, Margeli A, Papanikolaou E, Siahanidou T.
- Association of Plasma Irisin Levels with Circulating Endothelial Microparticles (EMPs) and 433
- 434 Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPCs) in Children Born Prematurely. Metabolites. 2023;
- 13(1):120. doi: 10.3390/metabo13010120. 435
- 27. Markopoulou P, Papanikolaou E, Loukopoulou S, Galina P, Mantzou A, Siahanidou T. 436
- Increased circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) in prepubertal children born 437
- prematurely: a possible link between prematurity and cardiovascular risk. Pediatr Res. 438
- 2021;90(1):156-165. doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-01190-y. 439
- 28. Ouyang F, Wells JC, Zhang GH, Du K, Wang X, Shen L, et al. Maternal Prenatal Factors and 440
- Child Adiposity in Associations with Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Term-Born Chinese 441
- Children at the Age of 2 Years. Nutrients. 2023;15(15):3342. doi: 10.3390/nu15153342. 442

- 443 29. Kim MS, Kim WJ, Khera AV, Kim JY, Yon DK, Lee SW, et al. Association between adiposity and
- cardiovascular outcomes: an umbrella review and meta-analysis of observational and 444
- Mendelian randomization studies. Eur Heart J. 2021; 42(34):3388-3403. doi: 445
- 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab454. 446
- $30. \ Thomas DM, Bredlau C, Bosy-Westphal A, et al. Relationships between body roundness with body for the property of the p$ 447
- at and visceral adipose tissue emerging from a newgeometrical model. Obesity (Silver Spring). 448
- 2013;21(11):2264-2271. doi:10.1002/oby.20408. 449
- 450 31. Cai X, Song S, Hu J, Zhu Q, Yang W, Hong J, et al. Body roundness index improves the
- predictive value of cardiovascular diseaserisk in hypertensive patients with obstructive 451
- apnea: a cohort study. Clin Exp Hypertens. 2023; 45(1): 2259132. 452 sleep
- doi:10.1080/10641963.2023.2259132. 453
- 454 32. Rico-Martín S, Calderón-García JF, Sánchez-Rey P, Franco-Antonio C, Martínez Alvarez M,
- Sánchez Muñoz, et al. Effectiveness of body roundness index in predicting metabolic 455
- syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2020; 21(7):e13023. 456
- doi:10.1111/obr.13023. 457
- 33. Wu L, Pu H, Zhang M, Hu H, Wan Q. Non-linear relationship between the body roundness 458
- index and incident type 2 diabetes in Japan: a secondary retrospective analysis. J Transl Med. 459
- 2022; 20(1):110. doi:10.1186/s12967022-03321-x. 460
- 34. Zhang Y, Gao W, Ren R, Liu Y, Li B, Wang A. et al. Body roundness index is related to the low 461
- estimated glomerular filtration rate in Chinese population: a cross-sectional study. Front 462
- Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2023;14:1148662. doi:10.3389/fendo. 2023.1148662. 463

- 35. Gao W, Jin L, Li D, Zhang Y, Zhao W, Zhao Y, et al. The association between the body 464
- 465 roundness index and the risk of colorectal cancer: a cross-sectional study. Lipids Health Dis.
- 2023; 22(1):53. doi:10.1186/s12944-023-01814-2. 466
- 36. Gruzdeva O, Borodkina D, Uchasova E, Dyleva Y, Barbarash O. Localization of fat depots and 467
- cardiovascular risk. Lipids Health Dis. 2018;17(1): 218. doi:10.1186/s12944-018-0856-8 468
- 469 37. Koenen M, Hill MA, Cohen P, Sowers JR. Obesity, adipose tissue and vascular dysfunction.
- Circ Res. 2021;128 (7):951-968. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121. 318093. 470
- 471 38. Jeemon P, Harikrishnan S. Systolic blood pressure and cardiovascular health. Nat Med.
- 472 2022;28(10):2003-2004. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-02005-9.
- 39. Fatani N, Dixon DL, Van Tassell BW, Fanikos J, Buckley LF. Systolic Blood Pressure Time in 473
- Target Range and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Hypertension. J Am Coll 474
- Cardiol. 2021;77(10):1290-1299. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.01.014. 475
- 40. Wicklow B, Retnakaran R. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Its Implications across the Life 476
- 477 Span. Diabetes Metab J. 2023;47(3):333-344. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2022.0348.
- 41. Zamojska J, Niewiadomska-Jarosik K, Kierzkowska B, Gruca M, Wosiak A, Smolewska E. 478
- 479 Lipid Profile in Children Born Small for Gestational Age. Nutrients. 2023; 15(22):4781. doi:
- 10.3390/nu15224781. 480

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the individuals stratified by Hypertension, Systolic BP and Diastolic BP in the CHNS dataset (n=262)

	Hypertension	Non- sion hypertension	D 1	Systolic BP> P	Systolic BP≤P	P	Diastolic BP> P	Diastolic BP≤P	P value
			P value			value	90		
Participants (n)	60	167		12	215		58	169	
Demographics									
Age (years)	12.0 (2.4)	11.6 (2.3)	0.301	12.7 (2.7)	11.7 (2.3)	0.151	12 (2.5)	11.7 (2.2)	0.380
Boys (%)	28 (46.7)	119 (71.3)	< 0.001	9 (75.0)	138 (64.2)	0.651	26 (44.8)	121 (71.6)	< 0.001
Rural (%)	43 (71.7)	132 (79.0)	0.244	7 (58.3)	168 (78.1)	0.217	41 (70.7)	134 (79.3)	0.177
Anthropometry parameters									
Waist circumference (cm)	68.9 (11.4)	63.8 (9.7)	0.001	79.7 (13.7)	64.4 (9.5)	0.003	68.3 (11.1)	64.1 (9.9)	0.009
Hip circumference (cm)	82.0 (10.5)	76.8 (11.1)	0.002	90.1 (11.1)	77.5 (10.8)	< 0.001	81.5 (10.2)	77 (11.3)	0.009
WHR	0.84 (0.10)	0.83 (0.08)	0.307	0.84 (0.09)	0.83 (0.09)	0.730	0.84 (0.10)	0.83 (0.08)	0.495
BMI (kg/m²)	18.2 (4.0)	16.7 (3.0)	0.008	20.9 (5.0)	16.9 (3.1)	< 0.001	18.0 (4.0)	16.8 (3.0)	0.028
Overweight (> P ₇₅)	10 (16.7)	15 (9.0)	0.103	5 (41.7)	20 (9.3)	< 0.001	9 (15.5)	16 (9.5)	0.204
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	95.5 (13.4)	93.3 (10.7)	0.250	109.0 (8.7)	93.1 (11.0)	< 0.001	94.8 (13.0)	93.6 (11.0)	0.496
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	61.5 (8.0)	61 (6.7)	0.647	68.1 (5.3)	60.7 (7.0)	< 0.001	61.1 (7.9)	61.1 (6.8)	0.980
Triceps Skinfold Thickness (TST, cm)	1.28 (0.93-1.73)	1.07 (0.73-1.53)	0.008	1.95 (0.97-3.7)	1.10 (0.80-1.57)	0.015	1.22 (0.93-1.70)	1.1 (0.73-1.57)	0.030
Upper Arm Circumference (cm)	22 (4.5)	21 (4.4)	0.095	26 (4.8)	21 (4.3)	< 0.001	22 (4.3)	21 (4.5)	0.283
Blood tests									
Albumin, g/L	48.6 (3.1)	48.2 (3.2)	0.456	49.8 (3.6)	48.2 (3.1)	0.095	48.5 (2.9)	48.2 (3.3)	0.539

Alanine Transaminase, U/L	13.0 (10.5-15.0)	14.0 (11.0-18.0)	0.286	13.5 (11.5-25.0)	14.0 (10.0-17.0)	0.271	13.0 (10.0-15.0)	14.0 (11.0-18.0)	0.183
Apolipoprotein B, mg/dL	0.7 (0.5-0.8)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.142	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.212	0.6 (0.5-0.8)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.287
Apolipoprotein A-1, mg/dL	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	0.98 (0.87-1.09)	0.558	1.04 (0.96-1.10)	0.97 (0.86-1.09)	0.196	0.95 (0.84-1.06)	0.98 (0.87-1.09)	0.339
Ferritin, ng/ml	43.4 (28.6-65.1)	43.9 (28.4-58.0)	0.591	64.3 (45.6-78.0)	42.8 (28.4-58.1)	0.048	42.7 (28.6-63.0)	43.9 (28.8-58.2)	0.866
Serum magnesium, mmol/L	0.94 (0.09)	0.95 (0.07)	0.424	0.93 (0.12)	0.95 (0.07)	0.579	0.95 (0.09)	0.95 (0.07)	0.569
TD C : / 1	287.5	295.0	0.972	299.0	293.0	0.871	287.5	295.0	0.900
Transferrin, mg/gl	(264.5-329.0)	(265.0-325.0)		(269.0-321.5)	(265.0-325.0)		(265.0-330.0)	(265.0-325.0)	
Soluble Transferrin receptor, mg/L	1.5 (1.3-2.0)	1.5 (1.3-1.9)	0.654	1.5 (1.3-2.0)	1.5 (1.3-1.9)	0.977	1.5 (1.3-1.9)	1.5 (1.3-1.9)	0.675
Total protein, g/L	76.6 (5.3)	76.2 (4.8)	0.570	79.1 (5.2)	76.1 (4.9)	0.040	76.5 (5.3)	76.2 (4.8)	0.738
Serum uric acid, mg/dL	5.2 (1.5)	5.1 (1.3)	0.801	5.7 (1.6)	5.1 (1.3)	0.108	5.2 (1.5)	5.1 (1.3)	0.937
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	4.0 (0.7)	3.8 (0.6)	0.035	4.0 (0.8)	3.8 (0.6)	0.298	4 (0.6)	3.8 (0.6)	0.068
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	0.771	1.4 (1.3-1.6)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	0.678	1.3 (1.2-1.6)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	0.613
LDL cholesterol, mmol/L	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	2.2 (1.7-2.6)	0.249	2.2 (1.7-2.8)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	0.708	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	0.344
Triglycerides, mg/dL	0.8 (0.5-1.3)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.745	0.8 (0.5-1.3)	0.7 (0.5-1.2)	0.759	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.939
eGFR, ml/min/l.73m ²	82.4 (9.2)	81.3 (11.6)	0.481	81.6 (6.8)	81.6 (11.1)	0.991	82.6 (9.2)	81.3 (11.6)	0.427
HbA1c, %	5.3 (0.4)	5.3 (0.4)	0.907	5.2 (0.5)	5.3 (0.4)	0.768	5.3 (0.4)	5.3 (0.4)	0.862
Blood Glucose, mmol/L	4.8 (0.5)	4.9 (0.5)	0.729	5.1 (0.5)	4.8 (0.5)	0.075	4.8 (0.5)	4.9 (0.5)	0.442
Creatinine, mg/dL	67.0 (62.0-75.0)	67.0 (61.0-73.0)	0.651	70.0 (63.5-76.0)	67.0 (61.0-73.0)	0.177	66.5 (62.0-74.0)	67.0 (61.0-73.0)	0.917
Anthropometric indices									
CI	1.15 (1.07-1.23)	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	0.427	1.20 (1.11-1.31)	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	0.058	1.14 (1.07-1.25)	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	0.559
BSI	0.08 (0.08-0.09)	0.08 (0.08-0.08)	0.432	0.08 (0.08-0.09)	0.08 (0.08-0.08)	0.399	0.08 (0.07-0.09)	0.08 (0.08-0.08)	0.449
VFI	0.92 (0.48-1.44)	0.70 (0.43-1.15)	0.139	0.77 (0.51-1.42)	0.74 (0.43-1.25)	0.650	0.92 (0.48-1.43)	0.7 (0.43-1.16)	0.186
BRI	2.26 (1.67-3.31)	1.95 (1.53-2.4)	0.025	3.55 (1.68-5.17)	1.97 (1.53-2.47)	0.021	2.19 (1.59-3.20)	1.95 (1.53-2.42)	0.061
Health-related behavior									

Soft/Sugared fruit drinks	54 (90.0)	146 (88.0)	0.670	12 (100.0)	188 (87.9)	0.369	52 (89.7)	148 (88.1)	0.748
Total protein intake (g/day)	49.8 (40.5-60.7)	50.2 (39.4-67.2)	0.530	50.8 (42.7-69.4)	50.1 (39.2-64.6)	0.338	49.8 (40.5-60.5)	50.2 (39.7-67.2)	0.433
T (16 (' (1 (/ 1))	55 1 (24 7 72 2)	52.2 (26.0.71.2)	0.020	1710.0	1645.4	0.205	1621.7	1670.9	0.020
Total fat intake (g/day)	55.1 (34.7-72.2)	53.3 (36.9-71.3)	0.830	(1395.0-2078.9)	(1324.6-1937.6)	0.205	(1240.7-1928.7)	(1357.8-1947.7)	0.930
Total carbohydrate intake	218.9	235.7	0.076	218.9	234.3	0.562	218.9	235.7	0.045
(g/day)	(174.8-260.4)	(198.8-276.9)	0.076	(149.8-327.4)		0.563	(174.8-259.2)	(199.5-276.9)	0.045
Total energy intake	1621.7	1670.9	0.217	(1.0.(51.0.(7.2)	50 4 (05 6 51 5)	0.641	51.0 (24.7.72.2)	52 4 (26 0 50 2)	0.210
(kcal/day)	(1240.7-1933.9)	(1353.3-1947.7)	0.317	61.8 (51.0-67.2)	52.4 (35.6-71.7)	0.641	51.0 (34.7-72.2)	53.4 (36.9-70.2)	0.218

(kcal/day) (1240.7-1933.9) (1353.3-1947.7)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; BSI, body shape index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1C; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; VFI, visceral fat index; WHR, waist to hip circumference ratio. Data are presented as No. (%), mean± SD; *P values were calculated by using T test or Wilcoxon test for continuous variables and χ2 test or fisher exact test for categorical variables.

Table 2 Performance of Risk Prediction Models for 2-Year Risk of Incident Hypertension by Including selected Anthropometry parameters and metabolic Measures


	AUC (95% CI)	Difference (95% CI)	NRI (95% CI)	Absolute IDI (95% CI)
2-Year diagnosis of Hypertension				
Basic model ^a	0.551 (0.463, 0.640)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Basic model+BMI	0.624 (0.542, 0.707)	0.073 (-0.014, 0.161)	0.165 (-0.120, 0.451)	0.036 (0.005, 0.066)*
Basic model+Waist circumference	0.641 (0.559, 0.724)	0.090 (-0.012, 0.192)	0.244 (-0.044, 0.531)	0.044 (0.013, 0.075)**
Basic model+Hip circumference	0.646 (0.563, 0.729)	0.095 (-0.001, 0.190)	0.468 (0.189, 0.748)*	0.040 (0.013, 0.066)**
Basic model+TST	0.625 (0.542, 0.707)	0.073 (-0.029, 0.176)	0.148 (0.076, 0.658)*	0.027 (-0.009, 0.063)
Basic model+BRI	0.605 (0.518, 0.692)	0.054 (-0.048, 0.156)	0.360 (0.069, 0.651)*	$0.041 \ (0.007, 0.074)^*$
Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference	0.655 (0.574, 0.735)	$0.103\ (0.003,\ 0.204)^*$	0.527 (0.241, 0.812)*	0.056 (0.020, 0.092)**
Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip circumference	0.670 (0.592, 0.748)	0.119 (0.021, 0.217)*	0.455 (0.168, 0.742)**	0.051 (0.018, 0.084)**
2-Year diagnosis of Elevated Systolic BP				
Basic model ^b	0.873 (0.771, 0.974)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Basic model+BMI	0.889 (0.795, 0.982)	0.016 (-0.026, 0.058)	0.243 (-0.324, 0.818)	0.051 (0.034, 0.137)
Basic model+Waist circumference	0.896 (0.797, 0.995)	0.023 (-0.036, 0.082)	$0.700 (0.153, 1.249)^*$	0.113 (0.001, 0.225)*
Basic model+Hip circumference	0.885 (0.783, 0.987)	0.013 (-0.029, 0.054)	0.209 (-0.365, 0.782)	0.037 (-0.02, 0.094)
Basic model+TST	0.885 (0.779, 0.991)	0.013 (-0.048, 0.073)	0.413 (-0.160,0.986)	0.144 (-0.031, 0.318)
Basic model+Upper Arm circumference	0.888 (0.801, 0.975)	0.015 (-0.026-0.057)	$0.784 (0.277, 1.290)^*$	0.048 (-0.018, 0.114)
Basic model+CI	0.888 (0.777, 0.999)	0.017 (-0.030, 0.063)	0.340 (-0.240, 0.919)	0.038 (-0.030, 0.105)

Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.893 (0.776, 1.000) 0.021 (-0.039, 0.081) 0.636 (0.066, 1.207)* 0.157 (-0.01, 0.0636 (0.066, 1.207)* 0.157 (-0.01, 0.0636 (0.066, 1.207)* 0.157 (-0.01, 0.0636 (0.066, 1.207)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.206) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.					
Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip circumference 2-Year diagnosis of Elevated Diastolic BP Basic model+BMI 0.605 (0.523, 0.687) 0.108 (-0.027, 0.242) 0.200 (-0.089, 0.490) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.201) Reference O.623 (0.537, 0.709) 0.125 (-0.012, 0.263) 0.333 (0.039, 0.628)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.001) Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)*** 0.043 (0.014, 0.001) Basic model+BRI 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027, 0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.001) Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.001) 0.044 (0.014, 0.001)	Basic model+BRI	0.886 (0.766, 1.000)	0.014 (-0.049, 0.077)	0.711 (0.142, 1.280)*	0.158 (-0.011, 0.328)
circumference 0.897 (0.797, 0.996) 0.024 (-0.034, 0.083) 0.710 (0.163, 1.258)* 0.113 (0.001, 0.2 ccc) 2-Year diagnosis of Elevated Diastolic BP Basic model* 0.531 (0.440, 0.621) Reference Reference Reference Reference Basic model+BMI 0.605 (0.523, 0.687) 0.108 (-0.027, 0.242) 0.200 (-0.089, 0.490) 0.044 (0.015, 0.07 (0.009, 0.00 (0.004)) Basic model+Waist circumference 0.623 (0.537, 0.709) 0.125 (-0.012, 0.263) 0.333 (0.039, 0.628)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.00 (0.004) Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)** 0.043 (0.014, 0.07 (0.009, 0.00 (0.004)) Basic model+BRI 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027, 0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.001) Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.000) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.014)	Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference	0.893 (0.776, 1.000)	0.021 (-0.039, 0.081)	0.636 (0.066, 1.207)*	0.157 (-0.01, 0.325)
Basic model ^c 0.531 (0.440, 0.621) Reference Reference Reference Basic model+BMI 0.605 (0.523, 0.687) 0.108 (-0.027, 0.242) 0.200 (-0.089, 0.490) 0.044 (0.015, 0.00) Basic model+Waist circumference 0.623 (0.537, 0.709) 0.125 (-0.012, 0.263) 0.333 (0.039, 0.628)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.00) Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)** 0.043 (0.014, 0.00) Basic model+BRI 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027, 0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.00) Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.078 (-0.072, 0.228) 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.00) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.00)		0.897 (0.797, 0.996)	0.024 (-0.034, 0.083)	0.710 (0.163, 1.258)*	0.113 (0.001, 0.224)*
Basic model+BMI 0.605 (0.523, 0.687) 0.108 (-0.027, 0.242) 0.200 (-0.089, 0.490) 0.044 (0.015, 0.07) Basic model+Waist circumference 0.623 (0.537, 0.709) 0.125 (-0.012, 0.263) 0.333 (0.039, 0.628)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.06) Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)** 0.043 (0.014, 0.07) Basic model+TST 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027, 0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.16) Basic model+BRI 0.575 (0.480, 0.670) 0.078 (-0.072, 0.228) 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)* 0.052 (0.017, 0.08) Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.06) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.07)	2-Year diagnosis of Elevated Diastolic BP				
Basic model+Waist circumference 0.623 (0.537, 0.709) 0.125 (-0.012, 0.263) 0.333 (0.039, 0.628)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.006) Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)** 0.043 (0.014, 0.076) Basic model+TST 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027, 0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.016) Basic model+BRI 0.575 (0.480, 0.670) 0.078 (-0.072, 0.228) 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)* 0.037 (0.009, 0.006) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.076)	Basic model ^c	0.531 (0.440, 0.621)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Basic model+Hip circumference 0.631 (0.547, 0.714) 0.134 (0.008, 0.259)* 0.456 (0.175, 0.738)** 0.043 (0.014, 0.07) Basic model+TST 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027,0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.0	Basic model+BMI	0.605 (0.523, 0.687)	0.108 (-0.027, 0.242)	0.200 (-0.089, 0.490)	0.044 (0.015, 0.072)**
Basic model+TST 0.597 (0.511-0.683) 0.100 (-0.042-0.242) 0.322 (0.027,0.618)* 0.013 (-0.021-0.083) 0.575 (0.480, 0.670) 0.078 (-0.072, 0.228) 0.349 (0.054,0.643)* 0.052 (0.017, 0.083) 0.052 (0.017, 0.083) 0.575 (0.480, 0.670) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.0063) 0.039 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.075) 0.044 (Basic model+Waist circumference	0.623 (0.537, 0.709)	0.125 (-0.012, 0.263)	$0.333 \ (0.039, \ 0.628)^*$	0.037 (0.009, 0.065)**
Basic model+BRI 0.575 (0.480, 0.670) 0.078 (-0.072, 0.228) 0.349 (0.054,0.643)* 0.052 (0.017, 0.08) Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.06) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.075)	Basic model+Hip circumference	0.631 (0.547, 0.714)	0.134 (0.008, 0.259)*	0.456 (0.175, 0.738)**	0.043 (0.014, 0.072)**
Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference 0.639 (0.556, 0.721) 0.141 (0.006, 0.277)* 0.577 (0.292, 0.861)** 0.037 (0.009, 0.009) Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.075)	Basic model+TST	0.597 (0.511-0.683)	0.100 (-0.042-0.242)	$0.322\ (0.027, 0.618)^*$	0.013 (-0.021-0.046)
Basic model+BMI, Waist circumference, Hip 0.649 (0.570, 0.729) 0.152 (0.022, 0.282)* 0.349 (0.054, 0.643)** 0.044 (0.014, 0.074)	Basic model+BRI	0.575 (0.480, 0.670)	0.078 (-0.072, 0.228)	0.349 (0.054,0.643)*	0.052 (0.017, 0.087)**
$0.649 \ (0.570, 0.729)$ $0.152 \ (0.022, 0.282)^{\circ}$ $0.349 \ (0.054, 0.643)^{\circ}$ $0.044 \ (0.014, 0.074)$	Basic model+BRI, Hip circumference	0.639 (0.556, 0.721)	$0.141\ (0.006,\ 0.277)^*$	0.577 (0.292, 0.861)**	0.037 (0.009, 0.065)**
	,	0.649 (0.570, 0.729)	0.152 (0.022, 0.282)*	0.349 (0.054, 0.643)**	0.044 (0.014, 0.073)**

Abbreviations: AUC, area under curve; BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity inex; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TST, triceps skinfold thickness. a Basic

model: baseline age, gender, systolic BP, diastolic BP, and eGFR. b Basic model: baseline age, gender, systolic BP, and eGFR; c Basic model: baseline age, gender, diastolic BP.

^{4 0.01&}lt;P≤0.05; ** 0.001<P≤0.01; *** P≤0.001



- 7 Figure 1 Associations between anthropometric indices/metabolic measures and hypertension
- 8 diagnosis (Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity index;
- 9 TST, triceps skinfold thickness; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio. * 0.01<P≤0.05; ** 0.001<P≤0.01; ***
- 10 P<0.001)




Figure 2 Estimates from the cross-lagged model for the associations between anthropometry parameters and incident hypertension classified by elevated SBP and elevated DBP (Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; CI, conicity index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TST, triceps skinfold thickness. All estimates were standardized. * $0.01 < P \le 0.05$; ** $0.001 < P \le 0.01$; *** P < 0.001).

Acknowledgements

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

The authors thank the CHNS team for their hard work and unselfsh sharing of survey data. This

research uses data from China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS). We are grateful to research

grant funding from the National Institute for Health (NIH), the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National

Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) for R01 HD30880, National Institute

on Aging (NIA) for R01 AG065357, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney

Diseases (NIDDK) for R01DK104371 and R01HL108427, the NIH Fogarty grant D43TW009077

since 1989, and the China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Ministry of Health for support for CHNS

2009, Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai since 2009, and Beijing Municipal

Center for Disease Prevention and Control since 2011.

Abbreviation

27 AUC, Area Under Curve; BMI, Body Mass Index; BP, Blood Pressure; BRI, Body Roundness Index;

BSI, Body Shape Index; CHNS, China Health and Nutrition Survey; CI, Conicity Inex; CMRFs,

Clustered Cardio-metabolic Risk Factors; CVD, Cardiovascular Disease; eGFR, estimated

glomerular filtration rate; HC, Hip Circumference; HDL-C, High-density Lipoprotein Cholesterol;

IDI, Integrated Discrimination Improvement; IQR, Interquartile Range; NRI, Net Reclassification

Improvement; SD, Standard Deviation; SUA, Serum Uric Acid; TG, Triglycerides; TST, Triceps

Skinfold Thickness; VFI, Visceral Fat Index; WC, Waist Circumference; WHR, Waist to Hip

Circumference Ratio.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of

China (grant numbers 2021YFC2701900, 2021YFC2701901, 2021YFC2701903).

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

32

Author contribution: BZ, SZ, and JF contributed to the conception or design of the work. BZ, HS and XW contributed to the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work. BZ and RW drafted the manuscript. JY and XG critically revised the manuscript. BZ and TW contribute to the analysis or interpretation of the work. All gave final approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. Ethical approval: The China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) was granted approval by the Institutional Review Board at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, as well as by the local Institutional Review Board of Ethics Committee. As this study is based on secondary data analysis of an existing dataset, we did not require additional ethical approval from our institution. Original data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this published article or in the data repositories listed in References. Disclosure summary: No financial or non-financial benefits have been received or will be received from any party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article. The authors have no conflict of interest to declare. Data Availability: Original data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this

published article or in the data repositories listed in References.