

1 **Title: Extreme weather events in the UK and resulting public health outcomes**

2

3 Dr Natalie Dickinson^{1*}, PhD, natalie.dickinson@uws.ac.uk

4 Dr Llinos Haf Spencer^{2,3}, PhD, llinos.spencer@southwales.ac.uk and llinospencer@rcsi.ie

5 Dr Shuhua Yang³, PhD, shuhuayang@rcsi.ie

6 Dr Caroline Miller¹, caroline.miller@uws.ac.uk

7 Professor Andrew Hursthouse¹, Andrew.hursthouse@uws.ac.uk

8 Professor Mary Lynch³, maryalynch@rcsi.ie

9 1. University of the West of Scotland, High Street, Paisley, Renfrewshire, Scotland, UK, PA1 2AX

10 2. University of South Wales, Glyntaff Campus, Cemetery Road, Pontypridd, Wales, UK, CF37 4BD

11 3. Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Royal College of Surgeons, 123 St. Stephen's Green, Ireland,
12 D02 YN77

13 *Corresponding author: Dr Natalie Dickinson, Health and Life Sciences, University of the West of
14 Scotland, High Street, Paisley, Renfrewshire, Scotland, UK, PA1 2AX. Natalie.dickinson@uws.ac.uk
15 Tel: +44 (0) 7737781533

16

17 Word Count: 3985 Tables: 2 Figures: 1

18 **Abstract**

19 **Objectives:** Extreme Weather Events (EWEs) are increasingly frequent in the UK and can lead to
20 adverse physical and mental health outcomes which may result in additional pressure on the NHS.
21 The objective of this study is therefore to investigate the health impacts of EWEs on the population
22 in the UK.

23 **Method:** A systematic review of the evidence was conducted. Seven databases were searched for
24 studies related to the public health outcomes of EWEs.

25 **Results:** 48 papers met the criteria for inclusion (22 flood, 25 extreme temperature, 1 wind).
26 Flooding is most associated with mental health concerns and associated social effects, whilst
27 temperature extremes impact more on physical health.

28 **Conclusions:** Whilst there is a great deal of research on the physical and mental health impacts of
29 EWEs in the UK, there is limited evidence on social health impacts, and little consideration of the
30 economic costs of holistic health outcomes. Building resilience against the health impacts of EWEs is
31 essential. Future studies should consider incorporating cost-benefit analyses (CBA) to investigate the
32 economic costs of EWEs on populations and health systems in the UK.

33

34 **Introduction**

35 Extreme Weather Events (EWEs), including heatwaves, coldwaves, floods, storms and wildfires, are
36 occurring with increased frequency worldwide, primarily driven by climate change (Walsh et al.
37 2020). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) state that human-induced climate

38 change has caused greater frequency and intensity of climate extremes globally, which has led to
39 adverse impact on human health (Calvin et al., 2023). The impacts of EWEs in the United Kingdom
40 (UK) are also becoming more pronounced; the UK has witnessed a notable increase in the frequency
41 of severe weather incidents, such as heatwaves, floods and storms (Met Office 2022), with further
42 changes predicted. The latest UK Climate Projections 2018 indicate a higher likelihood of warmer,
43 wetter winters, hotter, drier summers, increased wildfires, more extreme weather events, and rising
44 sea levels (Met Office 2022). Rising temperatures, leading to extreme heat in homes and other
45 buildings, poses an increased risk to human health, wellbeing and productivity, and has been
46 identified as one of the priority risk areas by the UK Climate Change Risk Assessment (UK
47 Government, 2022).

48 Though a systematic review of EWEs across Europe was recently published (Weilhammer et al.
49 2021), given the increase in EWEs in the UK, alongside the public health challenges of the ageing
50 population, the healthcare crisis and socioeconomic deprivation, there is a need to consider the
51 distribution of health implications for the population of the UK specifically, as well as the economic
52 cost of EWEs for the NHS.

53 Aim

54 To investigate the impacts of EWEs on the UK population, public health and wellbeing outcomes. The
55 protocol for this systematic review is on the Prospero database:

56 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=357688

57 Method

58 Systematic review methods were utilised. The PICO and eligibility criteria are presented in Table 1
59 (Schardt et al. 2007). Evidence is presented below and the search strategies for this are presented in
60 Supplementary File 1.

61 Table 1 Population, Intervention, Context/Comparator and Outcome (PICO) framework.

62

63 Databases: Cochrane Library (including the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL),
64 CINAHL, ASSIA, PsycINFO, PubMed incorporating MEDLINE, Web of Science, and the Database of
65 Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE). Grey literature, including local government reports were also
66 included to limit publication bias, and to ensure that all relevant literature was identified.

67 Date-range: November 1992 and November 2023.

68 Data management and Screening: Rayyan reference management software was used to store and
69 manage citations (Rayyan, 2024). Duplicates were removed in the EndNOTE and Rayyan. Citations
70 were screened on title and abstract by four members of the review team (ND, LHS, CM, SY and ML).
71 Full-text articles were retrieved and further assessed for inclusion.

72 **Inclusion criteria:** Papers relating to EWEs' impact on UK population public health outcomes written
73 in the English language from 1992 to 2023.

74 **Exclusion criteria:** Papers not related to EWEs' influence on UK population public health and
75 wellbeing outcomes. Any queries regarding inclusion/exclusion were resolved by discussion between
76 members of the review team.

77 Data extraction: The data was extracted using a pre-defined data extraction tool. The data extraction
78 tables can be found in Supplementary File 2-4. Quality appraisal was conducted by members of the

79 review team using relevant JBI critical appraisal tools e.g. JBI cohort studies checklist (Joanna Briggs
80 Institute 2017). Studies were identified as high, medium and low quality.

81 Results

82 Forty-eight (48) papers met the inclusion criteria for this systematic review; 22 focussed on flood; 25
83 focussed on temperature and one focussed on wind. Figure 1 shows a PRISMA flow chart (Page et
84 al. 2021). A summary of the included studies can be seen in Table 2.

85 **Table 2 Study characteristics of included extreme weather event studies**

86

87 The health economists in this authorship team (ML and LHS) were interested in the cost elements of
88 EWEs outcomes. Although the search strategy included for health economic information whilst data
89 extractions were conducted, only one of the papers included a health economic aspect (Disability
90 adjusted life years, DALY's), but no cost to the NHS specific papers (Fewtrell and Kay, 2008).

91 Through narrative analysis, three themes were evident to describe the resulting health
92 consequences of EWEs: physical health impacts; mental health impacts and social health impacts.
93 Findings from the studies reviewed will be discussed in the context of these themes in the following
94 section.

95

96 **Figure 1: PRISMA study selection flowchart (Page et al., 2021)**

97

98 Discussion

99 **Physical health impacts**

100 *Temperature Extremes*

101 Heatwaves are the most frequently discussed EWE to impact on physical health. Long periods of
102 heat are known to cause heat strokes and contribute to an increase in other cardio-respiratory
103 health conditions and emergency hospital admissions (Alahmad et al., 2023). A number of
104 quantitative studies have demonstrated the link between excess mortality and extreme heat
105 (Rooney et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 2005; Arbuthnott & Hajat, 2017; Alahmad et al., 2023), and
106 between hospital admissions and extreme heat (Kovats et al., 2004; Rizmie et al., 2022).

107 Respiratory illness is the most commonly reported cause of mortality during heatwaves (Rooney et
108 al. 1998; Kovats et al. 2004; Arbuthnott and Hajat 2017). A study in Greater London found increases
109 in emergency admissions for respiratory and renal disease were related to heat, particularly among
110 under 5s, and those over 75 (for respiratory disease) (Kovats et al., 2004). An association between
111 heat and daily mortality was also observed during the 2003 heatwave in England and Wales, marked
112 by a significant short-term rise in deaths. This was particularly notable in London, where mortality
113 among those over 75 increased by 59%. Elevated levels of ozone and particulate matter were also
114 recorded during the heatwave. (Johnson et al., 2005). Furthermore, a study of the 1995 heatwave in
115 England and Wales indicated that up to 62% (London) and 38% (rest of England and Wales) of excess
116 deaths may be attributable to concomitant increases in air pollution (Rooney et al. 1998). Increased
117 heat vulnerability has been observed in areas with high population density, significant urbanization,
118 low coverage of green space, and elevated levels of fine particulate matter (Zhang et al., 2023).
119 Urban heat islands (UHIs) have been found to experience a higher heat-related mortality (up to 50%)

120 more than surrounding areas (Heaviside et al., 2016). The UHI effect is compounded by the
121 coinciding increase in air pollution, further impacting public health. A typical heatwave in 2080
122 (under a medium emissions scenario) could result in a mortality rate approximately three times
123 higher than that of 2003, when accounting for factors such as population changes, population
124 distribution, and the urban heat island effect, assuming no future changes in heat adaptation
125 (Heaviside et al., 2016).

126

127 The links between heat and other health outcomes are less consistent (Arbuthnott and Hajat, 2017).
128 Alahmad *et al.* (2023) observed an increase in the risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality
129 associated with heat (Alahmad et al. 2023). This study also investigated cold extremes, finding CVD
130 mortality to be four times greater during the cold extremes than hot extremes. A further study of
131 temperature extremes found that a greater number of hospitalisations were correlated with
132 extreme cold than with extreme heat (Rizmie et al., 2022). In contrast to earlier studies, Rizmie *et al.*
133 (2022) found respiratory diseases contributed less to the increased admissions (9.2%) than other
134 conditions; metabolic diseases had the largest effect magnitude (25.8%), followed by infectious
135 diseases (21.1%) at temperatures >30°C (Rizmie et al., 2022). Research in Scotland found that
136 mortality risk began to rise when temperatures exceeded 14.5°C, suggesting that heat-related health
137 impacts can occur even at relatively low temperatures in cooler climates (Wan et al. 2022). The
138 authors highlight that in places such as Scotland, where cold extremes are more commonly
139 experienced, heatwaves remain an invisible threat, perhaps highlighted by the absence of a
140 heatwave plan in the devolved nations.

141

142 Excess deaths were not observed in England during the 2013 heatwave, when compared to similar
143 sustained heatwaves in 2003 and 2006 (Green et al., 2016), whilst the 1976 heatwave (Hajat et al.
144 2002) and the 2020 heatwaves (Thompson et al., 2022) were found to be associated with
145 significantly higher numbers of deaths compared to other hot periods. The reasons for this remain
146 unclear, indicating the presence of unknown factors. However, there was no evidence to suggest
147 that the heatwaves increased the proportion of deaths occurring in different settings or that the
148 COVID-19 pandemic altered the primary underlying causes of death during a heatwave. (Thompson
149 et al., 2022).

150

151 The demographics of the population experiencing heatwaves influences the health impacts. A review
152 of the UK heatwave literature found the over 65 age group, people with neurological and psychiatric
153 diagnoses, and those living in Greater London, the Southeast and Eastern regions to be more
154 vulnerable to heat (Arbuthnott & Hajat, 2017). These findings are further corroborated by a more
155 recent study, which also discovered that admissions during extreme temperatures were more
156 prevalent among older individuals and, additionally, those from socioeconomically disadvantaged
157 backgrounds. (Rizmie et al. 2022). In a study of NHS 24 calls, which indicated infants and over 65s
158 were more affected by heat, Leonardi *et al.* (2006) highlight that the morbidity of the elderly in
159 relation to heat is underrepresented in hospital records, as this demographic may be less able to
160 perceive ambient temperature, and be less inclined to seek healthcare services (Leonardi et al.
161 2006). This suggests there may be a need for social health support and pre-emptive intervention for
162 older adults during heatwaves.

163

164 One study examined UV exposure and found that its health implications are complex and highly
165 influenced by behaviours and sociodemographic factors, such as skin colour. Public health
166 recommendations could be enhanced by considering both temperature and UV exposure and their
167 effects on behaviour (Rendell et al. 2020). Drought, an effect of prolonged dry weather, can result in

168 reduction in water quantity and quality, thereby negatively impacting health and well-being through
169 compromised hygiene and sanitation, food security, and air quality (Bryan et al., 2020). Wildfires, a
170 less common consequence of extreme heat, can severely impact human health through inhalation of
171 wood smoke, which impacts particularly on vulnerable populations (respiratory, cardiovascular,
172 ophthalmic, psychiatric problems and burns (Finlay et al., 2012).

173

174 *Windstorms*

175 Only one moderate quality review investigated the direct health outcomes occurring during a
176 powerful windstorm (Goldman et al., 2014). Human health can be significantly impacted by storms;
177 direct effects occur during the storm's impact phase, resulting in deaths and injuries from the force
178 of the wind. Key dangers include individuals becoming airborne, being struck by flying debris or
179 falling trees, and road traffic accidents. Furthermore, the exacerbation of chronic illnesses due to
180 limited access to medical care or medication can also adversely affect health outcomes (Goldman et
181 al., 2014).

182

183 *Flooding*

184 Flooding in the UK is linked with contaminated water, leading to gastrointestinal illness supplies
185 (Hunter 2003). *Cryptosporidium* has been found to be the most commonly reported pathogen in
186 public water supply, with *Campylobacter* being the commonest cause of outbreaks in private water
187 supplies (Hunter 2003). More recent evidence suggests that up to 3% of the flooded population will
188 have a gastrointestinal illness after swallowing cumulative amounts of floodwater during the clean-
189 up process. The use of gloves could help to reduce contact with biological contaminants, though
190 disposable facemasks were suggested to be more effective (Fewtrell et al., 2011). In addition,
191 findings of a UK study reveal that flooding of an urban river with input from a wastewater treatment
192 works above the flooding point was likely to result in greater gastrointestinal effects than flooding
193 caused by run-off from a more microbiologically pristine area (Fewtrell & Kay, 2008).

194

195 A literature review in 2004 highlighted three flood related chemical incidents in England following
196 flooding, with health effects including sore throat, nausea, and stomach pains along with skin
197 irritation. The review led to the development of a checklist for public health response and
198 investigation for extreme flooding, which included chemical contamination identification events
199 (Euripidou & Murray, 2004). No recent research was found linking chemical contamination with
200 flooding.

201

202 An investigation of the long-term effects of flooding on mortality in England and Wales found an
203 unexpected deficit of deaths in the year after flooding (post-/pre-flood ratio of 0.90, 95% CI 0.82,
204 1.00). No significant variation was found by age, sex, population density or deprivation, and the
205 authors suggest displacement may be the reason for the 'deficit of deaths' within the same areas pre
206 and post flood (Milojevic et al., 2011).

207

208 **Mental health impacts**

209 *Extreme Temperatures*

210 Though heatwaves can have positive impacts on mental health, they can also have negative
211 consequences (Bryan et al., 2020). A study found that when temperatures exceeded 18°C, each 1°C

212 rise in the average temperature was linked to a 4% increase in suicide and a 5% rise in violent
213 suicides. During the 1995 heatwave, suicide rates surged by 47%, while no significant change was
214 observed during the 2003 heatwave (Page et al., 2007). In addition, research has shown an overall
215 increase in risk of death of 5% per 1°C increase in temperature (95% CI 2.0–7.8) in people with
216 psychosis, dementia, and substance misuse (Page et al., 2012). The greatest mortality risk was
217 observed in younger patients and those with a diagnosis of substance misuse, with the authors
218 noting that heatwave public health strategies should be targeted towards these groups. Whilst none
219 of the selected studies referred to mental health impacts of cold temperatures, this is likely due to
220 the selection criteria for this review; the effect of cold weather on mental wellbeing in the UK is
221 extensively studied, but in relation to sustained cold temperatures rather than ‘extreme cold’ or
222 ‘coldwaves’.

223 *Flooding*

224 Mental health impact is the most significant effect of flooding (Euripidou and Murray 2004). This
225 negative effect has been demonstrated quantitatively in a number of studies (Reacher et al., 2004;
226 Fewtrell and Kay, 2008; Mulchandani et al., 2020; Graham et al., 2019). Graham *et al.* (2019) utilised
227 a national mental health survey to compare incidence of common mental disorder (CMD) and
228 sociodemographic characteristics of those who had experienced flood-related damage to the home
229 within the past six months, with those who had not. A multiple regression model, controlling for
230 socioeconomic factors and health status, found an increase of 50% in the odds of CMD (OR 1.5,
231 95%CI 1.08; 2.07) in those who experienced flood damage.

232
233 The effect of flooding has been shown to have lasting effects. One year after flooding, prevalence of
234 psychological morbidity in flooded participants has been observed (depression 20.1%, anxiety 28.3%
235 and PTSD 36.2%) (Waite et al., 2017). When compared with unaffected participants, adjusted odds
236 ratios showed psychological morbidity to be 6-7 times higher in flooded than unaffected participants
237 (aOR (95% CI): depression 5.91 (3.17-10.99); anxiety 6.50 (3.77–11.24); PTSD 7.19 (4.33-11.93), and
238 1-2 time higher in participants disrupted by the floods compared to those unaffected (aOR (95% CI):
239 probable depression 1.56 (0.88-2.76); probable anxiety 1.61 (0.94–2.77); probable PTSD 2.06 (1.27-
240 3.35) (Waite et al., 2017). Similarly, it has been found that even three years after flooding, 7.9% of
241 flooded respondents had probable depression, 11.7% had probable anxiety and 17.5% had probable
242 PTSD, with higher prevalence in the flooded group compared with the unaffected group
243 (Mulchandani et al. 2020). After adjustment for potential confounders, probable mental health
244 outcomes were higher in the flooded group compared to the unaffected group, significantly for
245 probable depression (aOR (95% CI) 8.48 (1.04–68.97) and PTSD (aOR (95% CI) 7.74 (2.24–26.79))
246 (Mulchandani et al., 2020). This is further supported by another study which used Health Related
247 Quality of Life (HRQoL) scores (Robin et al., 2020). Median HRQoL scores were lower in the flooded
248 and disrupted groups compared with unaffected respondents at both two and three years post-
249 flooding. After two years, associations between exposure to flooding and experiencing anxiety or
250 depression were observed (aOR (95% CI) 7.7 (4.6–13.5)), persisting, though less apparent, three
251 years post-flooding (aOR (95% CI) 4.3 (2.5–7.7)) (Robin et al., 2020).

252
253 The prevalence of mental health conditions appears to increase with the presence and level of flood
254 water in the home (Paranjothy et al., 2011). All measured mental health symptoms were higher (p
255 <0.01) in those who had flood water in their home than those who did not. Those with flood water
256 above floor level had higher odds of mental health conditions (aOR (95% CI) 12.8 (9.3–17.6)) than
257 those with water below floor level (aOR (95% CI) 3.0 (2.0–4.6)). Similarly, Waite *et al.* (2017)
258 observed increased depth of floodwater to be significantly associated with all mental health

259 conditions; depression, for example, ranged from aOR (95% CI) 4.58 (2.38-8.80) for flood depth
260 <30cm, to aOR (95% CI) 8.48 (4.21-17.10) for 30-100cm, and aOR (95% CI) 14.71 (4.45 – 48.62) for
261 flood depth >100cm. Paranjothy et al. (2011) also highlight subsequent disruption to services and
262 power, more often experienced with greater flood depths, to be negatively associated with mental
263 health outcomes.

264

265 Displacement from the home has been found to worsen flooding's impact on mental health. Those
266 who had to relocate for over six months were six times more likely to experience mental health
267 issues than those that did not need to relocate (Lamond et al., 2015). Two further studies support
268 this, finding displacement from home to be significantly associated with higher scores for
269 depression, anxiety and PTSD, and individuals with poor health who had to vacate their home, along
270 with having no previous knowledge of flooding experienced greatest levels of psychological distress
271 (Mason et al., 2010; Munro et al., 2017).

272 The prevalence of depression was higher among respondents who experienced repeated flooding
273 compared to those affected only once, but this difference was not statistically significant after
274 adjustment. Additionally, there were no differences in anxiety or PTSD levels, and only minimal
275 differences in overall HRQoL between the two groups (French et al., 2019). It could be suggested
276 that experiencing flooding on one occasion allows those affected to build resilience for future similar
277 events, indeed authors have observed that those without prior knowledge of flooding experienced
278 greater distress (Paranjothy et al., 2011). Individuals who experienced a flood reported feeling
279 unprepared and unsure of how to safely deal with flood water and potentially contaminated
280 possessions (Cruz et al., 2020). Depression and PTSD scores were higher in individuals who were
281 displaced and did not receive any warning, compared to those who were warned more than 12
282 hours before the flooding. Though the difference in anxiety scores was not significant, these findings
283 suggest that receiving advanced warning may offer protection against mental health issues (Munro
284 et al., 2017).

285 Exploratory research has sought to explore the underlying mechanisms linking flooding to mental
286 health outcomes. It has been observed that it is often not the flooding itself, but the recovery
287 process that can be difficult to deal with: 'project managing', 'fighting', 'loss of treasured
288 possessions', and 'stripping-out the home' (Medd et al. 2014). This study underlined the value of
289 understanding and developing the flood recovery process to enhance resilience and preparedness
290 for future floods. It is crucial to provide support and space for flood victims to share their
291 experiences and be heard, which can assist in their recovery and contribute to better flood
292 management strategies. Various authors support the notion that the after-effects of flooding on
293 mental health may be prolonged, as those affected not only need to rebuild their lives after flooding,
294 but also need to build resilience to cope with the continued threat of living in a flooding hot spot
295 (Medd et al. 2014; Fothergill et al. 2021; Mehring et al. 2023). Interventions that empower
296 individuals living with ongoing flood risk are thought to potentially enhance psychological resilience
297 (Fothergill et al. 2021).

298 It has been suggested that prioritising long-term psychological support from both formal and
299 informal sources should be central to strategies addressing the impacts of flooding; flooding is likely
300 to increase demand for primary care, counselling, and voluntary services, while also placing
301 considerable strain on informal support networks (Findlater et al., 2023), therefore targeting
302 vulnerable groups is essential. Additionally, reinstating access to public transport, education, work,
303 and health and social care services as soon as possible may be protective against mental health
304 morbidity (Waite et al. 2017)

305

306 **Social health**

307 The literature consistently shows that various socio-economic factors, such as income, age, gender,
308 pre-existing health conditions, and family structure, are linked to increased vulnerability to flooding
309 (Tapsell et al. 2002; Paranjothy et al. 2011; Medd et al. 2014). Women, ethnic minorities, those with
310 lower income levels and older people (aged over 65 years) were found to be more affected (Cruz et
311 al. 2020). The effects of flooding on mental health are not equally distributed; the impacts vary
312 according to gender comorbidities, socioeconomic status and level of damage or disruption
313 experienced during an EWE. Females have been found to score more highly on PTSD, anxiety and
314 depression scores than males, as have those in poor general health (Mason et al., 2010). Mental
315 health conditions were 3-5 times higher among the more socio-economically deprived Yorkshire
316 study area than in Worcestershire, and were significantly more likely in women, unemployed, and
317 people with comorbidities (Paranjothy et al., 2011). The importance of risk factors for common
318 mental health disorders was similarly observed by Graham *et al.* (2019), specifically for females,
319 those living in a deprived area, financial debt, comorbidities, and alcohol abuse (Graham et al.,
320 2019). Findings also suggest that lower income households are less likely to report storm or flood
321 damage to their homes, or may be less likely to have contents insurance, resulting in under-
322 representation in the figures generated by insurance companies (Graham et al., 2019).

323 Evidence highlights the inadequacy of modelling studies to measure and predict flood damage and
324 recovery, as they cannot account for non-measurable, intangible aspects of flooding, nor for the
325 secondary impacts encountered during the recovery process (Tapsell et al. 2002; Medd et al. 2014);
326 both studies pointed to the meaning of home and neighbourhood, and how that can change
327 throughout the recovery process. Higher odds of mental illness have been associated with a
328 disruption to health and social care, and work/education amongst those living in flood-affected
329 areas (Waite et al., 2017). Instead of experiencing a steady progression toward normalisation,
330 participants in a qualitative study described a pattern of highs and lows influenced by other life
331 events, and placed importance on the quality of their interactions with the agencies involved in the
332 recovery process (Carroll, Balogh, et al., 2010). The wider community is also affected, with front line
333 workers report suffering from overwork, stress, and emotional turmoil, and that support was
334 unavailable (Carroll, Balogh, et al., 2010).

335 Geographical patterning of deprivation tends to see seaside towns housing the most deprived
336 communities, whilst riverside locations tend to be home to the most affluent in society (Graham et
337 al., 2019). A mapping study revealed that areas most at risk of significant future flooding increases
338 were coastal or situated along major estuaries, rather than those prone to river flooding (Oven et al.,
339 2012), further compounding inequalities. The Scottish population is understudied relative to
340 England, yet people in Scotland may be more vulnerable to temperature extremes due to the
341 already higher mortality rate (inequality, negative health behaviours, deprivation) (Wan et al., 2022).

342

343 Temperature extremes are also not experienced equally. Not only has it been suggested that higher
344 nighttime temperatures associated with greater socio-economic deprivation may contribute to
345 excess mortality (Rooney et al., 1998), research has found infant sleep to be compromised during
346 hot weather, with the authors highlighting that even mild sleep deprivation can negatively impact
347 concentration and learning ability (Berger et al. 2023). Considering those from lower socio-economic
348 groups are again likely to be the most disadvantaged, this has potential to further widen the
349 inequality gap.

350

351 Future research should consider housing conditions, socioeconomic conditions and segregating
352 mortality type (by disease/age/sociodemographic factors) to calculate risk for vulnerable
353 populations regarding extreme heat (Sahani et al., 2022). Preventative approaches to build resilience
354 within communities are recommended (Cruz et al., 2020).
355

356 Conclusion

357 Though the evidence of EWEs impact on health is overwhelming, little progress has been made in
358 recent years to mitigate the impacts. The needs are clear: joined -up, multi-agency research and
359 future planning is essential to allow the UK's health service to meet the needs of the population.
360 Integrated Health and Social Care Partnerships which focus on anticipatory and preventative care
361 (UK Government 2022b; Scottish Government 2024) will no doubt benefit holistic health. There is a
362 need for climate-related health planning to consider the impact on the ageing populations, given
363 those over 65 are more affected by EWEs (Kovats and Brisley 2021). This suggests a need for closer
364 proactive monitoring of older people during EWEs, and may provide an opportunity for the use of
365 telehealth methods, in line with current strategy (Scottish Government 2021).

366 Further research on preparedness for EWEs is needed, including consideration of how to record and
367 compile information about EWEs and develop risk assessments, assess vulnerabilities and adaption
368 over time (Stott et al. 2016; Clarke et al. 2021). Syndromic surveillance is becoming popular as a
369 method of tracking impacts of EWEs (Curtis et al., 2017). There is potential for complementing
370 experiential learning with targeted mitigation support to build resilience, especially in communities
371 likely to experience further flooding (Lamond et al. 2015). Recognising that interventions which rely
372 on behavioural change are unlikely to be equally adopted, thereby exacerbating inequalities, there is
373 a need to plan for supporting communities, particularly low-income families, to prepare for EWEs
374 (Kovats & Brisley, 2021; Marmot, 2020).

375 Preparedness should include response strategies from health and social care providers as well as
376 emergency response strategies (Curtis et al., 2017). To meaningfully plan to support those affected
377 by EWEs, there is a need to build NHS costs and psycho-social impacts into future cost-benefit
378 analyses in prospective EWE studies examining health impacts and outcomes. There is scope to
379 support the development of policy and interventions for building resilience at a community and
380 societal level, while taking account of health system needs and efficiencies required during and post
381 EWE's.

382

383 Strengths

- 384 • Included evidence on the health outcomes of EWEs from the past 30 years.
- 385 • Health outcomes following EWEs presented from a UK perspective.

386 Limitations

- 387 • A limited number of extreme cold studies were included in this review. This might be due to
388 the limitations of the search strategy, and 'extreme cold' or 'cold wave' not featuring
389 commonly in the literature.
- 390 • There was a dearth of included studies from Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

391 Recommendations

- 392 ☐ Future research exploring the impacts of EWEs should include Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA).
- 393 ☐ Support the integration of health and social care services to provide holistic preventative
394 action against EWE induced health impacts.

395 ☑ Develop strategies to build resilience among individuals, communities and health and care
396 systems for future EWE's.

397 ☑ Closer monitoring of vulnerable groups.

398 References

399 Alahmad B, Khraishah H, Royé D, et al (2023) Associations Between Extreme Temperatures and
400 Cardiovascular Cause-Specific Mortality: Results From 27 Countries. *Circulation* 147:35–46.
401 <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.061832>

402

403 Arbutnott KG, Hajat S (2017) The health effects of hotter summers and heat waves in the
404 population of the United Kingdom: A review of the evidence. *Environ Health* 16:1–13.
405 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0322-5>

406

407 Berger SE, Ordway MR, Schoneveld E, et al (2023) The impact of extreme summer temperatures in
408 the United Kingdom on infant sleep: Implications for learning and development. *Sci Rep* 13:1–
409 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-37111-2>

410

411 Bryan K, Ward S, Roberts L, et al (2020) The health and well-being effects of drought: assessing
412 multi-stakeholder perspectives through narratives from the UK. *Clim Change* 163:2073–2095.
413 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02916-x>

414

415 Calvin K, Dasgupta D, Krinner G, et al (2023) IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report.
416 Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
417 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)].
418 IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.

419

420 Carroll B, Balogh R, Morbey H, Araoz G (2010) Health and social impacts of a flood disaster:
421 responding to needs and implications for practice. *Disasters* 34:1045–1063.
422 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7717.2010.01182.x>

423

424 Clarke BJ, E. L. Otto F, Jones RG (2021) Inventories of extreme weather events and impacts:
425 Implications for loss and damage from and adaptation to climate extremes. *Clim Risk Manag*
426 32:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2021.100285>

427

428 Cruz J, White PCL, Bell A, Coventry PA (2020) Effect of extreme weather events on mental health: A
429 narrative synthesis and meta-analysis for the UK. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17:1–17.
430 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228581>

431

- 432 Curtis S, Fair A, Wistow J, et al (2017) Impact of extreme weather events and climate change for
433 health and social care systems. *Environ Health* 16:. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0324-3>
434
- 435 Euripidou E, Murray V (2004) Public health impacts of floods and chemical contamination. *J Public*
436 *Health (Bangkok)* 26:376–383. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdh163>
437
- 438 Fewtrell L, Kay D (2008) An attempt to quantify the health impacts of flooding in the UK using an
439 urban case study. *Public Health* 122:446–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2007.09.010>
440
- 441 Fewtrell L, Kay D, Watkins J, et al (2011) The microbiology of urban UK floodwaters and a
442 quantitative microbial risk assessment of flooding and gastrointestinal illness. *J Flood Risk*
443 *Manag* 4:77–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-318X.2011.01092.x>
444
- 445 Findlater L, Robin C, Hopgood K, et al (2023) Help-seeking following a flooding event: a cross-
446 sectional analysis of adults affected by flooding in England in winter 2013/14. *Eur J Public*
447 *Health* 33:834–840. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad082>
448
- 449 Finlay SE, Moffat A, Gazzard R, et al (2012) Health impacts of wildfires. *PLoS Curr* 1–17.
450 <https://doi.org/10.1371/4f959951cce2c>
451
- 452 Fothergill LJ, Disney AS, Wilson EE (2021) A qualitative exploration of the psychological impacts of
453 living with the uncertainty of persistent flood risk. *Public Health* 198:141–145.
454 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.07.016>
455
- 456 French CE, Waite TD, Armstrong B, et al (2019) Impact of repeat flooding on mental health and
457 health-related quality of life: A cross-sectional analysis of the English National Study of Flooding
458 and Health. *BMJ Open* 9:. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031562>
459
- 460 Goldman A, Eggen B, Golding B, Murray V (2014) The health impacts of windstorms: A systematic
461 literature review. *Public Health* 128:3–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2013.09.022>
462
- 463 Graham H, White P, Cotton J, McManus S (2019) Flood- and weather-damaged homes and mental
464 health: An analysis using England’s mental health survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 16:.
465 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183256>
466

- 467 Green HK, Andrews N, Armstrong B, et al (2016) Mortality during the 2013 heatwave in England -
468 How did it compare to previous heatwaves? A retrospective observational study. *Environ Res*
469 147:343–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.02.028>
- 470
- 471 Hajat S, Kovats RS, Atkinson RW, Haines A (2002) Impact of hot temperatures on death in {London};
472 a time series approach. *J Epidemiol Community Health* (1978) 56:367
- 473
- 474 Heaviside C, Vardoulakis S, Cai XM (2016) Attribution of mortality to the urban heat island during
475 heatwaves in the West Midlands, UK. *Environ Health* 15:. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-0100-9)
476 0100-9
- 477
- 478 Hunter PR (2003) Climate change and waterborne and vector-borne disease. *Journal of Applied*
479 *Microbiology Symposium Supplement* 94:37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.94.s1.5.x>
- 480
- 481 Joanna Briggs Institute (2017) Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies. In: Joanna Briggs
482 Institute Reviewer’s Manual. [https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-](https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI_Critical_Appraisal-Checklist_for_Analytical_Cross_Sectional_Studies2017_0.pdf)
483 05/JBI_Critical_Appraisal-Checklist_for_Analytical_Cross_Sectional_Studies2017_0.pdf
- 484
- 485 Johnson H, Kovats RS, McGregor G, et al (2005) The impact of the 2003 heat wave on daily mortality
486 in England and Wales and the use of rapid weekly mortality estimates. *Euro Surveill* 10:168–
487 171. <https://doi.org/10.2807/esm.10.07.00558-en>
- 488
- 489 Kovats RS, Hajat S, Wilkinson P (2004) Contrasting patterns of mortality and hospital admissions
490 during hot weather and heat waves in Greater London, UK. *Occup Environ Med* 61:893–898.
491 <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2003.012047>
- 492
- 493 Kovats S, Brisley R (2021) Third UK Climate Change Risk Assessment Technical Report UK Climate Risk
494 Independent Assessment (CCRA3) Chapter 5: Health, Communities and the Built Environment
- 495
- 496 Lamond JE, Joseph RD, Proverbs DG (2015) An exploration of factors affecting the long term
497 psychological impact and deterioration of mental health in flooded households. *Environ Res*
498 140:325–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.04.008>
- 499
- 500 Leonardi GS, Hajat S, Kovats RS, et al (2006) Syndromic surveillance use to detect the early effects of
501 heat-waves: An analysis of NHS direct data in England. *Soz Praventivmed* 51:194–201.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-006-5039-0>
- 503

- 504 Marmot M (2020) Health equity in England: The Marmot review 10 years on. *The BMJ* 368:.
505 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m693>
- 506
- 507 Mason V, Andrews H, Upton D (2010) The psychological impact of exposure to floods. *Psychol Health*
508 *Med* 15:61–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548500903483478>
- 509
- 510 Medd W, Deeming H, Walker G, et al (2014) The flood recovery gap: A real-time study of local
511 recovery following the floods of June 2007 in Hull, North East England. *J Flood Risk Manag*
512 8:315–328. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12098>
- 513
- 514 Mehring P, Geoghegan H, Cloke HL, Clark JM (2023) The F word: The experiential construction of
515 flooding in England. *Emot Space Soc* 48:100966.
516 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2023.100966>
- 517
- 518 Met Office HC (2022) UK Climate Projections: Headline Findings
- 519
- 520 Milojevic A, Armstrong B, Kovats S, et al (2011) Long-term effects of flooding on mortality in England
521 and Wales, 1994-2005: Controlled interrupted time-series analysis. *Environ Health* 10:1–9.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-10-11>
- 523
- 524 Mulchandani R, Armstrong B, Beck CR, et al (2020) The English National Cohort Study of Flooding &
525 Health: Psychological morbidity at three years of follow up. *BMC Public Health* 20:1–7.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8424-3>
- 527
- 528 Munro A, Kovats RS, Rubin GJ, et al (2017) Effect of evacuation and displacement on the association
529 between flooding and mental health outcomes: a cross-sectional analysis of UK survey data.
530 *Lancet Planet Health* 1:e134–e141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(17\)30047-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30047-5)
- 531
- 532 Oven KJ, Curtis SE, Reaney S, et al (2012) Climate change and health and social care: Defining future
533 hazard, vulnerability and risk for infrastructure systems supporting older people’s health care
534 in England. *Applied Geography* 33:16–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.05.012>
- 535
- 536 Page LA, Hajat S, Kovats RS (2007) Relationship between daily suicide counts and temperature in
537 England and Wales. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 191:106–112.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.106.031948>
- 539

- 540 Page LA, Hajat S, Sari Kovats R, Howard LM (2012) Temperature-related deaths in people with
541 psychosis, dementia and substance misuse. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 200:485–490.
542 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.111.100404>
- 543
- 544 Page M, McKenzie J, Bossuyt P, et al (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for
545 reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 10:. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4>
- 546
- 547 Paranjothy S, Gallacher J, Amlôt R, et al (2011) Psychological impact of Summer 2007 floods in
548 England. *BMC Public Health* 11:145–153
- 549
- 550 Reacher M, McKenzie K, Lane C, et al (2004) Health impacts of flooding in Lewes: a comparison of
551 reported gastrointestinal and other illness and mental health in flooded and non-flooded
552 households. *Communicable disease and public health / PHLS* 7:39–46
- 553
- 554 Rendell R, Higglett M, Khazova M, O’hagan J (2020) Public Health Implications of Solar UV Exposure
555 during Extreme Cold and Hot Weather Episodes in 2018 in Chilton, South East England. *J*
556 *Environ Public Health* 2020:33–35. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2589601>
- 557
- 558 Rizmie D, de Preux L, Miraldo M, Atun R (2022) Impact of extreme temperatures on emergency
559 hospital admissions by age and socio-economic deprivation in England. *Soc Sci Med*
560 308:115193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115193>
- 561
- 562 Robin C, Beck C, Armstrong B, et al (2020) Impact of flooding on health-related quality of life in
563 England: Results from the National Study of Flooding and Health. *Eur J Public Health* 30:942–
564 948. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaa049>
- 565
- 566 Rooney C, McMichael AJ, Kovats RS, Coleman MP (1998) Excess mortality in England and Wales, and
567 in Greater London, during the 1995 heatwave. *J Epidemiol Community Health* (1978) 52:482–
568 486. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.52.8.482>
- 569
- 570 Sahani J, Kumar P, Debele S, Emmanuel R (2022) Heat risk of mortality in two different regions of the
571 United Kingdom. *Sustain Cities Soc* 80:103758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.103758>
- 572
- 573 Schardt C, Adams MB, Owens T, et al (2007) Utilization of the PICO framework to improve searching
574 PubMed for clinical questions. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 7:1–6.
575 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-7-16>
- 576

- 577 Scottish Government (2024) Health and social care integration: progress review.
578 [https://www.gov.scot/publications/ministerial-strategic-group-health-community-care-review-](https://www.gov.scot/publications/ministerial-strategic-group-health-community-care-review-progress-integration-health-social-care-final-report/documents/)
579 [progress-integration-health-social-care-final-report/documents/](https://www.gov.scot/publications/ministerial-strategic-group-health-community-care-review-progress-integration-health-social-care-final-report/documents/). Accessed 21 Aug 2024
- 580
- 581 Scottish Government (2021) Digital Health Care Strategy.
582 <https://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-digital-health-care-strategy/>. Accessed 26 Aug
583 2024
- 584
- 585 Stott PA, Christidis N, Otto FEL, et al (2016) Attribution of extreme weather and climate-related
586 events. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Clim Change* 7:23–41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.380>
- 587
- 588 Tapsell SM, Penning-Rowsell EC, Tunstall SM, Wilson TL (2002) Vulnerability to flooding: health and
589 social dimensions. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond* 1511–1525
- 590
- 591 Thompson R, Landeg O, Kar-Purkayastha I, et al (2022) Heatwave Mortality in Summer 2020 in
592 England: An Observational Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 19:.
593 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19106123>
- 594
- 595 UK Government (2022a) UK Climate Change Risk Assessment 2022
- 596
- 597 UK Government (2022b) Health and social care integration: joining up care for people, places and
598 populations. [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-and-social-care-integration-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations)
599 [joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations)
600 [up-care-for-people-places-and-populations](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations/health-and-social-care-integration-joining-up-care-for-people-places-and-populations). Accessed 21 Aug 2024
- 601
- 602 Waite TD, Chaintarli K, Beck CR, et al (2017) The English national cohort study of flooding and health:
603 cross-sectional analysis of mental health outcomes at year one. *BMC Public Health* 17:1–9.
604 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-4000-2>
- 605
- 606 Walsh JE, Ballinger TJ, Euskirchen ES, et al (2020) Extreme weather and climate events in northern
607 areas: A review. *Earth Sci Rev* 209
- 608
- 609 Wan K, Feng Z, Hajat S, Doherty RM (2022) Temperature-related mortality and associated
610 vulnerabilities: evidence from Scotland using extended time-series datasets. *Environ Health*
611 21:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00912-5>
- 612

613 Weilhammer V, Schmid J, Mittermeier I, et al (2021) Extreme weather events in Europe and their
614 health consequences – A systematic review. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 233

615

616 Zhang S, Breitner S, Rai M, et al (2023) Assessment of short-term heat effects on cardiovascular
617 mortality and vulnerability factors using small area data in Europe. *Environ Int* 179:108154.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108154>

619

620 (2024) Rayyan. <https://www.rayyan.ai/about-us>. Accessed 26 Apr 2024

621

622

623 [Conflict of interest](#)

624 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

625

626 [Acknowledgements](#)

627 The authors would like to thank Margo Stewart, Academic Librarian (Health & Life Sciences),
628 Associate Fellow, Higher Education Academy, Library, University of the West of Scotland for
629 providing support to the review team in terms of the search strategy and assisting with the initial
630 searches for this systematic review. The Universities of the West of Scotland, University of South
631 Wales, and the Royal College of Surgeons Ireland supported the researchers time on this systematic
632 review.

633 [Funding Source](#)

634 This systematic review was not funded by a research grant or award.

635 [Author Contribution](#)

636 Conceptualisation: ND and ML; Methodology: ND, LHS, SY and ML. Writing-drafting, reviewing and
637 editing: ND, LHS, SY and ML.

638 [Disclaimer](#)

639 The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors, not necessarily The University of
640 the West of Scotland.

641

642 [List of Tables](#)

643 **Table 1: Population, Intervention, Context/Comparator and Outcome (PICO) framework.**

Population	Intervention or exposure	Context/Comparator	Outcome
Population of the UK affected by EWE	EWEs (defined as heat waves, cold waves, floods, storms, wildfires)	Routine weather events: Normal weather patterns such as average rain,	Tangible evidence relating to the impact of EWEs in the UK

	and windstorms)	sunlight, wind, air pressure	on public health and wellbeing outcomes.
--	-----------------	------------------------------	--

644

645

Table 2: Study characteristics of included extreme weather event studies

#	Type of weather event	Author	Date	Country	Quality rating
1.	Flooding	Carroll et al.	2010	England	Moderate
2.	Flooding	Euripidou and Murray	2004	England	Low
3.	Flooding	Fewtrell and Kay	2008	England	High
4.	Flooding	Fewtrell et al.	2011	England	High
5.	Flooding	Findlater et al.	2023	England	High
6.	Flooding	Fothergill et al.	2021	England	Moderate
7.	Flooding	French et al.	2019	England	High
8.	Flooding	Graham et al.	2019	England	High
9.	Flooding	Hunter	2003	England and Wales	Low
10.	Flooding	Lamond et al.	2015	England	High
11.	Flooding	Mason et al.	2010	England	High
12.	Flooding	Medd et al.	2014	England	Moderate
13.	Flooding	Mehring et al.	2023	England	Moderate
14.	Flooding	Milojevic et al.	2011	England and Wales	Moderate
15.	Flooding	Milojevic et al.	2017	England	Moderate
16.	Flooding	Mulchandani et al.	2020	England	High
17.	Flooding	Munro et al.	2017	England	High
18.	Flooding	Paranjothy et al.	2011	England	High
19.	Flooding	Reacher et al.	2004	England	Moderate
20.	Flooding	Robin et al.	2020	England	High
21.	Flooding	Tapsell et al.	2002	England	High
22.	Flooding	Waite et al.	2017	England	High
23.	Heat	Alahmad et al.	2023	Worldwide including UK	High
24.	Heat	Arbuthnott and Hajat	2017	UK	Moderate
25.	Heat	Berger et al.	2023	UK	High
26.	Heat	Bryan et al.	2020	Scotland, England and Wales, UK	Moderate
27.	Heat	Cruz et al.	2020	UK	Moderate
28.	Heat	Curtis et al.	2017	England	Low
29.	Heat	Finlay et al.	2012	England	Moderate
30.	Heat	Green et al.	2016	England	High

31.	Heat	Hajat et al.	2002	England	High
32.	Heat	Heaviside et al.	2016	England	High
33.	Heat	Johnson et al.	2005	England and Wales	Moderate
34.	Heat	Kovats et al.	2004	England	High
35.	Heat	Leonardi et al.	2006	England	High
36.	Heat	Oven et al.	2012	England	Low
37.	Heat	Page et al.	2007	England and Wales	High
38.	Heat	Page et al.	2012	UK	High
39.	Heat	Rendell et al.	2020	England	High
40.	Heat	Rizmie et al.	2022	England	High
41.	Heat	Rooney et al.	1998	England and Wales	High
42.	Heat	Sahani et al.	2022	England and Scotland	High
43.	Heat	Smith et al.	2016	England	High
44.	Heat	Smith et al.	2016	England	High
45.	Heat	Thompson et al.	2022	England	High
46.	Heat	Wan et al.	2022	Scotland	High
47.	Heat	Zhang et al.	2023	UK	High
48.	Wind	Goldman et al.	2014	OECD countries including UK	Moderate

646 **Note: OECD is an acronym for Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development**

647

648 [List of Figures](#)

649 **Figure 1: PRISMA study selection flowchart (Page et al., 2021)**

650

651 [List of supplementary files](#)

652 Supplementary file 1 - Search strategy – Extreme Weather Events

653 Supplementary file 2 - Evidence table for Extreme Weather Events (EXE) Systematic review (SR)

654 included papers: Flooding

655 Supplementary file 3 - Evidence table for Extreme Weather Events (EXE) Systematic review (SR)

656 included papers: Extreme heat

657 Supplementary file 4 - Extreme wind study

658 Supplementary file 5 - Table of abbreviations

659 Supplementary file 6 - Quality appraisal tables

660

661 **END**